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#### Abstract

Human voice is a source of individual and unique sounds that similarly to a mirror reflects our health condition, age, emotions and mood, expresses attitude and behaviour, impacts the course of communication and its outcome. Teachers express themselves in a noisy and frequently poorly sound proofed environment for several hours every working day. The Pre experimental, i.e one group pre test post test design was adopted for the present studt. The sample includes 30 High school teachers from selected schools of Bagalkot District. Using Non probability convenience sampling technique.data collected using structures questionnaire \& analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Human voice is a source of individual and unique sounds that similarly to a mirror reflects our health condition, age, emotions and mood, expresses attitude and behaviour, impacts the course of communication and its outcome. Melodious teacher's voice is one of the corner stones of a successful education process. It characterizes teacher's vitality and adds liveliness to each lesson taught.

The voice is going to play an important role in teaching profession. The voice of the teachers will influence in seeking attention of the students. Even the pitch of the voice may alter the concentration of the students in many aspects. Hence voice is an very important tool in teaching profession.

Occupational voice health is becoming more important as more people rely on their voices for their work. A number of studies have identified certain occupational groups at increased risk of developing
occupational voice disorders, namely teachers, singers and aerobics instructors.

In recent advancement all the voice disorders can be treated with the help of minor surgeries and may retained the original voice with longer duration.

Here in this study, Researcher approached to the problems facing by the teachers about Voice disorders and build up some of the preventer measures to co-op for the better quality of life for the teachers.

## II. THE OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

$>$ To measure the knowledge scores regarding voice disorders and its prevention among teachers working in selected high schools of B.V.V Sangha, Bagalkot.
$>$ To determine the effectiveness of structured teaching programme on knowledge regarding voice disorders and its prevention among teachers working in selected high schools of B.V.V Sangha, Bagalkot.
$>$ To rule out the association between the post test knowledge scores of teachers with their selected socio demographic variables.

## III. HYPOTHESIS

$>\mathrm{H} 1$ : There is a significant difference between the mean Pre test and Post test knowledge scores of teachers working in selected high schools.
$>\mathrm{H} 2$ : There is a significant association between the Post test knowledge scores of Teachers with their selected socio demographic variables.

## IV. METHODOLOGY

$>$ Study Design The research design used in this study is Pre experimental one group pre -test and post - test design without control group.
$>$ Study Population Accessible population for the present study consist of the teachers working in selected high schools of BVV Sangha, Bagalkot.
$>$ Study Duration: March 2019- May 2019.
$>$ Sample Size $(\mathrm{N})$ : The sample size of the present study will be 30 Teachers.
$>$ Study Tool: In the present study data will be collected using structured closed ended knowledge questionnaire.. The tool validity was obtained from 8 experts from different states. According to the expert's opinion, warm exercises were included and items was organised in order.

## V. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

Majority ( $40.10 \%$ ) of the High school Teachers were 31-32 years old, $23.30 \%$ of Teachers were between the age group of $25-30$ years old, $23.30 \%$ of them were between the age group of $36-40$ years old and only $13.30 \%$ of them were 40 years and above. Majority of Teachers ( $50 \%$ ) were belonging to Hindu religion, $26.60 \% \%$ of them were Christian and $23.40 \%$ of them were belonging to Muslim
religion. Majority of Teachers 73\% were Males and around $27 \%$ were Female Faculty. Majority $80 \%$ of Teachers had completed B.Ed, $13.40 \%$ had completed Bsc and $6.66 \%$ of Teachers had completed Msc. Majority (86.60\%) of Teachers were Teaching more than 3 hours and $13 \%$ of Teachers were Teaching for 3 hours. Majority ( $50 \%$ ) of Teachers teach for 75 Students, and $30 \%$ of Teachers teach for 50 students and $20 \%$ of Teachers teach for 25 students. Majority (60.10\%) of Teachers had 1-5 years of Experience, $26.60 \%$ had less than 1 year experience and $13.40 \%$ of Teachers had 6-10 years experience. Majority ( $84.80 \%$ ) of Teachers were Married, and $16.20 \%$ of Teachers were Unmarried and none of them were Divorced, Separated and Widow. Majority (94\%) of Teachers have not suffered from Voice disorders and only $6 \%$ have suffered from Voice Disorders. Majority (54\%) of Teachers had 2 Children, $30 \%$ of Children have one child and $16 \%$ of Teachers have 3 and more than 3 children. Majority ( $96.60 \%$ ) of Teachers did not have any Family History of Voice Disorders and only $3.40 \%$ had a Family History of Voice disorders.
$>$ Description of Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Sample

| Variables | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE |  |  |
| 25-30 | 7 | 23.3\% |
| 31-35 | 12 | 40.1\% |
| 36-40 | 7 | 23.3\% |
| 41 and above | 4 | 13.3\% |
| RELIGION |  |  |
| Hindu. | 15 | 50\% |
| Muslim. | 7 | 23.4\% |
| Christian. | 8 | 26.6\% |
| Others. | - | - |
| GENDER |  |  |
| Male. | 22 | 73\% |
| Female. | 8 | 27\% |
| EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION |  |  |
| Tch | - | - |
| D.Ed | - | - |
| B.Ed | 24 | 80\% |
| Bsc | 4 | 13.4\% |
| Msc | 2 | 6.66\% |
| Phd | - | - |
| BPed. | - | - |
| NO OF HOURS OF TEACHING |  |  |
| 1 Hour | - | - |
| 2 Hours. | - | - |
| 3Hours. | 4 | 13.4\% |
| 3 Hours and more. | 26 | 86.6\% |


| NO OF STUDENTS YOU TEACH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 06 | 20\% |
| 50 | 09 | 30\% |
| 75 | 15 | 50\% |
| More than 75 | 0 |  |
| WORKING EXPERIENCE |  |  |
| Less than 1 year. | 06 | 20\% |
| 1-5yrs | 18 | 60\% |
| 6-10yrs | 04 | 13.4\% |
| More than 10yrs. | 02 | 6.6\% |
| MARITAL STATUS |  |  |
| Married. | 25 | 84.8\% |
| Unmarried. | 05 | 16.2\% |
| Divorced. | - | - |
| Separated. | - | - |
| Widow. | - | - |
| HAVE YOU EVER SUFFERED FROM VOICE DISORDER |  |  |
| Yes | 2 | 6\% |
| No | 28 | 94\% |
| NO OF CHILDREN YOU HAVE |  |  |
| One. | 9 | 30\% |
| Two. | 16 | 54\% |
| More than Two. | 5 | 6\% |
| FAMILY HISTORY OF VOICE DISORDER |  |  |
| Yes | 1 | 3.4\% |
| No | 29 | 96.6\% |

Table 1:- Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Socio-Demographic Characteristics
Analysis related to pre-test assessment of the level of knowledge of Teachers reveals that majority ( $40 \%$ ) of the Teachers had good knowledge, $33.4 \%$ of them had good knowledge and $26.6 \%$ of them had very good knowledge there were no Teachers who had poor knowledge and very poor knowledge regarding Voice disorders and its Prevention. Whereas in post-test majority (54\%) of the Teachers had good knowledge, $46 \%$ of them had very good knowledge regarding voice disorders and its Prevention.

| Knowledge scores | Pre Test |  | Post Test |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No Of Respondents. | $\%$ | No Of Respondents. | $\%$ |
| Very Poor. | - | - | - | - |
| Poor. | - | - | - | - |
| Average. | 12 | $40 \%$ | - | - |
| Good. | 10 | $33.4 \%$ | 16 | $54 \%$ |
| Very Good. | 08 | $26.6 \%$ | 14 | $46 \%$ |
| Total. | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 2:- Evaluation of the effectiveness of STP

H1: There is a significant difference between pre-test and post-test knowledge scores of High school Teachers on Voice disorders and its Prevention at 0.05 level of significance.

Paired ' $t$ ' test was used to find out the significance of the difference between the pre-test and post-test knowledge scores of High school Teachers on Voice disorders and its Prevention.

| Knowledge area. | Test. | Mean. | SD. | Mean Diff. | SD Diff | Paired ' t ' value. | Table Value. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Questions | Pre Test. | 4.63 | 1.37 | 4.43 | 0.91 | 6.43 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 9.06 | 2.28 |  |  |  |  |
| Incidence and prevalence | Pre Test. | 1.1 | 0.7 | 1.33 | 0.21 | 4.33 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 2.43 | 0.91 |  |  |  |  |
| Causes, signs and symptoms | Pre Test. | 2.53 | 0.99 | 4.08 | 0.02 | 4.13 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 6.61 | 1.01 |  |  |  |  |
| Prevention. | Pre Test. | 4.66 | 1.44 | 4.67 | 0.41 | 4.67 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 9.33 | 1.85 |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment. | Pre Test. | 0.93 | 0.85 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 4.61 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 4.13 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Pre Test. | 13.85 | 5.35 | 17.71 | 1.62 | 24.17 | 2.045 |
|  | Post Test | 31.55 | 6.97 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
The overall findings reveal that the percentage of post-test knowledge score was more when compared to the pre-test knowledge score. Hence it indicates that the STP was effective in enhancing the knowledge of Teachers regarding Voice disorders and its Prevention.

| Test | Mean | Std. Error | Mean Diff | SD Diff | Paired <br> t -value | Table value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-test $\left(\mathrm{x}_{1}\right)$ | 13.85 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Post-test $\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}\right)$ | 31.55 | 0.025 | 17.7 | 0.462 | 24.17 | 2.045 |

Table 4
The calculated values were much higher than table value (2.045). Hence the H1 stated is accepted. Findings reveal that the difference between mean pre-test $(13.85 \pm 5.35)$ and post-test $(31.55 \pm 6.97)$ knowledge scores of High school Teachers found to be statistically significant at 0.05 level of significance $[\mathrm{t}=24.17, \mathrm{p}<0.05]$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Variables | Df | Chi-square value | Table value | Level of significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Age | 1 | 3.60 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |
| 2. | Religion | 1 | 3.46 | 3.84 | $P>0.05$ NS |
| 3. | Gender. | 1 | 1.27 | 3.84 | $P>0.05$ NS |
| 4. | Educational Qualification | 1 | 3.01 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |
| 5. | Number of hpurs of teaching. | 1 | 3.63 | 3.84 | $P>0.05$ NS |
| 6. | No of Students you Teach. | 1 | 3.21 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |
| 7. | Years of Working experience | 1 | 1.85 | 3.84 | $P>0.05$ NS |
| 8. | Marriage | 1 | 1.04 | 3.84 | $P>0.05$ NS |
| 9. | History of any VD | 1 | 3.23 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |
| 10. | How many children you have. | 1 | 1.18 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |
| 11. | Have you suffered from any VD | 1 | 3.13 | 3.84 | $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ NS |

Table 5:- Association between the Post-Test Knowledge Scores of Teachers Regarding Voice Disorders and its Prevention and Selected Socio - Demographic Variables

Findings reveal that there is no significant association between post-test knowledge scores of the Teachers sand socio demographic variables, and no significant association found between knowledge of Teachers with their other demographic variables like age, religion, qualification, year of teaching experience, No oof students they teach, No of hours of Teaching, Any Family History of Voice disorders, How many children you have, and have you suffered from any voice disorder. Thus H2 hypothersis rejected for all socio demographic variables.

## VI. CONCLUSION

A significant difference was found between the posttest and pre-test knowledge scores of Teachers. The study showed that the STP was highly effective in improving the knowledge of Teachers on Voice disorders and its Prevention.
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