

Advances in Minimally Invasive Gynecologic Surgery: Revolutionizing Women's Health

Dr. Manjula S Patil^{1*}

Lead Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Pranavi Nagendra²

Lead Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Harini P Shetty³

Clinical Director and Senior Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Kavitha Lakshmi Easwaran⁴

Senior Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Amulya B⁵

Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Shwetha Yadav⁶

Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Dr. Swetha Vinjamuri⁷

Consultant,

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology,
Ovum Woman and Child Speciality Hospital, Bangalore.

Corresponding Author:- Dr. Manjula S Patil^{1*}

Abstract:- Minimally invasive gynecologic surgery (MIGS) has transformed the management of gynecological conditions, offering patients the advantage of less pain, quicker recovery and improved outcomes compared to traditional open surgeries. This article explores the key advancements in MIGS techniques including laparoscopy, robotic-assisted surgery, and hysteroscopy. It also highlights the latest innovations such as single-port laparoscopy, advanced energy devices and image-guided technologies. The impact of these techniques on women's health, including reduced morbidity, improved fertility outcomes, and enhanced quality of life, is critically examined. The article concludes by discussing future directions for MIGS and its role in global healthcare.

Keywords:- Minimally Invasive Surgery, Gynecology, Laparoscopy, Robotic Surgery, Hysteroscopy, Women's Health.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, minimally invasive gynecologic surgery (MIGS) has revolutionized the approach to treating a wide array of gynecological conditions, including fibroids, endometriosis, pelvic organ prolapse, and cancers. Traditionally, these conditions were managed through open surgeries, which involved larger incisions, longer hospital stays, and more extended recovery periods.

The advent of MIGS however, has offered significant benefits, including smaller incisions, faster recovery, and reduced postoperative pain. This article will review the key advancements in MIGS, the benefits to patient outcomes and the future potential of these techniques in improving women's health globally.

➤ Evolution of Minimally Invasive Gynecologic Surgery

• Laparoscopy: The Cornerstone of MIGS

Laparoscopy has been the foundation of minimally invasive gynecologic surgery. First introduced in the 1970s, it has evolved into a standard approach for a variety of gynecologic procedures, including hysterectomies, myomectomies and ovarian cystectomies. Unlike open surgery, which requires large incisions, laparoscopy involves small incisions through which a camera and instruments are inserted. Nezhat et al. (2018) demonstrated that laparoscopic hysterectomy reduces hospital stay by 30% compared to abdominal hysterectomy.

With continuous advancements in surgical techniques and instrumentation, the indications for laparoscopic surgery have expanded. Today, procedures that were once considered too complex for laparoscopy, such as the removal of large fibroids or extensive endometriosis, and even radical hysterectomies can now be performed with high success rates. This has been made possible by developments in

surgical tools, including advanced energy devices for cutting and coagulation, and endoscopic staplers for bowel resection and improved visualization technologies like 3D camera system.

➤ *Robotic-Assisted Surgery: Enhancing Precision*

The introduction of robotic-assisted gynecologic surgery, particularly with the da Vinci Surgical System, has further refined minimally invasive techniques. Robotic platforms provide enhanced precision, flexibility and control, allowing surgeons to perform complex surgeries through very small incisions. A study by Sarlos et al. (2020) highlighted that robotic-assisted surgery results in less intraoperative blood loss and quicker postoperative recovery than conventional laparoscopy in gynecologic oncology cases.

Robotic-assisted surgery has been especially beneficial in complex cases such as deep infiltrating endometriosis and gynecologic cancers, where precision is paramount. While robotic surgery typically incurs higher costs than conventional laparoscopy, the improved outcomes, reduced need for blood transfusions, and quicker return to daily activities make it a preferred option in specialized centers.

➤ *Hysteroscopy: A Minimally Invasive Approach to Uterine Pathology*

Hysteroscopy has become an invaluable tool in diagnosing and treating intrauterine pathologies such as polyps, submucous fibroids, and adhesions. Unlike laparoscopy and robotic surgery, hysteroscopy is a truly incision-less procedure, where a camera and instruments are inserted through the cervix into the uterine cavity.

According to Gupta et al. (2019), hysteroscopic myomectomy for submucous fibroids offers a fertility-preserving option with significantly lower complication rates than traditional open surgery. Innovations in hysteroscopic technology, such as smaller diameter scopes and bipolar energy devices and hysteroscopic morcellator have enhanced the safety and efficacy of these procedures.

II. KEY INNOVATIONS IN MINIMALLY INVASIVE GYNECOLOGIC SURGERY

➤ *Single-Port Laparoscopy*

Single-port laparoscopy (SPL), also known as laparoendoscopic single-site surgery (LESS) or Single Incision Laparoscopic Surgery (SILS) is an advanced technique where all instruments are inserted through a single incision, typically at the umbilicus. This approach further reduces scarring and postoperative pain. Escobar et al. (2021) found that SPL is associated with lower postoperative pain scores and quicker cosmetic recovery, although it requires advanced technical skills.

SPL has been successfully applied in hysterectomies, oophorectomies, and treatment of endometriosis. However, its steep learning curve and limited instrument mobility compared to traditional laparoscopy have restricted its widespread adoption.

➤ *NOTES - Transvaginal Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery*

NOTES is the emerging MIS in which natural orifices like vagina, rectum, stomach etc are used to gain entry to perform the surgery that obviates the need for skin incision. Transvaginal route is the most popular route of all and is called vNOTES. Till date procedures like hysterectomy, myomectomy and ovarian cystectomy and adnexal surgeries are done using vNOTES. This procedure involves combination of principles of conventional vaginal surgery and the SPL and limitations of vaginal surgery like narrow operating space, working in a dark tunnel and difficulty of operating bigger pathologies are easily overcome by vNOTES. vNOTES is done under general anaesthesia with patient in trendelenberg position. Circumferential incision done at cervicovaginal junction and colostomy done followed by insertion of specially designed device called Gelpoint is introduced which gives a good seal and pneumoperitoneum is created using CO₂ at low pressure of 10 mmHg. Gelpoint will also have ports for all the instruments and telescope. vNOTES comes with the major advantages of lesser pain, no scar, faster recovery and discharge from hospital and best cosmesis.

Systematic review and meta-analysis by Housman S et al (2020) on hysterectomy by vNOTES compared to laparoscopy hysterectomy for benign indications concluded that vNOTES was associated lower operating time, shorter hospital stay, less estimated blood loss, lesser post-op analgesics and lesser complications and similar results were noted when patients were obese and for larger uteri. vNOTES is not the procedure of choice if POD is obliterated by severe bowel endometriosis, stage III and IV prolapse, previous rectal surgery and virginity.

➤ *Advanced Energy Devices*

The use of advanced energy devices, such as bipolar electrosurgical systems, harmonic scalpels, better vessel sealing devices like Ligasure and combination thereof in single instrument like thunderbeat and LASER technologies has revolutionized MIGS. These devices allow for precise tissue dissection and hemostasis, reducing the risk of bleeding and shortening operative times. Brubaker et al. (2018) demonstrated that the use of advanced energy devices in laparoscopic myomectomy significantly reduces intraoperative blood loss and improves surgical outcomes.

➤ *Image-Guided and Augmented Reality Technologies*

Recent advancements in image-guided surgery and augmented reality (AR) are paving the way for more precise and safer surgeries. AR-assisted laparoscopic surgery enables surgeons to overlay images of internal anatomy onto real-time video, providing enhanced guidance during complex procedures. Riva et al. (2022) highlighted the potential of AR in improving outcomes in cases of complex endometriosis, where precise identification of lesions is critical.

Moreover, intraoperative imaging technologies, such as fluorescence-guided surgery using indocyanine green (ICG), and infrared catheter help in better visualization of tissues and vascular structures and ureter. These technologies are

particularly useful in gynecologic cancers and deep infiltrating endometriosis where they help in sentinel node sampling and safeguard ureter respectively.

III. IMPACT OF MIGS ON WOMEN'S HEALTH

➤ *Reduced Morbidity and Faster Recovery*

The primary advantage of MIGS over traditional open surgery is the significant reduction in postoperative morbidity. Studies consistently show that MIGS is associated with reduced postoperative pain, lower rates of wound infections, and faster recovery times. Cohen et al. (2020) reported that patients undergoing laparoscopic hysterectomy had a 25% shorter recovery time and a lower risk of wound complications compared to those undergoing open surgery.

➤ *Improved Fertility Outcomes*

For women undergoing fertility-preserving surgeries, MIGS has proven to be highly beneficial. Procedures such as laparoscopic myomectomy and hysteroscopic adhesiolysis have higher rates of preserving uterine integrity and enhancing reproductive outcomes compared to open surgery. Mekar et al. (2019) demonstrated that women who underwent laparoscopic myomectomy had significantly better pregnancy outcomes than those who had an abdominal myomectomy.

➤ *Enhanced Quality of Life*

The minimally invasive nature of these surgeries has translated into significant improvements in patients' overall quality of life. Shorter hospital stays, minimal scarring, and faster return to daily activities contribute to both physical and psychological well-being. Jacoby et al. (2019) found that women who underwent robotic-assisted hysterectomy reported higher satisfaction levels and improved body image compared to those who had conventional surgery.

IV. FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN MINIMALLY INVASIVE GYNECOLOGIC SURGERY

➤ *Expansion of Robotic Platforms*

The future of MIGS lies in the continued refinement of robotic surgical platforms. Next-generation robotic systems are expected to become more cost-effective, making them accessible to a broader range of healthcare facilities. Murphy et al. (2022) predict that the development of smaller, more versatile robotic platforms will allow for more widespread use of robotic surgery in gynecology, particularly in low-resource settings.

➤ *Artificial Intelligence (AI) in MIGS*

Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning are anticipated to play a significant role in the future of MIGS. AI can assist in preoperative planning by analyzing patient data and predicting surgical outcomes. Moreover, AI algorithms are being developed to assist surgeons during procedures, enhancing precision and reducing the risk of complications. Kim et al. (2021) discussed the potential of AI in improving decision-making during robotic surgeries, particularly in complex oncological cases.

➤ *Global Accessibility and Training*

While MIGS is widely available in high-income countries, access remains limited in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). The future of MIGS must include global initiatives to expand access through training and the adoption of cost-effective technologies. Adelusi et al. (2021) emphasized the need for international collaboration to improve access to MIGS in LMICs, where women continue to face high rates of morbidity and mortality from gynecologic conditions.

V. CONCLUSION

Advances in minimally invasive gynecologic surgery have revolutionized the treatment of gynecologic conditions, offering significant benefits over traditional open surgeries. Laparoscopy, robotic-assisted surgery, and hysteroscopy have not only reduced postoperative morbidity but also improved fertility outcomes and overall quality of life for women. As technology continues to evolve, innovations such as single-port laparoscopy, image-guided surgery, and AI-assisted procedures will further enhance the safety and efficacy of MIGS and extend its global reach. The future of MIGS looks promising, with ongoing advancements aimed at improving precision, reducing costs, and expanding accessibility to underserved populations. As these technologies evolve, it is crucial for healthcare systems worldwide to prioritize the integration of minimally invasive techniques into routine gynecologic care, ensuring that women everywhere can benefit from the reduced morbidity, faster recovery, and improved quality of life that MIGS offers.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Nezhad, C., et al. (2018). Laparoscopic hysterectomy: An overview and update. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 25(4), 547-558.
- [2]. Sarlos, D., et al. (2020). Robotic versus laparoscopic hysterectomy: A prospective randomized trial of outcomes in gynecologic oncology. *Gynecologic Oncology*, 156(3), 588-594.
- [3]. Gupta, J. K., et al. (2019). Hysteroscopic management of submucosal fibroids: A review of fertility outcomes. *Fertility and Sterility*, 112(3), 415-423.
- [4]. Escobar, P. F., et al. (2021). Single-port laparoscopy: Current status and future directions. *Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons*, 25(4), e2021.00098.
- [5]. Brubaker, L., et al. (2018). Use of advanced energy devices in gynecologic surgery: Improving outcomes and reducing complications. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 218(2), 213-221.
- [6]. Riva, G., et al. (2022). Augmented reality and fluorescence imaging in gynecologic surgery: Future perspectives. *Journal of Robotic Surgery*, 16(2), 123-130.
- [7]. Cohen, S. L., et al. (2020). Comparison of laparoscopic and abdominal hysterectomy: A multicenter prospective study. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 135(5), 1039-1045.

- [8]. Mekaru, K., et al. (2019). Laparoscopic versus abdominal myomectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of reproductive outcomes. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 26(6), 952-960.
- [9]. Jacoby, V. L., et al. (2019). Patient satisfaction and body image after minimally invasive versus open gynecologic surgery. *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 221(6), 609-619.
- [10]. Murphy, A. A., et al. (2022). The future of robotic surgery in gynecology: Challenges and opportunities. *Gynecologic Surgery*, 19(1), 10-15.
- [11]. Kim, J. H., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence in robotic-assisted gynecologic surgery: Current status and future applications. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 28(5), 866-872.
- [12]. Adelusi, B., et al. (2021). Expanding access to minimally invasive gynecologic surgery in low-resource settings: A global perspective. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 155(1), 73-79.
- [13]. Van der Meij, K., et al. (2019). Single-port laparoscopic hysterectomy: A systematic review of outcomes and complications. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*, 238, 111-117.
- [14]. Duncan, A. J., et al. (2021). The impact of robotic-assisted surgery on gynecologic oncology outcomes. *Gynecologic Oncology*, 160(3), 487-494.
- [15]. Wang, Y., et al. (2021). Minimally invasive surgery in deep infiltrating endometriosis: Current status and future perspectives. *Reproductive Sciences*, 28(4), 1227-1236.
- [16]. Rabinowitz, M., et al. (2021). The role of fluorescence-guided surgery in gynecologic oncology: A review of current applications. *Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 124(5), 950-957.
- [17]. Taylor, A., et al. (2020). The integration of artificial intelligence into robotic surgery: Improving outcomes in gynecologic cancer. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 38(15), 1751-1758.
- [18]. Delpy, R., et al. (2019). Hysteroscopic treatment of intrauterine adhesions: A review of techniques and outcomes. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 26(2), 193-201.
- [19]. Sarlos, D., et al. (2019). Clinical outcomes of robotic-assisted surgery in deep infiltrating endometriosis: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Endometriosis and Pelvic Pain Disorders*, 11(3), 143-149.
- [20]. Chiu, S. T., et al. (2021). The use of indocyanine green fluorescence imaging in minimally invasive gynecologic surgery: Current and emerging applications. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology*, 28(4), 712-718.