# Synthesis, Characterization and mechanical behavior of AZ91E/Ni coated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (p) reinforced composites fabricated by Semi Solid Stir Casting

D. Manoj kumar PG Scholar Department of Mechanical Engineering R.V.R. & J.C. College of Engineering Guntur, INDIA.

Abstract— The developments of any composite always depend on the strong wettability of reinforcement phases in matrix. One way of improving the wettability is to apply a layer of coating on reinforcement particles. The present study aims at the development of Ni coating on nano Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ceramic particles and to reinforce it in AZ91E magnesium matrix material. The Electroless plating method has been employed to coat the particles and Semi Solid Stir Casting technique was used to the composites. Several weight fractions prepare of reinforcement are considered to analyze the behavior of the fabricated composites. FESEM and XRD analysis has been carried out to investigate the distribution of particles and phase characteristics of the proposed material. The physical and mechanical behavior of the material was examined through density measurements, hardness, Elastic modulus, ductility and tensile strength calculations. The metal coating on reinforcement aids to promote metal - metal bonding interface reactions which resulted in improved properties of the composite.

Keywords— AZ 91 E Mg alloys, semi solid stir casting, mechanical properties, FESEM,XRD

# I. INTRODUCTION

Development of the usage of alternate materials in automotive industries has been significantly increased over the past few decades. The researchers proved Magnesium as a substitute to aluminum in many parts of an automobile [1-3] because of its low density, good strength with an additional advantage of However, pure magnesium is rarely used recyclability. because of high reactivity and poor corrosion resistance and AZ91 is widely used magnesium alloy having good mechanical and thermal properties [4]. The ceramic particles have high hardness, strength and melting point. SiC, Tio2 and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reinforcements are mostly used as reinforcement Magnesium composites [6-7]. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles can exist in various crystalline phases and produces the most stable hexagonal alpha phase at elevated temperatures, which will be useful in structural applications. Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles provides good strength as well as enhanced ductility in magnesium composites [8]. the composites prepared with  $Al_2O_3$  ceramic reinforced Mg MMCs have high potential in structural applications in terms of improved mechanical properties [9].

Semi Solid Stir Casting was widely used to prepare the composite [17]. The ceramic reinforcements are added to molten metal in between liquidus and solidus zone. This gives

K. Praveen Kumar Associate Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering R.V.R. & J.C. College of Engineering Guntur, INDIA.

good adhesion of ceramics over the molten liquid metal.the preparation of these composites in Semi Solid Stir Casting needs a special attention as it contains ceramic and metal interactions. Wettability is a key factor in deciding the properties of the composite. The ceramics always provide low wettability in liquid matrix material.

Nickel, known for its excellent corrosion resistance, which can be used as an alternative for Wear and fatigue resistance. A few methods like co-precipitation method, sol-gel method, Electroplating, Heterogeneous precipitation method [12] were employed earlier to prepare the coated powder. The electro less plating method is another preference with an advantage of simple operation and capability of mass production [13].

The Nano particles are proved to be an effective strengthening phase when reinforced in MMCs [14]. Leon et.al. [10] prepared Ni coated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiC particles of varying sizes at micro level using Electroless and observed uniform coating over the surface. But, the nickel coating on these Ultra fine particles was very limited [15, 16]. The characterization of these powders are also mainly focused on coating thickness and nature of coating..., the work focusses on the fabrication of AZ91E Magnesium based composites using semi solid stir casting method after the surface modification methods (Ni Coating) applied to the ceramic reinforcements (Nano Sized Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles) via electroless plating technique. The preparation, metallurgy, Physical as well as Mechanical responses of these cast composites with changes in weight fractions are presented in later sections.

# **II. EXPERIMENTATION**

# A. Nickel coated alumina powders

The 99% pure  $\alpha$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders with an average particle size 50 nm, supplied by M/s.United Nano Tech Products Limited (UNTPL), Howrah, were used in this experiment. Electroless plating technique is used to coat Ni on the surface of these particles. The powders are prepared with the best experimental conditions mentioned by Sameer et.al [13]. The process depends upon the catalytic reduction of a metallic ion in an aqueous solution containing a reducing agent. In this experiment, Nickel Chloride Hexahydrate (NiCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O) is used as a source of Nickel ions while Sodium hypophosphite

 $(NaH_2PO_2.H_2O)$  is used as the reducing agent. At first, the powders are pre-treated with various chemicals like acetone,  $Sncl_2$  and  $Pdcl_2$  to clean the surface and to make the powder ready for attracting the nickel. Then these powders are immersed in an electrolytic bath to attract the nickel. The temperature, pH are maintained constant throughout the operation.

# B. Specimen preparation

AZ91E alloy was purchased from M/s.Exclusive Magnesium Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad with the following chemical composition given in Table I.

Alloy	% wt
Al	8.93
Zn	0.86
Mn	0.28
Cu	<0.001
Si	0.13
Fe	<0.001
Mg	Remaining

The casting process was similar to the procedures earlier reported [17]. Mild steel crucible, flux (20% KCl, 50% MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 15% MgO, 15% CaF<sub>2</sub>, wt%) and a high purity (99.98%) argon gas (IOLAR-1) supplied by Linde India Limited, Jamshedpur was used in the preparation of the composite. The raw materials, moulds, flux are pre-heated to avoid the casting defects. AZ91E was introduced into mild steel crucible when the furnace temperature reaches 250°C. Then temperature was raised to 700°C and kept for 15 minutes to make the melt homogenous. The melt was slowly cooled down to 590°C to introduce the reinforcement particles as the liquidus and the solidus temperature of the AZ91 alloy are found to be 596°C and 468°C [17]. After cleaning the surface of the melt, the preheated Nickel coated nano Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particulates were wrapped in an aluminum foil and added the melt. The mixture was stirred using a four blade mechanical stirrer with a rotation speed of 450 rpm for 3 minutes. The ingots of 15mm diameter with 150 mm length are obtained The procedure was repeated for all from casting. corresponding weight fractions of reinforcements to get the samples.

# C. Density Measurement

The density of Ni coated  $Al_2O_3$  particles reinforced with AZ 91E and pure AZ 91 E specimens are calculated experimentally using Archimedes principle with distilled water as immersion fluid. The weights are measured by using an electronic balance. The average value of 5 density readings was noted for each sample.

#### D. Microstructural examination

For Metallographic studies, the sample was taken from the middle of ingot and Microstructural characterization was carried out for AZ 91 E alloy as well as for reinforced composites. The samples were polished using an automatic polisher to produce mirror like surface on the samples. Particle distribution and presence of elements were examined using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) attached with EDS (TESCAN-MIRA coupled with QUANTAX 200). The phase analysis also carried out using X-Ray diffractometer (SMART Lab, Rigaku, Japan) with a scan speed of 3 deg/min between a scanning range of 20-90 degrees.

## E. Mechanical properties evaluation

Mechanical Properties of the composite with different weight fractions of reinforcements were evaluated in terms of Micro hardness, Macro Hardness and Tensile properties. Hardness studies were carried out to study the effect of reinforcement and its quantity on hardness. The Micro hardness was measured with 100gf load on the samples using Digital Vickers hardness tester supplied by M/s.Daksh Quality Systems (bearing the Model No: HVD 200 MP). Macro hardness was evaluated for a load of 10kg with a loading period of 15 sec using FIE M-50 Machine. The hardness values were measured by taking an average of 8 readings. Tensile testing was done as per ASTM B 557 Standard on UTN 40, FIE machine. Three readings for each composition were noted for tensile testing.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

## A. Density of cast composites

The experimental densities values of different weight fractions are shown in Table 2. It is observed that the density values increased with increased in the wt% of reinforcements. Pure az 91 E mg alloys has low density compare to other composites .The increased density may be due to the presence agglomeration of reinforcements because of higher percentage of reinforcements and may be due to gas molecules entrapment. The similar trend was reported in case of AZ91E/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as well as in AZ91D/SiC Composites [18].

Table II.	Density	values of .	AZ91E	alloy a	and pi	rocessed	composites
					·· · •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Material	Designation	Experimental density(g cm <sup>-3</sup> )
AZ91E	Sample P	1.806
AZ91E+1wt% Nickel Coated Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Sample Q	1.825
AZ91E+2wt% Nickel Coated Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Sample S	1.842

AZ91E+3wt% Nickel	Sample U	1.856
Coaled Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		

# B. Microscopy Results

Fig.I represents the microstructure of cast composites observed by FESEM of different samples as mentioned. . In Fig.5 the Ni coated alumina particles were uniformly distributed in the matrix region and the non uniformity increases by increasing the reinforcement percentage (above 2 percentage weight fraction). The increase in the reinforcement percentage causes the agglomeration of particles due to higher surface energy in Sample U and can be seen in. The EDS analysis of sample U is shown in Fig.6. The presence of nickel along with Magnesium, Aluminum and Nickel can be observed in the selected region. It was further noticed from Fig 6 (d) that nickel is uniformly identified in the matrix confirming that the Ni coated particles are uniformly distributed in the matrix of Sample S. X- Ray Diffractions of composite sample U was shown in figure 7. The peaks obtained by X- ray diffraction composite sample are compared with JCPDS (Joint Committee for Powder Diffraction Standards) data (Table 3). The presence of nickel in the XRD for Sample U can be clearly observed between 42-45 degrees. [19]. The XRD analysis results confirm the presence of Ni and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles in the prepared material Sample S along with preliminary tests.



Fig I. FESEM images of Sample U which has aggloermations





Fig III. SEM and Elemental Analysis



Fig.IV. Elemental mapping of Sample S



Fig V. Elemental mapping of Sample S



Fig VI. XRD of Sample S

S.	Element	JCPDS File	2 theta	Intensity	h k 1
NO.		NO.	25 704	602	0.1.0
1			25.794	692	012
2			35.471	999	104
3		75-0787	38.091	472	110
4	Corundum		43.732	956	113
5	$Al_2O_3$		53.026	473	024
6			58.049	930	116
7			67.139	354	214
8			68.632	534	300
9			77.715	151	1010
10			32.185	242	100
11			34.398	268	002
12	Magnesium Mg	65-3365	36.619	999	101
13			47.820	142	102
14			57.384	148	110
15			63.072	153	103
16			68.646	149	112
17			70.024	104	201
18	Niekal		44.505	100	111
19	Ni	04-0850	51.844	42	200
20	1N1		76.366	21	220

### Table III: JCPDS data

### C. Mechanical Properties:

## Hardness:

The hardness values of both macro and micro for different samples were shown in [fig 8 fig 9]. The micro hardness of sample P is 64.94 VHN while Sample S is 87.94 VHN similarly the macro hardness of Sample P and S are 80.87 VHN and 113.89 VHN. From the graph it can be seen that the maximum Hardness was observed in Sample S both at macro and micro levels. The hardness values are increased with increase in percentage of reinforcement up to 2% of weight fraction and then suddenly decreases. The observed increased hardness is due to the uniform distribution of reinforced ceramic particles help to increase strengthening mechanism and also restrict the matrix deformation The Nickel coating helps to provide strong bonding between ceramic and matrix phases. After 2% of weight fraction, the increase in reinforcement resulted in decrease of hardness in case of sample U. This is due to formation of clusters and non uniform distribution of particles. It may also be noted that hardness state obtained here is similar to the findings reported



for ceramic reinforced magnesium matrices [17, 18] but with





Fig VIII. Macro hardness values of different fractions

#### Tensile Behavior :

The Yield strength of the AZ91 E is 96.4 MPa and yield strength is found to be increased with an increase in percentage of reinforcement from table 4. This increase in yield strength has a similarity with the results obtained by researchers, who have reported that the yield strength of the particle reinforced composites is highly dependent on the volume fraction of the reinforcement [18]. Yield strength of AZ91E/ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Composite was 150Mpa [10] and for the sample U mentioned here is 159.3 MPa. This may be because of Ni coating on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particles provide strong interactions with the matrix material so that more load can be transferred to the reinforcement.

The tensile strength of casted Sample P is 162 MPa while for 2% reinforcement it was 221 MPa and decreased to 203 MPa [23] for Sample U. It is clear that tensile strength of composites are greater when compared to cast AZ91.With an Increase in  $Al_2O_3$  content the agglomerations are increased so that the defects are induced around the  $Al_2O_3$  particles due to the difference in the thermal expansion coefficients of AZ91 and  $Al_2O_3$ .This might result in de bonding of the interface and decrease in UTS of the composites.

ISSN No: - 2456 - 2165

The Elastic modulus of Sample P is 43.45 Gpa while the Sample U has 53.33 Gpa (Table 4). The increment in Young's Modulus is due to the dispersion of the fine and hard reinforcement particles (Ni Coated Alumina) in the matrix (AZ 91 magnesium alloy) drastically blocks the motion of the dislocations because of strong bonding with the matrix and strengthens the magnesium alloy composite.

The ductility was measured in terms of percentage of Elongation and can be affected by reinforcement content and matrix alloy. The addition of nickel (known for good ductility) as a coating layer on the particles increases the ductility of base material. Srivatsan et.al [19] has also observed increase in ductility than the base metal in case of hybrid composites prepared with AZ31 as matrix, Ni and  $Al_2O_3$  as reinforcements. The ductility values were decreased with an increase in reinforcement because of void nucleation in progress with increased amount of reinforcement [18,22].

Table IV. Mechanical behavior of Cast Specimens

Samples	Elastic Modulus (GPa)	Ultimate Tensile Strength (MPa)	Yield Strength (MPa)	% of Elongation (mm)
Sample P	43.45	162.77	96.4	3.08
Sample Q	48.20	191.97	129.56	3.56
Sample S	51.02	221.09	155.05	3.15
Sample U	53.33	203.03	159.3	2.07

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The surface coatings at nano level was done by Electroless plating technique and these particles as reinforcements in a Mg alloy composite was successfully developed with Semi Solid stir casting technique. The density, hardness and tensile behavior was evaluated. Weight percentages are altered and the effects of NI coating on behavior of proposed composites are analyzed. The micro structural studies revealed the uniform distribution of the nano particles is observed at 2% reinforcement. There has been an improvement of 40.08% in Macro hardness, 35.40% in micro hardness, 60.80% of yield Strength, 35.80% of Tensile Strength and 2.56% of ductility observed. The prepared composites simultaneously enhanced both Strength and Ductility. Hardness, Yield and Tensile strengths of the composites were found to increase with increased nano Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> up to 2% weight fraction and, then decreased with further addition of nano particles.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Dr.K.L.Sahoo, Principal Scientist, Metal Extraction & Forming (MEF) Division, National Metallurgical Laboratory (NML), and Jamshedpur, INDIA for providing the facilities in the preparation of Composites. He has been very helpful throughout the work. The authors also wish to express their sincere thanks to CeNS, Bangalore for FESEM with EDS analysis.

## REFERENCES

[1]. María Josefa Freiría Gándara, "Recent growing demand for magnesium in the automotive industry", Materials and technology. 45 (2011) 6, 633–637.

[2]. Mustafa Kemal Kulekci, *"Magnesium and its alloys applications in automotive industry"*, Int J Adv Manuf Technol 39 (2008), 851–865, DOI 10.1007/s00170-007-1279-2.

[3]. D.Sameer Kumar, C. Tara Sasanka, K. Ravindra, KNS Suman, "Magnesium and Its Alloys in Automotive Applications – A Review", American Journal of Materials Science and Technologym Vol.4, No.1 (2015), pp. 12 – 30. http://dx.doi.org/10.7726/ajmst.2015.1002

[4]. M.M. Avedesian and H. Baker, Magnesium & Magnesium alloys. ASM International, 1999.

[5]. Abhilash Viswanath, H. Dieringa, K.K. Ajith Kumar, U.T.S. Pillai, B.C. Pai, "Investigation on mechanical properties and creep behavior of stir cast AZ91-SiCp composites", Journal of Magnesium and Alloys 3 (2015) 16e22.

[6]. D. J. Lloyd , "Particle reinforced Aluminium and Magnesium matrix composites" , International Materials Reviews, 1994 , Vol. 39 No.1 pp : 1-23

[7]. Muralidharan Paramsothy ,Jimmy Chan, Richard Kwok and Manoj Gupta , "Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Nanoparticle Addition to Commercial Magnesium Alloys: Multiple Beneficial Effects" , *Nanomaterials* 2012, 2, 147-162; doi:10.3390/nano2020147

[8]. S.F. Hassan and M. Gupta , "Development of high performance magnesium nano-composites using nano-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as reinforcement", Materials Science and Engineering A 392 (2005) 163–168.

[9]. Sameer Kumar D., et al., "Microstructure, mechanical response and fractography of AZ91E/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (p) nano composite fabricated by semi solid stir casting method", Journal of Magnesium and Alloys (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jma.2016.11.006

[10]. C. A. Leon, R. A. L. Drew, "Preparation of nickel-coated powders as precursors to reinforce MMCs", Journal of Materials Science, 35 (2000), pp: 4763 – 4768.

[11]. C. A. Loto, "Electroless Nickel Plating – A Review", Silicon, DOI 10.1007/s12633-015-9367-7

[12]. Riccardo Casati and Maurizio Vedani , "Metal Matrix Composites Reinforced by Nano-Particles—A Review" , Metals 2014, 4, 65-83; doi:10.3390/met4010065

[13]. D.Sameer Kumar, K.N.S. Suman, P. Rohini Kumar, "A Study on Electroless Deposition of Nickel on Nano Alumina Powder Under Different Sensitization Conditions", International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology(IJAST), Vol.97 (2016), pp.59-68. http://dx.doi.org/10.14257/ijast.2016.97.06 [14]. J.N.Pang, et al., "Significance of sensitization process in electroless deposition of Ni on nano sized Al2O3 powders", Ceramics International (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.11.137

[15]. P. Poddar, S. Mukherjee, and K.L. Sahoo, "The Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of SiC Reinforced Magnesium Based Composites by Rheocasting Process", JMEPEG (2009) 18:849–855, DOI: 10.1007/s11665-008-9334-1

[16]. S. Aravindan P.V. Rao, K. Ponappa, "Evaluation of physical and mechanical properties of AZ91D/SiC composites by two step stir casting process", Journal of Magnesium and Alloys 3 (2015) 52e62.

[17]. Katarzyna N. Braszczynska-Malik, "Precipitates of  $\gamma$ -Mg17Al12 phase in AZ91 Alloy", Magnesium alloys –design process and properties, Chapter 5, Intech open

[18]. Palash Poddar, V.C. Srivastava, P.K. De, K.L. Sahoo, "Processing and mechanical properties of SiC reinforced cast magnesium matrix composites by stir casting process", Materials Science and Engineering A 460–461 (2007) 357– 364.

[19]. T.S. Srivatsan, K. Manigandan, C. Godbole, M. Paramsothy and M. Gupta , "The tensile deformation and fracture behavior of a magnesium alloy nanocomposite reinforced with nickel", Advances in Materials Research, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2012) 169-182, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.12989/amr.2012.1.3.169

[20]. M. Habibnejad-Korayem, R. Mahmudi,W.J. Poole, "Enhanced properties of Mg-based nano-composites reinforced with Al2O3 nano-particles", Materials Science and Engineering A 519 (2009) 198–203

[21]. A. C. Reddy, "Tensile fracture behaviour of 7072/SiCp metal matrix composites fabricated by gravity die casting process", Materials Technology, 2011, DOI: 10.1179/175355511X13015781009831

[22]. <u>De-Long Yang</u> et. al., "The microstructure and tensile property for Al2014 composites reinforced with Ti5Si3-coated SiCP", Materials Science and Engineering: , <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msea.2017.02.016</u>.

[23]. K. Praveen Kumar, M. Gopi Krishna, J Babu Rao, and NRMR Bhargava "Fabrication and Characterization of 2024 Aluminium - High Entropy Alloy Composites", Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 640 (2015) 421–427.