ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP1316

Stability in Sight: Leveraging Machine Learning for Proactive Political Risk Management in the United States of America

Anya Adebayo, ANYA[1]
Department of Political Science,
Obafemi Awolowo University Ile-Ife

Kelechi Adura, ANYA[2]
Computer Science, Landmark University
Omu-Aran Nigeria

Akinwale Victor, ISHOLA[3]
Department of Peace, Security and Humanitarian Studies,
University of Ibadan

Abstract:- This paper explores the application of machine learning (ML) in political risk management, with a specific focus on recent trends in political violence in the United States OF America. The growing intersection of political polarization, disinformation, and societal unrest has created a volatile political climate, as evidenced by events such as the January 6 Capitol insurrection and rising threats to public officials. The paper argues that machine learning could play a critical role in mitigating such risks by analyzing large datasets, including social media interactions, political speeches, and public sentiment, to predict potential flashpoints of violence. Through predictive analytics, sentiment analysis, and anomaly detection, ML can enhance decision-making processes and provide timely interventions to avert violent incidents. Additionally, case studies demonstrate ML's superiority over traditional methods in risk assessments. Despite the challenges associated with ML, such as data privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, and the complexity of political contexts, this paper argues that machine learning holds immense potential in transforming political risk management. By integrating diverse data sources and refining risk models, ML can significantly improve accuracy and efficiency in predicting and mitigating political risks. The paper concludes with recommendations for further integrating ML tools in political risk strategies to address the increasingly unstable political environment.

Keywords:- *Machine Learning, Political Risk, Political Instability.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, political instability has become a significant concern globally, with numerous countries facing challenges such as civil unrest, government overthrows, and contentious elections. These events have not only disrupted local economies and social structures but have also had far-reaching effects on global markets and international relations. Political instability can lead to social unrest, civil disobedience, and threats to political regimes, impacting prosperity. It creates challenges for nations and businesses in navigating global politics effectively (Gabriel et al, 2022). Political stability is crucial for societal well-being and economic development, as it fosters a secure environment for citizens and promotes social cohesion (Alhassan et al. 2024). The United States, traditionally viewed as a bastion of stability, has not been immune to political turbulence. Recent years have seen a rise in polarization, controversial elections, and policy uncertainty. These issues have strained the political fabric of the nation and posed risks to economic stability and social cohesion. The intensification of ideological divisions in American society has culminated in heightened affective polarization, manifesting in increased residential segregation along party lines (Green & Platzman, 2022). This phenomenon is further complicated by intra-party fissures within both dominant political parties, resulting in a fractured political terrain (Kanevskiy, 2024).

As the U.S. grapples with these challenges, the need for effective political risk management has become more critical than ever. Political risk management involves the identification, assessment, and mitigation of risks associated with political events. Traditionally, this has relied on qualitative analyses and expert judgment. However, the dynamic nature of modern politics demands more robust and proactive approaches. Traditional methods often fall short in their ability to predict and respond to political developments in real time, leaving gaps in risk preparedness and response strategies. In this context, the integration of machine learning into political risk management presents a transformative opportunity. Machine learning algorithms, with their ability to process vast amounts of data and identify patterns, offer a proactive approach to risk management. By leveraging these technologies, stakeholders can predict potential political risks with greater accuracy and respond more swiftly to emerging threats. This paper argues that to ensure political stability, especially in a volatile environment like the U.S., it is imperative to harness the power of machine learning for more effective political risk management.

➢ *Statement of the Problem*

Political instability, once thought to be primarily a concern for developing nations, has increasingly become a significant issue for advanced democracies, including the United States. The past decade has witnessed a surge in political polarization, contested elections, and social unrest within the U.S., all of which have contributed to a volatile political landscape. These developments have heightened the risks for businesses, investors, and government institutions, making it increasingly difficult to predict and manage political events that could disrupt economic stability and national security. Traditional methods of political risk management, which rely heavily on qualitative assessments and the expertise of political analysts, are proving inadequate in this rapidly changing environment. These approaches often lack the ability to process and analyze large volumes of data in real-time, making it challenging to anticipate sudden political shifts or emerging threats. As a result, organizations are left vulnerable to unexpected political disruptions, which can have severe economic and social consequences.

In light of these challenges, there is a growing need for more advanced tools and methodologies that can provide a proactive approach to political risk management. Machine learning, with its capacity for processing vast datasets, identifying patterns, and making predictive analyses, offers a promising solution. By leveraging machine learning technologies, it is possible to develop more accurate and timely predictions of political risks, allowing for better preparation and response strategies. However, despite its potential, the integration of machine learning into political risk management remains limited. Key barriers include concerns about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the reliability of machine learning predictions. Moreover, there is a lack of clear guidelines and regulatory frameworks for the use of these technologies in political risk management. It is on this backdrop that this paper seeks to explore the potential of machine learning in enhancing political risk management in the United States, addressing the challenges of its integration, and proposing strategies for more effective implementation to ensure political stability and economic security.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

A. Understanding Political Risk in the US

➢ *The Concept of Political Risk*

In an increasingly interconnected and unpredictable world, the stability of political environments has become a critical concern for governments, businesses, and investors alike. Political decisions, social movements, and security threats can rapidly alter the landscape in which economies operate, influencing everything from market dynamics to public safety. The potential for these political developments to disrupt economic activities, provoke social unrest, or compromise security forms the essence of what is now commonly referred to as political risk. The impact of political risk is multifaceted, extending beyond mere governance issues to encompass economic volatility, social upheaval, and security challenges. These risks manifest in various ways, such as through shifts in government policy, public discontent, or the escalation of violent conflicts. Understanding the different dimensions of political risk, economic, social, and security, provides a comprehensive view of how political instability can influence the broader environment in which societies and economies function.

Political risk refers to the potential for losses or instability that investors face due to political changes or instability in a country. It encompasses various factors, including government type, public corruption, leadership changes, and violent events like armed conflict and terrorism (DeGhetto, 2024). Political risk significantly influences foreign direct investment, as lower political risk is crucial for attracting investors and ensuring market efficiency (Andreica et al., 2024). Political risk is the probability that investors will lose money due to societal, governmental, or international factors (Howell, 2007). It encompasses multiple distinct risks such as war, expropriation, and transfer restrictions, affecting a wide range of foreign investors (Graham, Johnston & Kingsley, 2015). The social dimensions of political risk involve the paradigm of democracy, greater participation of societal subsystems, and mutual restraint among subsystems (UNWE, 2021).

Political risk is a multifaceted concept that intersects with social, political, and security dimensions. It is influenced by governance practices, societal values, and emotional dynamics. Effective management of political risk requires a comprehensive understanding of its systemic nature, the role of public policies, and the emotional underpinnings that drive collective actions. As societies continue to evolve, so too must the strategies for assessing and mitigating political risks to ensure stability and security. Political risk assessment and management in the U.S. involve various methodologies, yet they face significant limitations. Current practices often rely on subjective perceptions and fragmented knowledge, which can lead to inadequate responses to political uncertainties.

➢ *Methods of Political Risk Assessment*

Political risk assessment methods have evolved significantly, but they remain marked by a mixture of subjective perceptions and quantitative models. One common method involves subjective perceptions, where executives' personal views on political risk play a crucial role in shaping decisions. According to Giambona et al. (2017), many firms are increasingly cautious, avoiding foreign investments due to perceived risks, even when objective data may not fully support these concerns. The growing influence of subjective judgments highlights the psychological factors at play in political risk assessments.

On the other hand, quantitative models have emerged to provide a more objective approach to political risk analysis. Mitra (2019) suggests that new stochastic volatility models are capable of quantifying political risk by measuring the volatility of risk states over time. These models offer a structured, data-driven method of analyzing political instability, providing firms with a more tangible means of predicting potential disruptions. However, despite these advances, significant limitations exist in current approaches.

One major issue is the fragmentation of knowledge in the field of political risk. As Zou (2014) points out, the proliferation of different assessment techniques has not resulted in a unified understanding of political risk. This lack of coherence hinders effective decision-making, leaving firms without a clear framework to guide their risk evaluations. Additionally, in the realm of U.S. homeland security, the focus on securitization and surveillance often dominates political risk assessments. Doty (2015) argues that these practices prioritize secrecy and foster a climate of fear, which can ultimately undermine transparent governance and decision-making.

While both subjective and quantitative methods aim to address political risks, their reliance on subjective assessments and the fragmented nature of current frameworks indicate the need for more integrated, transparent approaches. A more cohesive understanding of political risk is essential for improving decision-making and ensuring that firms can navigate complex political environments effectively.

➢ *The Role of Machine Learning in Risk Management*

The advent of machine learning (ML) has revolutionized various fields, offering unparalleled precision and efficiency in data analysis and decision-making processes. Within the context of political risk management, ML presents significant potential to enhance the accuracy of predictions and the robustness of risk assessment frameworks. Unlike traditional methods, which often rely on static models, ML algorithms are designed to adapt and learn from vast and diverse datasets, continuously refining their outputs based on new information. In the realm of political risk, ML has proven its efficacy in improving the accuracy of polling and operational efficiency within the political landscape. By automating complex processes, ML not only reduces labor demands but also enhances the precision of political forecasts, thereby providing more reliable data for policymakers (Ahmed & Costanzo, 2024). Furthermore, the integration of user interactions and multiple data sources into ML models has been shown to refine risk assessments, leading to more informed and timely decision-making (Yvette et al., 2019).

The application of ML extends beyond the political domain to various aspects of risk management, where it has demonstrated superiority over traditional statistical methods. In areas such as credit risk assessment, fraud detection, and market risk management, ML algorithms have enabled more accurate and efficient decision-making processes, underscoring their potential to transform risk management strategies (Mwangi, 2024). Additionally, ML techniques have facilitated the construction of robust risk assessment frameworks that are critical for identifying potential risks in financial markets and political environments alike (Cui et al., 2024). Empirical studies further highlight the advantages of ML in enhancing the robustness of predictive models and improving the accuracy of risk control measures. This capability is particularly valuable in managing the complexities and uncertainties inherent in political risk, where timely and precise assessments are crucial for mitigating potential threats and maintaining stability (Cui et al., 2024). Thus, the integration of ML into political risk management represents a significant advancement, offering new avenues for safeguarding political and economic stability in an increasingly volatile global landscape.

In summary, machine learning represents a powerful tool for advancing political risk management. Its ability to process and analyze vast amounts of data, coupled with its predictive capabilities, offers new opportunities for understanding and mitigating political risks in an increasingly complex global environment. As the field of machine learning continues to evolve, its applications in political risk management are likely to expand, providing even greater potential for enhancing stability and informed decision-making.

➢ *Hypothetical Scenarios where Machine Learning could have been effectively used for Political Risk Management.*

The current trend of electoral violence in the United States is deeply concerning, as it highlights the dangerous intersection of political polarization, disinformation, and societal unrest. Recent studies have shown an increasing acceptance of political violence among the general population, a development that is further aggravated by inflammatory political rhetoric and widespread distrust in electoral processes. During the 2020 election cycle, there was a noticeable rise in dangerous speech, which has been directly linked to a surge in threats and violence against marginalized groups, particularly Muslims (Buerger & Glavinic, 2020; Abdelkader, 2016). This period marked a significant escalation in the rhetoric that fosters division and incites violence, underscoring the serious consequences of unchecked political discourse. The manifestation of political violence in the United States has taken various forms, with the January 6, 2021, insurrection at the Capitol standing out as a stark example. This event represents a violent rejection of democratic processes, heavily influenced by white nationalist ideologies (Rosenberg, 2023). Furthermore, local officials have increasingly become targets of harassment and threats, with 81% of them reporting such experiences, particularly during public meetings and in online forums (Williams et al., 2022).

➢ *Relevance of Machine Learning to Recent Trends of Political Violence*

Machine learning (ML) has emerged as a powerful tool with significant potential to enhance political risk management. By harnessing sophisticated algorithms and analyzing large datasets, ML offers deeper insights and more accurate predictions regarding various forms of political risk. This advanced technology can process and interpret vast amounts of data from diverse sources, such as social media content, public speeches, and historical patterns, to identify early warning signals and emerging threats. Such capabilities are crucial for policymakers and organizations seeking to anticipate and mitigate risks before they escalate into violence or unrest. The recent surge in political violence in the United States, marked by heightened acceptance of violence and increasing extremist rhetoric, underscores the pressing need for effective risk management tools. The 2020 election cycle and the subsequent January 6, 2021, Capitol insurrection highlighted the dangerous potential of inflammatory rhetoric and societal divisions. In this volatile environment, ML could play a pivotal role by analyzing patterns in political discourse and public sentiment to predict potential flashpoints of violence.

ML offers several promising applications in political risk management. Predictive analytics, powered by ML algorithms, can analyze historical data and real-time information to forecast potential risks and identify patterns indicative of rising violence. This approach allows for the integration of diverse data sources, such as social media trends and news reports, to provide actionable insights into the likelihood of violent incidents and contributing factors. Additionally, ML can perform sentiment analysis on communication channels to gauge public mood and detect shifts toward extremist or violent rhetoric, facilitating early detection of growing tensions. Another valuable application of ML is anomaly detection, which involves identifying unusual patterns or spikes in data that might signal emerging threats. By flagging these anomalies for further investigation, ML enables more timely responses to potential risks. Furthermore, ML models can enhance decision-making processes in political risk management by providing more accurate forecasts and refined risk assessments, thereby supporting the design of effective interventions and responses. The recent shooting attack that injured former President Trump also underscores a critical lapse in preventing political violence. This incident reflects a broader trend of increasing political instability and targeted violence. Machine learning could have played a crucial role in preventing such an attack by analyzing patterns in public sentiment, social media discussions, and threat assessments. ML algorithms are adept at detecting early warning signs of potential violence by identifying anomalies and monitoring emerging threats. With predictive analytics, ML could have flagged suspicious activities and communication patterns, enabling security agencies to take pre-emptive measures and potentially avert the attack.

Similarly, the accusations of cheating and election fraud during the last U.S. general election highlight significant issues in maintaining electoral integrity and public trust. ML could have been instrumental in addressing these concerns by enhancing the accuracy of election monitoring and fraud detection. Advanced ML models can analyze vast amounts of data from voting systems, social media, and public statements to detect irregularities and discrepancies. Sentiment analysis and anomaly detection techniques could have identified patterns of misinformation and unusual voting behavior, providing valuable insights for election officials and helping to ensure the credibility of the electoral process. In all of the above cases, the adoption of machine learning could have offered advanced tools for proactive risk management and enhanced the ability to address emerging threats and challenges. By leveraging ML's capabilities in predictive analytics and data analysis, it is possible to improve security measures, enhance election integrity, and better manage political risks in a rapidly evolving landscape.

> *Challenges of Machine Learning in Modern Applications*

Decision-making procedures across societies have been completely transformed by machine learning (ML), which is still developing and being adopted by a number of industries, including banking, healthcare, and the social sciences. Though machine learning (ML) is becoming more and more popular, there are still a challenge of obstacles preventing its complete integration and implementation. In general, these challenges can be divided into several categories, including interpretability, algorithmic bias, operational problems, transparency, and data quality. Innovative governance structures and transdisciplinary efforts are required to solve these difficulties and guarantee the ethical and effective application of ML technologies.

> *Data Quality and Algorithmic Bias*

Data is one of the core elements of any machine learning system. For machine learning models to be accurate, efficient, and dependable, high-quality data is necessary. On the other hand, inadequate data quality, which might take the form of missing datasets, improper labeling, or unrepresentative sample, can negatively affect model performance and result in predictions that are not accurate (Zarei & Farazin, 2024). The availability of solid, clean, and complete datasets is a key obstacle in many real-world applications. In the healthcare industry, for example, incomplete or inaccurate data might result in incorrect diagnosis or treatment plans that may have serious side effects for patients. Results can be distorted, particularly in sensitive applications, by the presence of noise in the data or an imbalance in the distribution of classes.

The algorithmic bias problem is closely related to the data quality issue. Replicating or exaggerating biases seen in the training data is known as algorithmic bias in machine learning algorithms. Historical injustices or ingrained societal biases in the data may be the source of these distortions. According to Kour (2024), certain racial or gender groups may be disproportionately disadvantaged by biased models in credit scoring or employment algorithms. Biased machine learning models have the potential to implement unequal access to healthcare by assigning certain groups, based on their demographics, lower quality treatment. Given the growing use of ML systems in environments where equality and fairness are crucial, this presents significant ethical challenges. Using a variety of representative datasets while training machine learning models is crucial due to the potential for algorithmic bias. It is not as simple as it sounds to accomplish this. Well-established social injustices are frequently reflected in historical data, and ML systems run the risk of sustaining or even exacerbating these injustices if they are not carefully considered.

According to Zarei and Farazin (2024), one of the most important areas of research in machine learning ethics is the development of techniques for minimizing bias, such as fairness-aware algorithms or bias auditing frameworks.

> *Interpretability and Transparency*

The lack of openness and interpretability in machine learning is another significant challenge. Many of the most sophisticated machine learning models, especially those that

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP1316

use deep learning techniques, function as "black boxes," which means that consumers cannot understand how they make decisions internally (Barbierato & Gatti, 2024). A number of issues, such as challenges in establishing trust, accountability, and regulatory compliance, can result from this lack of transparency.

For example, machine learning (ML) models are being used more and more in finance to forecast and decide on risk management, market movements, and creditworthiness.

However, the intricacy of these models sometimes means that stakeholders struggle to grasp how specific decisions are being made. This opacity can make compliance more difficult and possibly expose companies to legal and financial liabilities when regulatory bodies demand explanations or justifications for choices (Kour, 2024). When ML models decide on important matters, including a person's financial security, legal status, or medical alternatives, the problem is exacerbated.

In addition to ethical concerns, the opacity of ML models can hinder their wider adoption in domains that require a high level of trust and transparency. For example, in healthcare, doctors and patients may be reluctant to rely on a system whose recommendations cannot be easily explained or understood. This has led to growing interest in developing interpretable models and tools for visualizing and explaining the decision-making processes of complex algorithms (Barbierato & Gatti, 2024).

➤ *Operational Challenges*

In addition to issues pertaining to data and models, operational considerations are crucial in deciding the outcome of machine learning initiatives.

Machine learning systems are dynamic and need constant maintenance, upkeep, and monitoring to make sure they keep working properly over time.

This covers issues like data traceability, model versioning, and facilitating productive cooperation amongst diverse teams (Zhao et al., 2024). Model versioning is the practice of tracking various iterations of an ML model as it develops over time. It is crucial to keep a thorough record of all modifications made to models when they are retrained and new data becomes available in order to precisely measure performance gains and enable a rollback to earlier iterations when needed. This holds particular significance in sectors like banking or healthcare, when little modifications to a model's efficacy can provide noteworthy outcomes for stakeholders (Zhao et al., 2024). Another important operational challenge is data traceability. Tracking the origin of the data used to train the model and any preprocessing procedures that were done on it is crucial for many machine learning projects. According to Zhang (2024), industries that prioritize data privacy and security must adhere to this need for regulatory compliance as well as reproducibility. As an example, traceability is a crucial component of any machine learning system that operates in Europe due to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which places severe restrictions on the use of personal data.

III. CONCLUSION

One of the 21st century's most revolutionary technologies is machine learning, which is transforming decision-making, data processing, and outcome prediction across a wide range of industries. The importance of machine learning (ML) stems from its unmatched capacity to evaluate enormous volumes of data, spot trends, and produce useful insights at previously unthinkable rates and sizes. ML has an impact on many different sectors, from enhancing research in the social sciences to optimizing financial strategies and healthcare outcomes.

But machine learning (ML) has far more potential than just technical uses. It can also be used to address some of the most important societal issues, such as political stability and governance. Machine learning is becoming more important in the US context when it comes to maintaining political stability, especially in this period of increased polarization, disinformation, and geopolitical unpredictability. The basis of democratic government is in danger due to political instability in the United States, which is being fueled by societal divisions, electoral obstacles, and the dissemination of misinformation. By offering sophisticated tools for real-time study of political trends, voter behavior, and the dissemination of false information, all crucial for preserving the integrity of democratic processes, ML has a tremendous deal of promise to address these problems. While machine learning presents significant challenges, its potential to contribute to political stability in the United States cannot be understated. By addressing issues related to data quality, algorithmic bias, and transparency, and by fostering collaboration between the public and private sectors, ML can be a powerful tool for safeguarding democratic processes, combating misinformation, and predicting political risks. However, these efforts must be guided by ethical principles and regulatory frameworks that ensure ML technologies are used in ways that promote fairness, accountability, and trust. As political instability continues to pose a threat to governance, the U.S. has an urgent need to integrate ML solutions that are both innovative and responsible, securing the foundations of its democratic institutions for the future.

➤ *To better Harness the Full Potential of ML in the US, the following Recommendations are Offered;*

• *Improving Data Quality and Diversity*

Ensuring that ML models are trained on diverse and representative data is crucial for mitigating bias and improving the accuracy of political predictions. Data quality can be enhanced by integrating information from multiple sources, such as voter registries, social media platforms, and public opinion polls, while employing techniques to address missing or incomplete data. Governments and institutions should also invest in data-sharing agreements that allow access to a wide range of political data, ensuring that ML models are reflective of the entire electorate and not just certain segments.

- *Algorithmic Fairness and Ethical Guidelines*

It is essential to establish clear ethical guidelines for the use of ML in political applications, focusing on algorithmic fairness and accountability. Regulatory bodies, in collaboration with technology companies, should develop frameworks that ensure ML algorithms are rigorously tested for bias, particularly when they are used in sensitive areas such as voter outreach, campaign management, and policy-making. Independent auditing of ML systems should be mandated to ensure that their outputs are fair and equitable.

- *Enhancing Transparency and Interpretability*

One of the key challenges of ML in political decision-making is the complexity of many algorithms. To foster trust among the public and policymakers, ML models used in political contexts must be interpretable and transparent. Efforts should be made to develop explainable AI (XAI) tools that allow stakeholders to understand how decisions are made and to challenge the outcomes when necessary. Transparency is particularly important in high-stakes environments like elections, where the consequences of opaque decision-making can be far-reaching.

- *Combating Misinformation with Real-Time ML Solutions*

The rise of misinformation is one of the most significant threats to political stability in the U.S., and ML offers an effective tool to counter it. Platforms should deploy ML models to monitor and flag disinformation in real-time, particularly during election cycles. Collaboration between social media platforms, regulatory bodies, and civil society organizations is necessary to ensure that flagged content is appropriately handled and that the public is informed about the presence of disinformation. Additionally, public awareness campaigns that educate citizens on how ML algorithms are used to detect and combat misinformation can help build trust in these technologies.

- *Strengthening Collaboration Between Public and Private Sectors*

Political stability in the U.S. will require coordinated efforts between the public and private sectors. ML technologies developed by private companies should be shared with government agencies to enhance their capacity to address political risks, predict electoral trends, and ensure the security of critical infrastructure. Collaboration can also extend to academia, where interdisciplinary research on the political applications of ML can provide insights into emerging risks and opportunities. Establishing public-private partnerships that focus on leveraging ML for political stability will be key to developing long-term solutions.

- *Building Robust Cybersecurity Frameworks*

Political stability in the U.S. also hinges on securing its digital infrastructure against cyberattacks, which have increasingly targeted political institutions, voter databases, and election systems. ML can be used to bolster cybersecurity efforts by detecting anomalies and preventing cyber threats before they materialize. However, for ML to be effective in this role, there must be a comprehensive strategy to integrate these technologies into existing cybersecurity frameworks and continuously update them to address emerging threats. Investments in ML-driven cybersecurity should be prioritized at both the federal and state levels.

REFERENCES

[1]. Abdelkader, E. (2016). When Islamophobia Turns Violent: The 2016 U.S. Presidential Elections. Social Science Research Network.

[2]. Alhassan, B., Ibrahim, M., & Husam, S. (2024). Navigating political turbulence. In Advances in human services and public health book series (Vol. 13). doi: 10.4018/979-8-3693-2101-0.ch013

[3]. Ahmed, S.A, & Costanzo, A.M. (2024). Applying machine learning in the u.s. political landscape: forecasting, polling, and the domestic supply chain. Performance Improvement, doi: 10.56811/pfi-22-0020

[4]. Barbierato, E & Gatti, A. (2024). 3. The Challenges of Machine Learning: A Critical Review. Electronics, doi: 10.3390/electronics13020416

[5]. Buerger, C & Glavinic., T. (2020). 2. Prevent Violence, Strengthen Democracy: Electoral Codes of Conduct for the United States. Social Science Research Network, doi: 10.2139/SSRN.3724546

[6]. Cui., J, Zhang., Y, Liu, Y. (2024). 3. Machine Learning for Risk Assessment in Financial Market Forecasting. Journal of computing and electronic information management, doi: 10.54097/0i9ppln6

[7]. Donald, P., Green., Paul, Platzman. (2022). 3. Partisan Stability During Turbulent Times: Evidence from Three American Panel Surveys. Political Behavior, doi: 10.1007/s11109-022-09825-y.

[8]. Doty, P. (2015). 5. U.S. homeland security and risk assessment. Government Information Quarterly, doi: 10.1016/J.GIQ.2015.04.008

[9]. DeGhetto, K. (2024). 3. What's in a name (political risk)? A review of political risk and foreign investment decisions. doi: 10.1108/ccsm-11-2022-0197

[10]. Giambona., E., John, R., Graham., J, Graham., R, Campbell, R., Harvey., Campbell, R., & Harvey. (2017). 3. The management of political risk. Journal of International Business Studies, doi: 10.1057/S41267-016-0058-4

[11]. Gabriel, A., Riak, P. A., Dut, B., & Ayuel, B. (2022). The effect of political instability on country prosperity. *IJRDO - Journal of Social Science and Humanities Research*, 8(11). https://doi.org/10.53555/sshr.v8i11.5366.

[12]. Graham, B., Johnston, N., & Kingsley, A. (2015). A Unified Model of Political Risk., 34. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2533701.

[13]. Howell, L. (2007). Political risk at the interface of civilizations: Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Iraq, and the United Arab Emirates. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 49, 403-416. https://doi.org/10.1002/TIE.20151.

[14]. Kanevskiy. P. (2024). 5. Crisis trends in the development of the American socio-political system: causes and consequences. doi: 10.24290/1029-3736-2024-30-1-130-146
[15]. Kour, M. (2024). Challenges and Opportunities of Machine Learning in the Financial Sector. Advances in finance, accounting, and economics book series, doi: 10.4018/979-8- 3693-1746-4.ch004
[16]. Mitra, S. (2019). 2. Political risk modelling and measurement from stochastic volatility models. International Journal of Sustainable Economy, doi: 10.1504/IJSE.2019.10020049
[17]. Mwangi, M. (2024). 2. The Role of Machine Learning in Enhancing Risk Management Strategies in Financial Institutions. International journal of modern risk management, doi: 10.47604/ijmrm.2643
[18]. Rosenberg, F. (2023). 1. Racial Violence in the United States. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Education, doi: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.1726
[19]. UNWE (2021). Social Dimensions of Political Risk – SDPR: Concept and Index for Risk Impact on Society. *Yearbook of UNWE*. https://doi.org/10.37075/yb.2021.1.04.
[20]. Williams, V., Gottlieb, J., & Lee, T. (2022). 2. Declining Civility and Growing Political Violence at the Local Level: A Threat to American Democracy. State and Local Government Review, doi: 10.1177/0160323X221089945
[21]. Yvette., J, Jeffrey., C, & Jennelle, S. (2019). 5. Techniques to use machine learning for risk management.
[22]. Zarei, A & Farazin, A. (2024). Advancements and Challenges in the Application of Machine Learning for Biomedical Diagnostics and Disease Prediction. doi: 10.52768/casereports/1026
[23]. Zhang, W., Zhiqiang, Cai,, Chuyu, Zhang. (2024). The Role and Challenges of Machine Learning in the Construction of a Community of Human Destiny. Journal of Electrical Systems, doi: 10.52783/jes.1614
[24]. Zhao, Z., Chen., Y,. Abdul, Ali, Bangash., Bram, Adams., Ahmed, E., & Hassan. (2024). . An Empirical Study of Challenges in Machine Learning Asset Management. doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2402.15990
[25]. Zou, S. (2014). 4. Political Risk Assessment: A Review and a New Approach. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-13159-7_53