A Review of Bioremediation

Poornima J[1], Deepa lakkshmi. B[1], Gowsalya.K[1] and Dr. Elumalai M[2]
[1]Research Scholar and [2]Assistant Professor
Post Graduate and Research Department of Advanced Zoology and Biotechnology
Government Arts College for Men (Autonomous) Nandanam, Chennai: 600035, Tamilnadu, India.

Abstract:- The process of bioremediation entails altering the surrounding environment to promote the development of microorganisms that degrade the intended contaminants in a polluted medium, such as soil, water, or subsurface material. The environment's concentration of heavy metals is influenced by anthropogenic or natural factors. Industries, electronic trash, and ore mining are examples of anthropogenic activities which lead to contamination of heavy metals like cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, thorium, and uranium that cannot be biodegraded. Bioremediation procedures, on the other hand, have the potential to minimize the mobility of these materials in the subsurface, hence lowering the risk of environmental exposure. Due to runoff, heavy metals from these causes are mostly prevalent in water sources, where they are absorbed by marine fauna and plants which leads to ecological and biological disarrays.

Keywords:- *Bioremediation, Crab Shell.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Mining-Influenced Water (MIW) is the consequence of connections among minerals exposed to oxygen and water during mining activities. The effects of these interactions are amplified by bacteria that oxidize both sulfur(S) and iron (Fe) compounds. MIW is a global concern because of its ability to contaminate drinking water systems, impair aquatic life's growth and reproduction, and cause other issues connected to its toxicity to Organism (Banks *et al.*,1997). Since most cleanup sites are far away or connected to abandoned mines, treatment systems that are effective, simple to build, and need little maintenance are greatly desired.

Heavy metals can impact a range of living organisms, including humans when they are present in the environment. Metals can distinguish themselves from other dangerous pollutants and accumulate throughout the food chain because they are non-biodegradable and can linger in living tissues. Numerous businesses, through their effluent, emit heavy metals into the environment. Researchers have employed a variety of techniques, including chemical precipitation, evaporation, ion exchange, cementation electrolysis, and reverse osmosis, to extract heavy metals from industrial effluent (Janson *et al.*,1982; Grosse,1986).

Consequently, diverse biological materials' metal removal capacities have been concentrated on removing harmful metals from dilute wastewater. Over the last two decades, numerous microorganisms have been discovered to have a high efficiency in absorbing heavy metals (Kuyuck and Volesky, 1988).

A byproduct of the seafood industry, crab shell (CS) has been utilized as a substrate for anaerobic biological processes in the past (Brennan et al. 2006; Buser *et al.* 2010; Robinson-Lora and Brennan 2009). As the second most abundant biopolymer found in nature, chitin is easily broken down into small particles. Several gigatons of chitin are produced worldwide each year (Howard *et al* 2003). Most crab products are utilized in the food sector to manufacture canned crabmeat or frozen foods. Trash or byproducts from the manufacturing process can be used to make crab shells at a low cost.

Heavy metals are harmful to people, and exposure to them has risen due to modern industrialization, anthropogenic activities, and industry. A hazardous environmental condition that affects hundreds of millions of people worldwide is the poisoning of water and air by toxic metals. Another concern for the health of humans and animals is the presence of heavy metal pollution in food. The amounts of heavy metals in food, water, and air are investigated in this context (Mousavi *et al.*, 2013; Ghorani-Azam *et al.*, 2016; Luo *et al.*, 2020).

They might frequently react with biological systems, giving up one or more electrons and forming metal cations that are attracted to the nucleophilic sites of vital macromolecules. On numerous human organs, heavy metals have several damaging acute and chronic consequences. Damage to the gastrointestinal and renal systems, anomalies of the neurological system, immune system malfunction, birth deformities, and cancer are among the complications associated with heavy metal toxicity. According to Fernandes Azevedo *et al.* (2012), Cobbina *et al.* (2015), Costa (2019), and Gazwi *et al.* (2020), there may be cumulative effects from simultaneous exposure to two or more metals.

High concentrations of heavy metals, such as lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg), can cause severe health issues, including kidney failure, bloody diarrhoea, and stomach colic (Bernhoft, 2012; Tsai et al., 2017). Conversely, low-dose exposure poses a subtle and hidden risk unless it is repeated regularly. Its effects can be identified, including negative impacts on children's IQ and intellectual function as well as neuropsychiatric disorders like fatigue and anxiety (Mazumdar et al., 2011).

Chronic exposure also involves the fact that several metals have been discovered to be carcinogenic to humans. Aberrant alterations in the genome and gene expression have been suggested as a potential cause, yet the precise mechanism is unknown. According to Clancy *et al.* (2012) and Koedrith *et al.* (2013), arsenic, cadmium, and chromium are carcinogenic metals that can obstruct DNA synthesis and repair. Heavy metals are dangerous and carcinogenic in dose-dependent ways. In both humans and animals, high-dose exposure increases DNA damage and causes neuropsychiatric issues (Gorini *et al.*, 2014).

II. BIOREMEDIATION

In 2007, Daubert *et al.* conducted research on the simultaneous occurrence of biological acidity drop, alkalinity rise, and physical sorption of metals using chitin, a multifunctional substrate derived from crab shells, as a potential treatment for AMD. In sacrificial microcosm tests, the power of chitinous material derived from crab shells to reduce the amounts of dissolved metals and acidity in AMD water obtained from Kittanning Run in Altoona, Pennsylvania, was investigated. The physical adsorption of iron to chitin, the chemical precipitation of aluminium hydroxide (Al (OH)3), and the biologically induced precipitation of manganese sulphide (MnS) were the anticipated methods of removing dissolved metals from this system. For the first time, the results of this investigation demonstrate that chitin can be utilized as a substitute substrate for the therapy of AMD.

- *Bench-Scale Test:*

In bench-scale testing, crab-shell chitin (SC-20) was examined for its capacity to improve biological denitrification. Highly reducing conditions were created in the presence of SC-20, allowing denitrification and sulphate reduction of aerated water. Rapid protein degradation in SC-20 resulted in a substantial initial release of ammonium and carbon. In contrast, a slower, continual release of calcium carbonate from the crab shell kept the pH constant throughout the testing. SC-20 chitin's denitrification rates and lifespan are equivalent to, if not better, those reported previously for other polymeric substrates. Depending on the demand of the surrounding microbial community near the treatment zone, it may be necessary to remove excess ammonium and organic materials during the initial application of SC-20. The work was completed by Mary Ann Robinson-Lora in 2008.

The investigation was conducted by Mary Ann Robinson-Lora 2009, she revealed that in batch microcosms and continuous-flow column studies, crab-shell chitin was investigated as a multifunctional substrate for treating acid mine drainage (AMD). Crab-shell chitin treated AMD from three separate sites in microcosms, with comparable results: pH increased in two days, alkalinity increased in one day, and sulphate was reduced. Hydraulic retention was enough to elevate the pH and alkalinity in columns. Metals (Al, Fe, and Mn) were eliminated, and geochemical modelling suggests they precipitated as insoluble hydroxides, sulphides, and carbonates. Manganese and iron have seen breakthroughs, while aluminium has never. These findings show for the first time that under continuous-flow conditions, crab-shell chitin can entirely remove metals and neutralize the pH of AMD.

The purpose of this study was to determine whether adding a crab shell as a substrate amendment may improve the treatment of high-strength MIW using continuous-flow columns with a 16-hour hydraulic residence period. A standard substrate column and a sand control were contrasted with crab shell columns that contained 50–100% crab shell. After water was constantly pumped through the columns for many days, the effluent samples were tested for metals, dissolved organic carbon, pH, oxidation-reduction potential, ammonia, acidity, and alkalinity. The entire degree of metal removal under these substrate conditions could be evaluated thanks to the addition of an additional passive aeration phase during the substrate treatment, which was designed to resemble the settling ponds frequently used in practice.

The entire amount of metal removal under these substrate conditions could be evaluated thanks to the addition of an additional passive aeration phase following the substrate treatment, which was designed to resemble the settling ponds frequently used in practice. More than double the mass of metals was removed and twice the volume of MIW was treated using a 70% crab shell + 30% SMC substrate ratio. A treatment efficiency of 1.2 g substrate per gallon MIW was established as a design parameter for field-scale systems, as opposed to 2.3 g per litre for conventional substrate. Even yet, the cost is higher than that of typical substrates. The effectiveness of the crab shell adjustment makes the VFP 50% less expensive and allows for a 50% reduction in its area footprint.

The ability of crab shells to extract heavy metals from aqueous solutions was contrasted with many other sorbents (zeolite, cation exchange resin, granular activated carbon, and powdered activated carbon). To conduct the studies, different heavy metal ion solutions (Pb, Cd, Cu, Cr) were used. According to the order of heavy metal removal capacity and initial heavy metal removal rate, the following activated carbon sources were found to be effective: crab shell, cation exchange resin, zeolite, and powdered activated carbon. Crab shell therefore functions effectively as a biosorbent for the removal of heavy metals. The results of An et al. (2001) show that Pb and Cr are removed more frequently than Cd and Cu, indicating that heavy metal removal is selective.

Lack of effective and efficient pollution management, and cleanup solutions, aquaculture has become one of the most significant contributors to lake eutrophication. This research offers a novel ecological dam system that comprises biofilter floating beds and plant floating beds that form an enclosure around the breeding region while allowing lake water to pass through. In Yangcheng Lake, China, a pilot-scale test was done to assess pollution control and in situ bioremediation during the breeding of Chinese mitten crabs (Eriocheir sinensis). In comparison to the breeding zone, the test zone showed a small improvement in water quality. The biofilm that developed on the biofilter was critical in the elimination of organic contaminants and nitrogen as reported by (Ni *et al* 2017)

III. CONCLUSION

This review paper demonstrates the several applications of bioremediation using crab shell that is chitin and provides a comprehensive explanation of the process for effectively managing pollution and eliminating heavy metals from aqueous solutions for human benefits and future use

REFERENCES

[1]. Daubert, Linda N.; Brennan, Rachel A. (2007). Passive Remediation of Acid Mine Drainage Using Crab Shell Chitin. Environmental Engineering Science, 24(10), 1475–1480.doi:10.1089/ees.2006.0199
[2]. Mary Ann Robinson-Lora; Rachel A. Brennan (2009). The use of crab-shell chitin for biological denitrification: Batch and column tests., 100(2), 534–541. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.06.052
[3]. Mary Ann Robinson-Lora; Rachel A. Brennan (2009). Efficient metal removal and neutralization of acid mine drainage by crab-shell chitin under batch and continuous-flow conditions., 100(21), 5063–5071. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.11.063
[4]. Banks, D., Younger, P.L., Arnesen, R.T., Iversen, E.R., and Banks, S.B. (1997). Mine-water chemistry: the good, the bad and the ugly. Environ. Geol. 32, 157.
[5]. Brennan, R. A., Stanford, R. A., and Werth, C. J. (2006). "Biodegradation of tetrachloroethene by chitin fermentation products in a continuous flow column system." J. Environ. Eng., 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372 (2006)132:6(664), 664–673
[6]. Buser, S. D., Jordana, M. J., and Lu, R. J. (2010). "Enhanced bioremediation using ChitoRem." Proc., 7th Int. Conf. on In Situ and On-Site Bioremediation, Battelle, Columbus, OH.
[7]. Robinson-Lora, M. A., and Brennan, R. A. (2009). "The use of crab-shell chitin for biological denitrification: Batch and column tests." Bioresour. Technol., 100(2), 534–541.
[8]. Howard, M.B., Ekborg, N.A., Weiner, R.M., Hutcheson, S.W., 2003. Detection and characterization of chitinases and other chitin-modifying enzymes. Journal of Industrial Microbiology Biotechnology 30, 627–635.
[9]. H.K An; B.Y Park; D.S Kim (2001). Crab shell for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solution., 35(15), 0–3556. doi:10.1016/s0043-1354(01)00099-9
[10]. Janson C. E., Kenson R. E. and Tucker L. H. (1982) Treatment of heavy metals in wastewaters. Environ. Prog. 1, 212–216.
[11]. Grosse D. W. (1986) A review of alternative treatment processes for metal bearing hazardous waste streams. J. Air Pollut. Contr. Assoc. 36, 603–614.
[12]. Kuyuck N. and Volesky B. (1988) Biosorbents for recovery of metals from industrial solutions. Biotechnol. Lett. 10, 137–142.
[13]. Ghorani-Azam, A., Riahi-Zanjani, B., and Balali-Mood, M. (2016). Effects of air pollution on human health and practical measures for prevention in Iran. *J. Res. Med. Sci.*, 21, 65. doi:10.4103/1735-1995.189
[14]. Mousavi, S. R., Balali-Mood, M., Riahi-Zanjani, B., Yousefzadeh, H., and Sadeghi, M. (2013). Concentrations of mercury, lead, chromium, cadmium, arsenic and aluminium in irrigation water wells and wastewater used for agriculture in Mashhad, northeastern Iran. *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 4 (2 April), 80–86.
[15]. Luo, L., Wang, B., Jiang, J., Huang, Q., Yu, Z., Li, H., et al. (2020). Heavy metal contaminations in herbal medicines: determination. comprehensive risk assessments. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 595335. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.595335
[16]. Clancy, H. A., Sun, H., Passantino, L., Kluz, T., Muñoz, A., Zavadil, J., et al. (2012). Gene expression changes in human lung cells exposed to arsenic, chromium, nickel or vanadium indicate the first steps in cancer. *Metallomics* 4 (8), 784–793. doi:10.1039/c2mt20074k
[17]. Cobbina, S. J., Chen, Y., Zhou, Z., Wu, X., Zhao, T., Zhang, Z., et al. (2015). Toxicity assessment due to sub-chronic exposure to individual and mixtures of four toxic heavy metals. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 294, 109–120. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.03.057
[18]. Costa, M. (2019). Review of arsenic toxicity, speciation and polyadenylation of canonicalhistones. *Toxicol.Appl.Pharmacol.* 375,1–4. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2019.05.006
[19]. Gazwi, H. S. S., Yassien, E. E., and Hassan, H. M. (2020). Mitigation of lead neurotoxicity by the ethanolic extract of Laurus leaf in rats. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safe* 192, 110297. doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110297
[20]. Gorini, F., Muratori, F., and Morales, M. A. (2014). The role of heavy metal pollution in neurobehavioral disorders: a focus on autism. *Rev. J. Autism Dev. Disord.* 1 (4), 354–372. doi:10.1007/s40489-014-0028-3
[21]. Bernhoft, R. A. (2012). Mercury toxicity and treatment: a review of the literature. *J. Environ. Public Health* 2012, 460508. doi:10.1155/2012/460508
[22]. Fernandes Azevedo, B., Barros Furieri, L., Peçanha, F. M., Wiggers, G. A., Frizera Vassallo, P., Ronacher Simões, M., et al. (2012). Toxic effects of mercury on the cardiovascular and central nervous systems. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2012, 949048. doi:10.1109/latincloud.2012.6508156
[23]. Koedrith, P., Kim, H., Weon, J.-I., and Seo, Y. R. (2013). Toxicogenomic approaches for understanding molecular mechanisms of heavy metal mutagenicity and carcinogenicity. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 216 (5), 587–598. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2013.02.010
[24]. Mazumdar, M., Bellinger, D. C., Gregas, M., Abanilla, K., Bacic, J., and Needleman, H. L. (2011). Low-level environmental lead exposure in childhood and adult intellectual function: a follow-up study. *Environ. Health* 10 (1), 24. doi:10.1186/1476-069x-10-24

[25]. Tsai, M.-T., Huang, S.-Y., and Cheng, S.-Y. (2017). Lead poisoning can be easily misdiagnosed as acute porphyria and nonspecific abdominal pain reports in emergency medicine 2017. *Case Rep. Emerg Med.* 2017 (2), 1–4. doi:10.3109/10408444.2013.768596

[26]. Ni, Zhifan; Wu, Xiaogang; Li, Lingfang; Lv, Zhe; Zhang, Zhenjia; Hao, Aimin; Iseri, Yasushi; Kuba, Takahiro; Zhang, Xiaojun; Wu, Wei-Min; Li, Chunjie (2017). Pollution control and in situ bioremediation for lake aquaculture using an ecological dam. Journal of Cleaner Production, (), S0959652617328585–. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.11.185