Digital Distributed Selves: Reconceptualizing Personhood in the Age of Social Media

Vatsal Tewari
Centre for the Study of Social Systems
Jawaharlal Nehru University
New Delhi, India

Arpita Mishra
Centre for the Study of Social Systems
Jawaharlal Nehru University
New Delhi, India

Abstract:- This article introduces the concept of "digital distributed personhood" as a theoretical framework for understanding how digital technologies and social media are reshaping experiences of selfhood across cultures. Drawing on recent work in psychological anthropology and digital ethnography, we argue that personhood in the digital age is increasingly characterized by multiplicity, networked relationality, algorithmic co-construction, digital embodiment, temporal flexibility, and cultural hybridity. Through an analysis of ethnographic case studies from diverse cultural contexts, we demonstrate how this framework manifests in everyday life. The article challenges traditional anthropological models of bounded, stable personhood and proposes a more dynamic understanding that accounts for the complex interplay between individuals, digital platforms, and cultural contexts. We discuss implications for psychological anthropology, including the need to rethink fundamental assumptions about self, identity, and social relationships in light of digital realities. The article concludes by suggesting directions for future research, emphasizing the importance of longitudinal and cross-cultural studies, developmental perspectives, and investigations into the mental health implications of digital distributed personhood.

Keywords:- *Personhood, Digital Anthropology, Psychological Anthropology, Social Media, Identity, Selfhood.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In the era of pervasive digital technologies and social media, traditional anthropological conceptions of personhood are being profoundly challenged and reshaped. This article examines how the ubiquity of digital platforms is fostering new forms of "distributed personhood" that transcend conventional cultural models of self and identity. Drawing on recent work in psychological anthropology, we argue that social media and digital technologies are not merely tools that individuals use, but are instead becoming integral components of the self, creating novel psychological experiences and social dynamics that demand new theoretical frameworks. The concept of personhood has long been a central concern in anthropology, with scholars exploring its cultural variability (Mauss, 1985; Geertz, 1974). However, the rapid proliferation of digital technologies in the 21st century has outpaced our theoretical understanding of their impact on selfhood and identity. While some scholars have explored the effects of digital media on social relationships (Miller et al., 2016) or the presentation of self online (Boellstorff, 2008), less attention has been paid to how these technologies are fundamentally altering the cognitive and emotional processes that constitute personhood itself.

This article seeks to address this gap by proposing a new theoretical framework of "digital distributed personhood." We argue that social media platforms and digital technologies have become constitutive elements of the self, distributing aspects of personhood across digital networks in ways that challenge traditional notions of boundedness, continuity, and agency. This distributed model of personhood has significant implications for how individuals experience themselves, relate to others, and navigate cultural contexts. Our argument builds on recent work in psychological anthropology that has emphasized the relational and contextual nature of selfhood (Hollan, 2014; Throop, 2015). However, we extend these insights to account for the unique affordances of digital technologies. We draw particularly on Luhrmann's (2020) concept of "mind-culture interactions" to explore how digital environments shape cognitive processes and emotional experiences in culturally specific ways.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: DIGITAL DISTRIBUTED PERSONHOOD

Drawing on the literature reviewed, we propose the concept of "digital distributed personhood" as a framework for understanding how digital technologies and social media are reshaping experiences of selfhood across cultures. This theoretical model posits that in the digital age, personhood is increasingly distributed across online and offline spaces, creating a form of selfhood that is more fluid, multi-faceted, and context-dependent than traditional anthropological models have recognized. At the core of this framework is the notion of multiplicity and fragmentation. Digital platforms allow individuals to present different facets of themselves in various online contexts, potentially leading to a fragmented sense of self that challenges notions of a unitary, coherent personhood (Gergen, 2000). This multiplicity goes beyond mere self-presentation, fundamentally altering how individuals experience and construct their identities.

Building on Strathern's (1988) concept of dividual personhood, we argue that digital selves are constituted through their connections and interactions within online networks. The boundaries of the self become permeable, with aspects of personhood distributed across social media connections and digital interactions. This networked relationality suggests a form of selfhood that is inherently social and interconnected, challenging individualistic notions of personhood. Furthermore, we propose that personhood is increasingly co-constructed through interactions with algorithmic systems. Drawing on Seaver's (2017) anthropology of algorithms, we argue that recommendation algorithms, targeted advertising, and content curation actively shape individuals' digital experiences and, by extension, their sense of self. This algorithmic co-construction of personhood raises important questions about agency and the role of technology in shaping human cognition and experience.

Digital technologies also create new forms of embodied experience. Extending Csordas's (2015) phenomenological approach to embodiment, we explore how virtual and augmented reality technologies, as well as social media avatars, offer novel ways of experiencing and presenting the self that blur the boundaries between physical and digital embodiment. This digital embodiment challenges traditional notions of presence and physicality in the construction of personhood. The temporal dimension of digital distributed personhood is also significant. Digital platforms allow for asynchronous communication and the persistence of past digital traces, creating a form of personhood that exists across multiple temporalities simultaneously. This challenges linear notions of biographical selfhood and creates new forms of temporal experience (Boellstorff, 2008), requiring us to rethink how time and memory factor into the construction of identity.

Finally, as individuals engage with global digital platforms, they negotiate between local cultural understandings of personhood and globalized digital norms. This process of cultural hybridity in digital spaces leads to new, syncretic forms of selfhood that merge diverse cultural influences (Hermans & Kempen, 1998). The resulting digital personhood is thus a complex amalgamation of local and global, traditional and contemporary elements.

III. METHODS

Our analysis draws upon a carefully curated selection of ethnographic studies that illuminate various aspects of digital distributed personhood across diverse cultural contexts. The selection process for these studies was guided by the following criteria:

- Relevance: Studies were chosen based on their explicit focus on digital technology use and its impact on identity, selfhood, or social relationships.
- Cultural diversity: We intentionally selected studies from different geographical regions and cultural contexts to capture a wide range of experiences with digital technologies.

- Methodological rigor: Priority was given to studies employing in-depth ethnographic methods, including participant observation and extended fieldwork, to ensure rich, contextual data.
- Recency: Given the rapidly evolving nature of digital technologies, we focused primarily on studies conducted within the last decade (2010-2020) to ensure contemporary relevance.
- Theoretical contribution: We selected studies that not only provided empirical data but also offered theoretical insights into the nature of digital selfhood and identity.

The analysis of these ethnographic studies followed a qualitative, interpretive approach. We employed a thematic analysis method, which involved the following steps:
- Close reading: Each selected study was carefully read and re-read to gain a comprehensive understanding of its findings and arguments.
- Coding: Key concepts, patterns, and themes related to digital personhood were identified and coded across the studies.
- Theme development: Codes were grouped and refined into broader themes that aligned with our theoretical framework of digital distributed personhood.
- Cross-case analysis: We compared and contrasted findings across different studies to identify commonalities, differences, and patterns in how digital distributed personhood manifests across various cultural contexts.
- Theoretical integration: The identified themes and patterns were then integrated with our theoretical framework, allowing us to refine and extend the concept of digital distributed personhood.

This methodological approach allowed us to synthesize insights from diverse ethnographic studies, providing a robust empirical foundation for our theoretical arguments while maintaining sensitivity to cultural specificity and individual experiences.

IV. ETHNOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE

To illustrate the concept of digital distributed personhood and its manifestations across cultures, we draw upon several ethnographic case studies that highlight different aspects of our theoretical framework. Miller's (2011) study of social media use among young adults in Trinidad observed how individuals carefully curated multiple online personas across different platforms. Facebook was used for presenting a respectable, family-friendly self, while other platforms like Instagram were used for more provocative self-expression. This multiplicity wasn't perceived as inauthentic by participants, but rather as a natural extension of the different social contexts they navigate in offline life. Boellstorff's (2015) long-term ethnography of the virtual world Second Life provides compelling evidence for the concept of digital embodiment. Users in Second Life, through their avatars, developed a deep sense of presence and embodied experience in the virtual world. Many reported feeling that their avatar was an integral

part of their identity, blurring the boundaries between physical and digital selfhood.

Venkatraman's (2017) work in southern India explores how young people negotiate between traditional social norms and the affordances of social media. He found that individuals often used social media to explore aspects of identity that were constrained in their offline lives, particularly around gender roles and romantic relationships. This illustrates the cultural hybridity aspect of digital distributed personhood, where individuals navigate between local cultural understandings and global digital norms in constructing their online selves. Madianou and Miller's (2012) study of transnational Filipino families provides insight into the temporal flexibility of digital distributed personhood. They found that social media and messaging apps allowed family members to maintain a sense of co-presence despite physical separation, creating a form of "ambient intimacy" that transcended traditional notions of time and space in relationships.

Kant's (2020) work on algorithmic personalization examined how individuals in the United States interact with and understand recommendation algorithms on platforms like Netflix and Spotify. She found that users often incorporated these algorithmic recommendations into their sense of self, viewing them as reflections of their tastes and preferences. This study provides evidence for the algorithmic co-construction of personhood, highlighting how individuals' sense of self is increasingly shaped through interactions with digital systems.

V. DISCUSSION

The concept of digital distributed personhood, as illustrated through our theoretical framework and supported by ethnographic evidence, has profound implications for our understanding of selfhood, identity, and human experience in the digital age. This perspective challenges us to reconsider fundamental assumptions in psychological anthropology and opens up new avenues for research and theory development. One of the primary implications of our framework is the need to move beyond the notion of a bounded, unitary self that has long dominated Western psychological and anthropological thought. The multiplicity and fragmentation observed in digital spaces suggest that individuals are capable of maintaining and expressing various aspects of self simultaneously, without necessarily experiencing psychological distress or inauthenticity. This calls for a more nuanced understanding of psychological well-being that can account for the potential benefits of a distributed, multifaceted selfhood (Gergen, 2000).

The networked relationality aspect of digital distributed personhood challenges individualistic models of personhood and cognition. As Hutchins (1995) argued in his work on distributed cognition, our cognitive processes extend beyond the individual mind to encompass our social and technological environments. Our framework suggests that this distribution now includes digital networks and platforms, fundamentally altering how we think, remember, and construct our sense of self. This has important implications for how we conceptualize agency, decision-making, and personal responsibility in an era where our cognition is increasingly entangled with digital systems.

The phenomenon of digital embodiment raises intriguing questions about the nature of presence and the role of the body in shaping our sense of self. As virtual and augmented reality technologies become more prevalent, we may need to expand our understanding of embodied cognition to account for these new forms of digital corporeality. This could have significant implications for fields such as phenomenological psychiatry, which has traditionally emphasized the centrality of the lived body in mental health and illness (Fuchs, 2005). The temporal flexibility afforded by digital technologies challenges linear, narrative-based models of identity formation. The persistence of past digital traces alongside ongoing identity performances creates a form of personhood that exists across multiple temporalities simultaneously. This suggests the need for new theoretical approaches that can account for this complex temporal dimensionality of digital selfhood.

The cultural hybridity observed in digital spaces highlights the ongoing negotiation between local cultural norms and global digital influences in shaping personhood. This underscores the importance of considering both cultural specificity and transcultural processes in our analyses of contemporary selfhood. It also raises questions about the potential homogenizing effects of global digital platforms and the ways in which individuals and communities resist or adapt these influences. From a methodological perspective, the concept of digital distributed personhood calls for innovative research approaches that can capture the complexity of contemporary selfhood. Multi-sited ethnographies that span online and offline contexts, digital ethnography techniques, and methods for analyzing algorithmic influences on selfhood will be crucial for future research in this area.

In terms of mental health and well-being, our framework suggests the need for a reevaluation of how we conceptualize and approach psychological distress in the digital age. Traditional notions of identity integration or fragmentation may need to be reconsidered in light of the multiplicity inherent in digital distributed personhood. Moreover, the role of digital technologies in shaping mental health experiences – both as potential sources of distress and as tools for healing and connection – requires further exploration (Kirmayer et al., 2013). Finally, our theoretical framework has ethical implications that extend beyond the realm of academic inquiry. As digital technologies become increasingly integrated into our experiences of selfhood, questions of data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the corporate ownership of digital platforms become intimately tied to issues of personal autonomy and self-determination. Psychological anthropologists have a crucial role to play in elucidating these ethical dimensions and informing policy discussions around digital rights and mental health.

VI. CONCLUSION

This article has introduced the concept of digital distributed personhood as a theoretical framework for understanding the profound ways in which digital technologies and social media are reshaping experiences of selfhood across cultures. By synthesizing insights from psychological anthropology, digital ethnography, and related fields, we have argued that personhood in the digital age is increasingly characterized by multiplicity, networked relationality, algorithmic co-construction, digital embodiment, temporal flexibility, and cultural hybridity. Our analysis reveals that traditional anthropological models of personhood, which often emphasize boundedness and stability, are insufficient for capturing the fluid and distributed nature of contemporary digital selves. Instead, we propose a more dynamic and multifaceted understanding of personhood that accounts for the complex interplay between individuals, digital platforms, and cultural contexts.

The ethnographic evidence presented supports our theoretical framework, demonstrating how individuals across diverse cultural settings are negotiating and expressing their identities in digital spaces. From the multiple online personas of Trinidadian youth to the embodied experiences of Second Life users, and from the cultural negotiations of young Indians to the algorithmic co-construction of self among American media consumers, these case studies illustrate the varied manifestations of digital distributed personhood. This research contributes to psychological anthropology by advancing our theoretical understanding of personhood, challenging fundamental assumptions about the nature of self, identity, and social relationships, and opening up new avenues for exploring the intersections of culture, cognition, and technology in an increasingly interconnected world.

Looking forward, several promising directions for future research emerge from this study. These include longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of digital distributed personhood, cross-cultural comparative studies, developmental perspectives on digital selfhood, neuroscientific approaches, investigations into mental health implications, and research addressing ethical and policy considerations. As psychological anthropologists, we are uniquely positioned to elucidate the cultural dimensions of these changes and to contribute critical perspectives to ongoing debates about the future of human-technology interactions.

In conclusion, the concept of digital distributed personhood offers a valuable framework for understanding the complex ways in which human experience is being reshaped in the digital age. By continuing to explore and theorize the nature of personhood in digital contexts, we can deepen our understanding of what it means to be human in an increasingly technologically mediated world. As we navigate the challenges and opportunities presented by our digital future, the insights gained from this line of inquiry will be crucial in fostering more nuanced, culturally informed approaches to technology design, mental health care, education, and policy-making. The concept of digital distributed personhood not only advances our academic understanding but also has the potential to shape how we approach the very real, practical challenges of living in a digital world.

REFERENCES

[1]. Boellstorff, T. (2008). Coming of age in Second Life: An anthropologist explores the virtually human. Princeton University Press.

[2]. Boellstorff, T. (2015). The digital anthropology of Second Life. Journal of Virtual Worlds Research, 8(3), 1-15.

[3]. Costa, E. (2016). Social media in southeast Turkey: Love, kinship and politics. UCL Press.

[4]. Csordas, T. J. (2015). Toward a cultural phenomenology of body-world relations. In M. Lambek (Ed.), A companion to the anthropology of the body and embodiment (pp. 263-278). Wiley-Blackwell.

[5]. Fuchs, T. (2005). Corporealized and disembodied minds: A phenomenological view of the body in melancholia and schizophrenia. Philosophy, Psychiatry, & Psychology, 12(2), 95-107.

[6]. Geertz, C. (1974). "From the native's point of view": On the nature of anthropological understanding. Bulletin of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 28(1), 26-45.

[7]. Gergen, K. J. (2000). The saturated self: Dilemmas of identity in contemporary life. Basic Books.

[8]. Hermans, H. J., & Kempen, H. J. (1998). Moving cultures: The perilous problems of cultural dichotomies in a globalizing society. American Psychologist, 53(10), 1111-1120.

[9]. Hollan, D. (2014). From ghosts to ancestors (and back again): On the cultural and psychodynamic mediation of selfscapes. Ethos, 42(2), 175-197.

[10]. Hutchins, E. (1995). Cognition in the wild. MIT Press.

[11]. Kant, T. (2020). Making it personal: Algorithmic personalization, identity, and everyday life. Oxford University Press.

[12]. Kirmayer, L. J., Raikhel, E., & Rahimi, S. (2013). Cultures of the internet: Identity, community and mental health. Transcultural Psychiatry, 50(2), 165-191.

[13]. Luhrmann, T. M. (2020). Mind and spirit: A comparative theory about representation of mind and the experience of spirit. Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, 26(S1), 9-27.

[14]. Madianou, M., & Miller, D. (2012). Migration and new media: Transnational families and polymedia. Routledge.

[15]. Mauss, M. (1985). A category of the human mind: The notion of person; the notion of self.