

Influence of Gibberellic Acid Growth Regulator on Sunflower Seed Emergence Indices and Seedling Characters under Salinity Stress

Hanim Mohi Elden Ahmed Matter¹; Badr ELdin Abdelgadir Mohammed Ahmed² and Abdelmalik Omar Ahmed Idris^{2®}.

¹ PhD student, Faculty of Education, Biology Dept., Gadarif University, Sudan

² Assoc. Prof Dept. of Crop Sciences, Agriculture Faculty, Kassala University, Sudan

^{2®} Assoc. Prof. Faculty of Education, Biology Dept., Gadarif University, Sudan

Abstract:- Salinity stress is one of factors limiting seed germination and seedling development in sunflower at New halfa scheme in East of Sudan. The objective of this study was evaluation germination indices and seedling parameters of sunflower seed under salinity stress with varying concentrations of synthetic growth regulator namely GA3. From 16-30 November 2019 a laboratory experiment (in Petri dishes) of randomized complete blocks design (RCBD) with three replicates was carried out. Treatments were consisted of (four levels of GA3 were 0, 50,75 and 100 p.pm) designated as G0,G50,G75 and G100,respectively, under five levels of salinity (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2%) designated as Na0, Na1.0 ,Na1.5 and Na2.0. This study examined Emergence indices: Seed Emergence percentage EP%, Mean emergence time (MET), Mean daily germination (MDG), Energy of germination was (EE), Emergence index(EI), Daily germination speed (DGS), Emergence coefficient of uniformity (ECU), vigour index of seed(VIS) and seedling characters also were determined. The results indicated that application of GA3 at 50 p.pm resulted in high EP, MDG, MET and SVI as compared with other related treatments. Also application of (G75 and G100) resulted in higher values of DGS, ECU and EI. Application of high levels of salinity treatments resulted in decreasing of all germination indices in this study. The interaction treatment (G50xNa0) on MDG had significant differences in this study. In condition, GA3 increasing up germination indices and promoting growth and compromised seedling vigor while salinity hindered seed emergence and seedling characters of sunflower.

Keywords:- Sunflower; Gabralline; Salinity, Germination Indices and Seedling Vigor.

I.INTRODUCTION

Sunflower *Helianthus annuus* is an annual oil crop one of the member of the family *Compositae*. According to [1] the production of sunflower reached 50.22 million metric tons from cultivated areas of 27.87 million hectares. There are three phases of sunflower crop establishment, germination and

seedling development stages were two of these phases while dormancy affected these stage as reported by [2,3]. Furthermore, many researchers [4-6] investigated the role of GA3 in seed germination indices improvement (emergence index, speed of germination, co-efficient of germination) and seedling development (shoot length) in sunflower plant. On the other hand, [7-10] stated that, salinity stress as a result of osmotic effects, could affected seed germination and early growth of seedling. Many researchers [11] concluded that, GA3 may delay a diverse effects of salinity stress. Now a days concentrated attempts have been made to mitigate the harmful effects of salinity by application of plant growth regulators. Hence, this study was undertaken to examine whether injurious effects induced by salinity stress could be mitigated using exogenous application of growth hormone as a synthetic growth stimulators. Therefore, the objective of this study was evaluation of germination indices and seedling characters of sunflower under salinity stress with varying concentrations of synthetic growth regulator namely GA3.

II.MATERIALS AND METHODS

A laboratory experiment (in Petri dishes) laid out in randomized complete blocks design with three replicates was carried out during winter of 2018 at Faculty of Agriculture, Kassala University in New Halfa, Sudan, evaluate germination indices and seedling parameters of sunflower under salinity stress with varying concentrations of synthetic growth regulator namely GA3. Treatments were consisted of (four levels of GA3 were 0, 50,75 and 100 p.pm) designated as G0,G50,G75 and G100,respectively, while the five levels of salinity were (0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2%) designated as Na0, Na1.0 ,Na1.5 and Na2.0. The five levels of salinity were prepared from equal equivalents of NaCl.

➤ Germination Test

Ten seeds of sunflower were placed on filter paper in a glass Petri dish of 9 cm diameter after sterilized with 3% sodium hypochlorite for three minutes then washed with distilled water. The salinity solution of desired treatment were added.

In this study germination attributes were (MET, EE, MDG, DGs and VIS) measured according to equations stated by [12,13] while indices (EI and ECU) were calculated as reported by [15] and final emergence percentage was calculated as described by [14]

Seedling length (cm) and Radical length (cm); Were measured using a meter tape.

Shoot fresh and dry weight (g) of seedling were taken using electrical weighing balance. Then accordingly Shoot/radical ratio was calculated.

➤ Statistical Analysis

Data of germination indices transferred using ARC Sin equation and then all data were analyzed using computer statistical program (STATISTIX 9) at 5% level of probability.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis on germination showed significant effects, due to application of growth regulator (gibberellin) GA3 and salinity sodium chloride Na, on most of germination indices parameters i.e. final germination percentage (FEP), mean emergency time (MET), daily germination speed (DGS), emergency index (EI), emergency of coefficient of uniformity (ECU) and vigor index of seedling (Table1). Increasing GA3 rate from 50 to 100 p.p.m significantly increased most of the aforementioned characters (Table1). Application of 50 p.p.m of GA3 resulted in high FEP, MDG, MET and VIS as compared with other related treatments. Also application of high GA3 doses (G75 and G100) resulted in higher values of DGS, ECU and EI. Application of high levels of salinity treatments resulted in decreasing of all germination indices in this study. On contrast the high values of these germination indices were observed at low levels of salinity treatments (Table2). The interaction treatment (G50xNa0) on MDG had significant differences in this study, while other interaction treatments had none significant effects but the higher values of FEP, ECU, MET and EI were observed in treatments (G50 x Na0, G50 x Na0.5, G75 x Na0 and G100 x Na0) as shown in (Table2). Moreover, application of G50 growth hormone significantly increased the radical and shoot length of the seedling particularly without saline water, while application of Na1.5, Na2 salinity levels resulted in decreasing of radical length, fresh weight and low value of shoot radical ratio (Table3).

The increasing of all indices of emergence in present investigation due to application of GA3 could be due to its positive role on breaking seed dormancy as reported by [16]. So the effect of GA3 on germination parameters like FEP, DGS, EI, ECU and SVI indicated that these parameters significantly different due to growth regulator treatments. GA3 plays fundamental roles in almost every aspect of plant growth and development, including cell elongation, cell expansion this might explain the increase of growth

parameters of seed lining observed in this study. This results consistent with these reported by [17]. Seed germination adversely affected by salinity stress [18]. The decrease in emergence indices observed in this study in high levels of salinity treatments might be due to the reason that, at early seedling development salinity affected growth of the seedlings that showed in form of lower dry matter production and as a result inhibiting germination as reported by [19]. Also, the decrease in radical length, fresh weight and shoot/radical ratio in this study due to increasing of concentration of Na CL was agreed with those results reported by [20]. These findings suggest that salinity can have a detrimental effects on germination indices of sunflower seeds.

In condition, GA3 increasing up germination indices and promoting growth and compromised seedling vigor while salinity hindered seed emergence and seedling characters of sunflower.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available online: <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL> (accessed on 20 February 2022).
- [2]. Aubertot, J.N.; Deguine, J.P.; Lamichhane, J.R.; Robin, M.H.; Sarthou, J.P.; Steinberg, C. (2022) Vers Une Protection Agroécologique Des Cultures En Phase d'implantation. In Réussir l'Implantation Des Cultures. Enjeux Agroécologiques, Itinéraires Techniques; Quae: Paris, France, pp. 107–134
- [3]. Liu Y, Ye N, Liu R, Chen M, Zhang J. (2010). H2O2 mediates the regulation of ABA catabolism and GA biosynthesis in Arabidopsis seed dormancy and germination. *J. of Exper Botany*. 61:2979-2990
- [4]. Bawa, G.; Feng, L.; Chen, G.; Chen, H.; Hu, Y.; Pu, T.; Wang, X. (2020). Gibberellins and auxin regulate soybean hypocotyls elongation under low light and high temperature interaction. *Physiol. Plant*. 170(3), 345-356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.1315>.
- [5]. Grattan, S.R., Grieve, C.M., 1999. Salinity-mineral nutrient relations in horticultural crops. *Sci. Hort*. 78, 127–157.
- [6]. Naseri, R.; Emami, T.; Amir Mirzaei, A. and Soleymanifard, A (2012). Effect of salinity (sodium chloride) on germination and seedling growth of barley (*Hordeum Vulgare L.*) cultivars. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*. 4(13):911-917.
- [7]. Wahid A, Perveena M, Gelania S, Basra SMA. (2007). Pretreatment of seed with H2O2 improves salt tolerance of wheat seedlings by alleviation of oxidative damage and expression of stress proteins. *Journal of Plant Physiology*. 164:283-294.

- [8]. Hussain,S.; Khaliq,A.; Matloob,A.; Wahid,M. and Afzal,I.(2013). Germination and growth response of three wheat cultivars to NaCl salinity. *Soil Environ.* 32(1): 36-43
- [9]. Salehifar M, Torang A, Farzanfar M, Salehifar M. (2010).Comparison of Salinity stress effect on germination and seedling growth in 8 lines genotypes of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). 11th Iranian Crop Science Congress. 3794.
- [10]. Ali, A.S.; Elozeiri, A.A. (2017). Metabolic Processes During Seed Germination. In *Advances in Seed Biology*; InTech: Vienna, Austria.3. Gummerson, R.J. The Effect of Constant Temperatures and Osmotic Potentials on the Germination of Sugar Beet. *J. Exp. Bot.* 1986, 37, 729–741.
- [11]. Dai F, Rong Z, Wu Q, Abdullah EF, Liu C, Shengrui L (2022). Mycorrhiza improves plant growth and photosynthetic characteristics of tea plants in response to drought stress. *BIOCELL* 46: 1339–1346. DOI 10.32604/biocell. 018909.
- [12]. Elias, S. M., Hossain, M. S., Sikder, F. S., Ahmed, J. & Karim, M. R. (1986).Identification of constraints to pulse production with special reference to present farming systems. Annual Report of the Agricultural Economic Division, BARI, Joydebpur. p. 1.
- [13]. Farooq, M., S.M.A. Basra, M. Khalid, R. Tabassum and T. Mehmood. (2006) Nutrient homeostasis, rearsvers metabolism and seedling vigor as affected by seed priming in coarse rice. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 84: 1196–1202.
- [14]. Basra, S.M.A., M.N. Itikhar and I. Afzal. (2011). Potential of moringa (*Moringa oleifera*) leaf extract as priming agent for hybrid maize seeds. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 13: 1006–1010.
- [15]. Bewley, J.D. and M. Black. (1985). *Seeds: Physiology of Development and Germination*. Plenum Press, New York. 445p
- [16]. Babu, K. D., Patel, R. K, Singh, A., Yadav, D.S, De, L. C, Dike, B. C (2010). Seed germination, seedling growth and vigour of papaya under North east Indian condition . *Acta Horticultureae*.851:299-306.
- [17]. Ahmad, S. Shahrin, S. Sadia, Syed M. Tareq and A.F.M. Jamal Uddin.(2016). Evaluation of seed germination and seedling growth of three vegetable crops under different salinity. *International journal of business, social and scientific research*,ISSN: 2309-7892, Volume: 4, Issue: 4, Page: 292-296.
- [18]. Kaymakanova, M. (2009). Effect of salinity on germination and seed physiology in Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). XI Anniversary Scientific Conference, pp: 326-329.
- [19]. Dagar, J.C.; Bhagwan, H.; Kumar, Y. (2004). Effect on growth performance and biochemical contents of *Salvadora persica* when irrigated with water of different salinity. *Indian J. Plant Physiol.* 9, 234–238.
- [20]. Zahra N, Al Hinai M.S, Hafeez M.B, Rehman A, Wahid A, Siddique K.H, Farooq M (2022). Regulation of photosynthesis under salt stress and associated tolerance mechanisms. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* 178: 55–69. DOI 10.1016/j.plaphy.2022.03.003.

Table (1): Mean Squares of Germination Indices of Sunflower Affected by Growth Regulators and Salinity During Winter Season 2019

*,** ≡ Significant at 5% and 1% Levels of Probability Respectively; NS ≡ None Significant

S.Of.V	DF	ECU	EI	MET	FEP	DGS	MDG	EE	VIS
Rep	2	9.5	8.15	131.66	104.48	9.65	0.97	222.08	322810
G	3	4.1 **	32.99**	38.99**	432.1 **	3.85**	2.99 **	370.7**	218417**
Na	4	1.55 *	7.89 NS	120.71 *	213.9**	2.12 **	1.48 **	103.2 NS	608257**
G *Na	12	6.47 ^{NS}	5.74 NS	64.282 ^{NS}	56.9 ^{NS}	5.96 ^{NS}	0.39 **	69.84 ^{NS}	204773 ^{NS}
Error	38	5.64	4.60	42.096	58.24	6.15	0.4	60.32	127349
Total	59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cv%	—	13.84	19.29	13.18	11.68	13.31	11.69	16.49	31.03
S.Of.V	DF	CUE	EI	MET	FGP	DGS	MDG	EE	SVI
Rep	2	9.5	8.15	131.66	104.48	9.65	0.97	222.08	322810
G	3	4.1 **	32.99**	38.99**	432.1 **	3.85**	2.99 **	370.7**	218417**
Na	4	1.55 *	7.89 NS	120.71 *	213.9**	2.12 **	1.48 **	103.2 NS	608257**
G *Na	12	6.47 ^{NS}	5.74 NS	64.282 ^{NS}	56.9 ^{NS}	5.96 ^{NS}	0.39 **	69.84 ^{NS}	204773 ^{NS}
Error	38	5.64	4.60	42.096	58.24	6.15	0.4	60.32	127349
Total	59	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cv%	—	13.84	19.29	13.18	11.68	13.31	11.69	16.49	31.03

Table (2): Mean Percentages of Emergence Time (MET), Mean Daily Germination (MDG), Daily Germination Speed (DGS), Final Emergence Percentage (FEP), Emergence Energy (EE) Emergence Coefficient of Uniformity of (ECU), Emergence Index (EI) and Vigor Index of Seeding (VIS) of Sunflower

Treatment	MET	MDG	DGS	FEP	EE	ECU	EI	VIS
G0	534	5.82	0.17	69.91	51.36	0.02	8.63	1588.3
G50	587	5.83	0.17	69.96	51.41	0.02	8.58	1588.3
G75	495.9	5.06	0.19	60.75	43.39	0.03	9.17	1097.8
G100	411.7	5.04	0.19	60.54	42.23	0.03	7.91	667.9
LSD _{0.05}	66.8	0.47	2.12	5.64	5.74	5.55	1.58	263
Na0	554.1	5.59	0.17	67.14	48.14	0.02	7.5	1480.6
Na0.5	521.1	5.5	0.18	66.08	47.77	0.03	7.56	951.8
Na1.0	591.9	5.91	0.16	70.99	51.13	0.02	8.47	936.4
Na1.5	505.6	5.07	0.19	60.92	44.2	0.02	6.53	1140.1
Na2.0	507.6	4.71	0.21	61.33	44.24	0.02	6.55	1241.6
LSD _{0.05}	70.2	0.52	1.92	6.3	6.41	6.2	1.77	294.93
G0Na0	598.9	5.84	0.17	70.09	50.16	0.02	8.18	2201.8
G0Na0.5	603.4	5.84	0.17	70.09	50.16	0.02	8.37	1292.5
G0Na1.0	629.36	6.59	0.15	70.08	57.97	0.02	10.31	1376
G0Na1.5	609.49	5.66	0.16	68.11	54.18	0.02	9.73	1576.1
G0Na2.0	509.89	4.79	0.2	62.31	44.33	0.03	6.55	1495.1
G50Na0	616.11	5.64	0.17	73.38	57.97	0.02	9.23	1542.3
G50Na0,5	638.13	6.59	0.16	73.64	56.41	0.02	10,31	1089.8
G50Na1.0	548.86	5.67	0.17	68.11	48.18	0.03	7.81	1027.9
G50Na1.5	571.1	5.67	0.17	68.11	50.21	0.03	8.17	1305.2
G50Na2.0	561.13	5.56	0.17	66.8	48.23	0.03	7.98	1271.8
G75Na0	545.44	5.56	0.17	66.8	48.23	0.03	7.34	2201.8
G75Na0.5	497.71	4.64	0.21	60.36	42.17	0.03	6.52	957.2
G57Na1.0	530.29	5.36	0.18	64.4	46.31	0.03	7.2	728.6
G75Na1.5	388.13	4.31	0.23	51.82	34.13	0.04	3.17	723.6
G75Na2.0	519.66	5.03	0.19	60.36	46.14	0.05	6.81	1355.9
G100Na0	460.27	4.48	0.22	58.27	40.19	0.05	5.27	459.7
G100Na0.5	484.51	5.01	0.19	60.22	42.35	0.03	5.64	467.7
G100Na1.0	599.71	6.04	0.16	72.5	52.08	0.02	8.75	613
G100Na1.5	453.03	4.65	0.21	55.86	38.27	0.04	5.06	955.4
G100Na2.0	445.8	4.65	0.21	55.85	38.27	0.04	4.86	843.7
LSD _{0.05}	149.46	1.05	0.95	12.61	12.83	0.01	3.54	589.8

Table (3): Mean Stem Diameter (cm), Radical Length (cm), Shoot Length (cm), Number of Leaves, Shoot Fresh Weight (g), Shoot Dry Weight (g) and Shoot Radical of Sunflower Seedling

Treatment	Radical length	Shoot length	Fresh wt	Dwt	Shoot/ radical
G0	14.12	8.84	0.74	0.11	7.03
G50	14.15	8.87	0.56	0.12	6.5
G75	10.66	7.32	0.45	0.1	6.53
G100	6.38	4.96	0.89	0.1	6.82
LSD _{0.05}	2.9	1.78	0.17	3.62	1.44
Na0	12.86	7.75	0.49	0.12	5.77
Na0.5	7.79	7.55	0.46	0.1	6.51
Na1.0	7.92	5.02	0.43	0.1	6.77
Na1.5	12.2	6.25	0.55	0.11	7.43
Na2.0	12.68	7.39	0.57	0.1	6.77
LSD _{0.05}	3.24	1.99	0.19	2.22	1.61
G0Na0	20.12	13.53	1.1	0.11	6.77
G0Na0.5	9.06	13.43	0.55	0.1	5.44
G0Na1.0	8.86	8.53	0.52	0.1	6.77
G0Na1.5	21.66	7.7	0.78	0.1	8.1
G0Na2.0	15.16	9.22	0.75	0.1	8.1
G50Na0	21.66	7.43	0.59	0.1	5.44
G50Na0,5	8.83	5.83	0.64	0.1	5.44
G50Na1.0	8.16	6.4	0.47	0.1	8.1
G50Na1.5	14.6	4.56	0.59	0.1	6.77
G50Na2.0	13.33	5.9	0.52	0.1	6.77
G75Na0	12.66	13.23	0.63	0.1	6.77
G75Na0.5	9.93	6.6	0.49	0.1	6.77
G57Na1.0	8.5	3.54	0.28	0.1	5.44
G75Na1.5	7.66	6.33	0.37	0.1	6.77
G75Na2.0	14.56	7.43	0.5	0.1	5.44
G100Na0	3.33	4.66	0.27	0.1	4.11

G100Na0.5	3.33	4.33	0.25	0.09	8.39
G100Na1.0	6.16	2.16	0.98	0.13	8.77
G100Na1.5	10.66	6.4	0.48	0.1	8.1
G100Na2.0	8.4	7.23	0.5	0.1	6.77
LSD _{0.05}	6.48	3.99	0.38	0.03	0.03