ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

The Economic Consequences of Misinformation: An Analysis of the Impact of Fake News on Stock Market Volatility During the Covid-19 Pandemic

Oluwasegun Olakoyenikan
New York University
The Arthur L. Carter Journalism Institute

Abstract:- This paper examines the economic consequences of misinformation on stock market volatility during the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting how false information significantly disrupted financial markets. The analysis explores specific high-profile cases where misinformation about vaccines, lockdowns, and treatments led to increased market volatility, panic selling, and shifts in investor behaviour. The study delves into the effects on major indices such as the S&P 500 and Dow Jones, revealing the substantial financial losses experienced by retail and institutional investors. It also discusses the regulatory and institutional responses from financial authorities and social media platforms, as well as the challenges they face in curbing misinformation's rapid spread. The paper concludes with recommendations for enhancing market resilience, emphasising the importance of media literacy, robust fact-checking, and proactive regulatory frameworks to mitigate the impact of misinformation in future crises. This study underscores the ongoing need for vigilant market practices and improved information governance to maintain economic stability.

Keywords:- *COVID-19, Economic Stability; Fact-Checking; Financial Markets; Misinformation; Volatility.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic not only caused unprecedented health crises worldwide but also unleashed a wave of misinformation that significantly disrupted various sectors, including financial markets (Apuke & Omar, 2021; Cheng et al., 2024; Talabi et al., 2022). During the pandemic, the rapid dissemination of fake news across social media and other platforms exacerbated market uncertainties, influencing investor decisions and contributing to heightened volatility (Amodu & Otesile, 2023; Dash & Maitra, 2022; Shair et al., 2023). Misinformation, ranging from false reports about vaccine efficacy to misleading claims on government policies, created an environment where stock prices often moved erratically, detached from fundamental economic indicators (Greene & Murphy, 2021; Patwa et al., 2021). This paper aims to analyse the economic consequences of misinformation on stock market volatility during the COVID-19 pandemic, examining how fake news shaped investor behaviour and market stability.

Information plays a crucial role in financial markets, where investor sentiment and market movements are highly responsive to news and data (Baker & Wurgler, 2007; Tetlock, 2007). Historically, misinformation has periodically influenced markets, but the COVID-19 pandemic presented unique conditions that intensified these effects (Créon et al., 2021; Hossain et al., 2023). High levels of uncertainty, combined with the constant flow of unverified information, led to pronounced market reactions that were not always rational. This paper builds on existing literature to explore the specific ways in which misinformation during the pandemic affected market dynamics, focusing on its impact on key indices, investor confidence, and overall market stability (Chowdhury, et al., 2022).

The surge of misinformation during the pandemic highlights the need for a critical assessment of its economic impact on stock markets (Yarovaya et al., 22022). Therefore, by examining specific instances where fake news directly influenced market performance, this analysis seeks to quantify the financial losses and gains resulting from these distortions. Additionally, the study explores the responses from regulatory bodies, media platforms, and investors to mitigate the effects of misinformation. Ultimately, this paper argues that the spread of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic not only amplified stock market volatility but also underscored the importance of robust regulatory frameworks and investor education to safeguard market integrity in future crises.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Information is a crucial driver of financial market dynamics, shaping the decisions of investors, influencing stock prices, and determining overall market behaviour (Petratos, 2021). Accurate information allows investors to make informed decisions based on the fundamentals of companies, macroeconomic indicators, and geopolitical developments (Malmgren, 2015). Conversely, misinformation

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

—whether through fake news, rumours, or speculative reports—can distort these decisions, leading to market inefficiencies and volatility (Brody, 2022; Webb & Webb, 2013). According to efficient market hypothesis (EMH) theories, financial markets are assumed to reflect all available information, meaning that prices adjust rapidly to new data ((Alajbeg, Bubaš, & Šonje, 2012; Clark et al., 2001; Naseer & Bin Tariq, 2015). However, when the information being disseminated is false or misleading, it creates market distortions, resulting in irrational trading behaviour and price swings that deviate from the underlying economic reality. Therefore, the role of information, whether accurate or otherwise, is not just a backdrop to financial markets but a core component that actively shapes market outcomes (Blankespoor, 2020).

The impact of misinformation on market dynamics can be particularly pronounced because of the ways in which it alters investor perceptions and decision-making processes (Blankespoor, 2020). Investor sentiment, often driven by headlines and narratives, plays a significant role in price movements, especially during times of uncertainty. Misinformation can amplify emotions such as fear and greed, leading to herd behaviour, where investors collectively react to false information without verifying its credibility (Vasconcellos-Silva & Castiel, 2022). This can result in abrupt sell-offs or rallies that are disconnected from market fundamentals. Empirical research suggests that during periods of heightened uncertainty, such as financial crises or geopolitical tensions, misinformation tends to have a more pronounced effect, triggering excessive volatility. This phenomenon underscores the importance of reliable information dissemination and highlights the potential consequences when fake news proliferates unchecked.

The historical impact of misinformation on financial markets provides valuable insights into the consequences of false information. One prominent example is the case of the “flash crash” of May 6, 2010, where a brief yet severe stock market crash was partially attributed to algorithmic trading responding to misinformation (Vuorenmaa & Wang, 2014). Although this event was complex and involved multiple factors, the dissemination of inaccurate data amplified market reactions, causing a sudden plunge in major indices (Gasparin & Schinckus, 2022). Another notable instance occurred in 2013, when the Associated Press’s Twitter account was hacked, and a false tweet about explosions at the White House caused the stock market to lose $136 billion within minutes before recovering (BBC, 2013; Dice, 2017; Selyukh, 2013). These examples illustrate that misinformation can have immediate and severe impacts on market stability, emphasizing the vulnerability of financial systems to false information, particularly in an era of high-frequency trading and automated decision-making processes (Dice, 2017).

The COVID-19 pandemic presented a unique context that made financial markets especially susceptible to misinformation (Del Rio & Malani, 2020; Seale et al., 2020). The pandemic created unprecedented levels of uncertainty, with rapidly changing information regarding health guidelines, government interventions, and economic forecasts. In this environment, misinformation proliferated, driven by the widespread use of social media platforms and the high demand for real-time information (Seale et al., 2020). The speed and volume of fake news during the pandemic exceeded previous crises, contributing to unpredictable market movements. For instance, false reports about vaccine approvals, exaggerated claims about the severity of lockdowns, and misleading information about economic recovery timelines all played roles in shaping investor sentiment and market behaviour (Amodu et al., 2024; Mercola & Cummins, 2021; Skaffle et al., 2022). These false narratives often led to overreactions in the stock market, with indices experiencing sharp swings in response to misleading information (Skaffle et al., 2022).

Furthermore, the pandemic highlighted the challenge of distinguishing credible information from misinformation, especially when even authoritative sources were occasionally inconsistent in their messaging due to the rapidly evolving nature of the crisis. The lack of clear, consistent communication from both governments and health authorities created an information vacuum that was often filled by fake news. Investors, in their quest to interpret the unfolding events, frequently relied on social media and unverified sources, making them more vulnerable to misinformation. This was compounded by algorithm-driven platforms that prioritize sensational or engaging content, irrespective of its accuracy, thereby amplifying the reach and impact of fake news on financial markets. As a result, misinformation became a significant risk factor, influencing not just individual stock prices but also broader market indices and sectors.

The economic consequences of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic underscore the need for robust measures to mitigate its impact on financial markets. Regulatory bodies, such as the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), have recognized the risks posed by misinformation and have taken steps to address it through increased monitoring and enforcement actions against those who intentionally spread false information for market manipulation. Additionally, financial news platforms and social media companies have been urged to implement stricter fact-checking protocols and to develop technologies that can identify and curb the spread of fake news. These efforts, while necessary, highlight the ongoing challenges in combating misinformation, as the rapid pace of information dissemination often outstrips the ability of regulators and platforms to control it. This points to a broader need for enhanced media literacy among investors and the general public to critically evaluate the information they consume.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

III. THE NIGERIAN EXAMPLE

The COVID-19 pandemic underscored the difficulty of distinguishing credible information from misinformation, particularly in the Nigerian context, where misinformation significantly influenced the stock market (Balakrishnan et al., 2023). The rapid spread of the virus led to a flurry of conflicting information, with even authoritative sources occasionally providing inconsistent messaging due to the evolving nature of the crisis (Amodu et al., 2024; Talabi et al., 2022). In Nigeria, the absence of clear and consistent communication from the government and health authorities, such as the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC), created a fertile ground for misinformation (Aduloju, 2021; Inobemhe, 2021). The information vacuum was frequently filled by unverified news shared on social media platforms like WhatsApp, Twitter, and Facebook, where sensational content often overshadowed factual reporting. This environment made investors increasingly reliant on dubious sources, exposing them to misinformation that distorted market perceptions and decisions (Bala et al., 2021).

The Nigerian stock market, characterised by its volatility and susceptibility to external shocks, was particularly vulnerable during the pandemic (Abdullahi, 2019; Fasanya & Akinde, 2019). Investors' behaviour was heavily influenced by fake news, including exaggerated reports of lockdown extensions, falsified economic forecasts, and rumours about company closures. These factors triggered panic selling and buying, destabilising the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE) and leading to significant fluctuations in market indices (Olanrewaju & Afolabi, 2021). Notably, misinformation about the oil sector—a critical component of Nigeria's economy—further aggravated market instability. False reports about oil price recoveries and inaccurate projections about production cuts led to erratic trading, significantly impacting oil-related stocks (Oladele et al., 2021).

In addition, algorithm-driven social media platforms contributed to the amplification of misinformation. These platforms prioritise sensational or engaging content regardless of its accuracy, which frequently resulted in the widespread dissemination of market-related fake news (Vinerean, 2017). For instance, misinformation about pharmaceutical companies' involvement in COVID-19 vaccine production led to speculative trading in Nigerian pharmaceutical stocks, demonstrating the tangible impact of fake news on market dynamics (Adebayo, 2021). The compounded effect of misinformation was evident in the broader indices and sectoral performances of the NSE, which experienced unprecedented volatility during the peak of the pandemic.

The economic consequences of misinformation in Nigeria during COVID-19 highlight the urgent need for measures to mitigate its impact on financial markets (Anastasia et al., 2022). Nigerian regulatory bodies, including the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) of Nigeria, have acknowledged the risks posed by misinformation (Mohammed, 2023; Nwachukwu, 2013). However, regulatory responses remain insufficient due to limited resources and the rapid pace at which fake news spreads. Although the SEC has taken steps to enhance market surveillance and enforce penalties for market manipulation, the challenge persists as false information often circulates faster than regulatory interventions can be implemented (Nwafor & Emecheta, 2022).

Efforts by Nigerian financial news platforms and social media companies to implement stricter fact-checking protocols have also faced challenges (Okon et al., 2021). While some initiatives, such as collaboration with fact-checking organisations like Dubawa and Africa Check, have sought to curb the spread of misinformation, these measures are often reactive rather than proactive (Wang et al., 2019). The inability to keep pace with the speed of misinformation dissemination underscores the need for more robust approaches, including enhancing digital literacy among investors to critically assess the information they encounter. Developing technologies that can pre-emptively flag and limit the spread of false financial news could further safeguard the market (Adekunle, 2021). In essence, the Nigerian case underscores a broader challenge in managing misinformation's impact on financial markets. Enhancing media literacy and investor education, alongside regulatory reforms and technological solutions, is crucial to mitigating the risks posed by misinformation in Nigeria's stock market and ensuring more stable and informed market conditions during crises (Wu et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2022).

IV. CASE STUDIES OF MISINFORMATION IMPACT

Misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic took many forms, from exaggerated claims about vaccine efficacy to false reports of government lockdowns and miracle cures (Khuroo, 2020). One notable instance involved the spread of misinformation regarding hydroxychloroquine as a supposed cure for COVID-19, which led to sharp price fluctuations in pharmaceutical stocks (Khuroo, 2020). Despite the lack of scientific evidence supporting its effectiveness, prominent figures and widespread social media dissemination amplified the narrative, driving up stock prices of companies involved in its production. Similarly, misinformation about imminent lockdowns often led to panic buying and sudden market dips, as investors reacted to unverified claims that significantly influenced short-term trading behaviour (Naeem, 2021). These examples underscore how misinformation can destabilise markets by prompting decisions based not on economic fundamentals but on false narratives (Segara, 2022).

The effects of misinformation were particularly evident in major stock indices such as the S&P 500, Dow Jones, and various global markets, which experienced significant volatility during the pandemic (Acuri et al., 2023; Jabeen et

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

al., 2022). For example, false reports about vaccine developments often led to sharp intraday swings in these indices, reflecting the market's sensitivity to any news perceived as indicative of future economic recovery. On multiple occasions, erroneous news about vaccine approvals or setbacks caused sharp reversals in market sentiment, leading to dramatic price movements within short timeframes. In some instances, this volatility was further exacerbated by algorithmic trading systems that responded automatically to keywords in news reports, amplifying price swings. This phenomenon highlights how misinformation can create feedback loops in financial markets, where initial false reports trigger further volatility as automated systems and investors alike react without verification (Kusumahadi & Permana, 2021).

Investor behaviour during the pandemic was heavily influenced by misinformation, often manifesting in panic selling, herd behaviour, and increased trading volumes (Kiruba & Vasantha, 2021). Panic selling occurred when investors, driven by fear sparked by fake news, rushed to offload assets, leading to sharp declines in stock prices. For instance, early in the pandemic, misinformation regarding the severity and duration of lockdowns triggered massive sell-offs in sectors perceived as vulnerable, such as travel, hospitality, and retail (Mazur et al., 2021). Herd behaviour, where investors collectively follow the actions of others, was also evident as social media amplified fake news, causing investors to mimic panic-driven market moves without fully understanding the underlying factors. This behaviour often resulted in irrational market outcomes, such as the overvaluation or undervaluation of assets, which could persist until corrective measures, like official clarifications or fact-checking interventions, stabilised market perceptions (Dhall & Singh, 2020).

Misinformation-driven volatility also led to increased trading volumes, as investors attempted to capitalise on perceived opportunities or to hedge against anticipated risks driven by false information (Clarke et al., 2020). Day traders, in particular, were active during periods of misinformation-induced volatility, seeking to profit from rapid price changes. However, this behaviour often contributed to further instability, as increased trading volume can amplify market swings, making it challenging for prices to find equilibrium. Additionally, the rise of retail investors during the pandemic, many of whom relied on social media and other non-traditional sources of information, added another layer of vulnerability to the markets (Talwar et al., 2021). These investors, often less experienced and more susceptible to misinformation, played a significant role in driving volatility, as their collective actions, whether buying or selling, had noticeable effects on stock prices and overall market trends (Clarke et al., 2020).

V. ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES OF MISINFORMATION

The proliferation of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic significantly increased market volatility, with day-to-day price swings becoming more pronounced (Puaschunder, 2020). Stock indices and individual securities alike experienced heightened fluctuations as investors reacted to false news reports. For instance, misinformation about government stimulus measures frequently caused abrupt movements in the stock and bond markets, as traders adjusted their positions based on incorrect assumptions about fiscal policy directions. This heightened volatility not only rendered markets unpredictable, but it also presented considerable hazards to retail and institutional investors. Frequent and abrupt price swings can result in significant financial losses for those caught up in transactions, especially when decisions are based on misleading information rather than good analysis (Clarke et al., 2020). Increased volatility also confounded market forecasting and risk management measures, making it difficult for investors to make long-term decisions in a misinformation-rich environment.

Investor confidence was severely affected by the spread of misinformation, undermining trust in financial news and the broader market environment. Confidence is a critical factor in financial markets, as it influences investment decisions, market stability, and overall economic health. During the pandemic, the constant barrage of fake news led many investors to question the reliability of information sources, resulting in a more cautious and risk-averse market posture (Acuri et al., 2023). For instance, false reports about the effectiveness of government responses to the pandemic or exaggerated claims about economic recovery prospects made investors wary of committing capital, contributing to reduced liquidity and increased market fragility (Dhall & Singh, 2020). This erosion of trust was not confined to retail investors; institutional investors also faced challenges in discerning credible information, affecting their ability to make informed strategic decisions. As a result, misinformation acted as a barrier to market stability, prolonging periods of uncertainty and delaying recovery (Talwar et al., 2021).

The financial losses and gains associated with misinformation during the pandemic were significant, impacting both retail and institutional investors (Cookson et al., 2020). For many retail investors, the reliance on misinformation led to substantial losses, as panic-driven decisions often resulted in selling at the bottom or buying into market rallies driven by false optimism. For example, the surge in misinformation about certain "miracle" stocks or sectors during the pandemic saw many investors pouring money into companies that were later revealed to have little to no substance behind their supposed market potential, leading to sharp declines in value once the truth was revealed. Conversely, some investors, particularly those with access to more sophisticated analysis tools, were able to exploit

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

misinformation for profit, short-selling stocks that were driven up by false news or buying into sectors they anticipated would be buoyed by market corrections. This divergence in outcomes highlights the uneven impact of misinformation, where those with better information and analytical capabilities often fare better than those more exposed to misinformation.

The long-term impacts of misinformation on market regulation, trust in financial news, and the need for fact-checking mechanisms are profound. Regulatory bodies, such as the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in the United States and similar institutions globally, have increasingly recognised the threat posed by misinformation to market integrity (Chen, 2022). In response, there has been a push towards stricter regulations around market manipulation, including the spread of false information. Efforts to enhance transparency and accountability among financial news platforms and social media companies have also gained traction, with calls for improved monitoring and fact-checking processes (Chen, 2022). However, these efforts face significant challenges, as the rapid dissemination of misinformation often outpaces regulatory responses. The long-term consequence is an increased burden on market participants to critically evaluate information sources and for regulators to develop more agile frameworks that can respond swiftly to misinformation crises.

Trust in financial news and information sources has been significantly damaged, with lasting implications for how investors interact with markets. The rise of misinformation during the pandemic exposed the vulnerabilities in traditional and digital news ecosystems, where speed and engagement often took precedence over accuracy and verification. This shift has led to a growing demand for fact-checked, reliable information, prompting many financial platforms to invest in verification processes and to partner with independent fact-checking organisations. Nevertheless, rebuilding trust will require sustained efforts, including enhancing media literacy among investors, holding platforms accountable for the spread of misinformation, and ensuring that credible information is accessible. The pandemic has underscored the critical role of information integrity in maintaining market confidence, making it clear that mitigating misinformation is not just a regulatory challenge but also an essential component of protecting market stability.

VI. REGULATORY AND INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSES

The economic consequences of misinformation during the COVID-19 pandemic prompted a range of responses from governments and financial regulators aimed at mitigating its impact on market stability. Financial regulators, such as the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA), implemented measures to combat the spread of false information that could manipulate market behaviour (Micagni, 2024). These included increased scrutiny of market communications, enforcement actions against entities spreading misinformation, and the issuance of guidance to market participants on the importance of relying on verified information. Regulators also explored technological solutions, such as deploying artificial intelligence (AI) tools to monitor and identify patterns of misinformation across digital platforms, enhancing their capacity to respond swiftly to emerging threats (Kertysova, 2018).

Media and social media platforms played a crucial role in the dissemination of misinformation, but they also took steps to address the problem (Shu, Sliva, Wang, Tang, & Liu, 2017). Platforms like Twitter, Facebook, and Google introduced policies to label, flag, or remove content that was identified as misleading, particularly in the context of financial information. Twitter, for instance, implemented measures to label tweets with misinformation tags or reduce their visibility to curb the spread of false narratives (Apuke & Omar, 2021). Similarly, Facebook partnered with third-party fact-checkers to review content and reduce the distribution of posts flagged as false. However, these efforts were often inconsistent and reactive, highlighting the complexities involved in balancing the need to curb misinformation while respecting free speech and jurisdictional differences across countries (Rocha et al., 2021).

Regulating misinformation remains a significant challenge due to the rapid speed at which false information spreads and the global nature of digital communication platforms (Apuke & Omar, 2021). One of the primary difficulties is the varied jurisdictional powers of regulators, which often struggle to enforce actions across borders. Social media platforms operate globally, and misinformation originating from one region can quickly impact markets elsewhere, complicating enforcement actions by regulators who lack cross-border authority. Additionally, misinformation can be generated by a wide range of actors, from individuals to organised groups, making it difficult for regulators to identify and penalise the sources effectively. The fast-evolving nature of misinformation also means that regulatory responses often lag behind, necessitating more agile and collaborative approaches among governments, platforms, and market participants to effectively combat this threat.

VII. LESSONS LEARNED AND FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

The spread of misinformation during the pandemic highlighted critical lessons for investors, underscoring the need for vigilance and caution in navigating information in financial markets (Clarke et al. 2021). Investors are encouraged to diversify their information sources, prioritise data from reputable financial news outlets, and critically evaluate news before making investment decisions. Strategies such as consulting financial advisors, using fact-checking platforms, and avoiding reactionary trading based on

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

unverified information can help mitigate the risks associated with misinformation. Additionally, investors should consider employing risk management techniques, such as setting stop-loss orders, to protect their investments from sudden market swings driven by false news.

Promoting fact-checking and media literacy among the public and investors emerged as vital strategies for countering misinformation. Fact-checking organisations played a crucial role during the pandemic by debunking false claims and providing accurate information, thereby helping to stabilise market perceptions (Naeem & Ozuem, 2022). There is a growing need to expand these efforts and integrate media literacy education into public and investor training programs (Ricci & Sautter, 2022). Enhancing media literacy equips individuals with the skills to critically assess the credibility of information, differentiate between reliable and unreliable sources, and resist the impulse to react to sensational headlines. Such education is essential not only for protecting individual investments but also for maintaining overall market stability in the face of misinformation (Sautter & Ricci, 2023).

Looking forward, financial markets and regulators must prepare for future misinformation crises by developing more robust and proactive measures. This includes investing in technologies that can detect and flag misinformation in real-time, improving coordination among international regulatory bodies, and fostering greater collaboration with social media platforms to manage the spread of false information more effectively (Barnard, 2009). Additionally, markets should consider establishing protocols for temporarily suspending trading in securities that are significantly affected by misinformation, allowing time for clarification and reducing the impact of panic-driven trading. Therefore, by adopting these strategies, financial markets can enhance their resilience to misinformation and ensure that future crises do not destabilise the global economy as severely as witnessed during the COVID-19 pandemic (Friesz, 2015).

VIII. CONCLUSION

Overall, the case studies of misinformation impact and the broader economic consequences highlight the significant challenges posed by fake news to financial markets. From driving market volatility and altering investor behaviour to eroding confidence and complicating regulatory landscapes, the effects of misinformation are multifaceted and far-reaching (Hong et al., 2023; Kar et al., 2023; Naeem & Ozuem, 2022). As financial markets become increasingly interconnected and reliant on real-time information, the need for robust defences against misinformation has never been greater (Kar et al., 2023). This crisis highlights the urgent need for accurate, timely information and the role of proactive regulatory measures, fact-checking, and media literacy in mitigating misinformation's impact. Moving forward, a collaborative effort among regulators, media platforms, and investors is crucial to improving information accuracy, accountability, and resilience, safeguarding economic stability against the persistent risks posed by false information (Shair et al., 2023).

REFERENCES

[1]. Abdullahi, S. I. (2019). Measuring volatility linkage, clustering and sensitivity to external shocks in Nigerian stock index. *International Journal of Financial Services Management*, *9*(4), 345-368.

[2]. Aduloju, E. T. (2021). Media and Information Literacy: A critical response to the challenge of infodemic in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era in Nigeria. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, *5*(7), 15-24.

[3]. Alajbeg, D., Bubaš, Z., & Šonje, V. (2012). The efficient market hypothesis: problems with interpretations of empirical tests. *Financial theory and practice*, *36*(1), 53-72.

[4]. Allcott, H., Gentzkow, M., & Yu, C. (2019). Trends in the diffusion of misinformation on social media. *Research & Politics*, *6*(2), 2053168019848554.

[5]. Amodu, A. D., & Otesile, A. E. (2023). A multimodal discourse analysis of visual illustrations related to COVID-19 awareness in Nigeria. *Linguistics and Literature Review*, *9*(1), 23-48.

[6]. Amodu, A. D., Ochuba, C. O., Badirudeen, I. T., & Ikeokwu, K. O. (2024). Vaccine inequalities, hesitancy, and media-focused public health interventions in English-speaking West-African Countries. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *23*(1), 273-283.

[7]. Anastasia, C. O., Victor, C. E., & Ezekiel, O. (2022). Covid-19 crisis and stock market volatility in Nigeria: a garch model approach. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, *9*(3), 317-327.

[8]. Apuke, O. D., & Omar, B. (2021). Fake news and COVID-19: modelling the predictors of fake news sharing among social media users. *Telematics and Informatics*, *56*, 101475.

[9]. Arcuri, M. C., Gandolfi, G., & Russo, I. (2023). Does fake news impact stock returns? Evidence from US and EU stock markets. *Journal of Economics and Business*, *125*, 106130.

[10]. Baker, M., & Wurgler, J. (2007). Investor sentiment in the stock market. *Journal of economic perspectives*, *21*(2), 129-151.

[11]. Balakrishnan, V., Abdul Rahman, L. H., Tan, J. K., & Lee, Y. S. (2023). COVID-19 fake news among the general population: motives, sociodemographic, attitude/behavior and impacts–a systematic review. *Online Information Review*, *47*(5), 944-973.

[12]. Barnard, J. W. (2009). Deception, decisions, and investor education. *Elder LJ*, *17*, 201.

[13]. Blankespoor, E., deHaan, E., & Marinovic, I. (2020). Disclosure processing costs, investors' information choice, and equity market outcomes: A review. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, *70*(2-3), 101344.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

[14]. Brody, D. C. (2022). Noise, fake news, and tenacious Bayesians. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*, 797904.
[15]. Ceron, W., de-Lima-Santos, M. F., & Quiles, M. G. (2021). Fake news agenda in the era of COVID-19: Identifying trends through fact-checking content. *Online social networks and media*, *21*, 100116.
[16]. Cheng, C., Ying, W., Ebrahimi, O. V., & Wong, K. F. E. (2024). Coping style and mental health amid the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a culture-moderated meta-analysis of 44 nations. *Health Psychology Review*, *18*(1), 141-164.
[17]. Clarke, J., Chen, H., Du, D., & Hu, Y. J. (2020). Fake news, investor attention, and market reaction. *Information Systems Research*, *32*(1), 35-52.
[18]. Clarke, J., Jandik, T., & Mandelker, G. (2001). The efficient markets hypothesis. *Expert financial planning: Advice from industry leaders*, *7*(3/4), 126-141.
[19]. Cookson, J. A., Engelberg, J. E., & Mullins, W. (2020). Does partisanship shape investor beliefs? Evidence from the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Review of Asset Pricing Studies*, *10*(4), 863-893.
[20]. Dash, S. R., & Maitra, D. (2022). The COVID-19 pandemic uncertainty, investor sentiment, and global equity markets: Evidence from the time-frequency co-movements. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, *62*, 101712.
[21]. Dhall, R., & Singh, B. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic and herding behaviour: Evidence from India's stock market. *Millennial Asia*, *11*(3), 366-390.
[22]. Dice, M. (2017). *The true story of fake news: How mainstream media manipulates millions*. Mark Dice.
[23]. Fasanya, I. O., & Akinde, M. A. (2019). Volatility transmission in the Nigerian financial market. *The Journal of Finance and Data Science*, *5*(2), 99-115.
[24]. Friesz, C. R. (2015). Crowdfunding & investor education: Empowering investors to mitigate risk & prevent fraud. *Suffolk UL Rev.*, *48*, 131.
[25]. Gasparin, M., & Schinckus, C. (2022). The Performativity of Algorithmic Trading: The Epistemology of Flash Crashes. *Knowledge Cultures*, *10*(1), 104-122.
[26]. Greene, C. M., & Murphy, G. (2021). Quantifying the effects of fake news on behavior: Evidence from a study of COVID-19 misinformation. *Journal of experimental psychology: Applied*, *27*(4), 773.
[27]. Hong, Y., Qu, B., Yang, Z., & Jiang, Y. (2023). The contagion of fake news concern and extreme stock market risks during the COVID-19 period. *Finance Research Letters*, *58*, 104258.
[28]. Hossain, M. A., Chowdhury, M. M. H., Pappas, I. O., Metri, B., Hughes, L., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2023). Fake news on Facebook and their impact on supply chain disruption during COVID-19. *Annals of Operations Research*, *327*(2), 683-711.
Chowdhury, E. K., Dhar, B. K., & Stasi, A. (2022). Volatility of the US stock market and business strategy during COVID-19. *Business Strategy & Development*, *5*(4), 350-360.
[29]. Inobemhe, K. (2021). Social Media Fake News, Myths and Conspiracies on COVID-19: Threat to Public Health in Nigeria. *Media & Communication Currents*, *5*(1), 38-55.
[30]. Jabeen, S., Farhan, M., Zaka, M. A., Fiaz, M., & Farasat, M. (2022). COVID and world stock markets: A comprehensive discussion. *Frontiers in psychology*, *12*, 763346.
[31]. Kar, A. K., Tripathi, S. N., Malik, N., Gupta, S., & Sivarajah, U. (2023). How does misinformation and capricious opinions impact the supply chain-A study on the impacts during the pandemic. *Annals of Operations Research*, *327*(2), 713-734.
[32]. Kertysova, K. (2018). Artificial intelligence and disinformation: How AI changes the way disinformation is produced, disseminated, and can be countered. *Security and Human Rights*, *29*(1-4), 55-81.
[33]. Khuroo, M. S. (2020). Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Facts, fiction and the hype: a critical appraisal. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, *56*(3), 106101.
[34]. Kiruba, A. S., & Vasantha, V. (2021). Determinants in investment behaviour during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The Indonesian Capital Market Review*, *13*(2), 1.
[35]. Kusumahadi, T. A., & Permana, F. C. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on global stock market volatility. *Journal of Economic Integration*, *36*(1), 20-45.
[36]. Malmgren, P. (2015). Geopolitics for investors. *CFA Institute Research Foundation M2015-1*.
Webb, R. I., & Webb, A. R. (2013). *Shock markets: Trading lessons for volatile times*. FT Press.
[37]. Mazur, M., Dang, M., & Vega, M. (2021). COVID-19 and the march 2020 stock market crash. Evidence from S&P1500. *Finance research letters*, *38*, 101690.
[38]. Mercola, J., & Cummins, R. (2021). *The truth about COVID-19: Exposing the great reset, lockdowns, vaccine passports, and the new normal*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
[39]. Mohammed, I. (2023). COVID-19 outbreak and performance of financial markets: evidence from the stock market, the foreign exchange market and cryptocurrencies market in Nigeria. *International Journal of Business and Emerging Markets*, *15*(1), 1-33.
[40]. Naeem, M. (2021). Do social media platforms develop consumer panic buying during the fear of Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *58*, 102226.
[41]. Naeem, M., & Ozuem, W. (2022). Understanding misinformation and rumors that generated panic buying as a social practice during COVID-19 pandemic: evidence from Twitter, YouTube and focus group interviews. *Information Technology & People*, *35*(7), 2140-2166.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/IJISRT24SEP585

[42]. Naseer, M., & Bin Tariq, D. Y. (2015). The efficient market hypothesis: A critical review of the literature. *The IUP journal of financial risk management*, *12*(4), 48-63.
[43]. Nwachukwu, O. C. (2013). The role of Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) in public issue of securities and the structure of the Nigerian capital market. *Nnamdi Azikiwe University Journal of International Law and Jurisprudence*, *4*, 91-107.
[44]. Okon, P. E., Musa, J. H. T., & Oyesomi, K. (2021). Fake news circulation and regulation in Anglophone West Africa. *Indiana Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, *2*(7), 35-49.
[45]. Patwa, P., Sharma, S., Pykl, S., Guptha, V., Kumari, G., Akhtar, M. S., ... & Chakraborty, T. (2021). Fighting an infodemic: Covid-19 fake news dataset. In *Combating Online Hostile Posts in Regional Languages during Emergency Situation: First International Workshop, CONSTRAINT 2021, Collocated with AAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 8, 2021, Revised Selected Papers 1* (pp. 21-29). Springer International Publishing.
[46]. Petratos, P. N. (2021). Misinformation, disinformation, and fake news: Cyber risks to business. *Business Horizons*, *64*(6), 763-774.
[47]. Puaschunder, J. M. (2020, December). Heterodox Economic Cycles Theory during the COVID-19 economic crisis: Social volatility, affect and the finance market-real economy gap. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Research Association for Interdisciplinary Studies (RAIS) Conference on Social Sciences and Humanities* (pp. 108-118).
[48]. Ricci, S. A. G., & Sautter, C. M. (2022). The Educated Retail Investor: A Response to" Regulating Democratized Investing". *Ohio St. LJ Online*, *83*, 205.
[49]. Rocha, Y. M., De Moura, G. A., Desidério, G. A., De Oliveira, C. H., Lourenço, F. D., & de Figueiredo Nicolete, L. D. (2021). The impact of fake news on social media and its influence on health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review. *Journal of Public Health*, 1-10.
[50]. Seale, H., Heywood, A. E., Leask, J., Sheel, M., Thomas, S., Durrheim, D. N., ... & Kaur, R. (2020). COVID-19 is rapidly changing: Examining public perceptions and behaviors in response to this evolving pandemic. *PloS one*, *15*(6), e0235112.
[51]. Segera, A. (2022). *An Investigation Into Stock Market Reaction to Covid-19 Pandemic: a Case of Shares Listed at the Nairobi Securities Exchange* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
[52]. Shair, W., Rasul, F., Raza, S., & Qamar, A. (2023). Panic News and media Hype Effects on Stock Market Returns and Volatility amid Infectious Diseases Turmoil. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, *12*(4), 79-87.
[53]. Shair, W., Rasul, F., Raza, S., & Qamar, A. (2023). Panic News and media Hype Effects on Stock Market Returns and Volatility amid Infectious Diseases Turmoil. *Bulletin of Business and Economics (BBE)*, *12*(4), 79-87.
[54]. Skafle, I., Nordahl-Hansen, A., Quintana, D. S., Wynn, R., & Gabarron, E. (2022). Misinformation About COVID-19 Vaccines on Social Media: Rapid Review. *Journal of medical Internet research*, *24*(8), e37367.
[55]. Talabi, F. O., Ugbor, I. P., Talabi, M. J., Ugwuoke, J. C., Oloyede, D., Aiyesimoju, A. B., & Ikechukwu-Ilomuanya, A. B. (2022). Effect of a social media-based counselling intervention in countering fake news on COVID-19 vaccine in Nigeria. *Health Promotion International*, *37*(2), daab140.
[56]. Talwar, S., Talwar, M., Tarjanne, V., & Dhir, A. (2021). Why retail investors traded equity during the pandemic? An application of artificial neural networks to examine behavioral biases. *Psychology & Marketing*, *38*(11), 2142-2163.
[57]. Tetlock, P. C. (2007). Giving content to investor sentiment: The role of media in the stock market. *The Journal of finance*, *62*(3), 1139-1168.
[58]. Vasconcellos-Silva, P. R., & Castiel, L. D. (2022). Fake news and the seven sins of capital: a metaphorical analysis of vices in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cadernos de Saúde Pública*, *38*, e00195421.
[59]. Vuorenmaa, T. A., & Wang, L. (2014). An agent-based model of the flash crash of May 6, 2010, with policy implications. *Available at SSRN 2336772*.
[60]. Wang, Y., McKee, M., Torbica, A., & Stuckler, D. (2019). Systematic literature review on the spread of health-related misinformation on social media. *Social science & medicine*, *240*, 112552.
[61]. Wu, X., Wang, X., Ma, S., & Ye, Q. (2017). The influence of social media on stock volatility. *Frontiers of Engineering Management*, *4*(2), 201-211.
[62]. Zhang, H., Chen, Y., Rong, W., Wang, J., & Tan, J. (2022). Effect of social media rumors on stock market volatility: A case of data mining in China. *Frontiers in Physics*, *10*, 987799.