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Abstract:- Successful implementation of development programs at the local level to be paramount when seen from the amount of funding from central government to local governments in the context of regional autonomy. This is determined by several factors that are closely related to the performance of local government organizations. This study aims to determine the effect of leadership, organizational culture, employee performance, and organizational commitment to organizational performance. Location on research conducted at the District Secretariat Maybrat district with a sample of 292 employees. The data analysis in this research is done by using multiple regression analysis. The results showed that the leadership style significantly influence the organizational performance of 0146, the organizational culture significantly influence the performance of the organization for 0087.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Performance of local government organizations as a result (output) of an administration process undertaken by all components of regional organizations device (OPD) by utilizing the resources of the organization as well the input of money, human resources, as well as systems and regulations.

Data obtained from the regional employment agency (BKD) Maybrat district provide information that There are 1,076 civil servants who work in all regional organizations device (OPD) Maybrat district. The performance of civil servants in the district Maybrat are things that need attention because it relates directly to the smooth administration and public services and the impact on organizational performance. Therefore, please note the various factors that may affect the performance of employees, especially civil servants in the District Maybrat. In addition, the evaluation of the performance of district governments Maybrat can also be seen from the Minister of Home Affairs and related rating Regional Government Performance Status 2014-2017 period, as the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Decision about</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Performance Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minister of Home Affairs No. 800-35 2016</td>
<td>Rating Performance Status Determination and Regional Government Nationally 2014</td>
<td>351 of 391 Indonesian Regency assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister of Home Affairs No. 120-10421 2016</td>
<td>Rating Performance Status Determination and Regional Government Nationally in 2015</td>
<td>356 of 384 Indonesian Regency assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minister of Home Affairs No. 100-53 2018</td>
<td>Rankings and Performance Status Nationally Regional Government 2016</td>
<td>388 of 397 Indonesian Regency assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interior Minister Decree No. 118 - 8840 2018</td>
<td>Ranking and Regional Government Performance Status Nationally Year 2017</td>
<td>385 of 396 Indonesian Regency assessed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Rankings and Performance Status Regional Government Maybrat Regency Period 2014 – 2017
source: http://otda.kemendagri.go.id

The results of the performance report (LKj) Maybrat district in 2017 showed there were some achievements of specified performance has not reached the expected performance. Additionally commitments related organizations to improve the quality of governance must be improved so
that the entire set of key performance indicators Maybrat district can be reached.

Based on the description above background writer interested in conducting a study entitled: "Analysis of Factors Affecting Organizational Performance (Case Study Maybrat District)" by examining four (4) independent variables Leadership Style, Organizational Culture, Employee Performance and Organizational Commitment.

➢ Benefits of Research

Referring to the purpose of research above, this research is at least expected to enrich the concept or theory that supports the development of knowledge of human resource management, particularly with regard to the analysis of factors - factors that affect the performance of the organization and the results of this study are expected to provide input for the government the region to do the steps - steps to improve institutional performance in order to maximize the supply of public services in order to achieve national development goals in the region.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

➢ Understanding Leadership Styles

According Hasibuan (2013: 170) leadership is a leader means influencing the behavior of subordinates, to cooperate and work productively to achieve organizational goals. According Sopiah (2008: 115) explained that the leadership style of a manager must take into account the force of circumstances, such as the style of the preferred organization or a particular work, the nature of the task or group, time pressure, and even environmental factors that can affect the attitude of members of the organization against authority. Most of a leader or manager, will select the preferred style kepemiminan organizational hierarchy.

➢ Organizational culture

Organizational culture is very influential in shaping and giving meaning to the members of the organization to behave and act, which was passed down from one generation to the next as the character of the organization (Kinicki & Kreitner, in Koesmono, 2015: 57). According to Colquitt, et al (2009: 554) "organizational culture as the shared social knowledge within an organizational regarding the rules, norms and values that shape the attitude and behaviors of its employees". "Culture is a shared social knowledge within the organization with regard to regulations, norms, values that shape the attitudes and behavior of workers".

➢ Depth Organizational Culture

Schein (in Lako, 2004: 35-36) states that organizational culture is at three levels, namely artifacts, espoused values and basic underlying Assumptions that can be described as follows:

Fig 1:- Level of Schein Culture (Lako, 2004: 36)

➢ Organizational commitment

Commitment is the ability to take responsibility for things that are entrusted to an employee in an organization. In terms of career, the employee must actually melakasanakan task as expected, even exceeding the target. Commitment is very important because it can measure the performance of a person, whether he was working as a guide, or vice versa (Saputra, 2010: 47). While Choen (in Kusumaputri, 2015: 41) explains that a commitment is a binding force individuals to take action to lead one or multiple destinations.Mathis and Jackson (in Sopiah, 2008: 155) defines organizational commitment as follows:

"Organizational commitment is the degree to believe in the which employees accept organizational goals and desire to Werner with the organization ".

According to the above definition, organizational commitment can be said as the degree to which employees believe and accept the organization's goals and will stay or not will leave the organization.

➢ Employee performance

Employees are the most important element in an organization, penu-Runan quality employees is a meme at influencing the progress and setbacks of the organization, this is because employees are the driving force of the organization. Therefore, it is important to know how a person works and what influence to generate the optimum performance-kan (Satwika and Himam, 2014: 206).

"Employee job performance has always been an important concern for managers of Organizations" (Inuwa, 2016). Individual performance is the employee's performance in terms of both quality and quantity based on the standard of work that has been determined (Sukmarwati and Suryaningsih, 2013). According to Arifin and Komaruddin (2009) performance is a result that has been achieved than has been done, is done in executing the work or task.

➢ Organizational performance

Organizational performance by Simanjuntak (2011: 1) the level of achievement in order to realize the objectives of the organization. Organizational performance by Rummel and Brache (in Sudarmanto, 2009: 7) is the achievement of results (outcomes) at the level or organizational unit terkai
with organizational objectives, organizational design, and management of the organization. Performance by Sudarmanto (2009: 197) is given tangible results both quantitatively and qualitatively by individuals, groups, or organizations.

III. PREVIOUS RESEARCH

Primary, Risky Syandi (2016) in his research entitled influence of organizational culture and organizational commitment to organizational performance on central reporting and analysis of financial transactions (INTRAC). The test results in this study was found that organizational culture has a significant influence on the performance of the organization, while the organization's commitment no significant effect on the performance of the organization.

Nahid Naderi and Leyla Jadidi (2014) in an article entitled The study of the effects between leadership style, organization culture, employees performance leadership on performance (case: government hospitals in isfahan). The findings of this study revealed that the leadership style and organizational culture affects the performance leadership and employee performance significantly.

IV. FRAMEWORK FOR THINKING AND HYPOTHESES

Based on the study of the theory which has been described above, this framework is needed as the logic as well as a foundation for research. The preparation of the framework will also help the reader to understand the key issues examined in this study, as can be seen in the following figure:

Fig 2:- Framework

➤ Hypothesis

The hypothesis is stated as a theoretical answer to the formulation of research problems and not based on empirical answer (Sugiyono, 2010: 93).

Then the hypothesis proposed in this study are:
1. There is the influence of leadership style on organizational performance.
2. There is the influence of organizational culture on organizational performance.
3. There is influence between employee performance to organizational performance.
4. There is influence between organizational commitment to organizational performance.
5. Together - together, there is the influence of leadership style, organizational culture, employee performance and organizational commitment to organizational performance.

V. RESEARCH METHODS

➤ Research approach

This research is a quantitative correlation approach. Sugiyono (2010: 13) argues that quantitative research is research that is based on the philosophy of positivism, is used to examine the population or a particular sample, sampling technique is generally done at random, data collection using research instruments, data analysis is quantitative statistics with the aim of test the hypothesis that has been set.
Population and Sample

Population is the subject of research (Arikunto, 2010: 173). The population used in the study were all employees in the District Maybrat amount of 1076 (one thousand and seventy-six) while the sample is part of the number and characteristics possessed by the population (Sugiyono, 2010: 116). The sampling technique used purposive sample of formula Slovin (Sevilla et. Al., 2012: 182), as follows:

\[ n = \frac{N}{1 + Ne^2} \]

Where:
- \( n \) = The sample size
- \( N \) = Population size
- \( e \) = error

By using the formula slovin and measurement techniques with an error margin of 5\%., The calculation of the sample can be described as follows:

\[ n = \frac{1076}{1 + 1076(5\%)^2} \]

\[ n = 291.6 \]

Based on the sample size calculation above, the number of samples used by researchers is 292 respondents.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive analysis

Descriptive analysis is an analysis that is used to describe the data during the process of collecting data from respondents. In the descriptive analysis will provide some information, namely:

- Frequency of each choice survey respondents
- Mean, Median and Mode
- Standard deviation of the research data

Validity Variable Leadership Style

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Leadership style (X1)</th>
<th>( r ) arithmetic</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>ket</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>item1</td>
<td>0591</td>
<td>0001</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item2</td>
<td>0816</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>0729</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item4</td>
<td>0729</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item5</td>
<td>0674</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item6</td>
<td>0789</td>
<td>0000</td>
<td>invalid</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: -Validity of Test Results Variable Leadership Style

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

Based on the recapitulation of data presented in the table above, it appears that the value of \( r \) calculated for all the items of the questions used to measure the leadership style variable worth more than 0.2913. Thus the question items used to measure the variables of leadership style is considered valid and can be used to obtain research data.
**Validity Variable Organizational Culture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Cultural Organizations (X2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>r</em> arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item1</td>
<td>0521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item2</td>
<td>0591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>0676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item4</td>
<td>0593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item5</td>
<td>0795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item6</td>
<td>0499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item7</td>
<td>0407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item8</td>
<td>0730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item9</td>
<td>0753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item10</td>
<td>0449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item11</td>
<td>0709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item12</td>
<td>0471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item13</td>
<td>0599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item14</td>
<td>0856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Validity of Test Results Variable Organizational Culture  
Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

Based on the recapitulation of data presented in the table above, it appears that the value of *r* calculated for all the items of the questions used to measure the organizational culture variables worth more than 0.2913. Thus the question items used to measure organizational culture variables considered valid and can be used to obtain research data.

**Validity test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Employee performance (X3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>r</em> arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item1</td>
<td>0564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item2</td>
<td>0671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>0676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item4</td>
<td>0713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item5</td>
<td>0728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item6</td>
<td>0700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item7</td>
<td>0506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item9</td>
<td>0856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item10</td>
<td>0645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item11</td>
<td>0460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item12</td>
<td>0677</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Performance Variable Validity of Test Results  
Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019
Based on the recapitulation of data presented in the table above, it appears that the value of $r$ calculated for all the items of the questions used to measure employee performance variables worth more than 0.2913. Thus the question items used to measure employee performance variables considered valid and can be used to obtain research data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Organizational Commitment (X4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$ arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item1</td>
<td>0601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item2</td>
<td>0818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>0579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item4</td>
<td>0857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item5</td>
<td>0786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item6</td>
<td>0720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Organizational Commitment Validity of Test Results

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

Based on the recapitulation of data presented in the table above, it appears that the value of $r$ calculated for all the items of the questions used to measure organizational commitment variable employee organizational commitment worth more than 0.2913. Thus the question items used to measure organizational commitment variables considered valid and can be used to obtain research data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>The organization's performance (Y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$ arithmetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item1</td>
<td>0576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item2</td>
<td>0454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item3</td>
<td>0748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item4</td>
<td>0435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item5</td>
<td>0858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item6</td>
<td>0676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item7</td>
<td>0408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item8</td>
<td>0.636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item9</td>
<td>0709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item10</td>
<td>0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item11</td>
<td>0540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>item12</td>
<td>0455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Validity of Test Results Organizational Performance

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

- **Test Reliability**

Reliability is a measuring instrument stability of the final results, so that when the same measurement tool used to test the same instrument will generate reliable data (reliable). Researchers using SPSS for Windows version 20.0 and produced the following data:
### Table 7: Reliability Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>Cronbach Alpha</th>
<th>Critical value</th>
<th>Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>leadership style</td>
<td>.818</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational culture</td>
<td>.873</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>.879</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>.821</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational performance</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>reliable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the above table indicates that the item the question of leadership style variable, organizational culture, employee performance, organizational commitment and organizational performance status reliably. This can be seen from a positive alpha coefficient value is greater than 0.70. Thus the research instrument can be used to examine the same data at the same relative conditions, the probability of the results that can be trusted.

#### Multicollinearity

Multicollinearity test aims to test whether the regression model found a correlation between independent variables. Multikolinieritas test results in this study can be seen in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficients unstandardized</th>
<th>Coefficients standardized</th>
<th>collinearity Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>beta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>3.420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leadership style</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational culture</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>.319</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>.160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the table above, all independent variables have VIF value of less than 10, and the value of tolerance above 0.1. It can be concluded that there is no problem of multicollinearity.

#### Heterokedastisitas

Regression good models is not happening heterokedastisitas. To detect the heterokedastisitas can be done using a scatter plot. If no regular pattern, then the regression model free of problems heterokedastisitas. Heterokedastisitas test results can be seen in the following graph:

![scatter plot](image-url)
Scater plot on a graph, it appears that the dots spread randomly either above or below the zero axis, so that otherwise does not happen heteroskedasitas.

➤ Normality

Test for normality in this study conducted by analysis of graphs using Normal PP Plot of Regression Standardized Residual. If there are symptoms that the layout of dots (data) that is on or spread around the diagonal straight line, it can be said normal distribution of data. Normality test results in this study can be seen in the following graph:

Based on the picture above, it can be seen that plot the data spread around a straight line following the diagonal line so it can be interpreted that the data were normally distributed residuals.

Testing residual normality of the data can be done also with statistical kolmogrov-Smirnov test for normality test data. The test is performed on the assumption that if the significance value > 0.05 then it can be said the data were normally distributed residuals. The results of processing using SPSS program is obtained as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal P-P Plot of Regression Standardized Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent Variable: kinerja organisasi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 4:- Normality test

Based on the picture above, it can be seen that plot the data spread around a straight line following the diagonal line so it can be interpreted that the data were normally distributed residuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residual unstandardized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normality test results known value of a significance value of 0.494 > 0.05, it can be concluded the data were normally distributed residuals.

➤ Regression Analysis

Pengelolahan results of the data in this study is using SPSS for Windows version 20.0, which is a summary of the results can be described in the following table:

Table 9:- Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

➤ Hypothesis testing
Table 10:- Results of Multiple Regression Analysis

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficients unstandardized</th>
<th>standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>3.420</td>
<td>.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>leadership style</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organizational culture</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>.319</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>.160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: organizational performance

According to the table above, the regression equation as follows:

\[ Y = X_1 + 0.205 X_2 + 0.0536 X_3 + 0.0319 X_4 \]

Information:

\[ Y = \text{Organizational performance} \]

By looking at the linear regression equation above, it was explained that:

1). Coefficient of leadership styles of 0.0536. This implies that every increase of one unit of the leadership style variable organizational performance will increase by 0.0536.

2). Coefficient of organizational culture for 0.205. This implies that every increase of one unit of the organizational culture organizational performance variables will rise by 0.205.

3). Coefficient of performance of employees amounted to 0.319. This implies that any increase in the performance of employees of the unit of the variable performance of the organization will increase by 0.319.

4). Coefficient of organizational commitment for 0.288. This implies that any increase in the performance of employees of the unit of the variable performance of the organization will increase by 0.288.

➢ Test F

F test conducted to determine whether the effect of independent variables with the dependent variable is linear by looking at the probability values of the ANOVA table below:

Table 11:- Test Results F (Simultaneous)

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>mean Square</th>
<th>f</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>5778.891</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1444.723</td>
<td>59 314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>residual</td>
<td>6990.544</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>24 357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12769.435</td>
<td>291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the ANOVA table known value (f = 59 314 and p = 0.000 or p <0.05).
T Test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Coefficients unstandardized</th>
<th>standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>beta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (Constant)</td>
<td>1.698</td>
<td>3.420</td>
<td></td>
<td>.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leadership style</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.103</td>
<td>.275</td>
<td>5.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational culture</td>
<td>.205</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.172</td>
<td>3.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>.319</td>
<td>.081</td>
<td>.247</td>
<td>3.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.113</td>
<td>.160</td>
<td>2.547</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 12: T Test Results (Partial)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the data presented in the table above, can be made as follows:

1. In the leadership style variable regression coefficient 0.536 with the value t = 5.214, p = 0.000 or p <0.05. Thus, the hypothesis H1 can be accepted.
2. At the organizational culture variable regression coefficient with a value of t = 0.205 p = 0.002 3.231 dengan atau p <0.05. Thus, the hypothesis H2 is acceptable.
3. In the variable employee performance regression coefficient 0.368 with a value of t = 3.955, p = 0.002 or p <0.05. Thus, the hypothesis H3 is acceptable.
4. At the organizational commitment variable regression coefficient 0.288 with the value t = 2.547, p = 0.011 or p <0.05. Thus, the hypothesis H4 is acceptable.

| R | 0.673a | 0.453 | 0.445 | 4.93531 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 13: Coefficient Determination Test Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R2 value presented in the above table shows the value of 0.453 or 45.3%. This means that as much as 45.3%, organizational performance is explained by the independent variables of this study. While the rest of 54.7% is explained by other variables outside independent variables in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>leadership style</th>
<th>organizational culture</th>
<th>performance of employees</th>
<th>organizational commitment</th>
<th>organizational performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.524 **</td>
<td>.398 **</td>
<td>.414 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational culture</td>
<td>.524 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.468 **</td>
<td>.467 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>.398 **</td>
<td>.468 **</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.693 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>.414 **</td>
<td>.467 **</td>
<td>.693 **</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational performance</td>
<td>.530 **</td>
<td>.507 **</td>
<td>.548 **</td>
<td>.525 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 14: Correlation Analysis Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sources: primary data were processed, 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the existing table above can be explained and described as follows:

1. The value of the correlation between leadership style and organizational performance of 0.550 (p = 0.000). That is, the relationship of leadership styles and organizational performance are positive and strong. The more appropriate leadership style, the performance of the organization, the better.

2. The value of the correlation between organizational culture and organizational performance amounted to 0.513 (p = 0.000). That is, the relationship of organizational culture and organizational performance are positive and strong. The better the culture of the organization, then the organization's performance will be better.

3. The value of the correlation between employee performance and organizational performance amounted to 0.831 (p = 0.000). That is, the relationship of employee performance and organizational performance are positive and strong. The better the performance of employees, then the performance of the organization, the better.

4. Value correlation between organizational commitment and organizational performance amounted to 0.747 (p = 0.000). That is, the relationship organizational commitment and organizational performance are positive and strong. The existence of organizational commitment that is convenient for the consumer, then the performance of the organization, the better.

**Contributions Influence Variables**

The influence of each independent variable can be known from a multiplication of the beta coefficient with zero-order correlation (correlation product moment).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>beta coefficient</th>
<th>Product moment correlation</th>
<th>great influence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>leadership style</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational culture</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.507</td>
<td>0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>performance of employees</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>organizational commitment</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.453</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 15: Contributions Influence Variables

Sources: Primary data are processed, 2019

In Table Model Summary known value of R square = 0.453, meaning that the effect of leadership style, organizational culture, employee performance, organizational commitment simultaneously on organizational performance of 45.3%. The value consists of contributions of leadership style by 14.6%; organizational cultural contribution of 8.7%; contribution of the employee's performance of 13.5%; and the contribution of organizational commitment of 8.5%.

**VII. DISCUSSION**

**The relationship between leadership style to organizational performance.**

Coefficient of leadership styles of 0.536. This implies that every increase of one unit of the leadership style variable organizational performance will increase by 0.536 under the assumption that the other independent variables from the regression is fixed. In the leadership style variable regression coefficient 0.536 with the value t = 5.214, p = 0.000 or p <0.05. That is, the leadership style is partially positive and significant impact on organizational performance. Thus, the hypothesis H1 can be accepted.

**The relationship between organizational culture on organizational performance.**

Coefficient of organizational culture based on the table amounted to 0.205 t-test. This implies that every increase of one unit of the organizational culture variables organizational performance will increase by 0.205 under the assumption that the other independent variables from the regression is fixed. At the organizational culture variable regression coefficient with a value of t = 0.205 p = 0.002 3.231dengan or p <0.05. That is, partial organizational culture positive and significant impact on organizational performance. Thus, the hypothesis H2 acceptable. The value of the correlation between organizational culture and organizational performance amounted to 0.513 (p = 0.000).

**The relationship between employee performance to organizational performance.**

Coefficient of performance of employees amounted to 0.319. This implies that any increase in the performance of employees of the unit of the variable performance of the organization will increase by 0.319 under the assumption that the other independent variables from the regression is fixed. On employee performance variable regression coefficient 0.368 with a value of t = 3.955, p = 0.002 or p <0.05. That is,
the partial performance of employees and a significant positive impact on organizational performance. Thus, the hypothesis H3 is acceptable. The value of the correlation between employee performance and organizational performance amounted to 0.831 (p = 0.000). Finding this is in line with research Nahid Naderi and Leyla Jadidi (2014), which revealed that the leadership style and organizational culture affects the performance leadership and employee performance significantly.

- **The relationship between organizational commitment to organizational performance.**

  Coefficient of organizational commitment for 0.288. This implies that any increase in the performance of employees of the unit of the variable performance of the organization will increase by 0.288 under the assumption that the other independent variables from the regression is fixed. At the organizational commitment variable regression coefficient 0.288 with the value t = 2.547, p = 0.011 or p <0.05. That is, partial organizational commitment and significant positive effect on organizational performance. Thus, the hypothesis H4 is acceptable. Value correlation between organizational commitment and organizational performance amounted to 0.747 (p = 0.000). That is, the relationship organizational commitment and organizational performance are positive and strong.

- **The relationship between the variables of leadership style, organizational culture, employee performance and organizational commitment to organizational performance.**

  In the ANOVA table known value (f = 59 314 and p = 0.000 or p <0.05). That is, the simultaneous variables of leadership style, organizational culture, employee performance, organizational commitment significantly influence the performance of the organization.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

- **Conclusion**

  1. Relationship style of leadership and organizational performance are positive and strong. The better style of leadership, the organization's performance would be better anyway.

  2. The relationship of organizational culture and organizational performance are positive and strong. The better the culture of the organization, then the performance will be better the organization.

  3. The relationship of employee performance and organizational performance are positive and strong. The better the performance of employees, then the performance will be better the organization.

  4. Relationships organizational commitment and organizational performance are positive and strong. Their strong organizational commitment of the employee / employee, then the performance of the organization, the better.

  5. Simultaneously, the entire independent variables significantly influence the organizational performance of 45.3%. The value consists of contributions of leadership style by 14.6%; organizational cultural contribution of 8.7%; contribution of the employee's performance of 13.5%; contributed organizational commitment of 8.5%.

- **Suggestion**

  The leadership style in the district Maybrat already good and considerable influence on the achievement of the organization's performance, so this needs to be maintained so as to create a comfortable work situation for employees as the main component of the achievement of organizational performance and should continually strive to instill and enhance the value - the value culture and organizational commitment because based on the findings of this study, these two variables have a fairly low value although already contribute to the achievement of organizational performance.
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