# Assessing the Diagnostic Precision of Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) in Temporomandibular Joint Arthritis: A Comprehensive Review

<sup>1</sup>Fathimath Sabiha Intern A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>3</sup>Dr. Rashmi.K (Assistant Professor) Dept. of Oral Medicine and Radiology A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>5</sup>Dr Dhanya Rao (Assistant Professor) Dept. of Oral Medicine and Radiology A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

Abstract:- Temporomandibular joint disorders (TMD) are prevalent among a substantial portion of individuals. One such challenging condition is temporomandibular joint arthritis (TMJ arthritis) which is characterized by inflammation and deterioration of the TMJ. There is a wide array of imaging techniques available for the evaluation of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), encompassing computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), cone beam CT (CBCT), conventional radiography, and ultrasonography. CBCT, as compared to CT, offers the advantage of producing high-resolution 3-dimensional multiplanar TMJ arthritis images while requiring a lower radiation dose. CBCT provides the capability to analyze complex structures, such as bones, accurately. It additionally reduces scattered radiation, thereby enhancing image quality. The purpose of this review article is to comprehensively analyze the existing literature and research results to evaluate the diagnostic effectiveness of CBCT in detecting TMJ arthritis.

*Keywords:*- Temporomandibular Joint (TMJ), Cone Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT), Temporomandibular Joint Disorder (TMD), Temporomandibular Joint Arthritis (TMJ Arthritis), Osteoarthritis (OA).

## I. INTRODUCTION

Dysfunction of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) can result in temporomandibular disorders (TMD), which fall under the category of musculoskeletal pathologies <sup>[1]</sup>. TMJ arthritis, also known as temporomandibular joint arthritis, is one such TMD referring to inflammation and degeneration <sup>2</sup>Eshwari BV Intern A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>4</sup>Dr. Sitara Rathan (Assistant Professor) Dept. of Oral Medicine and Radiology A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>6</sup>Dr. Raghavendra Kini (HOD & Professor) Dept. of Oral Medicine and Radiology A. J. Institute of Dental Sciences Mangalore, Karnataka, India

of the temporomandibular joint, which connects the jawbone to the skull. This condition can cause pain, swelling, and limited movement of the jaw, often resulting in discomfort and difficulty with activities like chewing and talking. It can be caused by various factors, including autoimmune diseases or wear and tear over time. TMJ arthritis can develop in individuals of all age groups, although its occurrence becomes more prevalent as people get older <sup>[2,3]</sup>. It impacts various components, including cartilage, subchondral bone, synovial membrane, and other hard tissues, resulting in alterations like TMJ remodeling, wear and tear of articular cartilage, and deterioration <sup>[2,4]</sup>. Diagnosing TMD using conventional radiographs is challenging, which is why CBCT is considered the preferred imaging technique for any TMD diagnosis. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) provides high-resolution 3D imaging of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) while offering the advantage of minimal radiation exposure. Hintze et al. and associates merged CBCT with traditional methods and, in general, observed no noteworthy disparities in diagnostic precision between the two approaches <sup>[4,5]</sup>. Therefore, CBCT is regarded as a superior imaging technique when compared to other 2D imaging modalities.

### II. PRINCIPLE

CBCT consists of a collimated X-ray source and a detector that revolves around the patient. The detector determines photon depletion by computing the cumulative photon count as it emerges from the patient. This data is collected at numerous angles along a rotational arc, typically covering several hundred angles. This data, in its raw form, is processed by a computer algorithm to reconstruct a 3D

dataset consisting of volumetric elements, and it is from this dataset that images are generated <sup>[6]</sup>.

## III. DISCUSSION

Osteoarthritis (OA) affecting the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) often presents a range of significant bony alterations. These changes include irregularities in the bony cortex of the condylar head, erosions, the formation of bony outgrowths known as osteophytes, and the development of subchondral cysts within the joint. These osseous modifications that accompany TMJ arthritis ultimately led to several distinct consequences, such as a reduction in the space within the joint, the development of subchondral bone sclerosis (increased bone density), and the flattening of critical structures such as the condylar head, glenoid fossa, and articular eminence. When it comes to visualizing and assessing these structural changes associated with TMJ arthritis, CBCT imaging stands out as the preferred diagnostic tool [7,8]. CBCT provides highly detailed and precise visualization of these bony alterations, allowing for a more accurate assessment of the extent and severity of TMJarthritis related changes. This enhanced imaging capability is particularly beneficial for staging the disease and closely monitoring its progression over time [7,8].



Fig 1 Depicts the Presence of Localized Marginal Bony Overgrowth Observed in the Right Condyle



Fig 2 Illustrates the Joint Space Measurements for the Right TMJ at 1.8 mm and for the Left TMJ at 5.4 mm.



Fig 3 Depicts Flattening of Bone Contour Noted on the Anterior Slope of Right TMJ

High-quality imaging with a narrow field of view (FOV), like an 8x8 scan, ensures complete coverage of the TMJ area and allows for excellent visualization of any bone changes in the TMJ region <sup>[9]</sup>. CBCT devices with a wider field of view can also be used to diagnose the temporomandibular joint. The image volume's coverage of the temporomandibular joint can vary based on the selected field of view (FOV). However, it is important to consider that using a wide field of view and high voxel size reduces the image resolution, which may impact the ability to detect early bone changes <sup>[10,11]</sup>. CBCT offers enhanced diagnostic capabilities for detecting bony articular abnormalities in the TMJ, especially when employed in conjunction with complementary imaging modalities like MRI <sup>[8,12].</sup>

Multiple research investigations have employed CBCT study osteoarthritis (OA)within scans to the temporomandibular joint (TMJ). Among the earliest significant patient series, published in 2009 and focused on assessing age-related changes in TMJ OA using CBCT, it reaffirmed a well-known finding in OA research. Specifically, it demonstrated a strong correlation between age and both the occurrence and severity of OA in the TMJ. The primary radiographic observations consistently included flattening, erosion, and osteophyte formation, with sclerosis being another frequently observed characteristic <sup>[12,13]</sup>. Based on a study conducted by Kyung Soo Nah et al. regarding condylar bony changes in patients with TMJ disorders using CBCT, the research findings indicated that the most observed condylar bone changes were sclerosis, followed by surface erosion. This led them to the conclusion that the increasing prevalence of CBCT usage in dentistry and maxillofacial imaging underscores the growing importance of developing precise and comprehensive guidelines for the assessment of osteoarthritis in the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) <sup>[14]</sup>.A study conducted by Sumit Yadav et al. and Ledjo Palo et al. focused on assessing the diagnostic accuracy of two distinct cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) protocols used to identify arthritic changes within the temporomandibular joints [15,16].

ISSN No:-2456-2165

In the current study by Ahmad et al. He examined a group of 54 individuals, consisting of 48 females and 6 males. The study reported an average age of 71.3 years, with a standard deviation of 5.2, spanning from 61 to 83 years <sup>[17,18]</sup>. Out of the total of 108 joints analyzed, 53 of them (49%) were diagnosed with osteoarthritis <sup>[17,18]</sup>. The most common signs included flattening of the joint surface, the development of osteophytes, and the presence of subcortical sclerosis in the condyle. As a result, the imaging characteristics primarily revolved around bone-related changes. As surface flattening and subcortical sclerosis lacked diagnostic certainty, it became apparent that osteophyte formation emerged as the predominant and conclusive radiological marker in osteoarthritis diagnosis <sup>[17,18]</sup>.

Pontual and Freire et al.'s 2012 study found that flattening was the most frequent degenerative alteration observed in the TMJ on CBCT scans, occurring in 59% of cases, followed by osteophyte formation, which was seen in 29% of cases<sup>[19]</sup>. A multitude of in-vitro investigations have been undertaken to explore the potential of CBCT in identifying bone abnormalities and osteophytes in cadaveric TMJ samples <sup>[20]</sup>.Librizzi and Tadinada et al. (2011) found that utilizing a 6-inch FOV in CBCT is more advantageous for the diagnosis of erosive changes in the TMJ when compared to a 12-inch FOV <sup>[21]</sup>.

# IV. CONCLUSION

Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) stands as a valuable imaging tool in the diagnosis and evaluation of TMJ arthritis and related bone changes. Its capacity to offer highly accurate and detailed visual representations greatly facilitates the precise diagnosis and ongoing monitoring of this condition. The advancement of additional research and the establishment of standardized protocols have the potential to further enhance its clinical applicability in the field of TMJ disorders.

### REFERENCES

- [1]. Maini K, Dua A. Temporomandibular Syndrome.
  [Updated 2023 Jan 30]. In: StatPearls [Internet].
  Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023
  Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK551612/
- [2]. Kalladka M, Quek S, Heir G, Eliav E, Mupparapu M, Viswanath A. Temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis: diagnosis and long-term conservative management: a topic review. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2014 Mar;14(1):6-15. Doi: 10.1007/s13191-013-0321-3. Epub 2013 Sep 22. PMID: 24604992; PMCID: PMC3935038.
- [3]. Lawrence RC, Helmick CG, Arnett FC, Deyo RA, Felson DT, Giannini EH, et al. Estimates of the prevalence of arthritis and selected musculoskeletal disorders in the United States. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1998;41(5):778–799. doi: 10.1002/1529-0131(199805)41:5<778::AID-ART4>3.0.CO;2-V.

- [4]. Jiao K, Niu LN, Wang MQ, Dai J, Yu SB, Liu XD, et al. Subchondral bone loss following orthodontically induced cartilage degradation in the mandibular condyles of rats. *Bone*. 2011;48:362–371. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.09.010.
- [5]. Dijkgraaf LC, Liem RS, de Bont LG. Ultrastructural characteristics of the synovial membrane in osteoarthritic temporomandibular joints. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 1997;55(11):1269–1279. doi: 10.1016/S0278-2391(97)90183-X.
- [6]. White and Pharoah's Oral Radiology Principles and Interpretation 8th Edition - May 22, 2018
- [7]. Dhabale GS, Bhowate RR. Cone-Beam Computed Tomography for Temporomandibular Joint Imaging. Cureus. 2022 Nov 14;14(11):e31515. doi: 10.7759/cureus.31515. PMID: 36532912; PMCID: PMC9751500.
- [8]. Weiss R 2nd, Read-Fuller A. Cone Beam Computed Tomography in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery: An Evidence-Based Review. Dent J (Basel). 2019 May 2;7(2):52. doi: 10.3390/dj7020052. PMID: 31052495; PMCID: PMC6631689.
- [9]. Juárez VP. Diagnostic efficacy of cone beam computed tomography for the TMJ arthropathies. J Dent Health Oral Disord Ther. 2020;11(6):176–185. DOI: 10.15406/jdhodt.2020.11.00538
- [10]. Al-Saleh, M.A.Q., Punithakumar, K., Lagravere, M. et al. Three-dimensional morphological changes of the temporomandibular joint and functional effects after mandibulotomy. J of Otolaryngol – Head & Neck Surg 46, 8 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40463-017-0184-4
- [11]. Kaidonis JA, Ranjitkar S, Lekkas D, Brook AH, Townsend GC. Functional dental occlusion: an anthropological perspective and implications for practice. Aust Dent J. 2014 Jun;59 Suppl 1:162-73. doi: 10.1111/adj.12133. Epub 2014 Jan 21. PMID: 24444303.
- [12]. Alexiou K, Stamatakis H, Tsiklakis K. Evaluation of the severity of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritic changes related to age using cone beam computed tomography. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2009; 38: 141– 7. Doi: 10.1259/dmfr/59263880
- [13]. Larheim TA, Abrahamsson A-K, Kristensen M, Arvidsson LZ. Temporomandibular joint diagnostics using CBCT. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2015 Jan;44(1):20140235.
- [14]. Nah KS. Condylar bony changes in patients with temporomandibular disorders: a CBCT study. Imaging Sci Dent. 2012 Dec;42(4):249-253.
- [15]. Westesson PL. Reliability and validity of imaging diagnosis of temporomandibular joint disorder. Adv Dent Res. 1993 Aug;7(2):137-51. doi: 10.1177/08959374930070020401. PMID: 8260001.
- [16]. Yadav S, Palo L, Mahdian M, Upadhyay M, Tadinada A. Diagnostic accuracy of 2 cone-beam computed tomography protocols for detecting arthritic changes in temporomandibular joints. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2015 Mar;147(3):339-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ajodo.2014.11.017. PMID: 25726401.

- [17]. Ottersen MK, Abrahamsson AK, Larheim TA, Arvidsson LZ. CBCT characteristics and interpretation challenges of temporomandibular joint osteoarthritis in a hand osteoarthritis cohort. Dentomaxillofacial Radiology. 2019 May;48(4):20180245.
- [18]. Ahmad M, Hollender L, Anderson Q, Kartha K, Ohrbach R, Truelove EL, et al. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders (RDC/TMD): development of image analysis criteria and examiner reliability for image analysis . Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology 2009; 107: 844 – 60 . doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.02.023
- [19]. Pontual MLA, Freire JSL, Barbosa JMN, Frazão MAG, Pontual AA.Evaluation of bone changes in the temporomandibular joint using cone Beam CT. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2012;41(1):24–9.
- [20]. Bashir, Taseer & Sunil, Mysore & Saluja, Arti & Senan, Alyaa & Albahi, Mohammed & Manna, Arpan. (2023). Diagnostic efficacy of cone beam computed tomography in tmj disorders – A narrative review. Journal of Oral Medicine, Oral Surgery, Oral Pathology and Oral Radiology. 9. 67-71. 10.18231/j.jooo.2023.015.
- [21]. Librizzi ZT, Tadinada AS, Valiyaparambil JV, Lurie AG, Mallya SM. Cone-beam computed tomography to detect erosions of the Temporomandibular joint: Effect of field of view and voxel size on Diagnostic efficacy and effective dose. Am J Orthod DentofacialOrthop. 2011;140(1):25–30.