Computed Tomography as a Diagnostic Imaging Tool for Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: A Review

Syeda Madiha Tanzil
Duty Medical Officer, Department of Intensive Care Unit,
Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore

Syed Abdul Khalique Qadri
Resident Doctor, Department of Emergency Medicine,
Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore

Syed Shoeb Ali
Junior Resident, Department of Pediatrics,
Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore

Vinay Batchu
Resident Doctor, Department of Emergency Medicine,
KLE University, Belgaum, India

Nabeelah Fatima
MBBS Doctor, Department of Medicine,
University of Mauritius

Abstract:- Traumatic brain injury is a significant public health concern, particularly in the pediatric population. It is considered to be a prominent contributor to mortality rates and the prevalence of chronic impairments on a global scale. The timely identification and precise evaluation of traumatic brain injury are of utmost importance to facilitate optimal treatment and enhance overall patient prognosis. Computed tomography is frequently employed as a diagnostic modality for pediatric traumatic brain injury owing to its widespread accessibility, rapid imaging capabilities, and capacity to identify intracranial lesions. Nevertheless, there are apprehensions regarding exposure to radiation and its potential enduring impacts on the developing brain, which have prompted inquiries about the suitability of computed tomography imaging. This review evaluates the role of computed tomography in diagnosing pediatric traumatic brain injury, discusses its benefits and limitations, and explores alternative imaging modalities that may help minimize radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic accuracy. Combining imaging modalities improves brain trauma. Accurate diagnosis should improve pediatric TBI prognoses.

➢ *Search Methods*

The objective of this study is to assess the role of computed tomography in the diagnosis of pediatric traumatic brain injury. This will be achieved by conducting a comprehensive analysis of online databases, including Google Scholar, PubMed, and PMC, to identify relevant journal articles written in English over the past decade. The search phrase employed a combination of terms such as "Computed Tomography," "Pediatric," "Traumatic Brain Injury," and "Role" in different permutations.

Keywords:- *Computed Tomography, Tool, Imaging, Diagnosis and Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Pediatric traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a matter of considerable importance in public health, as it can exert enduring impacts on children's physical, cognitive, and emotional maturation. TBI exert enduring impacts on children's physical, cognitive, and emotional maturation. TBI is characterized by the occurrence of brain damage due to the impact of an external force, typically arising from accidents, falls, sports-related events, or instances of child abuse (Araki et al., 2017). The epidemiological aspects of pediatric TBI underscore its widespread occurrence and significant consequences. The global incidence of TBI in children is estimated to exceed one million cases annually, with the highest prevalence observed among children under the age of four and adolescents aged 15 to 19 years (Dewan et al., 2016). The aetiology of pediatric TBI exhibits variation across different age cohorts, with distinct leading causes identified. Among younger children, falls emerge as a prominent contributor to TBI incidence. In contrast, sports-related injuries assume greater significance in the adolescent population. Furthermore, motor vehicle accidents are identified as a primary cause of TBI in older children and adolescents (Serpa et al., 2021). Depending on the extent of brain injury and the presence of altered awareness, TBI can range in severity from moderate to severe. The most frequent kind of pediatric TBI is mild TBI, often known as concussion. It is characterized by a brief change in consciousness or mental state and is frequently given a good prognosis. Longer durations of altered consciousness, more extensive brain injury, a higher chance of long-term impairments, or even fatality are all characteristics of moderate and severe TBI

(National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, 2023).

The developing brain of a child is more susceptible to injury and has a greater propensity for experiencing enduring consequences compared to the adult brain. The aforementioned phenomenon can be attributed to the continuous process of brain maturation and plasticity. Consequently, it becomes imperative to promptly identify and implement suitable interventions in order to maximize desired outcomes (Parker et al., 2021). The clinical manifestation of TBI in pediatric patients may exhibit variability contingent upon factors such as the child's age, the extent of the injury, and the regions of the brain that have been impacted. Typical indications and manifestations encompass cephalalgia, vertigo, emesis, irritability, alterations in sleep-wake cycles, cognitive impairments, memory deficiencies, and motor dysfunctions. Younger children may manifest symptoms including irritability, changes in eating habits, and heightened levels of crying (Mayo Clinic, 2019).

The diagnosis of pediatric TBI necessitates a comprehensive approach that encompasses a meticulous assessment of the patient's medical history, a thorough physical examination, and the utilization of neuroimaging studies. Imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), are employed to evaluate the scope of brain injury, detect any intracranial abnormalities, and assist in making treatment decisions (Shetty et al., 2016). Computed tomography (CT) scans are frequently selected as the primary imaging modality due to their rapid acquisition time and high sensitivity in detecting acute intracranial haemorrhages and fractures (He et al., 2013).

Computed tomography (CT) has become a highly effective diagnostic tool for assessing pediatric TBI due to its capacity to offer comprehensive anatomical information. CT presents numerous advantages when compared to alternative imaging modalities. These include its rapid acquisition speed, non-invasive nature, and widespread accessibility, particularly in emergency medical scenarios (Mutch et al., 2016). This technology enables prompt evaluation of intracranial abnormalities, such as skull fractures, haemorrhage, oedema, and contusions. Additionally, CT scans assist in the detection of potentially life-threatening injuries that necessitate prompt surgical intervention (Vidhya et al., 2021). This review evaluates the role of CT in diagnosing pediatric TBI, discusses its benefits and limitations, and explores alternative imaging modalities that may help minimize radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic accuracy.

II. THE ROLE OF CT IN ASSESSING PEDIATRIC TBI

Imaging plays a crucial role in the assessment and treatment of pediatric TBI. CT is the preferred imaging modality for the initial evaluation in the emergency department. This tool, as an effective diagnostic imaging tool, offers comprehensive information regarding the severity of brain injury. CT scans enable the observation of intracranial haemorrhage, skull fractures, contusions, and other traumatic lesions that may not be readily detectable through physical examination alone.

CT scans are of utmost importance in evaluating the extent and predicting the outcome of brain injuries in children. Imaging studies play a crucial role in providing clinicians with valuable information that facilitates their comprehension of the injury's scope, identification of precise intracranial lesions, and guidance in making treatment decisions (Brody et al., 2015). CT scans play a crucial role in assessing the severity of traumatic intracranial lesions, including epidural hematomas, subdural hematomas, cerebral contusions, and intraparenchymal haemorrhages. These imaging techniques aid in visualizing such lesions, enabling medical professionals to accurately evaluate the extent of the injury and make informed decisions regarding the necessity of surgical intervention (Parizel & Philips, 2020). The precise identification and characterization of skull fractures using CT imaging play a crucial role in the ability to predict the likelihood of accompanying brain injury and inform the selection of appropriate management approaches (Schweitzer et al., 2019).

Furthermore, CT scans enable clinicians to evaluate the presence of mass effect and midline shift, in addition to assessing specific injuries. The term "mass effect" pertains to the displacement or compression of cerebral tissue resulting from haemorrhaging, oedema, or the presence of lesions that occupy space within the brain. The phenomenon of midline shift refers to the displacement of the midline structures within the brain from their typical anatomical location (Liao et al., 2018). CT imaging is also valuable in evaluating cerebral oedema and ischemia, which are common complications in pediatric brain injury. Oedema refers to the swelling of brain tissue due to inflammation, while ischemia involves reduced blood flow and oxygen supply to the brain. CT scans can visualize the extent of oedema and ischemia, providing critical information that influences treatment decisions and offers prognostic insights (Schweitzer et al., 2019).

Another crucial aspect that CT scans help assess is the presence of brain herniation. Brain herniation occurs when brain structures are displaced from their normal position, often due to increased intracranial pressure. CT scans can identify signs of brain herniation, such as displacement of brain tissue, compression of ventricles, effacement of basal cisterns, or herniation through specific anatomical compartments. The presence of brain herniation on CT scans indicates a critical condition and necessitates immediate intervention (Riveros Gilardi et al., 2019).

While CT scans provide valuable information about the severity and initial prognosis of pediatric brain injury, it is essential to consider that they may not capture subtle brain injuries or fully reflect long-term outcomes. Clinical assessment, neurological examination, and additional imaging modalities, such as MRI, may be necessary for a comprehensive evaluation. Moreover, the interpretation of CT scans should be performed by experienced radiologists who are knowledgeable about pediatric brain injury to ensure accurate diagnosis and appropriate management decisions. CT scans are a vital tool in the assessment of severity and prognosis in pediatric brain injury. They assist in identifying specific intracranial lesions, assessing mass effect and midline shift, evaluating oedema and ischemia, and detecting brain herniation. By providing valuable insights into the nature and extent of the injury, CT scans aid clinicians in making informed decisions regarding treatment and prognosis, ultimately improving patient care and outcomes.

III. LIMITATIONS OF COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY IN PEDIATRIC TBI

Although CT is a highly valuable tool for evaluating pediatric TBI, it is important to acknowledge its limitations. One of the primary considerations associated with CT scans pertains to the potential exposure to ionizing radiation. Children exhibit a greater vulnerability to the detrimental impacts of radiation in comparison to adults. The evaluation of the advantages derived from the diagnostic data acquired through a CT scan must be carefully balanced with the potential hazards associated with radiation exposure. It is imperative for radiologists and healthcare providers to strictly adhere to the ALARA (As Low as Reasonably Achievable) principle and employ suitable techniques for reducing radiation dosage, particularly when dealing with pediatric patients (Power et al., 2016; Gupta & Upreti, 2017; Health Canada, 2019).

The current methods used for assessing brain changes primarily focus on anatomical information and do not adequately evaluate functional brain changes. Current technology lacks the capability to identify minor or moderate TBI that involves subtle neuronal damage or alterations in brain functionality. Additional imaging modalities, such as MRI and functional imaging techniques, may be required to assess these dimensions of pediatric TBI (Nadel et al., 2021). Diffuse axonal injury (DAI) is a prevalent form of TBI observed in the pediatric population. Nevertheless, CT scans frequently exhibit limitations in their ability to detect DAI, particularly during its initial phases (Christ Ordookhanian et al., 2018). MRI exhibits a higher degree of sensitivity towards diffuse DAI and can furnish significant insights regarding the magnitude and specific site of axonal damage (Benjamini et al., 2021). Hence, it may be imperative to employ a synergistic approach involving CT and MRI to conduct a thorough evaluation of TBI in the pediatric population.

IV. COMPARISON OF CT WITH OTHER IMAGING MODALITIES FOR PEDIATRIC TBI DIAGNOSIS

There are several imaging techniques that may be used to diagnose pediatric traumatic brain injury (TBI), each with unique advantages and disadvantages. We will contrast CT with ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), two more popular imaging modalities for diagnosing pediatric TBI.

- **Computed Tomography (CT)**: CT is frequently the preferred imaging modality for pediatric TBI as it is widely accessible, offers quick image acquisition, and has the capability to identify acute intracranial abnormalities. CT scans offer high-resolution imaging capabilities that are especially valuable in the evaluation of fractures, haemorrhages, mass effects, midline shifts, and cerebral contusions that are commonly associated with TBI. CT is particularly advantageous in urgent scenarios where timely diagnosis and surgical intervention may be required. Nevertheless, CT poses a potential risk due to its utilization of ionizing radiation, a factor of particular concern, particularly when considering the pediatric population (Alves, 2018).
- **Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)**: Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is a highly effective imaging technique that utilizes a robust magnetic field and radio waves to produce intricate visual representations of the brain. MRI provides exceptional soft tissue contrast and the ability to capture images from multiple planes, which renders it highly valuable in the evaluation of both structural and functional brain abnormalities in pediatric TBI (Alves, 2018). MRI has the capability to identify subtle injuries that may not be readily observable on CT scans. These injuries include conditions like diffuse axonal injury (DAI) and contusions in anatomical regions that are not visually discernible. This technique is highly valuable for assessing the integrity of white matter tracts and detecting any potential secondary brain injuries. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that MRI scans generally have a longer acquisition time, may necessitate sedation in young children, and may not be easily accessible in all healthcare facilities (Christ Ordookhanian et al., 2018).
- **Ultrasound**: Ultrasound is a non-invasive imaging technique that utilizes sound waves to generate dynamic visual representations of the brain (Rabut et al., 2020). Transcranial Doppler (TCD) and cranial sonography are frequently employed ultrasound methodologies in the context of pediatric TBI. The technique known as TCD is utilized to assess the velocities of blood flow in the primary intracranial arteries. This method offers valuable insights into cerebral perfusion and the occurrence of vasospasm. Cranial sonography is employed for the

purpose of assessing the ventricles, identifying intraventricular haemorrhage, and evaluating the brain parenchyma in neonates. The portability, real-time imaging capabilities, and absence of ionizing radiation make ultrasound a beneficial modality. This tool demonstrates significant utility in acute care environments, as well as in close proximity to patients or settings with limited resources. Nevertheless, ultrasound imaging is subject to certain limitations when it comes to visualizing deep brain structures and can be influenced by the skill and expertise of the operator (Reuter-Rice, 2017).

➢ *Comparative Analysis*

- **Sensitivity and Specificity**: CT demonstrates a high level of sensitivity in the detection of acute haemorrhages and fractures, thereby providing significant value in the initial assessment of TBI. MRI on the other hand, exhibits a greater level of sensitivity in detecting subtle injuries and accurately identifying DAI, contusions, and diffuses brain oedema.
- **Anatomical Detail**: An MRI offers enhanced differentiation of soft tissues, thereby facilitating improved visualization and characterization of cerebral anatomical features as well as detection of minute pathological abnormalities. CT is more appropriate for the assessment of fractures and the examination of bony structures.
- **Radiation Exposure**: A CT scan utilizes ionizing radiation, which is a matter of concern, particularly when it comes to pediatric patients. MRI and ultrasound imaging techniques are advantageous as they do not employ ionizing radiation, thus minimizing potential risks associated with radiation exposure.
- **Functional Assessment**: An MRI scan provides sophisticated imaging techniques, including diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and functional MRI (fMRI), which offers valuable insights into the microstructural alterations and functional connectivity of the brain.
- **Availability and Speed**: CT scans are helpful in emergency circumstances since they are generally accessible and easy to get. Particularly in acute situations, MRI may have limited availability and need lengthier scan periods. While widely available and offering real-time imaging, ultrasound has limits when it comes to seeing deep brain regions.

The selection of an imaging modality for the diagnosis of TBI in pediatric patients is contingent upon several factors, such as the clinical circumstances, the need for prompt evaluation, and the specific details sought. CT is frequently utilized as the primary imaging modality due to its widespread accessibility and capacity to identify acute intracranial abnormalities. MRI is a highly valuable modality in the assessment of subtle injuries, as it allows for the identification of DAI and provides comprehensive anatomical and functional information. Ultrasound has proven to be a valuable tool in acute medical scenarios, particularly when used at the patient's bedside or in environments with limited resources. However, it does have certain limitations when it comes to effectively visualizing deep brain structures. The selection of the most suitable imaging modality should be tailored to the specific clinical situation, taking into account the respective benefits, drawbacks, and potential radiation hazards associated with each modality.

V. CURRENT RESEARCH AND ADVANCEMENTS IN COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY FOR PEDIATRIC TBI

The utilization of imaging technology has made substantial contributions to the diagnosis and treatment of TBI in pediatric patients. The continuous investigation and advancements of technology have resulted in the emergence of imaging techniques and protocols with the objective of enhancing diagnostic precision, minimizing radiation exposure, and offering a more comprehensive understanding of the scope and characteristics of brain injuries in pediatric patients.

Ultra-Low-Dose CT Radiation exposure should be minimized while the image quality is sufficient for a valid diagnosis. To do this, new iterative reconstruction methods have been used to dramatically minimize radiation exposure without affecting image quality. These methods increase the signal-to-noise ratio, making small injuries easier to see and lowering the need for repeat scans (Zhang et al., 2018).

Dual-Energy CT (DECT) is an innovative technique that has demonstrated potential in the imaging of pediatric TBI. The DECT technique utilizes two distinct X-ray energy levels to enhance the analysis of tissue composition and material density. This technique aids in distinguishing between various types of haemorrhages, evaluating the presence of calcium deposits, and enhancing the characterization of lesions. The utilization of DECT has the potential to improve diagnostic precision and offer valuable insights into the pathophysiology of TBI in pediatric patients (Nair et al., 2020).

Hybrid Imaging: The integration of various imaging modalities, commonly referred to as hybrid imaging, holds the potential to offer a more comprehensive assessment of pediatric TBI. An illustration of this would be the integration of CT with positron emission tomography (PET) or single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT). This combination allows for the acquisition of metabolic ad molecular data, which can be instrumental in evaluating cerebral metabolism, blood flow, and inflammation. The utilization of hybrid imaging techniques has the potential to enhance comprehension of the intricate pathophysiology of TBI and provide valuable guidance for targeted interventions.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): The utilization of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms have become increasingly prominent in the field of medical imaging, particularly in the context of pediatric TBI (Pringle et al., 2022). Artificial intelligence algorithms have the capability to analyze substantial amounts of imaging data, detect and recognize patterns, and provide support in the process of automated image interpretation. This approach has the potential to enhance diagnostic efficiency and accuracy, improve lesion detection, and provide valuable insights for prognostication purposes. Artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms can also be utilized to aid in the triage and prioritization of cases, enabling a more effective allocation of imaging resources and timely interventions (Brossard et al., 2021).

These technological advancements have the potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, minimize radiation exposure, and provide a more comprehensive comprehension of the underlying pathophysiology. The ongoing investigation and incorporation of these technological advancements into the field of clinical practice show potential for enhancing patient care and improving outcomes in pediatric TBI.

VI. CONCLUSION

Computed tomography is an essential tool in evaluating pediatric TBI. The provision of valuable information regarding the extent and characteristics of the injury allows clinicians to make prompt and precise decisions regarding treatment. Although CT imaging has certain limitations, continuous advancements in technology and the emergence of complementary imaging techniques present novel prospects for a more thorough evaluation of pediatric TBI. Clinicians have the potential to enhance outcomes and deliver precise interventions for pediatric patients with traumatic brain injuries by integrating the advantages offered by different imaging modalities. Ongoing research and continuous technological advancements are anticipated to significantly augment the precision of diagnostic procedures and subsequently enhance the overall prognosis for pediatric patients with TBI.

REFERENCES

[1]. Alves, T. I. (2018). Brain Imaging in Traumatic Brain Injury. *Handbook of Neuroemergency Clinical Trials*, 369–386. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-804064-5.00007-2

[2]. ARAKI, T., YOKOTA, H., & MORITA, A. (2017). Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: Characteristic Features, Diagnosis, and Management. *Neurologia Medico-Chirurgica*, *57*(2), 82–93. https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2016-0191

[3]. Benjamini, D., Iacono, D., Komlosh, M. E., Perl, D. P., Brody, D. L., & Basser, P. J. (2021). Diffuse axonal injury has a characteristic multidimensional MRI signature in the human brain. *Brain*, *144*(3), 800–816. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa447

[4]. Brody, D. L., Mac, C. L., & Shimony, J. S. (2015). Current and future diagnostic tools for traumatic brain injury. *Handbook-of-Clinical-Neurology*, *127*, 267–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-444-52892-6.00017-9

[5]. Brossard, C., Lemasson, B., Attyé, A., de Busschère, J.-A., Payen, J.-F., Barbier, E. L., Grèze, J., & Bouzat, P. (2021). Contribution of CT-Scan Analysis by Artificial Intelligence to the Clinical Care of TBI Patients. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.666875

[6]. Christ Ordookhanian, Tsai, K. H., Kaloostian, S. W., & PaulE. Kaloostian. (2018). Diffuse Axonal Injury: A Devastating Pathology. *Traumatic Brain Injury*. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72828

[7]. Dewan, M. C., Mummareddy, N., Wellons, J. C., & Bonfield, C. M. (2016). Epidemiology of Global Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury: Qualitative Review. *World Neurosurgery*, *91*, 497-509.e1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2016.03.045

[8]. Gupta, N., & Upreti, L. (2017). Optimal utilization of pediatric computed tomography to minimize radiation exposure: What the clinician must know. *Indian Pediatrics*, *54*(7), 581–585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13312-017-1072-8

[9]. He, W., Wang, L.-S., Li, H.-Z., Cheng, L.-G., Zhang, M., & Wladyka, C. G. (2013). *Intraoperative contrast-enhanced ultrasound in traumatic brain surgery*. *37*(6), 983–988. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinimag.2013.08.001

[10]. Health Canada. (2019). *Radiation Protection in Dentistry - Recommended Safety Procedures for the Use of Dental X-Ray Equipment - Safety Code 30 - Canada.ca*. Canada.ca. https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/services/environmental-workplace-health/reports-publications/radiation/radiation-protection-dentistry-recommended-safety-procedures-use-dental-equipment-safety-code-30.html

[11]. Liao, C.-C., Chen, Y.-F., & Xiao, F. (2018). Brain Midline Shift Measurement and Its Automation: A Review of Techniques and Algorithms. *International Journal of Biomedical Imaging*, *2018*. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/4303161

[12]. Mayo Clinic . (2019). *Traumatic brain injury - symptoms and causes*. Mayo Clinic. https://www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/traumatic-brain-injury/symptoms-causes/syc-20378557

[13]. Mutch, C. A., Talbott, J. F., & Gean, A. (2016). Imaging Evaluation of Acute Traumatic Brain Injury. *Neurosurgery Clinics of North America*, *27*(4), 409–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nec.2016.05.011

[14]. Nadel, J., McNally, J. S., DiGiorgio, A., & Grandhi, R. (2021). Emerging Utility of Applied Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Management of Traumatic Brain Injury. *Medical Sciences*, *9*(1), 10. https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci9010010

[15]. Nair, J. R., Burrows, C., Jerome, S., Ribeiro, L., Larrazabal, R., Gupta, R., & Yu, E. (2020). Dual energy CT: a step ahead in brain and spine imaging. *The British Journal of Radiology*, *93*(1109), 20190872. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20190872

[16]. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. (2023). *Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)*. Www.ninds.nih.gov. https://www.ninds.nih.gov/health-information/disorders/traumatic-brain-injury-tbi

[17]. Parizel, P. M., & Philips, C. D. (2020). Traumatic Neuroemergency: Imaging Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury—An Introduction. *IDKD Springer Series*, 77–92. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38490-6_7

[18]. Parker, K. N., Donovan, M. H., Smith, K., & Noble-Haeusslein, L. J. (2021). Traumatic Injury to the Developing Brain: Emerging Relationship to Early Life Stress. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.708800

[19]. Power, S. P., Moloney, F., Twomey, M., James, K., O'Connor, O. J., & Maher, M. M. (2016). Computed tomography and patient risk: Facts, perceptions and uncertainties. *World Journal of Radiology*, *8*(12), 902. https://doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v8.i12.902

[20]. Pringle, C., Kilday, J.-P., Kamaly-Asl, I., & Stivaros, S. M. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence in paediatric neuroradiology. *Pediatric Radiology*, *52*(1), 2159–2172. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-022-05322-w

[21]. Rabut, C., Yoo, S., Hurt, R. C., Jin, Z., Li, H., Guo, H., Ling, B., & Shapiro, M. G. (2020). Ultrasound Technologies for Imaging and Modulating Neural Activity. *Neuron*, *108*(1), 93–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.09.003

[22]. Reuter-Rice, K. (2017). Transcranial Doppler Ultrasound Use in Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Radiology Nursing*, *36*(1), 3–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jradnu.2016.11.003

[23]. Riveros Gilardi, B., Muñoz López, J. I., Hernández Villegas, A. C., Garay Mora, J. A., Rico Rodríguez, O. C., Chávez Appendini, R., De la Mora Malváez, M., & Higuera Calleja, J. A. (2019). Types of Cerebral Herniation and Their Imaging Features. *RadioGraphics*, *39*(6), 1598–1610. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2019190018

[24]. Schweitzer, A. D., Niogi, S. N., Whitlow, C. J., & Tsiouris, A. J. (2019). Traumatic Brain Injury: Imaging Patterns and Complications. *RadioGraphics*, *39*(6), 1571–1595. https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2019190076

[25]. Serpa, R. O., Ferguson, L., Larson, C., Bailard, J., Cooke, S., Greco, T., & Prins, M. L. (2021). Pathophysiology of Pediatric Traumatic Brain Injury. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2021.696510

[26]. Shetty, V. S., Reis, M. N., Aulino, J. M., Berger, K. L., Broder, J., Choudhri, A. F., Kendi, A. T., Kessler, M. M., Kirsch, C. F., Luttrull, M. D., Mechtler, L. L., Prall, J. A., Raksin, P. B., Roth, C. J., Sharma, A., West, O. C., Wintermark, M., Cornelius, R. S., & Bykowski, J. (2016). ACR Appropriateness Criteria Head Trauma. *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, *13*(6), 668–679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2016.02.023

[27]. Vidhya, V., Gudigar, A., Raghavendra, U., Hegde, A., Menon, G. R., Molinari, F., Ciaccio, E. J., & Acharya, U. R. (2021). Automated Detection and Screening of Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI) Using Computed Tomography Images: A Comprehensive Review and Future Perspectives. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(12), 6499. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18126499

[28]. Zhang, X., Chen, J. M., Yu, N., Ren, Z., Tian, Q., Tian, X., He, T., & Guo, C. (2018). *Improving image quality with model-based iterative reconstruction at quarter of nominal dose in upper abdominal CT*. 20180137–20180137. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.20180137

[29]. Zhu, H., Gao, Q., Xia, X., Xiang, J., Yao, H., & Shao, J. (2014). Clinically-Important Brain Injury and CT Findings in Pediatric Mild Traumatic Brain Injuries: A Prospective Study in a Chinese Reference Hospital. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *11*(4), 3493–3506. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110403493.