ISSN No:-2456-2165

MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks

Koffka Khan
Department of Computing and Information Technology,
The University of the West Indies,
St Augustine, Trinidad and Tobago, W.I.

Abstract:- Mobile devices, particularly smartphones, have become ubiquitous in today's digital landscape, leading to the emergence of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) as a dynamic and flexible communication paradigm. Within this context, efficient video streaming is paramount for a wide range of applications, from entertainment to emergency response. This taxonomy, titled "MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks," provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the multifaceted challenges and solutions in this domain. It categorizes key elements, including network topology, routing protocols, quality of service, video coding, and more, while addressing critical considerations such as energy efficiency, security, and user interaction. By organizing these factors, the taxonomy aims to facilitate research, development, and optimization efforts, ultimately enhancing the performance and reliability of video streaming in SPANs.

Keywords:- *Mobile, Smartphone, Ad Hoc Networks, Video Streaming.*

I. INTRODUCTION

Smartphones have evolved from mere communication devices into indispensable companions in our daily lives. Their versatility, coupled with advances in wireless communication technology, has given rise to Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), a dynamic and flexible form of communication where smartphones can form temporary networks without the need for established infrastructure. Within this context, the ability to efficiently stream video content on smartphones has gained paramount importance. Video streaming [20], with its wide-ranging applications from entertainment to emergency response, is at the heart of modern smartphone usage. This introduction sets the stage for understanding the taxonomy titled "MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks," which aims to provide a comprehensive framework for navigating the intricate landscape of video streaming in SPANs.

The smartphone revolution has transformed the way we communicate, access information, and entertain ourselves. These pocket-sized devices now boast impressive computing power, high-resolution displays, and high-speed connectivity options. They are not only personal assistants but also multimedia hubs capable of delivering video content seamlessly. As more and more people rely on smartphones for video consumption, the demand for efficient video streaming solutions in both conventional and ad hoc network settings has surged.

Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks, or SPANs, represent a significant departure from traditional network paradigms. Unlike fixed and centrally managed networks, SPANs are ad hoc in nature, meaning they are formed spontaneously as smartphones come within proximity of each other. These networks are highly dynamic, self-organizing, and well-suited for situations where traditional infrastructure is unavailable or impractical. SPANs have found applications in disaster recovery, public transportation, collaborative work environments, and more. Within SPANs, the efficient delivery of video content becomes a critical challenge due to the inherent variability and limitations of such networks.

While video streaming has become a ubiquitous activity, delivering high-quality video in SPANs presents unique challenges. These challenges stem from the dynamic nature of SPANs, including variations in network topology, bandwidth availability, and device capabilities. Ensuring a smooth and uninterrupted video streaming experience in such an environment requires a holistic understanding of the interplay between networking protocols, video coding techniques, quality of service (QoS) considerations, and user interaction. Researchers and developers need a structured framework to navigate these complexities, optimize video streaming solutions, and adapt them to the ever-changing SPAN landscape.

This taxonomy, titled "MobileStreamNet," emerges as a valuable tool to address the challenges posed by video streaming in SPANs. By categorizing and organizing the key elements and considerations in this domain, it offers a structured approach to understanding, developing, and optimizing video streaming solutions [17]. This taxonomy encompasses various aspects, from network topology and routing protocols to energy efficiency, security, and content caching. As such, it provides a roadmap for researchers, developers, and practitioners to explore, innovate, and contribute to the advancement of video streaming in the exciting and evolving realm of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. In the following sections, we delve into the taxonomy's components and its potential impact on the field..

This paper consists of five sections. The IoT Video streaming classification framework is discussed in Section II. In Section III a discussion is given and finally, the conclusion is given in Section IV.

II. TAXONOMY

Video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) is a complex area that involves various aspects and components. Here's a taxonomy that categorizes the key elements and considerations:

A. *Network Topology:*

➢ *Infrastructure-Based SPANs [14]:*

In the context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), infrastructure-based SPANs refer to network topologies where smartphones and other devices establish connections by utilizing existing infrastructure elements such as Wi-Fi access points, cellular towers, or other fixed network components. This network topology is characterized by the presence of established infrastructure, which provides stability and predictability to the network.

In infrastructure-based SPANs, smartphones connect to these infrastructure elements in an ad hoc fashion, meaning they establish connections dynamically as needed without requiring a pre-established, fixed network infrastructure. This approach offers several advantages, including reliable connectivity, higher bandwidth, and quality of service (QoS) mechanisms, which are beneficial for video streaming applications.

These networks are commonly found in urban areas, corporate environments, public places, and other locations where access points or cellular coverage is prevalent. They play a crucial role in facilitating video streaming [18] experiences on smartphones by ensuring consistent and high-quality connections. However, they may have limitations related to scalability and coverage, making them less suitable for scenarios where traditional infrastructure is unavailable or impractical.

➢ *Infrastructureless SPANs [11]:*

Infrastructureless SPANs represent a network topology where smartphones and other devices create a dynamic mesh network without relying on existing infrastructure elements like Wi-Fi access points or cellular towers. In this network configuration, each device within the network becomes both a user and a potential relay node, facilitating communication among nearby devices.

➢ *Key Characteristics and Aspects of Infrastructureless SPANs Include:*

- *Decentralization:*

Infrastructureless SPANs are highly decentralized, as they do not depend on centralized infrastructure components. Each device in the network can independently communicate with neighboring devices, forming a self-organizing mesh.

- *Ad Hoc Connectivity:*

Devices in an infrastructureless SPAN establish connections with one another as they come into proximity. These connections are established dynamically, making the network adaptive and flexible.

- *Versatility:*

Infrastructureless SPANs are versatile and can be deployed in various scenarios, including disaster recovery, rural areas, emergency response, and public gatherings. They are particularly useful in situations where traditional infrastructure is unavailable or unreliable.

- *Challenges:*

While infrastructureless SPANs offer adaptability and coverage in scenarios with no existing infrastructure, they also pose challenges. These challenges include routing in a dynamic environment, resource constraints on individual devices, and potential scalability issues.

- *Video Streaming:*

In the context of video streaming, infrastructureless SPANs present unique opportunities and challenges. They can enable video streaming in remote or emergency situations, but the dynamic nature of these networks may require specialized routing protocols and adaptive streaming techniques [19] to ensure smooth and uninterrupted video playback.

Infrastructureless SPANs are a critical component of the broader landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). Their ability to create networks on the fly, without relying on external infrastructure, makes them valuable in scenarios where traditional connectivity options are limited or impractical.

B. *Routing Protocols:*

➢ *Proactive Routing [37]:*

Video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) is a dynamic and challenging endeavor that demands efficient routing mechanisms to ensure seamless content delivery. Among the routing approaches, proactive routing, exemplified by protocols like OLSR (Optimized Link State Routing), plays a pivotal role in maintaining up-to-date routing tables. This section explores the essential aspects of proactive routing in the context of video streaming within SPANs.

Proactive routing stands in contrast to reactive routing, which establishes routes on demand. In proactive routing, nodes continually exchange routing information, keeping their routing tables current. This approach ensures that when data needs to be transmitted, a route is readily available, making it particularly suitable for applications like video streaming, where real-time or near-real-time delivery is crucial.

OLSRR, a prominent proactive routing protocol, optimizes link state routing for mobile ad hoc networks. It operates by periodically broadcasting control messages containing information about network topology and link statuses. These messages allow each node to construct and maintain a detailed and up-to-date routing table, which includes the best paths to reach other devices in the network.

One of the primary advantages of proactive routing, including OLSR, is network stability and predictability. In the context of video streaming, this stability is paramount to ensure uninterrupted playback. With proactive routing, users can expect consistent connectivity, reducing the chances of video buffering or interruptions, even as smartphones in the network move or change their connections.

Quality of Service (QoS) is another critical aspect in video streaming. Proactive routing protocols enable the establishment of QoS-aware routes. This means that video traffic can be prioritized, ensuring that sufficient bandwidth and low latency are allocated to video streams. Consequently, users experience higher video quality and reduced lag during playback.

However, proactive routing is not without its challenges. It requires continuous network monitoring and control message exchange, which can consume bandwidth and energy. In scenarios with highly dynamic topologies or resource-constrained devices, the overhead of proactive routing may become a limitation.

Nonetheless, proactive routing with OLSR and similar protocols remains a fundamental element in video streaming within SPANs. By maintaining up-to-date routing tables and ensuring network stability, these protocols contribute significantly to the reliable and efficient delivery of video content to smartphones in dynamic and ad hoc network environments.

- *A Reactive Routing [37]:*

In the dynamic world of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), efficient routing mechanisms are pivotal for enabling video streaming. Reactive routing, represented by protocols like AODV (Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector), plays a critical role by establishing routes only when needed, making it an important aspect of delivering video content in SPANs.

Reactive routing differs from proactive routing, as it operates on a more demand-driven basis. Instead of continuously exchanging routing information, nodes in a reactive routing network initiate route discovery processes only when they need to transmit data. This approach conserves network resources and bandwidth until there is an actual data transmission requirement.

AODV, a prominent reactive routing protocol, embodies this approach by dynamically discovering routes as data packets are generated. When a node wants to send data to another node, it initiates a route discovery process. During this process, control messages traverse the network, probing for available routes. Once a route is identified and established, data packets follow that path.

One of the primary advantages of reactive routing in video streaming scenarios is its resource efficiency. SPANs are often characterized by their dynamic and unpredictable nature, where nodes may frequently join or leave the network. Reactive routing aligns well with this variability as it only consumes network resources when data transmission is imminent. This efficiency is particularly beneficial when dealing with limited battery-powered devices, common in smartphone-based ad hoc networks.

However, reactive routing introduces latency during the route discovery process. In video streaming, latency can manifest as buffering or delays in playback, negatively impacting the user experience, especially in real-time applications. To mitigate this, adaptive streaming algorithms are often used in conjunction with reactive routing to adjust video quality based on available bandwidth and minimize the impact of latency.

Despite the inherent trade-offs, reactive routing protocols like AODV remain crucial for video streaming in SPANs, particularly in scenarios where conserving resources and adapting to dynamic network conditions are paramount. By establishing routes on demand, these protocols strike a balance between resource efficiency and responsive data transmission, contributing to the successful delivery of video content to smartphones within SPANs.

- *Hybrid Routing [26]:*

Hybrid routing in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) represents a versatile approach that combines the features of both proactive and reactive routing to address the dynamic and ever-changing nature of these networks. Hybrid routing protocols strike a balance between the stability of proactive routing and the resource efficiency of reactive routing, making them well-suited for video streaming applications within SPANs.

In hybrid routing, the network can dynamically adapt to different scenarios and conditions. When network conditions are stable and predictable, it operates in a proactive mode, maintaining up-to-date routing tables and ensuring routes are readily available for data transmission. This proactive aspect of hybrid routing ensures a reliable foundation for video streaming, where consistent connectivity is paramount.

On the other hand, when network conditions become more dynamic or when route discovery is needed due to node mobility or topology changes, hybrid routing seamlessly transitions into a reactive mode. This shift to reactive routing occurs only when necessary, conserving network resources and bandwidth in situations where proactive routing may be less efficient.

One of the primary advantages of hybrid routing is its adaptability to changing network dynamics. In video streaming scenarios, this adaptability is crucial, as SPANs often face fluctuations in device availability, mobility patterns, and network conditions. Hybrid routing protocols can dynamically switch between proactive and reactive modes, optimizing resource utilization while ensuring low-latency route establishment for video data transmission.

Moreover, hybrid routing complements adaptive streaming algorithms, which adjust video quality based on available network resources. When network conditions degrade, the reactive aspect of hybrid routing can quickly respond to route failures or changes, ensuring uninterrupted video playback and minimizing buffering.

However, hybrid routing is not without its challenges. It requires sophisticated mechanisms to determine when to switch between proactive and reactive modes effectively. Additionally, the overhead associated with managing dual routing modes can impact the performance of resource-constrained devices.

Nonetheless, hybrid routing protocols offer a versatile and adaptable solution for video streaming in SPANs. By combining the strengths of proactive and reactive routing, these protocols provide a robust foundation for delivering high-quality video content to smartphones within dynamic and ever-changing network environments.

C. Quality of Service (QoS):

- *QoS-Aware Streaming [29]:*

In the dynamic realm of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), video streaming has become an integral part of our digital lives. Ensuring a seamless and uninterrupted viewing experience on smartphones in such networks can be a significant challenge due to their dynamic nature. QoS-aware streaming, where streaming applications adapt their quality based on network conditions, emerges as a key strategy to overcome these challenges and provide viewers with a smoother and more enjoyable experience.

- *Understanding QoS-Aware Streaming*

QoS-aware streaming refers to streaming applications' ability to dynamically adapt the quality of video content based on the prevailing network conditions. This adaptation ensures that viewers receive the best possible quality given the available resources, thereby minimizing interruptions and buffering.

- *Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR)*

A cornerstone of QoS-aware streaming is Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR). ABR algorithms monitor the network's bandwidth, latency, and packet loss in real-time. Based on this information, the streaming application can adjust the video quality by switching between different bitrate renditions of the same content. When network conditions are favorable, higher bitrate renditions are selected for enhanced video quality. Conversely, during network congestion or fluctuations, the streaming application can seamlessly switch to lower bitrate renditions to maintain smooth playback.

- *QoS Metrics for Video Streaming*

To effectively adapt to network conditions, QoS-aware streaming considers various metrics, including:

- *Bandwidth Availability:*

Monitoring available bandwidth to select the most appropriate bitrate rendition for streaming. Higher bandwidth allows for higher video quality.

- *Latency:*

Minimizing latency to ensure real-time responsiveness and reduced lag in video playback.

- *Packet Loss:*

Detecting packet loss and making adjustments to prevent disruptions in the video stream.

- *Buffer Management:*

Efficiently managing video buffers to strike a balance between buffering time and video quality.

- *Real-Time Adaptation*

QoS-aware streaming involves real-time decision-making. Streaming applications continuously evaluate network conditions and adapt video quality on the fly, ensuring a consistent and high-quality viewing experience. This real-time adaptation minimizes viewer frustration, as they can enjoy uninterrupted video content even in the face of varying network conditions.

- *Benefits and Challenges*

The primary benefit of QoS-aware streaming in SPANs is its ability to offer viewers a reliable and enjoyable experience, regardless of the network's dynamic nature. However, implementing such adaptive streaming algorithms can be complex, and they may require substantial computational resources. Additionally, compatibility with various devices and streaming platforms is crucial to ensure widespread adoption.

In conclusion, QoS-aware streaming is a pivotal strategy in the world of video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It empowers streaming applications to adapt to the unpredictable nature of SPANs, delivering high-quality video content to viewers while minimizing disruptions and buffering delays. As SPANs continue to evolve, QoS-aware streaming will remain essential for enhancing the mobile video streaming experience.

- *Best-Effort Streaming [10]:*

In the landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), video streaming has become ubiquitous, transforming how we consume media on mobile devices. However, not all streaming applications employ Quality of Service (QoS)-aware techniques to adapt to fluctuating network conditions. This section explores the concept of best-effort streaming, a mode of video delivery in SPANs where streaming applications do not employ QoS adaptation, potentially resulting in varying quality for viewers.

- *Understanding Best-Effort Streaming*

Best-effort streaming refers to the approach where streaming applications deliver video content without actively adapting to changing network conditions. Unlike QoS-aware streaming, which dynamically adjusts video quality to ensure a smoother viewing experience, best-effort streaming maintains a fixed bitrate or quality level throughout the session.

➢ *Challenges of Best-Effort Streaming*

While best-effort streaming simplifies the streaming process and can work adequately in stable network environments, it poses challenges in the context of SPANs:

- *Varying Quality:*

In SPANs, network conditions can change rapidly due to device mobility, interference, or congestion. Best-effort streaming may result in varying video quality for viewers. When network conditions degrade, viewers may experience buffering, pixelation, or interruptions in the video stream.

- *User Experience:*

Variability in video quality can negatively impact the viewer's experience, leading to frustration and dissatisfaction. In SPANs, where network conditions are inherently unpredictable, the lack of QoS adaptation can be a significant drawback.

- *Resource Consumption:*

Best-effort streaming may consume excessive network bandwidth and power, especially when network conditions are suboptimal. This can strain the resources of both the streaming server and the viewer's device.

➢ *Use Cases for Best-Effort Streaming*

Despite these challenges, best-effort streaming can find relevance in specific scenarios, such as:

- *Non-Critical Content:*

Streaming content that is not mission-critical or where occasional variations in quality are acceptable. Examples include non-real-time entertainment content or background video playback.

- *Limited Resources:*

In resource-constrained devices or networks with minimal computational capacity, implementing complex QoS-aware adaptation algorithms may not be feasible.

➢ *Balancing Quality and Adaptation*

While best-effort streaming may serve certain use cases adequately, many streaming services strive to strike a balance between quality and adaptation. Even in SPANs, where network conditions are highly dynamic, adaptive streaming techniques can help maintain a consistent and satisfying viewing experience for users. These techniques, such as Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR), offer the flexibility to adjust video quality based on real-time network conditions, mitigating disruptions and buffering delays.

In conclusion, best-effort streaming represents a simpler approach to video delivery in SPANs but may lead to varying quality for viewers when network conditions are not ideal. As the demand for seamless and high-quality video experiences continues to rise in the mobile landscape, the adoption of QoS-aware streaming techniques remains a valuable strategy to meet user expectations and ensure optimal video playback, even in the face of dynamic network conditions.

D. Video Coding and Compression:

➢ *H.264/AVC [33]:*

In the realm of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), efficient video compression and coding standards are essential to deliver high-quality video streaming experiences to smartphones, especially in dynamic and resource-constrained environments. This section explores the significance of H.264/AVC, a widely adopted video coding standard that has played a pivotal role in enabling efficient video streaming in SPANs.

➢ *Introduction to H.264/AVC*

H.264/AVC, short for Advanced Video Coding, is a standard for video compression and coding. It was jointly developed by the ITU-T Video Coding Experts Group (VCEG) and the ISO/IEC Moving Picture Experts Group (MPEG). Since its introduction, H.264/AVC has gained widespread acceptance and has become the cornerstone of video compression technology.

➢ *Efficiency and Compression*

One of the primary reasons for the widespread adoption of H.264/AVC in SPANs is its remarkable efficiency in compressing video data while maintaining acceptable quality. This efficiency is particularly crucial in scenarios where network resources are limited, such as in ad hoc networks, and where conserving bandwidth is essential.

➢ *Compatibility and Ubiquity*

H.264/AVC is known for its compatibility across a wide range of devices and platforms, making it an ideal choice for video streaming in SPANs. It is supported by virtually all modern smartphones, smart TVs, streaming devices, and video conferencing platforms. This ubiquity ensures that video content encoded in H.264/AVC can be easily played on a variety of devices, enhancing accessibility for users.

➢ *Adaptive Streaming and H.264/AVC*

In the context of SPANs, H.264/AVC synergizes with adaptive streaming technologies to optimize the viewing experience. Adaptive streaming protocols, such as Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (DASH) and HTTP Live Streaming (HLS), leverage the versatility of H.264/AVC to dynamically adjust video quality based on network conditions. When network bandwidth is limited, these protocols can switch to lower bitrate renditions encoded with H.264/AVC to prevent buffering and ensure smooth playback.

➢ *Challenges and Advances*

While H.264/AVC has been instrumental in video streaming, newer video coding standards like H.265/HEVC (High Efficiency Video Coding) have emerged with even better compression efficiency. However, H.264/AVC continues to be relevant due to its widespread support and compatibility, especially in SPANs, where diverse devices with varying capabilities are often connected.

In conclusion, H.264/AVC remains a cornerstone of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. Its efficiency, compatibility, and adaptability make it a practical choice for encoding and delivering video content to smartphones, ensuring that viewers can enjoy high-quality video streaming experiences even in the dynamic and resource-constrained environment of SPANs.

- *H.265/HEVC:*

In the ever-evolving landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), video streaming quality and efficiency are of paramount importance. This chapter explores the significance of H.265/HEVC, the High Efficiency Video Coding standard, which has emerged as a pivotal technology in enhancing compression efficiency for optimal video streaming experiences on smartphones within SPANs.

- *Introduction to H.265/HEVC*

H.265/HEVC, short for High Efficiency Video Coding, represents a groundbreaking video compression standard developed as a successor to H.264/AVC. Its primary objective is to provide significantly improved compression efficiency while maintaining or even enhancing video quality. This efficiency is particularly valuable in the context of SPANs, where conserving bandwidth and resources is crucial.

- *Enhanced Compression Efficiency*

One of the standout features of H.265/HEVC is its ability to achieve superior compression efficiency compared to its predecessor, H.264/AVC. This means that H.265/HEVC can deliver high-quality video streaming experiences while requiring less data to be transmitted over the network. In SPANs, where network resources are often limited and variable, this efficiency is a valuable asset.

- *Bandwidth Optimization*

H.265/HEVC's advanced compression techniques make it well-suited for scenarios where bandwidth optimization is critical. In SPANs, where network conditions can fluctuate unpredictably, H.265/HEVC enables smoother video streaming by reducing the impact of network congestion and fluctuations. This results in fewer buffering issues and a more consistent viewing experience for smartphone users.

- *Device Compatibility*

While H.265/HEVC offers compelling benefits in terms of compression efficiency, its widespread adoption has been influenced by device compatibility. Many modern smartphones and streaming devices support H.265/HEVC playback, ensuring that users can enjoy the benefits of this codec without the need for specialized hardware or software.

- *Adaptive Streaming and H.265/HEVC*

H.265/HEVC is a natural fit for adaptive streaming technologies, such as Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (DASH) and HTTP Live Streaming (HLS). These protocols leverage H.265/HEVC's efficiency to dynamically adjust video quality based on network conditions. When network bandwidth is abundant, higher bitrate renditions encoded with H.265/HEVC can be selected, delivering stunning video quality. Conversely, during network congestion or limited bandwidth situations, adaptive streaming can seamlessly switch to lower bitrate renditions encoded with H.265/HEVC to ensure smooth playback.

In conclusion, H.265/HEVC stands as a pivotal technology in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. Its superior compression efficiency, bandwidth optimization capabilities, and compatibility with modern devices make it a valuable asset for delivering high-quality video content to smartphones, even in the dynamic and resource-constrained environment of SPANs. As SPANs continue to evolve, H.265/HEVC is poised to play a central role in enhancing the mobile video streaming experience.

- *VP9/AV1 [36]:*

In the rapidly evolving landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), efficient video compression technologies are vital to deliver high-quality streaming experiences on mobile devices. This chapter explores the significance of VP9 and AV1, open-source video codecs that offer efficient compression, making them valuable alternatives for optimizing video streaming in SPANs.

- *Introduction to VP9 and AV1*

VP9 and AV1 are modern video codecs developed with a focus on open-source, royalty-free, and high-efficiency video compression. They have gained prominence as alternatives to proprietary codecs like H.264/AVC and H.265/HEVC.

- *Efficiency and Compression*

One of the primary motivations behind the development of VP9 and AV1 was to achieve better compression efficiency. These codecs utilize advanced techniques such as improved intra-frame and inter-frame compression, enhanced entropy coding, and better motion compensation algorithms. As a result, they can deliver high-quality video streaming experiences with lower data rates, making them well-suited for SPANs, where conserving bandwidth is critical.

- *Open Source and Royalty-Free*

One of the distinguishing features of VP9 and AV1 is their open-source nature. Being open-source means that their implementation is publicly available, and anyone can use, modify, and distribute them without incurring royalties. This makes VP9 and AV1 attractive options for streaming services and content providers looking to reduce costs and avoid licensing fees.

- *Bandwidth Optimization*

In SPANs, where network conditions are variable and bandwidth may be limited, VP9 and AV1 can optimize video streaming. These codecs adapt efficiently to fluctuations in network conditions, helping to reduce buffering and ensure smoother playback experiences on smartphones.

- *Device Compatibility*

While VP9 and AV1 offer compelling benefits in terms of compression efficiency and open-source availability, their widespread adoption has been influenced by device compatibility. Many modern smartphones and web browsers support VP9 and AV1 decoding, ensuring that users can enjoy these codecs without the need for additional plugins or software.

➢ *Adaptive Streaming and VP9/AV1*

VP9 and AV1 are well-suited for adaptive streaming technologies, just like H.264/AVC and H.265/HEVC. Adaptive streaming protocols, such as Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP (DASH) and HTTP Live Streaming (HLS), can dynamically adjust video quality based on network conditions when VP9 and AV1 are used. This dynamic adaptation ensures that users receive the best possible video quality given the available resources, enhancing their streaming experience in SPANs.

In conclusion, VP9 and AV1 represent open-source and highly efficient alternatives for video compression in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. Their efficiency, bandwidth optimization capabilities, open-source nature, and broad device compatibility make them valuable assets for delivering high-quality video content to smartphones, even in the dynamic and resource-constrained environment of SPANs. As the demand for seamless mobile video streaming experiences continues to grow, VP9 and AV1 are poised to play a pivotal role in enhancing the video streaming landscape within SPANs.

E. Adaptation and Scalability:

➢ *Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR) [23]:*

Adjusts video quality in real-time based on available bandwidth.

In the context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), providing a seamless and high-quality video streaming [21] experience to smartphone users is a complex challenge. This chapter focuses on Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR), a crucial technology that adjusts video quality in real-time based on available bandwidth, addressing the adaptability and scalability requirements for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Understanding Adaptive Bitrate Streaming (ABR)*

Adaptive Bitrate Streaming is a dynamic video delivery technique designed to optimize video quality and playback stability in the face of fluctuating network conditions. ABR is particularly relevant in SPANs, where network bandwidth can vary significantly due to factors like device mobility, interference, and congestion.

➢ *Key Features of ABR*

Bitrate Adaptation: ABR encodes video content at multiple quality levels or bitrates. These different renditions of the same content vary in quality, from low to high bitrate. During playback, the streaming client continuously monitors the available network bandwidth and dynamically selects the appropriate bitrate rendition to ensure uninterrupted streaming.

- *Real-Time Adjustment:*

ABR algorithms make real-time decisions based on the actual network conditions. When bandwidth is abundant, the streaming client can choose higher bitrate renditions, delivering superior video quality. Conversely, during network congestion or limited bandwidth situations, the client seamlessly switches to lower bitrate renditions to prevent buffering and maintain smooth playback.

- *Quality of Service (QoS):*

ABR is inherently QoS-aware. It prioritizes a high-quality user experience by optimizing video quality and minimizing disruptions, such as buffering. This adaptability aligns well with SPANs, where network conditions are unpredictable.

➢ *Scalability in SPANs*

SPANS often involve diverse devices with varying capabilities, and the network topology can be highly dynamic. ABR enhances scalability in SPANs in the following ways:

- *Device Compatibility:*

ABR is compatible with a wide range of devices, from smartphones and tablets to smart TVs and computers. This compatibility ensures that users can enjoy adaptive streaming on their preferred devices.

- *Network Adaptation:*

SPANs can encompass both infrastructure-based and infrastructureless scenarios. ABR adapts seamlessly to the changing network topology and conditions, making it suitable for dynamic SPAN environments.

➢ *Challenges and Benefits*

While ABR is a powerful tool for video streaming in SPANs, it comes with certain challenges, including:

- *Complexity:*

Implementing ABR algorithms can be complex, requiring real-time monitoring of network conditions and encoding content at multiple bitrates.

- *Resource Consumption:*

In resource-constrained devices, the computational overhead of ABR can strain device resources, impacting battery life.

However, the benefits of ABR, including a consistent viewing experience, reduced buffering, and adaptability to changing network conditions, outweigh these challenges. In SPANs, where network dynamics are inherent, ABR is a vital technology that enhances the scalability and adaptability of video streaming, ensuring that smartphone users can enjoy high-quality content anytime, anywhere.

- *Scalable Video Coding (SVC) [2]:*

In the dynamic landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), ensuring efficient video streaming to smartphones with varying capabilities and network conditions is a formidable challenge. This chapter explores Scalable Video Coding (SVC), a technology that addresses both adaptation and scalability requirements by enabling the streaming of multiple quality layers for adaptive playback within SPANs.

➢ *Understanding Scalable Video Coding (SVC)*

Scalable Video Coding (SVC) is a video compression standard designed to provide adaptability and scalability in video streaming. SVC achieves this by encoding a video into multiple quality layers or spatial resolutions, allowing for the dynamic selection of the appropriate layers based on network conditions and device capabilities.

➢ *Key Features of SVC*

- *Quality Scalability:*

SVC encodes a video into different quality layers, also known as enhancement layers. These layers represent different levels of video quality, from a base layer with lower quality to enhancement layers that incrementally improve the quality. This tiered approach allows for scalability in video delivery.

- *Adaptive Playback:*

During video playback, the streaming client can dynamically choose the appropriate layers to decode based on the available network bandwidth and the device's decoding capabilities. When network conditions are favorable, higher-quality enhancement layers can be selected, providing a superior viewing experience.

- *Network Adaptation:*

SVC aligns well with the dynamic nature of SPANs, where network conditions can fluctuate rapidly. It enables the adaptation of video quality in real-time, ensuring smooth playback and minimizing buffering interruptions.

➢ *Scalability in SPANs*

Scalable Video Coding enhances the scalability of video streaming in SPANs in several ways:

- *Device Compatibility:*

SPANs often involve a mix of smartphones and devices with varying screen sizes, resolutions, and processing power. SVC enables adaptive playback on these diverse devices by allowing the selection of an appropriate quality layer.

- *Network Conditions:*

SPANs encompass infrastructure-based and infrastructureless scenarios. SVC adapts to the varying network conditions and topologies, ensuring that video streaming remains efficient and reliable.

➢ *Benefits and Challenges*

The benefits of Scalable Video Coding in SPANs include:

- *Adaptive Viewing:*

SVC provides viewers with adaptive playback, allowing them to enjoy the best possible video quality given the constraints of their devices and network conditions.

- *Efficiency:*

SVC optimizes bandwidth utilization by streaming only the necessary quality layers, conserving network resources and minimizing data usage.

- *Reduced Buffering:*

SVC's adaptability reduces buffering instances, enhancing the overall viewing experience.

Challenges associated with SVC include the need for complex encoding and decoding processes, which can be resource-intensive. Additionally, widespread adoption and compatibility across devices and platforms may vary.

In conclusion, Scalable Video Coding (SVC) plays a crucial role in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. Its adaptability and scalability ensure that video content can be efficiently delivered to smartphones with varying capabilities and in dynamic network conditions. SVC enhances the adaptability of video streaming while optimizing resource utilization, making it a valuable technology for delivering high-quality video content within SPANs.

F. Content Delivery:

➢ *Centralized Content Delivery [5]:*

In the realm of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), the efficient delivery of video content to smartphones is a critical aspect of providing a satisfying user experience. This chapter delves into the concept of Centralized Content Delivery, a content distribution model where video content is served from a central server, and explores its implications for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Centralized Content Delivery*

Centralized Content Delivery, as the name suggests, involves a single central server that stores and distributes video content to connected devices, including smartphones. This model is commonly used in traditional content delivery networks (CDNs) and online streaming platforms.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Scalability:*

Centralized Content Delivery can efficiently serve a large number of users. Content is stored on powerful servers, and multiple users can access the same content simultaneously, ensuring scalability.

- *Content Availability:*

Content is readily available on the central server, allowing users to access it quickly. This model is suitable for on-demand streaming services, where viewers can choose what they want to watch.

- *Quality Control:*

Centralized servers can implement quality control mechanisms, ensuring that video content is consistently delivered in the desired quality. Adaptive streaming technologies can be integrated to adapt video quality based on network conditions.

- *Centralized Management:*

Content management, updates, and monitoring are streamlined as everything is controlled from a central server. This simplifies content distribution and maintenance.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Centralized Content Delivery presents both advantages and challenges:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Consistency:*

Centralized servers can maintain a consistent viewing experience by ensuring reliable access to high-quality video content.

✓ *Resource Efficiency:*

SPANs can have resource-constrained devices. Centralized Content Delivery offloads resource-intensive tasks, such as video encoding and storage, to powerful servers, conserving resources on smartphones.

- *Challenges:*

Network Reliability:

Centralized Content Delivery relies on a stable and robust network connection to the central server. In SPANs, where network conditions can be dynamic and unreliable, maintaining a consistent connection to the central server can be a challenge.

✓ *Latency:*

Latency can be a concern, especially in real-time applications. Requests to a central server may introduce delays, affecting real-time streaming or interactive content.

✓ *Scalability Limits:*

Centralized Content Delivery may face scalability limits when serving a large number of devices or in scenarios with limited server resources.

Centralized Content Delivery remains a prevalent model for video streaming, even within SPANs, due to its scalability and quality control advantages. While it can provide a reliable and efficient means of delivering video content to smartphones, it's important to consider the dynamic nature of SPANs and the potential challenges related to network reliability and latency. Adapting the Centralized Content Delivery model to suit the specific requirements and challenges of SPANs is essential to ensure a seamless and high-quality video streaming experience on smartphones within these networks.

➢ *Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Content Delivery [6]:*

Content distributed among peers in the network.

In the dynamic and resource-constrained environment of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), innovative content delivery approaches are essential to ensure efficient and scalable video streaming to smartphones. This chapter explores Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Content Delivery, a model where video content is distributed among peers in the network, and examines its implications for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Peer-to-Peer (P2P) Content Delivery*

P2P Content Delivery is a decentralized content distribution model where each device, or "peer," in the network both consumes and contributes to the distribution of video content. This approach contrasts with centralized content delivery, where content is served from a single central server.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Decentralization:*

P2P Content Delivery eliminates the need for a central server by allowing each peer to act as both a consumer and distributor of content. Peers can share segments of video files with each other, reducing the load on central servers.

- *Scalability:*

P2P networks inherently scale well with the number of peers. As more devices join the network, the capacity for content distribution grows, making it suitable for scenarios with a large number of users.

- *Reduced Server Load:*

By distributing the load among peers, P2P reduces the server's resource requirements, making it a cost-effective solution for content providers.

- *Resilience:*

P2P networks can be resilient to failures or disruptions in the network. If one peer goes offline, other peers can still share content amongst themselves.

- *Dynamic Nature:*

P2P networks can adapt to dynamic network conditions, including changes in peer availability, network topology, and bandwidth fluctuations.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, P2P Content Delivery presents both advantages and challenges:

- *Advantages:*

Resource Efficiency: SPANs may involve resource-constrained devices. P2P offloads the distribution tasks to the devices themselves, conserving server and network resources.

- *Scalability*:

P2P networks can efficiently handle scenarios with a large number of devices, making it suitable for SPANs with varying user densities.

- *Resilience:*

P2P networks can be resilient to network disruptions or node failures, enhancing content availability.

- *Challenges:*

Initial Seeding: For P2P to work efficiently, there needs to be an initial seed or source for the content. In SPANs, identifying an appropriate initial seed and ensuring its continuous availability can be challenging.

- *Network Variability:*
  SPANs can have dynamic and unpredictable network conditions. P2P networks must adapt to these conditions, which can impact content distribution efficiency.

- *Latency:*
  P2P Content Delivery may introduce latency when peers need to locate and retrieve content from other peers, potentially affecting real-time streaming or interactive applications.

P2P Content Delivery is a promising model for video streaming in SPANs, offering resource efficiency, scalability, and resilience. However, it requires careful management and adaptation to the unique challenges posed by SPANs, such as dynamic network conditions and the need for effective initial content seeding. When implemented effectively, P2P Content Delivery can provide a decentralized and efficient means of delivering high-quality video content to smartphones within SPANs.

- *Edge Content Caching [9]:*
  In the ever-evolving landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), optimizing content delivery is crucial for ensuring a seamless video streaming experience on smartphones. This chapter explores the concept of Edge Content Caching, a strategy that involves caching content at nearby devices to facilitate faster access, and examines its implications for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Edge Content Caching*

Edge Content Caching is a proactive content delivery strategy that involves storing frequently accessed video content closer to the end-users, often at the network edge or within the SPAN itself. This approach aims to reduce latency, conserve bandwidth, and improve the overall efficiency of video streaming.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Latency Reduction:*
  By storing content closer to the end-users, Edge Content Caching significantly reduces the time it takes for smartphones to retrieve video content. This is particularly valuable for real-time streaming and interactive applications.

- *Bandwidth Conservation:*
  Caching content at nearby devices reduces the need for repeated content requests to a central server or distant sources. This conserves network bandwidth and can help alleviate congestion in SPANs.

- *Efficient Resource Utilization:*
  Edge devices, such as smartphones, are leveraged as content caches. This efficient resource utilization minimizes the load on central servers and contributes to energy and cost savings.

- *Content Popularity Analysis:*
  Edge Content Caching systems often employ content popularity analysis algorithms to determine which content should be cached. Frequently accessed or anticipated content is prioritized for caching.

- *Dynamic Updates:*
  Edge caches can be dynamically updated to ensure that the most relevant or current content is readily available. This is particularly important for streaming services with changing content libraries.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Edge Content Caching presents several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Latency Reduction:*
In SPANs, where network conditions can be variable, Edge Content Caching reduces latency, ensuring quicker content access and smoother video streaming.

✓ *Resource Efficiency:*
Caching content at nearby devices optimizes resource usage within the SPAN, minimizing the need for repeated content downloads from remote servers.

✓ *Bandwidth Optimization:*
Edge Content Caching conserves bandwidth, which is especially beneficial in SPANs with limited or fluctuating network capacity.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Content Synchronization:*
Ensuring that cached content remains synchronized and up-to-date across edge devices can be challenging, especially in dynamic SPANs.

✓ *Cache Management:*
Efficiently managing caches on edge devices and deciding which content to prioritize for caching require robust algorithms and mechanisms.

✓ *Device Cooperation:*
Edge Content Caching may depend on the cooperation of multiple devices within the SPAN. Encouraging device participation and ensuring cache consistency can be complex.

Edge Content Caching is a powerful strategy for optimizing video content delivery in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It reduces latency, conserves bandwidth, and enhances resource efficiency within SPANs. However, it also comes with challenges related to content synchronization, cache management, and device cooperation. When effectively implemented, Edge Content Caching can significantly improve the video streaming experience on smartphones within SPANs, making it a valuable asset for content providers and network operators.

G. Error Handling and Recovery:

➢ *Forward Error Correction (FEC) [3]:*

In the context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), where network conditions can be unpredictable and prone to disruptions, ensuring the reliable delivery of video content to smartphones is paramount. This chapter explores Forward Error Correction (FEC), a robust error handling and recovery technique that involves adding redundant data to the video stream to recover lost packets, and examines its significance for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Forward Error Correction (FEC)*

Forward Error Correction (FEC) is an error mitigation technique used in data transmission, including video streaming. It involves adding redundant information, known as error correction codes or parity data, to the original data stream before transmission. This redundancy allows the receiver to detect and correct errors without the need to request retransmission of lost or corrupted packets.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Redundant Data:*

FEC introduces redundancy by adding extra packets or bits to the original video stream. These redundant packets contain information that enables the receiver to recover lost or corrupted data.

- *Error Detection and Correction:*

When a receiver detects missing or erroneous packets, it can use the redundancy provided by FEC to correct these errors locally. This reduces the need for retransmission requests, minimizing latency and improving the overall streaming experience.

- *Network Resilience:*

FEC enhances the resilience of video streaming in SPANs, where network conditions can be dynamic and prone to packet loss. By proactively addressing errors, FEC helps maintain a smooth and uninterrupted viewing experience.

- *Adaptability:*

FEC can be tailored to the specific requirements of the SPAN. Different FEC schemes can be employed based on network conditions and the importance of the video content. More redundancy can be added for critical content or during periods of high network congestion.

- *Complexity*:

Implementing FEC adds computational overhead to both the sender and receiver. The choice of FEC scheme and the level of redundancy must be carefully balanced to optimize error correction without excessive resource consumption.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Forward Error Correction offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Improved Reliability:*

FEC significantly enhances the reliability of video streaming by enabling receivers to recover lost or corrupted packets without relying on retransmissions.

✓ *Latency Reduction:*

SPANs may introduce latency due to network variability. FEC helps mitigate this by reducing the need for retransmissions, ensuring a smoother streaming experience.

✓ *Network Efficiency:*

FEC optimizes network efficiency by minimizing redundant retransmissions, conserving bandwidth, and reducing congestion in SPANs.

- *Challenges:*

Resource Overhead: Implementing FEC introduces computational and bandwidth overhead. In resource-constrained devices or networks with limited bandwidth, this overhead may impact performance.

✓ *FEC Scheme Selection:*

Selecting the appropriate FEC scheme and level of redundancy requires careful consideration of network conditions and content importance.

✓ *Dynamic Adaptation:*

FEC schemes must adapt to changing network conditions, adjusting the level of redundancy as needed. Dynamic adaptation mechanisms must be in place.

Forward Error Correction (FEC) is a valuable tool for addressing errors and packet loss in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It enhances reliability, reduces latency, and optimizes network efficiency, contributing to a smoother and more resilient streaming experience on smartphones within the dynamic and challenging environment of SPANs.

➢ *Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ) [15]:*

In the dynamic and often unpredictable environment of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), ensuring the reliable delivery of video content to smartphones is a critical concern. This chapter explores Automatic Repeat request (ARQ), a robust error handling and recovery technique that involves requesting missing packets for retransmission, and examines its significance for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Automatic Repeat Request (ARQ)*

Automatic Repeat request (ARQ) is a widely used error control method employed in data transmission, including video streaming. ARQ operates by detecting missing or corrupted packets at the receiver's end and requesting the sender to retransmit those packets, thus ensuring their reliable delivery.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Error Detection:*

ARQ relies on error detection mechanisms to identify missing or corrupted packets. Common techniques include checksums or cyclic redundancy checks (CRC).

- *Retransmission Request:*

When a receiver detects errors, it sends a retransmission request to the sender for the missing or corrupted packets. The sender then retransmits the requested data.

- *Reliability*:

ARQ greatly enhances the reliability of video streaming by ensuring that all packets are correctly received. It can correct errors that occur during transmission.

- *Latency:*

While ARQ ensures reliability, it introduces latency due to the time required for retransmission. This latency can affect real-time streaming or interactive applications.

- *Network Efficiency:*

ARQ optimizes network efficiency by reducing the need for redundant data transmission. Only missing or corrupted packets are retransmitted, conserving bandwidth.

- *Adaptive ARQ:*

To suit the dynamic nature of SPANs, adaptive ARQ mechanisms can be employed. These adapt to changing network conditions by adjusting retransmission strategies based on the severity of packet loss.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Automatic Repeat request (ARQ) offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

Reliable Streaming: ARQ ensures that video content is reliably delivered, even in the presence of network disruptions or packet loss.

✓ *Error Correction:*

It can correct errors in real-time, improving the overall quality of video streaming and reducing the likelihood of buffering or interruptions.

✓ *Network Efficiency:*

ARQ optimizes network efficiency by selectively retransmitting only the necessary packets, conserving bandwidth and reducing congestion.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Latency:*

ARQ introduces latency as it waits for retransmission requests and data retransmissions. This latency can impact real-time applications.

✓ *Resource Overhead:*

The process of retransmission and error detection consumes additional computational and network resources.

✓ *Adaptation:*

ARQ mechanisms need to adapt to the dynamic and variable network conditions often encountered in SPANs.

Automatic Repeat request (ARQ) is a valuable tool for addressing errors and packet loss in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It provides reliability, error correction, and network efficiency, contributing to a smoother and more resilient streaming experience on smartphones within the dynamic and challenging environment of SPANs. However, it's essential to strike a balance between reliability and latency, especially in SPANs where real-time streaming and interactivity are critical.

H. Energy Efficiency:

Low-Energy Protocols [7]: In the context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), optimizing energy consumption is crucial for providing a sustainable and efficient video streaming experience on smartphones. This chapter explores the concept of Low-Energy Protocols, which are network protocols optimized for minimal power consumption on smartphones, and examines their significance for video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Low-Energy Protocols*

Low-Energy Protocols are network communication protocols designed to minimize the power consumption of mobile devices, such as smartphones. These protocols aim to strike a balance between maintaining network connectivity and conserving battery life.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Power Efficiency:*

Low-Energy Protocols are engineered to be power-efficient by minimizing the frequency and duration of network activity. They often incorporate mechanisms like duty cycling, where devices periodically wake up to check for network activity and then enter low-power sleep modes.

- *Adaptive Behavior:*

These protocols adapt to the device's activity and network conditions. For instance, they may reduce transmission power or decrease the frequency of network updates when the device is idle or in a low-data-rate environment.

- *Synchronization:*

Low-Energy Protocols often require devices to be synchronized in their duty cycling patterns to ensure effective communication. Synchronization mechanisms can be challenging to implement but are essential for conserving energy.

- *QoS Considerations:*

While energy efficiency is a primary goal, Low-Energy Protocols must also consider the quality of service (QoS) required for video streaming. Balancing energy conservation with real-time video delivery can be complex.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Low-Energy Protocols offer several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Battery Conservation:*

Low-Energy Protocols significantly extend smartphone battery life by minimizing energy consumption during network operations. This is especially important in SPANs, where smartphones may operate on battery power for extended periods.

✓ *Sustainable Streaming:*

By conserving energy, these protocols support sustainable video streaming in SPANs, allowing users to enjoy video content without rapidly depleting their device's battery.

✓ *Network Scalability:*

SPANs may involve a large number of devices with varying power constraints. Low-Energy Protocols enable efficient communication across a range of devices, contributing to network scalability.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Synchronization Overhead:*

Achieving and maintaining synchronization among devices can introduce overhead and complexity to Low-Energy Protocols.

✓ *Trade-offs with QoS:*

Striking the right balance between energy efficiency and video streaming quality (QoS) can be challenging. Reducing network activity to save energy may result in lower-quality video.

✓ *Adaptation:*

These protocols need to adapt to the dynamic nature of SPANs, where devices may join or leave the network, and network conditions can change rapidly.

Low-Energy Protocols play a crucial role in optimizing energy consumption and enabling sustainable video streaming on smartphones within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By efficiently managing network activity and adapting to device activity levels, these protocols contribute to extended battery life and improved user experiences in SPANs. However, careful consideration of QoS trade-offs and synchronization challenges is essential to effectively implement Low-Energy Protocols for video streaming in SPANs.

➢ *Adaptive Power Management [27]:*

Efficient power management is essential for enabling sustainable and prolonged video streaming experiences on smartphones within the dynamic environment of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of Adaptive Power Management, a dynamic power management strategy that adjusts power usage based on device status and network conditions, and examines its significance for video streaming in SPANs.

➢ *Adaptive Power Management*

Adaptive Power Management involves dynamically adjusting a smartphone's power usage based on various factors, including the device's current status, battery level, network conditions, and processing requirements. This approach aims to optimize energy consumption while ensuring that the device can maintain network connectivity and support video streaming efficiently.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Context Awareness:*

Adaptive Power Management relies on context-awareness mechanisms that continuously monitor and assess the device's environment, such as battery level, network connectivity, user activity, and application demands.

- *Dynamic Adjustments:*

Based on the collected contextual information, Adaptive Power Management can make real-time adjustments to power consumption. For example, it can reduce the device's screen brightness, limit background processes, or adjust network activity.

- *Network-Aware:*

This strategy takes network conditions into account. For instance, if the network connection is weak or unstable, Adaptive Power Management may prioritize energy-efficient communication protocols or reduce the frequency of network updates.

- *Quality of Service (QoS):*

While optimizing power usage is a primary goal, Adaptive Power Management must consider the QoS requirements for video streaming. It balances energy conservation with the need to deliver high-quality video content.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Adaptive Power Management offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Extended Battery Life:*

By intelligently managing power consumption, Adaptive Power Management significantly extends the smartphone's battery life. This is crucial in SPANs, where devices may operate on battery power for extended periods.

✓ *Sustainable Streaming:*
It supports sustainable video streaming experiences in SPANs, allowing users to enjoy video content without rapid battery depletion.

✓ *Network Adaptation:*
Adaptive Power Management ensures that the device's power usage aligns with network conditions, contributing to network stability and efficient resource utilization.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Complexity:*
Implementing Adaptive Power Management can be complex, requiring sophisticated algorithms and mechanisms to make real-time power adjustments based on diverse contextual information.

✓ *QoS Trade-offs:*
Striking the right balance between energy efficiency and video streaming quality (QoS) can be challenging. Aggressive power management may impact video quality.

✓ *User Experience:*
It's important to maintain a positive user experience while optimizing power usage. Sudden and disruptive power adjustments may frustrate users.

Adaptive Power Management is a critical component of energy-efficient video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By dynamically adjusting power consumption based on device status and network conditions, it contributes to extended battery life and sustainable video streaming experiences on smartphones within the dynamic and resource-constrained environment of SPANs. However, careful consideration of QoS trade-offs and the complexity of implementation is essential to effectively leverage Adaptive Power Management for video streaming in SPANs.

I. Security:

➢ *Authentication and Authorization [22]:*
Security is of paramount importance in ensuring the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concepts of Authentication and Authorization, which are methods used to secure access to the SPAN and streaming content, and examines their significance in enhancing security within SPANs.

➢ *Authentication and Authorization*
Authentication and Authorization are fundamental security processes that help ensure that only authorized users and devices can access the SPAN and streaming content:

- *Authentication:*
Authentication is the process of verifying the identity of users or devices attempting to access the SPAN or streaming services. It ensures that users are who they claim to be. Common authentication methods include passwords, biometrics, and multi-factor authentication (MFA).

- *Authorization*:
Authorization determines what actions or resources authenticated users or devices are allowed to access within the SPAN. It defines the permissions and privileges granted to specific users or devices. Authorization is crucial for ensuring that users can access only the content and features they are entitled to.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *User and Device Verification:*
Authentication methods verify the legitimacy of users or devices by checking their credentials or identity. This helps prevent unauthorized access to the SPAN and content.

- *Access Control:*
Authorization mechanisms define what actions a user or device can perform within the SPAN. For video streaming, it can include determining whether a user can view specific content, upload videos, or perform other actions.

- *Secure Communication:*
Authentication and authorization play a crucial role in securing communication between devices and servers within the SPAN. Encrypted connections and secure protocols are often used to protect sensitive data during authentication and authorization processes.

- *Role-Based Access Control:*
Authorization can be based on user roles or device types. Different roles may have different levels of access to content and services. For example, administrators may have more privileges than regular users.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, Authentication and Authorization offer several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Security:*
These processes enhance the overall security of SPANs by preventing unauthorized access and ensuring that only trusted users and devices can interact with the network and streaming services.

✓ *Content Protection:*
Authorization controls help protect valuable streaming content from unauthorized copying, distribution, or modification.

✓ *Privacy:*
Authentication and Authorization mechanisms can safeguard user privacy by ensuring that personal data and viewing history are only accessible to authorized parties.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *User Experience:*

Balancing security with a seamless user experience can be challenging. Complex authentication processes or overly restrictive authorization rules may inconvenience users.

✓ *Management:*

Managing user accounts, credentials, and access control lists can be resource-intensive, especially in large SPANs.

✓ *Security Risks:*

Poorly implemented or outdated authentication and authorization methods can introduce security risks, such as unauthorized access or data breaches.

Authentication and Authorization are fundamental security measures that play a critical role in securing access to the SPAN and streaming content in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By verifying user and device identities and defining access permissions, these processes help protect sensitive data, prevent unauthorized access, and ensure that only trusted entities can interact with the network and enjoy secure video streaming experiences within the dynamic and potentially vulnerable environment of SPANs.

➢ *Encryption [24]:*

Security is a paramount concern in ensuring the privacy and integrity of streamed data in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter delves into the concept of Encryption, a fundamental security technique used to protect data from unauthorized access and tampering, and examines its significance in enhancing the security of video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Encryption*

Encryption is the process of converting data into a secure, unreadable format (cipher text) using encryption algorithms and keys. Only authorized parties with the decryption key can transform the cipher text back into its original, readable form (plain text). Encryption is a vital component of data security, ensuring that sensitive information remains confidential and tamper-proof.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Data Confidentiality:*

Encryption safeguards the confidentiality of video streams by rendering them unreadable to unauthorized users or eavesdroppers. Even if intercepted, the encrypted content remains protected.

- *Data Integrity:*

Encryption helps maintain data integrity by detecting any unauthorized changes to the data during transmission. Any tampering with the encrypted content would render it undecipherable.

- *End-to-End Security:*

For video streaming, end-to-end encryption ensures that the content is secured from the source to the destination device, providing comprehensive protection against interception and tampering.

- *Key Management:*

Effective encryption requires robust key management practices to generate, distribute, and securely store encryption keys. Mismanagement of keys can compromise security.

- *Performance Overhead:*

Encryption and decryption processes can introduce computational overhead, potentially affecting the performance of devices, especially in resource-constrained SPANs.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Encryption offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Data Privacy:*

Encryption ensures that video content remains private, protecting it from unauthorized access and maintaining user privacy in SPANs.

✓ *Content Protection:*

Encrypted video streams are resistant to tampering or modification, ensuring that the content remains unaltered during transmission.

✓ *Secure Communication:*

Encryption secures communication channels within SPANs, preventing eavesdropping and unauthorized interception of data.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Resource Consumption:*

Encryption and decryption processes require computational resources and can potentially impact the performance of smartphones, particularly in SPANs with resource-constrained devices.

✓ *Key Management:*

Proper key management is crucial for maintaining security. Failure to protect encryption keys can lead to security breaches.

✓ *Compatibility:*

Ensuring that all devices within the SPAN support the same encryption standards and protocols is essential for effective security.

Encryption is a fundamental component of data security in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks, particularly for video streaming. By ensuring data confidentiality and integrity, encryption helps protect sensitive video content from unauthorized access, tampering, and interception. However, careful consideration of resource constraints, key management, and compatibility is essential to effectively leverage encryption for securing video streaming in SPANs.

J. Multicast and Broadcast:

- *Multicast [16]:*

Efficient content delivery to multiple receivers simultaneously is essential in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) where network resources may be limited. This chapter explores the concept of Multicast, a communication technique that efficiently delivers content to multiple receivers, and examines its significance in optimizing video streaming within SPANs.

- *Multicast*

Multicast is a communication method that allows for the efficient delivery of data from one sender to multiple receivers simultaneously. It differs from unicast (one-to-one) communication by enabling a single sender to reach multiple recipients without generating separate, redundant data streams for each receiver.

- *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Efficiency:*

Multicast optimizes network resources by transmitting data only once, even when there are multiple receivers interested in the content. This reduces bandwidth consumption and minimizes network congestion.

- *Scalability:*

Multicast is highly scalable, making it suitable for SPANs with varying numbers of users. It can efficiently handle scenarios with a large number of devices without a proportional increase in resource usage.

- *Content Delivery Trees:*

Multicast often uses content delivery trees or distribution trees to route data to multiple recipients. These trees help ensure that data reaches all intended recipients with minimal duplication.

- *Quality of Service (QoS):*

Multicast can be used to prioritize the delivery of high-quality video content to multiple devices, ensuring a consistent viewing experience.

- *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Multicast offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Bandwidth Conservation:*

Multicast significantly reduces bandwidth usage in SPANs by transmitting data efficiently to multiple devices. This is especially valuable in networks with limited capacity.

✓ *Network Scalability:*

Multicast is inherently scalable, making it suitable for SPANs with varying numbers of users, including scenarios with both small and large user populations.

✓ *Reduced Congestion:*

By minimizing redundant data transmission, Multicast helps reduce network congestion in SPANs, ensuring smoother data delivery.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Routing Complexity:*

Establishing and maintaining efficient content delivery trees for Multicast can be complex, especially in dynamic SPANs.

✓ *Compatibility:*

Ensuring that all devices and network infrastructure within the SPAN support Multicast protocols is essential for effective content delivery.

✓ *Latency:*

In some cases, the use of Multicast may introduce latency, particularly when setting up content delivery trees or ensuring synchronization among devices.

Multicast is a valuable communication technique for optimizing content delivery in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks, especially for video streaming. By efficiently delivering content to multiple receivers simultaneously, it conserves bandwidth, enhances scalability, and reduces network congestion. However, addressing routing complexity, ensuring compatibility, and managing latency are essential for effectively leveraging Multicast for video streaming in SPANs.

- *Broadcast [32]: Sending content to all devices in the network.*

Efficient content distribution to all devices in a network is a key consideration in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), particularly for scenarios where widespread content dissemination is needed. This chapter explores the concept of Broadcast, a communication technique that involves sending content to all devices in the network, and examines its significance in optimizing video streaming within SPANs.

- *Broadcast*

Broadcast is a communication method where data is transmitted from one sender to all devices within a network, allowing content to be disseminated simultaneously to multiple recipients without the need for individualized data streams.

- *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Efficient Content Dissemination:*

Broadcast is highly efficient for scenarios where the same content needs to be distributed to all devices in the network. It minimizes the need for complex routing or addressing schemes.

- *Scalability:*

Broadcast is inherently scalable and can efficiently handle scenarios with a large number of devices, making it suitable for SPANs with varying user populations.

- *Synchronization:*

Broadcast ensures that all devices receive content simultaneously, promoting synchronized playback and reducing disparities in content delivery times.

- *Emergency Notifications:*

Broadcast is often used for emergency notifications or critical alerts, ensuring that important information reaches all users immediately.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Broadcast offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Efficient Content Dissemination:*

Broadcast is highly efficient when content needs to be distributed to all devices within the SPAN, reducing the need for complex addressing or routing.

✓ *Scalability:*

It is well-suited for SPANs with varying numbers of users, making it an efficient method for content delivery regardless of network size.

✓ *Synchronization:*

Broadcast promotes synchronized content delivery, which is important for scenarios where timing and coordination are critical, such as live events or real-time updates.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Bandwidth Usage:*

While efficient for content dissemination, Broadcast can be bandwidth-intensive, especially in networks with a large number of devices. This can lead to increased network congestion.

✓ *Limited Selectivity:*

Broadcast sends content to all devices indiscriminately, which may not be suitable for scenarios where content should be targeted to specific recipients.

✓ *Security:*

Ensuring that only authorized content is broadcasted and received is crucial for security, as Broadcast makes it easier for unauthorized parties to intercept data.

Broadcast is a valuable communication technique for efficiently disseminating content to all devices in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks, including video streaming scenarios. It promotes synchronized content delivery and scalability. However, addressing bandwidth usage, limited selectivity, and security considerations are essential when using Broadcast for video streaming in SPANs, particularly in scenarios where precise targeting and content control are necessary.

K. Content Caching:

➢ *Client-Side Caching [12]:*

Efficient content caching is a crucial aspect of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), where network conditions can be unpredictable. This chapter explores Client-Side Caching, a technique that involves storing previously streamed content on the device for offline access or faster retrieval, and examines its significance in optimizing video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Client-Side Caching*

Client-Side Caching, also known as device-side caching, involves the storage of previously streamed or downloaded content on the user's device. This cached content can be accessed offline or used to reduce the time and data required for subsequent accesses to the same content.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

Offline Access:

Client-Side Caching allows users to access previously streamed video content even when they are offline or have limited network connectivity. This is especially valuable in SPANs where network availability can be sporadic.

- *Faster Content Retrieval:*

Cached content can be retrieved more quickly than content fetched from remote servers, reducing buffering times and improving the overall streaming experience.

- *Bandwidth Conservation:*

By reducing the need to re-download content, Client-Side Caching conserves network bandwidth and minimizes data usage, which can be cost-effective in scenarios with limited data plans.

- *Storage Management:*

Managing the cache size and ensuring that cached content remains up-to-date are important considerations. Users may need controls to manage their cache effectively.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Client-Side Caching offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Offline Access:*

Client-Side Caching ensures that users can enjoy previously viewed content even when network connectivity is unavailable or limited, making it suitable for SPANs with intermittent connectivity.

✓ *Improved Streaming Experience:*

Faster content retrieval from the cache reduces buffering and latency, resulting in a smoother and more enjoyable video streaming experience.

✓ *Bandwidth Conservation:*
By minimizing the need for repeated downloads, Client-Side Caching conserves network bandwidth and can help mitigate congestion issues in SPANs.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Cache Management:*
Efficient cache management is crucial to ensure that the cache does not consume excessive device storage and that cached content remains up-to-date.

✓ *Content Licensing:*
Considerations regarding content licensing and digital rights management (DRM) must be addressed to prevent unauthorized distribution of cached content.

✓ *Privacy:*
Cached content may include personal data, so privacy concerns and data security measures must be taken into account.

Client-Side Caching is a valuable technique for optimizing video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By allowing offline access, improving content retrieval speed, and conserving bandwidth, it enhances the overall streaming experience, especially in SPANs with unpredictable network conditions. However, effective cache management, consideration of content licensing, and privacy safeguards are essential for leveraging Client-Side Caching for video streaming in SPANs.

➢ *Server-Side Caching [31]:*
Efficient content caching is essential for optimizing video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) to ensure reduced latency and improve overall streaming performance. This chapter explores Server-Side Caching, a technique involving caching content at network nodes or servers to reduce latency, and examines its significance in enhancing video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Server-Side Caching*
Server-Side Caching involves the storage of frequently accessed or popular content at network nodes, servers, or intermediary points within the network infrastructure. This cached content can be quickly delivered to users, reducing the latency and improving the streaming experience.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Reduced Latency:*
Server-Side Caching reduces the time required to retrieve content from the source server. Cached content can be delivered more quickly to users, resulting in reduced latency and faster streaming.

- *Bandwidth Optimization:*
By serving cached content locally, Server-Side Caching conserves network bandwidth by reducing the need for repeated transfers of the same content from the source server.

- *Content Popularity:*
Cached content is typically selected based on popularity or user demand. Frequently requested videos or data are more likely to be cached, further improving access times.

- *Dynamic Content:*
For video streaming, dynamic content updates, such as live broadcasts or real-time events, may require more advanced caching strategies to ensure that users receive up-to-date content.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, Server-Side Caching offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Reduced Latency:*
Server-Side Caching significantly reduces content retrieval latency, enhancing the overall streaming experience in SPANs with variable network conditions.

✓ *Bandwidth Conservation:*
By serving content locally, Server-Side Caching conserves network bandwidth, reducing congestion and ensuring smoother streaming for all users.

✓ *Scalability:*
Server-Side Caching can scale to accommodate a growing number of users, making it suitable for SPANs with varying user populations.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Content Freshness:*
Ensuring that cached content remains up-to-date, especially for dynamic or frequently changing content, can be challenging and may require sophisticated cache management.

✓ *Cache Invalidation:*
Strategies for cache invalidation, ensuring that cached content is removed or updated when necessary, need to be carefully implemented to prevent users from receiving outdated content.

✓ *Resource Allocation:*
Allocating storage and computing resources for caching servers and nodes requires careful planning and management.

Server-Side Caching is a valuable technique for optimizing video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks by reducing latency, conserving bandwidth, and enhancing the streaming experience. By caching content at network nodes or servers, SPANs can deliver frequently accessed content more efficiently to users, particularly in scenarios with variable network conditions. However, addressing content freshness, cache invalidation, and resource allocation challenges is essential for effectively leveraging Server-Side Caching for video streaming in SPANs.

L. Application Layer Protocols:

- *HTTP-Based Streaming [13]:*

Using protocols like HTTP, DASH (Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP), or HLS (HTTP Live Streaming).

Efficient and adaptive application layer protocols are critical for delivering high-quality video streaming experiences in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores HTTP-Based Streaming, a category of protocols that includes HTTP, DASH (Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP), and HLS (HTTP Live Streaming), and examines their significance in enhancing video streaming within SPANs.

- *HTTP-Based Streaming*

HTTP-Based Streaming protocols are designed to deliver multimedia content, including video, over standard HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol) connections. These protocols facilitate adaptive streaming, where video quality can be adjusted in real-time based on network conditions and device capabilities. Key representatives of HTTP-Based Streaming include:

- *HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol):*

The standard protocol for transferring data over the web. It forms the basis for many HTTP-Based Streaming solutions.

- *DASH (Dynamic Adaptive Streaming over HTTP):*

An adaptive streaming protocol that segments video content into small chunks and dynamically adjusts the quality of each segment based on available bandwidth and device capabilities.

- *HLS (HTTP Live Streaming):*

Developed by Apple, HLS is an adaptive streaming protocol that delivers video content in small chunks and supports a wide range of devices, including iOS and Android.

- *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Adaptive Streaming:*

HTTP-Based Streaming protocols enable adaptive streaming, ensuring that video quality is optimized in real-time based on the viewer's network conditions and device capabilities. This results in smoother playback and improved user experiences.

- *HTTP Compatibility:*

Leveraging standard HTTP connections ensures that HTTP-Based Streaming is compatible with a wide range of network infrastructures, making it suitable for SPANs with varying configurations.

- *Content Delivery:*

These protocols allow content providers to efficiently deliver video streams by breaking them into smaller segments, reducing the risk of data loss due to network interruptions.

- *Cross-Device Support:*

HTTP-Based Streaming, including HLS, supports a variety of devices and platforms, making it versatile for SPANs with diverse user devices.

- *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, HTTP-Based Streaming offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Adaptive Quality:*

HTTP-Based Streaming ensures that users receive the best possible video quality based on network conditions, which is crucial for SPANs with varying connectivity.

✓ *Compatibility:*

These protocols are compatible with standard web infrastructures, making them suitable for SPANs with diverse network configurations.

✓ *Cross-Device Support:*

HTTP-Based Streaming protocols, especially HLS, are designed to work seamlessly on a wide range of devices, ensuring a broad user reach in SPANs.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Latency:*

HTTP-Based Streaming may introduce latency due to the segmentation of video content into small chunks. This can impact real-time applications and interactions in SPANs.

✓ *Server Load:*

Efficiently serving segmented video content can impose load on servers, requiring proper server capacity and load balancing.

✓ *Content Encoding:*

Encoding video content into multiple quality levels for adaptive streaming can be resource-intensive and requires careful management.

HTTP-Based Streaming protocols are pivotal for delivering adaptive and high-quality video streaming experiences in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By dynamically adjusting video quality based on network conditions and supporting cross-device compatibility, these protocols contribute to smoother playback and improved user satisfaction, particularly in SPANs where network conditions are unpredictable. However, addressing latency, server load, and content encoding challenges is essential for effectively leveraging HTTP-Based Streaming for video streaming in SPANs.

➢ *Real-Time Protocols [30]:*
Real-Time Protocols are essential for delivering live video streaming experiences in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the use of Real-Time Protocols, such as RTP (Real-Time Transport Protocol), for live streaming and examines their significance in enhancing real-time video streaming within SPANs.

➢ *Real-Time Protocols*
Real-Time Protocols are specifically designed to support the delivery of live multimedia content, including video and audio, in real-time or near-real-time scenarios. RTP (Real-Time Transport Protocol) is a prominent example of a Real-Time Protocol used for live streaming.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Low Latency:*
Real-Time Protocols prioritize low-latency communication, ensuring that live video streams are delivered with minimal delay, making them suitable for applications where timing is critical.

- *Packetization:*
Real-Time Protocols packetize multimedia data, breaking it into small packets for transmission. This enables efficient delivery over networks with varying conditions, such as SPANs.

- *Synchronization:*
These protocols often include mechanisms for synchronizing audio and video streams, ensuring that multimedia content is presented to users in a coherent and synchronized manner.

- *Error Handling:*
Real-Time Protocols may incorporate error detection and correction mechanisms to ensure the reliability of live streams, even in environments with packet loss or network disruptions.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, Real-Time Protocols offer several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Low Latency:*
Real-Time Protocols prioritize low-latency communication, making them suitable for SPANs where timely delivery of live content is crucial.

✓ *Synchronization:*
These protocols ensure that live audio and video streams remain synchronized, providing a coherent viewing experience in SPANs.

✓ *Error Resilience:*
Real-Time Protocols' error handling mechanisms help maintain stream integrity in the face of network challenges, enhancing reliability.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Network Variability:*
SPANs may have variable network conditions, including packet loss and latency fluctuations, which can pose challenges for real-time streaming.

✓ *Resource Constraints:*
Some SPAN devices may have limited processing power and memory, which can affect their ability to handle real-time protocols effectively.

✓ *Quality of Service (QoS):*
Ensuring consistent QoS for real-time streaming in SPANs may require advanced network management and prioritization.

Real-Time Protocols, such as RTP, play a pivotal role in delivering live video streaming experiences in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. These protocols prioritize low latency, synchronization, and error resilience, making them suitable for real-time applications in SPANs. However, addressing network variability, resource constraints, and QoS considerations is essential for effectively leveraging Real-Time Protocols for live streaming in SPANs.

M. User Interaction and Feedback:
User-Driven Adaptation [8]: Enabling user-driven adaptation is a critical aspect of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) to provide viewers with control over their streaming experience. This chapter explores User-Driven Adaptation, a feature that allows users to manually adjust streaming quality, and examines its significance in enhancing user satisfaction within SPANs.

➢ *User-Driven Adaptation*
User-Driven Adaptation refers to the capability of video streaming applications to empower users with control over their streaming experience, particularly the ability to manually adjust streaming quality. This control can include options to select video resolution, adjust playback speed, or manage subtitles.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Quality Control:*
User-Driven Adaptation allows users to select their desired video quality based on their preferences and network conditions. They can choose higher quality for a better viewing experience or lower quality to conserve bandwidth in networks with limited capacity.

- *Personalization:*
This feature enhances personalization by enabling users to tailor the streaming experience to their liking, such as adjusting playback speed or subtitle preferences.

- *Bandwidth Management:*
Users can make informed decisions about streaming quality, ensuring that they do not exceed data caps or experience buffering issues in networks with variable performance.

- *Feedback Mechanisms:*
User-Driven Adaptation often includes feedback mechanisms, such as user ratings or comments, which can help content providers and streaming services improve their offerings.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, User-Driven Adaptation offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *User Empowerment:*
It empowers users with control over their streaming experience, allowing them to make choices that align with their preferences and network conditions.

✓ *Bandwidth Conservation:*
In SPANs with limited network capacity, User-Driven Adaptation enables users to manage their bandwidth usage effectively, avoiding data overage charges or network congestion.

✓ *Improved User Satisfaction:*
Personalized control over streaming quality and features enhances user satisfaction, which is especially important in SPANs with variable network conditions.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *User Education:*
Users must understand how to use User-Driven Adaptation features effectively, which may require clear and user-friendly interfaces.

✓ *Quality Trade-offs:*
Allowing users to lower streaming quality can result in lower viewer satisfaction, so finding the right balance between user control and content quality is essential.

✓ *Content Availability:*
Not all streaming platforms or content providers may offer User-Driven Adaptation features, limiting user control.

User-Driven Adaptation is a valuable feature in video streaming applications within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By providing users with control over streaming quality, personalization options, and bandwidth management, it enhances user satisfaction and enables informed choices in the dynamic and often unpredictable network conditions of SPANs. However, addressing user education, quality trade-offs, and content availability challenges is essential for effectively leveraging User-Driven Adaptation in SPANs.

➢ *Quality Feedback Mechanisms [1]:*
Collecting user feedback is a vital component of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs) to continuously improve the streaming experience. This chapter explores Quality Feedback Mechanisms, which involve collecting user feedback to optimize streaming decisions, and examines their significance in enhancing content quality within SPANs.

➢ *Quality Feedback Mechanisms*
Quality Feedback Mechanisms refer to the processes and tools used to gather feedback from users regarding their streaming experience. This feedback can encompass various aspects, such as video quality, buffering issues, user interface design, and content preferences.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *User Experience Improvement:*
Quality Feedback Mechanisms provide valuable insights into users' streaming experiences, enabling content providers and streaming services to identify and address issues promptly.

- *Content Optimization:*
Feedback from users can be used to optimize content quality, including video resolution, audio quality, and streaming bitrates to match user expectations.

- *Interface Enhancements:*
Feedback can help improve user interfaces, making streaming applications more user-friendly and intuitive, especially for SPANs where user interaction is critical.

- *Content Recommendations:*
Gathering user feedback allows streaming services to make personalized content recommendations, enhancing user engagement.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, Quality Feedback Mechanisms offer several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *User-Centric Improvements:*
By collecting feedback from users in SPANs, streaming services can make user-centric improvements that cater to the unique challenges and preferences of these networks.

✓ *Content Optimization:*
Feedback helps optimize content delivery for SPANs, ensuring that users receive the best possible quality based on network conditions.

✓ *Network Enhancement:*
Insights from user feedback can inform network improvements, such as optimizing routing or addressing network congestion issues.

ISSN No:-2456-2165

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Data Privacy:*

Collecting and using user feedback must comply with privacy regulations and ensure the protection of user data.

✓ *Feedback Volume:*

Managing large volumes of user feedback can be challenging, requiring effective data analysis and response mechanisms.

✓ *User Engagement:*

Encouraging users to provide feedback can be difficult, and streaming services may need to incentivize or make the feedback process seamless.

Quality Feedback Mechanisms are essential for enhancing video streaming experiences in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By collecting user feedback and using it to make content and interface improvements, streaming services can cater to the unique challenges and preferences of SPANs, ultimately resulting in higher user satisfaction and more optimized streaming decisions. However, addressing data privacy concerns, managing feedback volume, and ensuring user engagement are essential for effectively leveraging Quality Feedback Mechanisms in SPANs.

N. Cross-Layer Optimization:

➢ *Integration of Network and Application Layers [25]:*

Cross-layer optimization is a critical approach in improving video streaming performance in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of integrating the Network and Application layers, which involves coordinating decisions between these layers to enhance streaming performance within SPANs.

➢ *Integration of Network and Application Layers*

Integration of the Network and Application layers is a strategy that aims to bridge the gap between the network infrastructure and the streaming application, ensuring better coordination and synergy between these two critical components. This coordination involves real-time communication between the application and network layers to make adaptive decisions that optimize video streaming performance.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Dynamic Adaptation:*

Integration allows for dynamic adaptation of streaming parameters based on real-time network conditions. For example, video quality can be adjusted based on available bandwidth, reducing buffering and optimizing user experience.

- *Quality of Service (QoS):*

Coordination between layers can prioritize video streaming traffic over other data types, ensuring consistent QoS for video playback, even in congested SPANs.

- *Efficient Routing:*

Network-layer information can inform application-layer decisions about content delivery paths, selecting routes that minimize latency and packet loss for streaming.

- *Load Balancing:*

By sharing load and resource utilization information, SPANs can distribute streaming requests more efficiently, preventing network congestion and bottlenecks.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Integration of Network and Application Layers offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Enhanced Adaptability:*

Coordination enables more adaptive streaming decisions, ensuring that users receive the best possible quality even in networks with varying conditions.

✓ *Improved QoS:*

Prioritizing video streaming traffic within the network can enhance the overall QoS, providing a better streaming experience for users.

✓ *Efficient Resource Utilization:*

SPANs can optimize resource utilization and reduce network overhead by sharing information between layers, resulting in efficient content delivery.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Complexity:*

Implementing cross-layer optimization can introduce complexity to SPAN architecture and may require sophisticated algorithms and protocols.

✓ *Interoperability:*

Ensuring that network and application components are compatible and can communicate effectively is crucial for successful integration.

✓ *Resource Constraints:*

SPAN devices may have limited resources, making it challenging to implement cross-layer optimization without impacting device performance.

Integration of the Network and Application layers through cross-layer optimization is a crucial strategy for enhancing video streaming performance in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. By enabling dynamic adaptation, prioritizing QoS, and optimizing resource utilization, SPANs can deliver a smoother and more reliable streaming experience, particularly in scenarios where network conditions are variable. However, addressing complexity, interoperability, and resource constraints is essential for effectively implementing cross-layer optimization in SPANs.

O. Content Types:

➢ *Live Streaming [35]:*

Live Streaming is a significant content type in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of Live Streaming, which involves the real-time broadcasting of events over the network, and examines its significance in providing real-time content delivery within SPANs.

➢ *Live Streaming*

Live Streaming refers to the process of transmitting audio and video content in real time over a network. It allows users to view events as they happen, such as live sports, concerts, news broadcasts, gaming, and other real-time activities, without the need for downloading or waiting for buffering.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Real-Time Engagement:*

Live Streaming offers real-time engagement, allowing viewers to participate in and react to live events as they unfold. This can include live chat, comments, and social media interaction.

- *Timely Information:*

It provides access to timely information, making it suitable for news updates, live sports, and emergency broadcasts, where up-to-the-minute information is crucial.

- *Diverse Content:*

Live Streaming covers a wide range of content, from entertainment and education to business webinars and virtual events, making it versatile for various applications.

- *Network Sensitivity*:

Live Streaming is sensitive to network conditions, as interruptions or high latency can disrupt the real-time experience. Therefore, adaptive streaming and efficient network management are essential.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Live Streaming offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages*:

✓ *Real-Time Interaction:*

Live Streaming promotes real-time interaction and engagement, making it suitable for SPANs where user involvement and immediate updates are essential.

✓ *Timely Updates:*

It allows for timely updates and dissemination of critical information, which can be vital in SPANs for emergency alerts or real-time data sharing.

✓ *Event Coverage:*

Live Streaming enables the coverage of live events, which can be of interest to users in SPANs, such as local events or community gatherings.

- *Challenges*:

✓ *Network Stability:*

Ensuring network stability and sufficient bandwidth is crucial for delivering uninterrupted live streams in SPANs.

✓ *Latency:*

Reducing latency in real-time streaming is challenging, and SPANs may require optimization to minimize delays.

✓ *Resource Intensity:*

Live Streaming can be resource-intensive for both content providers and viewers, requiring adequate processing power and network resources.

Live Streaming is a vital content type in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It offers real-time engagement, timely information delivery, and the ability to cover diverse live events. However, addressing challenges related to network stability, latency, and resource intensity is essential for ensuring a seamless live streaming experience in SPANs, especially in scenarios where real-time updates and user interaction are critical.

➢ *Video on Demand (VoD) [4]:*

Video on Demand (VoD) is a significant content type in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of Video on Demand, which involves the availability of pre-recorded content for streaming, and examines its significance in providing on-demand and personalized content delivery within SPANs.

➢ *Video on Demand (VoD)*

Video on Demand (VoD) is a content delivery model that allows users to access and stream pre-recorded video content whenever they choose. Unlike live streaming, VoD content is not broadcast in real time but is available for on-demand viewing, offering viewers flexibility in when and what they watch.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Content Libraries:*

VoD platforms often offer extensive libraries of movies, TV shows, documentaries, and other video content, providing users with a wide range of choices.

- *On-Demand Access:*

Users can start, pause, rewind, or fast-forward through VoD content at their convenience, giving them control over their viewing experience.

- *Personalization:*

VoD services often incorporate recommendation algorithms that suggest content based on users' viewing history, enhancing the personalization of content delivery.

- *Offline Viewing:*

Some VoD platforms allow users to download content for offline viewing, which is particularly valuable in SPANs with limited connectivity.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, Video on Demand (VoD) offers several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Flexibility:*

VoD provides users in SPANs with flexibility in when and what they watch, making it suitable for scenarios with varying network availability.

✓ *Offline Viewing:*

The ability to download content for offline viewing is valuable in SPANs with intermittent connectivity or limited data access.

✓ *Personalization:*

VoD platforms can enhance user satisfaction by offering personalized content recommendations based on individual preferences.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Content Availability:*

The availability of VoD content may depend on licensing agreements and region-specific restrictions, which can impact user access.

✓ *Storage:*

Storing and managing large libraries of VoD content can be resource-intensive, both for content providers and users.

✓ *Network Performance:*

VoD streaming requires sufficient network bandwidth to deliver high-quality video without buffering, which can be challenging in SPANs with variable network conditions.

Video on Demand (VoD) is a significant content type in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. It offers users flexibility, personalization, and the option for offline viewing, making it a valuable choice in SPANs where network availability can be unpredictable. However, addressing challenges related to content availability, storage, and network performance is essential for delivering a seamless VoD experience in SPANs.

P. Resource Constraints:

➢ *Limited Bandwidth [28]:*

Limited bandwidth is a critical resource constraint in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of limited bandwidth, which refers to networks with constrained data rates, and examines its significance in adapting video streaming to meet the challenges posed by bandwidth limitations within SPANs.

➢ *Limited Bandwidth*

Limited bandwidth refers to network conditions where the available data transmission rate is restricted, resulting in reduced capacity for transferring data, including video streams. In SPANs, factors such as network congestion, interference, and shared bandwidth can contribute to limited bandwidth.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Variable Conditions:*

Limited bandwidth can result from variable network conditions, which can change rapidly in SPANs due to factors like interference, distance, and the number of active devices.

- *Impact on Quality:*

Insufficient bandwidth can lead to video streaming issues such as buffering, pixelation, and reduced video quality, which can affect the user experience.

- *Adaptive Streaming:*

Adaptive streaming techniques are crucial in addressing limited bandwidth, as they allow video quality to be dynamically adjusted based on available bandwidth to maintain smooth playback.

- *Bandwidth Allocation:*

Effective bandwidth allocation strategies are needed to ensure that critical network traffic, such as video streaming, receives the necessary resources to avoid interruptions.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*

In the context of SPANs, limited bandwidth presents several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Adaptive Streaming:*

Limited bandwidth encourages the use of adaptive streaming, where video quality is adjusted to match network conditions, ensuring a more consistent viewing experience.

✓ *Resource Optimization:*

Addressing limited bandwidth requires efficient resource allocation and management, which can lead to more optimized network performance.

✓ *User Awareness:*

Users in SPANs become more aware of the impact of limited bandwidth on their streaming experience, which can drive demand for adaptive and bandwidth-efficient streaming solutions.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Quality Trade-offs:*
Adaptive streaming may reduce video quality to accommodate limited bandwidth, which can affect viewer satisfaction.

✓ *Content Delivery:*
Delivering high-quality video content with limited bandwidth may require content providers to invest in content delivery infrastructure, including content delivery networks (CDNs) or edge computing.

✓ *Network Planning:*
Planning and optimizing SPAN networks to mitigate limited bandwidth challenges are essential but can be complex due to the dynamic nature of ad hoc networks.

Limited bandwidth is a significant resource constraint in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. While it poses challenges such as quality trade-offs and network planning complexity, it also drives the adoption of adaptive streaming and resource optimization strategies. Addressing limited bandwidth is essential for delivering a smooth and high-quality video streaming experience in SPANs, especially in scenarios where network conditions are unpredictable.

➢ *Limited Processing Power [1]:*
Limited processing power is a crucial resource constraint in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This chapter explores the concept of limited processing power, which refers to smartphones with varying computational capabilities, and examines its significance in delivering efficient video streaming experiences within SPANs.

➢ *Limited Processing Power*
Limited processing power in SPANs relates to the varying computational capabilities of smartphones and other devices used within these networks. Different devices may have different hardware specifications, including CPU power, GPU capabilities, and available memory, which can impact their ability to decode and render video streams efficiently.

➢ *Key Features and Considerations*

- *Device Diversity:*
SPANs may consist of a wide range of devices with varying processing power, from high-end smartphones to budget devices. This diversity can create compatibility and performance challenges for video streaming applications.

- *Decoding Complexity:*
Video codecs and formats used for streaming may vary in their computational demands. More advanced codecs, while efficient in compression, may require more processing power for decoding.

- *Adaptive Streaming:*
Adaptive streaming techniques can help address limited processing power by adjusting video quality and bitrates based on the capabilities of the viewing device.

- *Device Awareness:*
Video streaming applications need to be aware of the capabilities of the user's device to optimize video playback for a smooth viewing experience.

➢ *Implications for SPANs*
In the context of SPANs, limited processing power presents several advantages and considerations:

- *Advantages:*

✓ *Adaptive Streaming:*
Adaptive streaming is a key solution for addressing limited processing power, as it allows video quality to be adjusted to match the device's capabilities, ensuring smoother playback.

✓ *Device Diversity:*
SPANs' device diversity encourages the development of flexible and adaptive video streaming applications that can cater to a wide range of hardware.

✓ *Optimization Opportunities:*
Optimizing video decoding and rendering algorithms can help maximize the efficiency of video streaming on devices with limited processing power.

- *Challenges:*

✓ *Compatibility:*
Ensuring compatibility with a wide range of devices, each with varying processing power and software versions, can be challenging for video streaming applications.

✓ *Resource Intensity:*
Some video streaming features, such as 4K playback or augmented reality (AR) overlays, may require significant processing power, limiting their availability on lower-end devices.

✓ *User Experience:*
Delivering a consistent and high-quality user experience across devices with different processing capabilities can be a complex task.

Limited processing power is a significant resource constraint in video streaming within Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks. While it introduces compatibility challenges and impacts the availability of certain features, it also drives the adoption of adaptive streaming and optimization strategies. Addressing limited processing power is essential for delivering a smooth and efficient video streaming experience in SPANs, especially in scenarios where device diversity is prevalent.

ISSN No:-2456-2165

This taxonomy provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and categorizing the various aspects of video streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs), helping researchers and practitioners navigate the complexities of this field.

III. DISCUSSION

The taxonomy titled "MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks" plays a crucial role in advancing our understanding and development of video streaming solutions in the context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This taxonomy, designed to categorize and structure the various elements and considerations in the domain, provides a foundation for several important discussions and implications.

A. Holistic Understanding of Video Streaming in SPANs:

The taxonomy offers a comprehensive view of video streaming in SPANs by breaking down the complex ecosystem into manageable categories. This holistic approach helps researchers and developers gain a deeper understanding of the interdependencies between network topology, routing protocols, video coding, QoS, and other factors.

It enables stakeholders to identify potential bottlenecks or areas for improvement within the video streaming process. For example, it highlights the critical role of adaptive bitrate streaming (ABR) in delivering a seamless experience in dynamic SPANs.

B. Adaptation and Optimization:

One of the taxonomy's key implications is the importance of adaptation and optimization in video streaming for SPANs. In these dynamic networks, the ability to adjust video quality based on network conditions (QoS-aware streaming) is paramount. This adaptation is made possible through adaptive bitrate streaming (ABR) and scalable video coding (SVC).

Researchers and developers can use the taxonomy to explore and refine adaptive streaming algorithms, ensuring that video quality aligns with the available resources and user expectations.

C. Energy Efficiency and Resource Constraints:

The taxonomy recognizes the energy constraints of smartphone devices in SPANs. It highlights the need for low-energy protocols and adaptive power management to extend the battery life of devices participating in the network.

This aspect of the taxonomy underscores the importance of energy-efficient video streaming solutions, especially in scenarios where power sources are limited or unavailable.

D. Security and Privacy:

Security considerations in SPANs, as outlined in the taxonomy, involve authentication, authorization, and encryption to protect both the network and the streamed content. Ensuring secure video streaming is essential, particularly in sensitive applications like emergency response or healthcare.

The taxonomy prompts discussions on how to balance security with the need for efficient video streaming and explores encryption and privacy-preserving techniques applicable to SPANs.

E. User Experience and Feedback:

The inclusion of user interaction and feedback mechanisms in the taxonomy acknowledges the importance of user-centric design in video streaming applications. Users expect a seamless and responsive experience.

Developers can use this taxonomy to implement user-driven adaptation features, such as manual quality adjustments, and gather user feedback to refine their streaming algorithms.

F. Content Delivery Strategies:

The taxonomy highlights various content delivery methods, including centralized, peer-to-peer, and edge content caching. Each approach has its advantages and challenges in the context of SPANs.

Researchers can use the taxonomy to analyze and compare the effectiveness of different content delivery strategies based on the specific requirements of their applications. "MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks" serves as a valuable roadmap for researchers, developers, and practitioners in the field of video streaming in SPANs. By systematically categorizing and organizing key elements and considerations, it not only aids in understanding the complexities of the domain but also guides efforts to enhance the performance, efficiency, and reliability of video streaming in the dynamic and evolving landscape of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, "MobileStreamNet: A Taxonomy for Video Streaming in Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks" provides an essential framework for comprehending, developing, and optimizing video streaming solutions within the dynamic context of Smartphone Ad Hoc Networks (SPANs). This taxonomy systematically categorizes the multifaceted elements and considerations, ranging from network topology and adaptation mechanisms to security and user interaction, offering a holistic view of the challenges and opportunities in the domain. As SPANs continue to gain prominence in various applications, the taxonomy serves as a guiding beacon for researchers, developers, and practitioners, facilitating the creation of more robust, efficient, and user-centric video streaming solutions that meet the ever-evolving demands of this vibrant ecosystem.

REFERENCES

[1]. Agrawal, R., Faujdar, N., Romero, C.A.T., Sharma, O., Abdulsahib, G.M., Khalaf, O.I., Mansoor, R.F. and Ghoneim, O.A., 2022. Classification and comparison of ad hoc networks: A review. Egyptian Informatics Journal.

[2]. Alaya, B., Khan, R., Moulahi, T. and Khediri, S.E., 2021. Study on QoS management for video streaming in vehicular ad hoc network (VANET). Wireless Personal Communications, 118, pp.2175-2207.

[3]. Alaya, B., Khan, R., Moulahi, T. and Khediri, S.E., 2021. Study on QoS management for video streaming in vehicular ad hoc network (VANET). Wireless Personal Communications, 118, pp.2175-2207.

[4]. Alaya, B., Khan, R., Moulahi, T. and Khediri, S.E., 2021. Study on QoS management for video streaming in vehicular ad hoc network (VANET). Wireless Personal Communications, 118, pp.2175-2207.

[5]. Ameur, A.I., Lakas, A., Yagoubi, M.B. and Oubbati, O.S., 2022. Peer-to-peer overlay techniques for vehicular ad hoc networks: Survey and challenges. Vehicular Communications, 34, p.100455.

[6]. Ameur, A.I., Lakas, A., Yagoubi, M.B. and Oubbati, O.S., 2022. Peer-to-peer overlay techniques for vehicular ad hoc networks: Survey and challenges. Vehicular Communications, 34, p.100455.

[7]. Bharany, S., Sharma, S., Badotra, S., Khalaf, O.I., Alotaibi, Y., Alghamdi, S. and Alassery, F., 2021. Energy-efficient clustering scheme for flying ad-hoc networks using an optimized LEACH protocol. Energies, 14(19), p.6016.

[8]. Bouali, F., Moessner, K. and Fitch, M., 2021. Multi-timescale QoE provisioning for adaptive video streaming in heterogeneous deployments. IEEE transactions on vehicular technology, 70(2), pp.1894-1909.

[9]. Chowdhury, A.A., Islam, I., Zahed, M.I.A. and Ahmad, I., 2023. An optimal strategy for UAV-assisted video caching and transcoding. Ad Hoc Networks, 144, p.103155.

[10]. Fatima, M. and Khursheed, A., 2022. Overview of resource management for wireless ad hoc network. Smart and Sustainable Approaches for Optimizing Performance of Wireless Networks: Real-time Applications, pp.91-123.

[11]. Hasan, N., Mishra, A. and Ray, A.K., 2022. Fuzzy logic based cross-layer design to improve Quality of Service in Mobile ad-hoc networks for Next-gen Cyber Physical System. Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, 35, p.101099.

[12]. Hodroj, A., Ibrahim, M. and Hadjadj-Aoul, Y., 2021. A survey on video streaming in multipath and multihomed overlay networks. IEEE Access, 9, pp.66816-66828.

[13]. Hodroj, A., Ibrahim, M. and Hadjadj-Aoul, Y., 2021. A survey on video streaming in multipath and multihomed overlay networks. IEEE Access, 9, pp.66816-66828.

[14]. Kafetzis, D., Vassilaras, S., Vardoulias, G. and Koutsopoulos, I., 2022. Software-defined networking meets software-defined radio in mobile ad hoc networks: state of the art and future directions. IEEE Access, 10, pp.9989-10014.

[15]. Kafetzis, D., Vassilaras, S., Vardoulias, G. and Koutsopoulos, I., 2022. Software-defined networking meets software-defined radio in mobile ad hoc networks: state of the art and future directions. IEEE Access, 10, pp.9989-10014.

[16]. Kamal, S. and Nazar, B., 2020. Performance evaluation of combining SMT-ETX metric with POS scheme for implementing multilayer multicast mobile ad hoc network (MANET) CRN. IET Communications, 14(4), pp.610-618.

[17]. Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2017. SAND and Cloud-based Strategies for Adaptive Video Streaming. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 9(3), pp.3400-3410.

[18]. Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2018. Future DASH applications: A survey. International Journal of Advanced Networking and Applications, 10(2), pp.3758-3764.

[19]. Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., 2020. QoE evaluation of dynamic adaptive streaming over HTTP (DASH) with promising transport layer protocols: Transport layer protocol performance over HTTP/2 DASH. CCF Transactions on Networking, 3(3-4), pp.245-260.

[20]. Khan, K. and Goodridge, W., Markov Decision Processes for bitrate harmony in adaptive video streaming. In 2017 Future Technologies Conference (FTC), Vancouver, Canada, unpublished..

[21]. Koffka, K. and Wayne, G., 2018. A DASH Survey: the ON-OFF Traffic Problem and Contemporary Solutions. Computer Sciences and Telecommunications, (1), pp.3-20.

[22]. Kumar, R., Kumar, D. and Kumar, D., 2023. SMBF: Secure Data Transmission using Modified Bloom Filter for Vehicular Ad Hoc Networks. Recent Advances in Computer Science and Communications (Formerly: Recent Patents on Computer Science), 16(5), pp.47-56.

[23]. Lee, R., Venieris, S.I. and Lane, N.D., 2021. Deep neural network–based enhancement for image and video streaming systems: A survey and future directions. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 54(8), pp.1-30.

[24]. Narayana, V.L., Gopi, A.P., Anveshini, D. and Lakshmi, G.V., 2020. Enhanced path finding process and reduction of packet droppings in mobile ad-hoc networks. International Journal of Wireless and Mobile Computing, 18(4), pp.391-397.

[25]. Pasandideh, F., Silva, T.D.E., Silva, A.A.S.D. and Pignaton de Freitas, E., 2022. Topology management for flying ad hoc networks based on particle swarm optimization and software-defined networking. Wireless Networks, pp.1-16.

[26]. Rezwan, S. and Choi, W., 2021. A survey on applications of reinforcement learning in flying ad-hoc networks. Electronics, 10(4), p.449.

[27]. Sharifi, S.A. and Babamir, S.M., 2020. The clustering algorithm for efficient energy management in mobile ad-hoc networks. Computer networks, 166, p.106983.

ISSN No:-2456-2165

[28]. Sharma, A., Bansal, A. and Rishiwal, V., 2020. SBADR: stable and bandwidth aware dynamic routing protocol for mobile ad hoc network. International Journal of Pervasive Computing and Communications, 16(3), pp.205-221.

[29]. Shi, L., Xu, S., Liu, H. and Zhan, Z., 2020. QoS-Aware UAV Coverage path planning in 5G mmWave network. Computer Networks, 175, p.107207.

[30]. Shirwal, A.L., Deb, P., Bhat, C.S., PS, A.H. and Naik, A., 2022, July. Ad-hoc Network Based Surveillance Robot. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Computing and Communication Technologies (CONECCT) (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

[31]. Singh, R., Sukapuram, R. and Chakraborty, S., 2023. A survey of mobility-aware Multi-access Edge Computing: Challenges, use cases and future directions. Ad Hoc Networks, 140, p.103044.

[32]. Subbaiah, C.V. and Govinda, K., 2022. A bio inspired optimization with reliable QoS routing through efficient packet transmission in mobile ad-hoc network. Renewable Energy Focus, 41, pp.188-197.

[33]. Tabash, F.K., Izharuddin, M. and Tabash, M.I., 2019. Encryption techniques for H. 264/AVC videos: A literature review. Journal of information security and applications, 45, pp.20-34.

[34]. Yuan, H., Wang, Q., Liu, Q., Huo, J. and Li, P., 2021. Hybrid distortion-based rate-distortion optimization and rate control for H. 265/HEVC. IEEE Transactions on Consumer Electronics, 67(2), pp.97-106.

[35]. Zhang, J., Chen, T., Zhong, S., Wang, J., Zhang, W., Zuo, X., Maunder, R.G. and Hanzo, L., 2019. Aeronautical $ Ad~ Hoc $ networking for the Internet-above-the-clouds. Proceedings of the IEEE, 107(5), pp.868-911.

[36]. Zhao, X., Liu, S., Zhao, L., Xu, X., Zhu, B. and Li, X., 2020, August. A comparative study of HEVC, VVC, VP9, AV1 and AVS3 video codecs. In Applications of Digital Image Processing XLIII (Vol. 11510, pp. 192-200). SPIE.

[37]. Ziani, H. and Enneya, N., 2020. QoE in video streaming over ad hoc networks: comparison and analysis of AODV and OLSR routing protocols. International Journal of Computational Intelligence Studies, 9(3), pp.200-219.