

Cyberbullying: Legal and Ethical Implications, Challenges and Opportunities for Policy Development

Ejuchegahi A. Angwaomaodoko
ORCID: 0009-0001-6300-2017

Abstract:- Cyberbullying has grown to be a serious social problem that needs to be addressed. The increased frequency of cyberbullying has had a major negative influence on students' psychological well-being and social relationships. Because of the nature of cyberbullying and the difficulties in identifying criminals owing to the anonymity surrounding the internet and online space, the legal system is still quite restricted. However, via a variety of disciplinary measures, schools play a crucial role in reducing the impacts of cyberbullying. The research examines the legal and ethical implications of cyberbullying, alongside the opportunities and challenges entailed in the development of new policies. . The research reveals that the anonymity of cyberbullying creates jurisdictional issues and invasion of privacy, making it challenging to offer victims with sufficient protection. Policy creation against cyberbullying brings a variety of opportunities such as technological innovation in the form of digital citizenship, increased awareness and prevention programs. Cyberbullying has serious ethical and legal implications, but it also necessitates awareness campaigns to lessen its effects. Therefore, in order to build a secure online environment, government entities, educational institutions, and other stakeholders must work together to ensure a safe online environment.

Keywords:- Cyberbullying; Implications; Legal; Ethical; School; Policy Development.

I. INTRODUCTION

The growth of social networking sites and the internet has not only enhanced communication and the exchange of information, but has also brought about new kinds of violence and intimidation, such as cyberbullying. These occurrences have important implications that give rise to ethical and legal questions. Cyberbullying may have severe effects on victims, leading to social isolation, emotional trauma, and even suicidal thoughts [1]. In addition, victims may feel vulnerable because of the difficulty in identifying and prosecuting offenders due to the anonymity provided by the internet. According to Hinduja and Patchin [2], about 60 percent of American teenagers have at one given time in their teen lives reported being victims of this evil act. Baker and Jansen [3], asserts that cyberbullying has turned out to be a common issue with a very huge effect on the mental health of the victims.

Digital communication tools such as social media, email, and instant messengers are used to perpetrate cyberbullying - which has serious societal repercussions. The increasing usage of technology has made it easier for bullies to carry out these nefarious acts in secret. As a result, it's critical to understand the concept and effects of cyberbullying as well as the ethical and legal concerns that surround it [4]. For young people of all races, cyberbullying is still a severe problem, particularly in light of the detrimental impact on the mental health of some of its victims.

Cyberbullying has wide-ranging legal and ethical implications that create a range of challenges for different regulatory authorities such as jurisdictional issues. However, because of the complexity of cyberbullying and the wide range of behaviors that occur online, enforcement authorities have a difficult time attempting to stop this growing problem. In addition to the legal implications of cyberbullying, there are ethical concerns about the behaviors as it pertains to the roles that communities and people play in fostering a secure and cyberbullying-free online space [5].

Cyberbullying raises questions of accountability as various online forums and social networking platforms strive to find a balance between fostering free expression and mitigating harmful online behavior, free expression, and privacy. People may act in ways they may not have otherwise acted because of their ability to remain anonymous online, and the wide reach of social media platforms can make harassment more damaging [1]. This poses significant concerns to these obligations of social media companies and internet access providers to safeguard users.

Beyond the challenges associated with cyberbullying, there exist numerous opportunities for policy development. Educational institutions and policymakers would be able to work toward fostering an online culture of decency and courtesy by guaranteeing the growth of technology innovation as well as instruction on the use of digital platforms [6]. In addition, collaborations among governmental bodies, academic establishments, non-profit organizations, and business partners may promote the exchange of best practices, materials, and initiatives that enable people and society to use the internet sensibly and securely [7].

The societal implications of cyberbullying are substantial overall, necessitating a comprehensive strategy that takes into account ethical and legal issues. In order to successfully tackle these concerns, society has to consider how best to strike a balance between protecting vulnerable persons from harm and upholding individual rights. This might include putting new laws into place, encouraging digital literacy and appropriate online conduct, and making internet platforms answerable for the conduct of their users [6].

In this research, we explore the various legal and ethical implications of cyberbullying, examining the present state of law, the challenges of enforcement, and the opportunities for policy development. By critically assessing existing policies and practices, the study aims to identify opportunities for innovation and collaboration in addressing this pressing societal issue. Through a proper understanding of the concept surrounding cyberbullying, an effective and a digital ecosystem can be created which would promote empathy, respect, and inclusivity for everyone.

II. CYBERBULLYING

The usage of digital devices such as smart phones to post or promote online abuse and violence on another individual is known as cyber bullying [8]. It also involves the deliberate manipulation of technological devices in a way in which it leads to detrimental effects on a victim [9]. Cyberbullying, according to Willard [10], is when someone uses internet access or other forms of digital media to be hurtful to other people by sending or uploading damaging content, or indulging in other types of social aggressiveness.

According to Schultz & McGrath [11], 43% of the 824 middle school and high school students polled in the United States had experienced cyberbullying in the previous year. Because the Internet may provide anonymity, cyberbullying differs from traditional bullying. Due to their anonymity, cyberbullies are rarely held accountable for their actions, and since they often take place outside of schools, they are frequently beyond the legal purview of school boards and schools [12].

Cyberbullying may have a significant and wide-ranging effect on people of every age and socioeconomic status. Numerous detrimental outcomes, including diminished self-worth, stress, hopelessness, isolation from society, and even suicidal thoughts or actions, may befall victims. The psychological effects of cyberbullying may be exacerbated by the anonymity and apparent remoteness of online contacts, which makes it difficult for victims to get support or assistance [13]. Compared to other kinds of bullying, cyberbullying is more likely to be undetected to parents and authorities. This is because the victims fear that if their parents are notified, their internet rights could be curtailed or removed, and they believe that they should learn how to handle such situation on their own [13]. Juvonen and Gross's [14] research revealed that 90% of participants said they had not informed adults about instances of cyberbullying because of these factors.

A. Forms of Cyber bullying

According to Willard [10], there are nine main forms of cyberbullying: flaming, harassment, denigration, impersonation, outing, trickery, exclusion, cyberstalking and cyber threats. One of the most prevalent types of cyberbullying is flaming. It happens when two individuals disagree over something, generally in an open space like a social networking site comment area or an online blog. Users may feel harassed or intimidated as a result of being flamed for their beliefs and behavior. But flaming goes beyond online fights; it also includes harsh words and insults meant to diminish a person's self-worth and cause them to feel uncomfortable [15]

Another kind of cyberbullying is harassment, in which the perpetrator sends offensive messages over and over again. This damaging behavior may take many different forms, but it often takes the form of the victim receiving frequent abusive or threatening texts, emails, or remarks. Cyberbullying harassment, in contrast to one-off disagreements or conflicts, is characterized by a pattern of repeated assaults that may have a significant and long-lasting impact on the target's wellness [6]. Cyberbullying harassment is abusive in nature; it goes beyond simple dispute or condemnation and often targets the victim's identity, looks, opinions, or personal traits. Criminals may use disparaging remarks, taunts, or slurs to minimize and humiliate their targets, weakening their feeling of value and self-worth [16].

Furthermore, impersonation which is another form of cyberbullying refers to the act of posing as someone else in order to cause difficulties for another individual, harm their reputation, or sour their connections. A low-tech kind of cyberbullying called "cyber-impersonation attacks" use social engineering techniques to get access to a system or network. The perpetrator may be persuasive enough to fool the victim into giving permission to access data or systems by "impersonating" or taking on the character of someone the victim is inclined to trust [17]. Through their social media accounts, attackers are able to locate prospective victims. According to Davison and Stein [18], the most popular platforms are Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn, where information is often accessible to all users. Attackers collect data such as location, occupation and description, educational institutions, email address, and more.

Attackers use a variety of strategies to trick their targets, ranging from easy ones like changing the user name on an email account to more complex ones like creating a similar email domain and generating a new email address that resembles the target of the impersonator. However, the impacts of cyberbullying, such as effect on the victims' mental health, social issues, and intra-personal problems—which may sometimes result in suicide and depression—which remains a major source of concern [17].

Another typical form of cyberbullying is Cyber stalking which may lead to intimidation, fear, or discomfort towards the victim. Cyber stalkers may keep tabs on, follow, and harass their targets using a variety of internet channels, including social media, email, and texting applications. The

victim of cyber stalking may suffer terrible consequences, including mental distress, worry, sadness, and in severe situations, bodily injury [19]. As their internet actions are tracked and their private data might be misused against them, victims could feel continually violated and endangered [20].

B. Relationship between Cyber Bullying and Traditional Bullying

Researchers have also observed that, although cyberbullying is a continuation of conventional bullying in schools, there are some significant and concerning differences between the two types of bullying [21]. For example, the majority of cyberbullying occurs in secret [22]. The most detrimental part of this phenomenon—cyberbullying—is its private nature, which allows the bully to regulate their behavior by not having to worry about being caught. As a result, the bully may act in ways they wouldn't in a face-to-face meeting, in contrast to traditional bullying, where the identity of the bully is known.

According to Limber and Agatson [23], in traditional bullying, the bully's identity is known to outside observers. However, the cyberbully may remain anonymous to both the victim and other spectators while they are online. When it comes to cyberbullying, bullies may quickly and remarkably spread their harsh remarks and inappropriate photos to a large audience. These images can also be difficult to remove from the internet [24]. However, traditional bullying often targets a certain demographic. The most unfortunate aspect about cyberbullying is that it is so hard to manage or regulate. Since bullying of this type may occur outside of schools, there is nowhere safe for individuals to go, not even inside of their own residences [9].

Cyberbullying negatively impacts the psychological health of young people [25]. Psychological well-being was defined by Notar and Padgett [26] as the best possible abilities and experiences for a person. This can also be viewed as the subjective assessment of one's mental state as being wealthy, healthy, or content, and as a whole to represent mood and quality of life [27]. Mesch [28] asserts that cyberbullying endangers young people's psychological, physical, and emotional wellness as well as the possibility of moral and ethical decline in society.

C. Causes of Cyber Bullying

Implementing preventive measures for cyberbullying and victimization will be made easier for parents, trainers, and therapists with more knowledge about the use of technology. Calvete et al. [29] found a substantial correlation between cyberbullying and the use of assertive aggression, the rationalization of abuse, exposure to violence, and a lower perception of friends' social support. Cyberbullying may also stem from jealousy, bias and discrimination towards people with disabilities, religion, gender, arrogance, guilt, and wrath. [30].

Mesch [28] asserts that relationship issues (such as breakups, envy, intolerance, and ganging up) are the main causes of cyberbullying as victims suffer greatly from it, particularly in terms of their social well-being; and schools'

and students' ineffective, inappropriate, or absentee responses are typically the result [31]. According to Sahin [32], there is a strong link between loneliness in teenagers and their propensity to become cyber-victims. According to two research projects by Smith et al. [33], cyberbullying is reported more outside of schools than inside, and it is less common than conventional bullying but nonetheless noticeable.

Bullying by text and phone calls was more common than bullying via instant messaging [32]. Their effects were thought to be similar to those of conventional bullying. Bullying by cell phone or video clip, however less common, was thought to have a more detrimental effect. Most cyberbullying, according to the Smith et al., [33] were committed by one or a small number of kids, often from the same year group. Usually lasting just a week or two, but sometimes lasting much longer.

III. LEGAL IMPLICATION OF CYBER BULLYING

The laws now in place that deal with harassment, stalking, and defamation often encompass cyberbullying. These rules could not, however, always provide victims with sufficient protection or take into account the details of online abuse. According to Patchin and Hinduja [4], there are just ten states in the US that have legislation specifically targeting cyberbullying, which leaves many victims with few legal options. Furthermore, it may be difficult to identify and bring criminal charges against offenders due to jurisdictional concerns and the anonymity provided by the internet [34].

Furthermore, social media companies have come under fire for how they have handled incidents of cyberbullying. Although harassment and abuse are prohibited under the terms of service on many sites, these restrictions are not always applied routinely or successfully. Moreover, victims may find it challenging to hold social media firms responsible for damages caused by their users due to the legal safeguards granted to them [11]. There are two approaches to cyberbullying in criminal law: one is that harassment, a primary kind of cyberbullying, is illegal under the criminal code. When someone makes you worry for your safety or the safety of others, it is considered harassment [1]. If the target feels frightened, the offender may still face harassment charges even if they had no intention of frightening them. The maximum sentence for criminal harassment is ten years in jail.

It is criminal to publish private photos of a person, without their permission [35]. This covers both "being irresponsible as to whether or not that person gave their consent to that conduct" and "purposefully spreading an image in which the person is nude, is exposing his or her genital organs or anal region or her breasts or is engaged in explicit sexual activity" [35, p.11]. Freedom of speech is protected under Section 2 of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms [36]. Also, in the US freedom of speech is protected under the first amendment to the US constitution which serves as a platform of freedom of expression in the United States, protecting individual's right to speak and write without the interference of the government.

Proposing a federal bill that makes cyberbullying illegal entails striking a careful balance between keeping bullies accountable and defending First Amendment rights, according to the United States. This balance, in turn, may lead to broad, ambiguous statutes that courts might eventually declare unconstitutional [37]. Therefore, the legislation must be explicit and precise about what behavior is criminalized in order to serve as a foundation for government efforts to fight cyberbullying. The only way to uphold these rights and establish responsibility is to make repeated instances of cyberbullying illegal. In addition, the legislation needs to provide amendments based on the ages of the offender and victim. The First amendment Act should provide a separate law when the cyberbully is older than twenty-one, similar to the New Jersey cyber-harassment felony [38]. This addition would punish adults much more, as they are the ones who should be aware of the harm that cyberbullying can do to children—like the adult in the Megan Meier case where a 13-year-old girl named Meier died by suicide after experiencing cyber bullying [38].

Schools have the power to handle student cyberbullying in educational environments by enforcing disciplinary actions. Numerous governments have put laws into place that expressly target bullying, including cyberbullying, in educational settings. If caught engaged in such behavior, students may be suspended or expelled in addition to receiving warnings and counselling [39]. Schools are also required to look into allegations of cyberbullying as soon as they are reported, and to provide victims and offenders support services [40].

By showing outside parties that the schools are aware of the potential threat posed by cyberbullying and are taking appropriate precautions to handle and prevent occurrences, this will assist them avoid coming out as purposefully indifferent. There is need for schools to revise their regulations as regards bullying, for instance, to take into consideration its internet form. To provide even more incentive, official legislation is being pursued in many states to require schools to update their policies against harassment and bullying and include electronic forms [41].

Victims of cyberbullying are entitled to file civil cases against their attackers. In this case, claims for slander, infringement of confidentiality, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and other types of damages may be made [8]. Cyberbullying victims have the option to pursue claims for any financial losses or additional harm they may have suffered in addition to seeking recompense for the trauma they have experienced. In the case where personal data is leaked or misused, offenders may also be charged with deliberate infliction of mental distress or violation of privacy. In certain situations, civil culpability may lead to the victim receiving financial damages as payment for the damages incurred [4].

IV. ETHICAL IMPLICATION OF CYBER BULLYING

The study of ethics examines moral principles and the motivations behind moral and immoral choices. It focuses on the reasons behind people's actions, taking into account their sense of morality, origins, and society's norms, laws, and common values [42]. Important ethical questions about privacy, free expression, and accountability are also brought up by cyberbullying. Because of the anonymity provided by the internet, people may participate in behaviors that they otherwise may not have, which increases the prevalence of cyberbullying [43].

Some of the ethical concerns raised due to cyberbullying include post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), invasion of personal privacy, and emotional stress, and self-inflicted assault. In addition, it has also led to truancy, lack of concentration in class, low self-esteem, poor grades, and poor social and psychological adjustment in children. Studies also proved that there are other psychological experiences suffered by the victims of cyberbullying. According to Mishna and Solomon [44], they tend to get emotional quickly; have an inclination not to have friends and live in social isolation; and they cannot fight back from any verbal or physical assault. Normally, the victims face loneliness, worry, and low self-esteem [45].

Cyberbullying is a misuse of power that often takes place in hierarchical contexts, such as between peers or those in positions of power. To use these disparities for the purpose of intimidating or causing damage to others is against the moral precepts of equality, justice, and fairness. 'Invasion of privacy' is another key ethical implication associated with cyberbullying. In most circumstances, cyberbullying may involve the release of personal information or secret life-related issues about a person in an illegal manner. In this case, breach of privacy is involved, and it defies the ethical practice of autonomy and consent where one is supposed to have control of their information or online personality [46].

The bystander effect in cyberbullying presents serious moral conundrums from an ethical perspective. Because of societal pressure, fear of reprisals, or general indifference, witnesses to online harassment may be reluctant to step in and stop abusive behavior. However, bystanders contribute to a culture of complicity and moral apathy by essentially condoning and enabling the continuance of cyberbullying by standing silent in the face of injustice [47]. Therefore, in addition to those who commit abuse, bystanders also have ethical responsibilities, and they should be proactive in challenging and preventing cyberbullying.

The possibility of bloodshed, conflict, and societal problems exists with cyberbullying. Addressing cyberbullying has several restrictions. According to Hinduja and Patchin [48], there are two main obstacles that make it difficult to stop cyberbullying: a lack of technological know-how and a carefree attitude. The issue of nonchalance is shown by the fact that, despite the fact that cyberbullying has been a problem for many years worldwide, some individuals fail to

see the damage that it does and the effects it has on kids' moral and academic lives [49]. Violence, conflict, and societal ills are possible threats posed by cyberbullying. Two major obstacles that make it difficult to stop cyberbullying are a lack of technological know-how and a carefree attitude [50]. Even though cyberbullying has been an issue for many years worldwide, some individuals fail to see the damage that it does and the effects it has on kids' behavioral and educational development.

V. CHALLENGES OF CYBER BULLYING FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT

One of the major challenges associated with cyberbullying is privacy concerns when drafting regulations and laws against cyberbullying. Enforcement of laws that are supposed to stop cyberbullying could in most cases lead to infringement of people's right to privacy due to heightening monitoring. It is quite difficult for the legislators to strike a balance between the necessity of respect for privacy and the necessity of protection for the victims. The problem lies in the fact that regulatory bodies might accidentally intrude into the privacy of people. While efforts to stop the prevalence of cyberbullying might lead to intrusion into the web of private users' conversation and interaction.

Surveillance of online activity is meant to detect and reduce cases of cyber-bullying. This interference has the potential to damage people's trust in the internet. Jurisdictional complexities are another challenge associated with cyberbullying. It goes beyond geographical and legal limits of a country, thus very hard to regulate and enforce laws over these forms of bullies [49]. Traditional bullying has geographical limits within the physical grounds. Cyberbullying incidents, on the other hand, can encompass people from a number of nations or regions and not restricted to a particular geographic location. This is very complex multijurisdictional arrangements, and it's a little bit cumbersome to hold offenders to account and provide victims necessary protections and solutions [51].

Basically, the process of determining the legal body that has the right to investigate and punish crime where the offender and the victims live under different jurisdictions is a process that is always difficult and challenging, and so is the critical review of the applicable laws and international treaties. The use of Anonymous and fake accounts by perpetrators makes it difficult to track and identify its (cyber bullying) major source. It is therefore important for stakeholders and policymakers to look into technological innovations and collaborate with web platforms in order to improve transparency while respecting the privacy of its users. This can be achieved through creating a system that would enable verification of user identity, enable responsive and prompt reporting and moderating tools as well as creating an enabling environment that fosters an online friendly environment [33].

VI. OPPORTUNITIES FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT

Adoption of policy to help fight cyberbullying offers various opportunities towards protecting healthy maintenance status based on the lives of the people as well as healthy cyber environment. A study by Ayas et al. [52] focused on relevant strategies on the prevention and interventions which should help curb the existence of cyberbullying, which had a negative effect on an individual. From the results, the focus should be more on individual and education with regards to cyberbullying.

Furthermore, these Awareness programs are reported to interest adolescents from several countries, which have been put together and designed to combat cyberbullying (e.g., KiVA, Media Heroes Program). Programs that focus on victim's empathy, anti-bullying attitudes and preparing the participants to make them aware of online safety and threats show positive results for the participants [52]. The prevention programs may help to blossom the self-support mechanism among the victims so that they are not re-victimized or self-harmed again [53].

Another opportunity created as a result of policy development is the incorporation of Digital Citizenship, which offers an individual the ability to perform in a moral, and responsible way as they make use of the internet. One who practices the ethical use of technology and is aware of how to use various media platforms and other technical tools (Bailey, 2004). Digital citizens refer to people using the internet to reserve knowledge, communicate, socialize, get help on difficult situations, express opinion, and increase information. Digital citizenship is a recent movement in education, in which countries are now focusing across the globe on a new era in education, preparing young people to use digital technology and the web wisely [54].

Support services, another option which would enable amendments to ensure a passing of laws that will ensure that victims are getting support services in the counselling sessions, mental health, and even in availing legal aid. All education institutions would have to confirm support from mental health experts and other community groups with the aim of availing all the required support that is ideal for the individual victim of cyberbullying [55]. Victims of cyberbullying as a matter of policy also need to be administered counselling services by professional psychologists and specialist counsellors who will help manage stress caused by the misuse of cyberspace facilities. It can be in the form of group counselling, one to one counselling, or even the formation of support groups for victims of cyberbullying.

VII. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Cyberbullying has legal and ethical implication which may cause very serious long-term consequences for society. There is therefore need for much more advanced legal frameworks and law enforcement activities both for the sake of the victims' protection and

punishment of the offenders. It would be pertinent to mention that the importance of education and awareness cannot be overemphasized in bringing an end to the consequences surrounding cyberbullying.

This underscores an urgent need for appropriate education and awareness which would enhance measures taken to mitigate against cyberbullying. It also brings out the importance of counselling and legal aid among other support services that may be required in helping victims of cyberbullying. It, therefore, calls for the formulation of a far-reaching dimension of policy and action in the bid to grapple with some of the daunting experiences that come as a result of cyberbullying. The rise of cyberbullying is impacting negatively on the overall wellbeing of individuals including their sense of safety as regards the online environment.

Governments, educators, and all persons concerned should participate in the process of creating policies that will guide prevention, education, support services, and strengthening regulations to ensure that the online space is safer and all-inclusive. Developing digital literacy and empathy, and having clear boundaries and repercussions, are likely means to ensure the prevention of cyberbullying as well as fostering healthy online behavior.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Lafferty, J. C. (2014). Anonymity, the Internet, and the legal challenges of cyberstalking. *Berkeley Technology Law Journal*, 29(1), 175-222.
- [2]. Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2018). Connecting Adolescent Suicide to the Severity of Bullying and Cyberbullying. *Journal of School Violence*, 17(1), 7–21.
- [3]. Baker, C., & Jansen, H. (2014). Cyberbullying behavior: A descriptive study of online aggressors in a racially diverse sample. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 35(3), 204-213.
- [4]. Patchin, J. W., & Hinduja, S. (2018). Developing a comprehensive and effective approach to addressing cyberbullying: Insights from focus groups. *International Journal of Bullying Prevention*, 1(1), 33-42.
- [5]. Miller, J. D., & Hufstedler, S. M. (2009). Cyberbullying knows no borders. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the Australian Teacher Education Association (ATEA), Albury, Australia.
- [6]. Mason, K. L. (2008). Cyberbullying: A preliminary assessment for school personnel. *Psychology in the Schools*, 45(4), 323-348.
- [7]. Bartlett, C. P., Gentile, D. A., Anderson, C. A., Suzuki, K., Sakamoto, A., Yamaoka, A., & Katsura, R. (2014). Cross-cultural differences in cyberbullying behavior: A short-term longitudinal study. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 45(2), 300-313.
- [8]. Ybarra, M. L., & Mitchell, J. K. (2004). Online aggressors or targets, aggressors and targets: A comparison of associated youth characteristics. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45, 1308-1316.
- [9]. Willard, N. E. (2007). The authority and responsibility of school officials in responding to cyberbullying. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 41(6), 564-565.
- [10]. Willard, N. (2014). An educator's guide to cyber bullying and cyber threats. Retrieved from www.accem.org/pdf/cbcteducator.pdf.
- [11]. Shultz, L., Royse, D., & McGrath, M. (2021). Cyberbullying, school bullying, and psychological distress: A regional census of high school students. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Trauma*, 14(3), 359-370.
- [12]. Belsey, B. (2004). Always on, always aware. On-line: https://cyberbullying.ca/pdf/Cyberbullying_Information.pdf.
- [13]. Bergmann, M. C., & Baier, D. (2018). Prevalence and correlates of cyberbullying perpetration: Findings from a German representative student survey. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 15(2), 274.
- [14]. Juvonen, J., & Gross, E. F. (2008). Extending the school grounds? – Bullying experiences in cyberspace. *Journal of School Health*, 78(9), 496-505.
- [15]. Wade, A., & Beran, T. (2011). Cyberbullying: The new era of bullying. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 26(1), 44-61.
- [16]. Wolak, J., Mitchell, K., & Finkelhor, D. (2007). Does online harassment constitute bullying? An exploration of online harassment by known peers and online-only contacts. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 41, 551-558.
- [17]. Bhatta, M. P., Shakya, S., & Jefferis, E. (2014). Association of being bullied in school with suicide ideation and planning among rural middle school adolescents. *Journal of School Health*, 84(11), 731-738.
- [18]. Davison, B & Stein, M (2014). Cyberbullying: Causes, effects, and remedies. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 47(5), 652-665.
- [19]. Dempsey, A. G., Sulkowski, M. L., Nichols, R., & Storch, E. A. (2009). Differences between peer victimization in cyber and physical settings and associated psychosocial adjustment in early adolescence. *Psychology in the Schools*, 46, 962-972.
- [20]. Akcil, S. (2018). Cyberbullying victimization, acculturative stress, and depression among international college students (Doctoral dissertation, Kent State University).
- [21]. Popovac, M., & Leoschut, L. (2012). Cyber bullying in South Africa: Impact and responses. Centre for Justice and Crime Prevention, South Africa.
- [22]. Meter, D. J., & Bauman, S. (2018). Moral disengagement about cyberbullying and parental monitoring: Effects on traditional bullying and victimization via cyberbullying involvement. *The Journal of Early Adolescence*, 38(3), 303-326.
- [23]. Limber, S. P., & Agatson, P. W. (2008). Cyberbullying: A Prevention Curriculum. In R. K. Piper, K. Killen, & M. G. Gibbs (Eds.), *Managing cyber risks: Strategies for protecting your business* (pp. 191–206). John Wiley & Sons.
- [24]. Belsey, B. (2007). Cyber bullying: A real and growing threat. *ATA Magazine*, 88(1), 14-21.
- [25]. Hertz, M. F., & Wright, J. (2013). Cyberbullying: An Overlooked Issue in School Violence. *The American Journal of Family Therapy*, 41(1), 53–63.

- [26]. Notar, C. E., & Padgett, S. (2013). Adults' role in bullying. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 1(4), 294-297.
- [27]. Dzuka, J., & Dalbert, C. (2000). Well-being as a psychological indicator of health in old age: A research agenda. *Studies Psychology*, 42, 61-70
- [28]. Mesch, G. (2009). Parental mediation, online activities and cyber bullying. *Cyberpsychology & Behaviour*, 12(4), 387-393.
- [29]. Calvete, E., Orue, I., Estévez, A., Villardón, L., & Padilla, P. (2010). Cyberbullying in adolescents: Modalities and spectrum disorders (ASDs) in mainstream schools: A qualitative study. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 10(2), 82-90.
- [30]. Manstead, S., & Livingstone, L. (2011). EU Kids Online: Final report. London, UK: London School of Economics and Political Science
- [31]. Hoff, D. L., & Mitchell, S. N. (2009). Cyberbullying: Causes, effects, and remedies. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 47(5), 652-665.
- [32]. Şahin, M. (2012). The relationship between cyberbullying/cybervictimization and loneliness among adolescents. *Children & Youth Services Review*, 34(4), 834-837.
- [33]. Smith, P. K., Mahdavi, J., Carvalho, M., Fisher, S., Russell, S., & Tippett, N. (2008). Cyberbullying: Its nature and impact in secondary school pupils. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*, 49(4), 376-385.
- [34]. Choi, M. (2016). Understanding cyberbullying behaviors among university students: Application of the theory of planned behavior. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 56, 44-50.
- [35]. Government of Canada. (2015). Protecting Canadians from online crime act (S.C. 2014, c. 31). Retrieved from https://laws-lois.justice.gc.ca/eng/annualstatutes/2014_31/page-1.html
- [36]. Cameron, L., Rutland, A., & Blake, B. (2019). Who Are You Blocking? The Impact of Peer Relationships on Cyberbullying in Primary School. *Aggressive Behavior*, 45(6), 704-716.
- [37]. Colo, L. (2022). Bullying in the Digital Age: A Critical Review and Meta-Analysis of Cyberbullying Research Among Youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(12), 1343–1372
- [38]. West. K.B (2022). Cyberbullying Behaviors Among Middle and High School Students. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 87(4), 362–370.
- [39]. Mishna, L & Daciuk, J.K (2022). Bullying in the Digital Age: A Critical Review and Meta-Analysis of Cyberbullying Research Among Youth. *Psychological Bulletin*, 145(10), 1073–1137
- [40]. Li, Q. (2007). New bottle but old wine: A research of cyberbullying in schools. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 23(4), 1777-1791.
- [41]. Surdin, A. (2009). Protecting children from cyberbullying: International legal and policy perspectives. *University of New South Wales Law Journal*, 32(2), 490-526.
- [42]. Monday, J., & Oei, T. P. (2020). Cyberbullying and face-to-face bullying in adolescent suicide: The role of moral disengagement, moral emotions, and morality. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(2), 465.
- [43]. Kowalski, R. M., Limber, S. P., & Agatston, P. W. (2013). *Cyberbullying: Bullying in the digital age*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [44]. Mishna, F., Saini, M., & Solomon, S. (2009). Ongoing and online: Children and youth's perceptions of cyber bullying. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 31(12), 1222-1228.
- [45]. Beran, T., & Li, Q. (2007). The relationship between cyberbullying and school bullying. *Journal of Student Wellbeing*, 1(2), 15-33.
- [46]. Grigg, D. W. (2010). Cyber-aggression: Definition and concept of cyberbullying. *Australian Journal of Guidance and Counselling*, 20(2), 143-156
- [47]. Walker, C. M., & Sockman, B. R. (2019). Standing by or standing up: Exploring the bystander behaviour of teachers in the context of cyberbullying. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 21(1), 1-13.
- [48]. Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2014). Cyberbullying: Identification, Prevention, and Response. Cyberbullying research center. <http://www.cyberbullying.us>
- [49]. Slonje, R., & Smith, P. K. (2019). Cyberbullying: Another main type of bullying? *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 49(2), 147-154.
- [50]. Hinduja and Patchin (2018) Hinduja, S., & Patchin, J. W. (2018). Cyberbullying: Identification, Prevention, and Response. Cyberbullying research center. <http://www.cyberbullying.org>
- [51]. Dredge, R. (2014). Cyberbullying and the law: A review of the legal challenges surrounding cyberbullying. In R. Dredge (Ed.), *Cyberbullying: Prevalence, consequences, and prevention* (pp. 55-76). Nova Science Publishers.
- [52]. Ayas, T., Horzum, M. B., & Kızıltoprak, H. (2015). Cyberbullying and victimization among Turkish university students. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 52, 14-22.
- [53]. Cross, D., Barnes, A., Papageorgiou, A., Hadwen, K., Hearn, L., & Lester, L. (2015). A social-ecological framework for understanding and reducing cyberbullying behaviours. *Aggression and Violent Behaviour*, 24(4), 109-117.
- [54]. Gerhard, A. M. (2017). Cyberbullying and the theory of planned behaviour: A study of college students' intentions to intervene. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 72, 431-437.
- [55]. Kowalski, R. M., Limber, S. P., & Agatston, P. W. (2012). *Cyberbullying: Bullying in the digital age* (2nd ed.). Wiley Blackwell