

Democratic Transformation: Challenges and Impact in Central and Eastern Europe

Mohammed Mutala Surazu¹

¹University of Belgrade

Political Science (International and European Studies)

Presented at ECPR (European Consortium for Political Research) Summer School in International Relations.
University of Lisbon, Portugal from 8th to 12th September, 2025

Publication Date: 2025/12/16

Abstract: This paper examines the democratic transformation in Central and Eastern Europe, emphasizing the contrasting trajectories, challenges, and impacts of democracies in the region post-communism. Whilst countries in Central Europe, such as Poland and the Czech Republic, have established stable parliamentary democracies characterized by cohesive political parties and organized electoral competition, Eastern European nations, especially those influenced by the former Soviet Union, experience volatility, fragmented party systems, and inconsistent governance structures.

These differences are rooted in their distinct historical legacies and socio-political contexts and also the research further underscores the significance of political parties in consolidating democratic governance, with more stable and cohesive parties fostering predictable political environments in Central Europe. Conversely, Eastern Europe's parties often face fragmentation, leading to heightened political instability. External influences, notably from Western Europe and Russia, have played critical roles in shaping and impeding democratic consolidations. Western Europe's influence contributed to the development of more cohesive party systems and democratic institutions in Central Europe through integration, international cooperation, and adherence to European Union norms and standards.

The study also explores the multifaceted challenges confronting democracy in the region, including weak civil societies, restrictions on media freedom, corruption, and external interference, which undermine democratic legitimacy and public trust. The rise of populist movements and authoritarian tendencies, particularly in Hungary and Poland, poses additional threats to democratic stability, prompting concerns over democratic backsliding and the resilience of institutions.

Methodologically, the paper draws from secondary sources, official documents, empirical data, and contemporary media analyses to provide a comprehensive perspective on democratic transitions, governance, and civic engagement. It emphasizes the importance of civic participation, institutional reforms, and the role of civil society to address ongoing challenges effectively.

In conclusion, the future of democracy in Eastern and Central Europe hinges on strengthening institutions, fostering civic participation, and mitigating external influences. While notable progress has been achieved, persistent challenges demand continuous efforts to consolidate democratic norms, enhance governance, and build resilient societies capable of withstanding internal and external pressures.

How to Cite: Mohammed Mutala Surazu (2025) Democratic Transformation: Challenges and Impact in Central and Eastern Europe (IDPS) Camps Within North Central Nigeria. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(12), 708-713. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec188>

I. INTRODUCTION

Democratic systems evolved after the end of the communist period in both Eastern and Central Europe which was characterized by a clearer alignment between political parties and various constituencies (Kitschelt 1992; Lewis 2006). In the case of Eastern Europe, especially countries that were part of the former Soviet Union (SU) including Belarus, Ukraine and the Baltic states (Latvia, Estonia, and Lithuania) were heavily influenced due to the historical and traditional relationship within members of the Union and they are mostly volatile in their political system with frequent changes in party dynamics and governance structures (Slider 1990). Meanwhile in Central Europe countries including Poland, Hungary, and Czech Republic have established a more stable parliamentary democracies with their constituencies. Here the formation of political parties tend to be more cohesive and stable, reflecting a more standardized working electoral competition. In these regions, political parties represent the working social and interest groups that usually leads to a more predictable political environment (Tóka 1997). As indicated about the influence of the Eastern Europe, the Central Europe has a strong ties with the Western Europeans and this has also extended in shaping and integrate the political development into the European structure (Markowski 1997).

Political parties are the hallmarks of a practicing democracy, through structuring electoral competition in bringing order and coherence to public orientations, promoting integration of political system, connect political leaders and citizens by providing channels of two-way communication, and aggregate political demand by funneling it into discrete policy options (Norris 2000). There are several emergent post-communist party system establishment since 1991 in both Eastern and Central Europe, which has since been an emulation of the West European Sociopolitical development, albeit more purposeful and condensed in time which suggests a correspondence between the political elites types and the emergent systems of political party competition (Kitschelt 1995).

The system of democracy practiced in Eastern Europe is usually characterized as emerging or transitional following the collapse of the communist regimes where many countries adopted these frameworks which turn out to be less stable and inconsistent (Sierp 2015). This sometimes makes it challenging for citizens to engage meaningfully in political representation, also degenerates voter apathy and fragmented party system which then in the long run hinder democratic processes and eventually reduces public trust in political institutions. The legacy of the Soviet Union and authoritarian governance has left a mark on the political culture affecting how democracy is perceived and practiced in the region, also the historical backdrop contributes to the challenges faced by emerging democracies in establishing stable and effective political system.

The democratic system in Central Europe practiced is the Stable Parliamentary Democracies which allows for organized electoral competition and a clearer representation of political interest. Countries like Poland, Czech Republic, Hungary and others have these establishment that operates a peaceful democracy devoid of intimidation and malfunctioning that aligns with the social interest of their constituencies (Ágh 1994). In this region, political parties are more cohesive and organize that facilitates a more predictable political environment where parties can represent a social interest and engage in meaningful electoral competition that unravel the relationship between the political elites and their constituencies. This paper aims to explore the multifaceted challenges and impacts of democratic transformation in Central and Eastern Europe (Holzer and Mareš 2016; Malová and Dolný 2008). It delves into how countries navigate the complexities of transitioning from authoritarian regimes, addressing issues such as political instability, external influences, and the need for effective organization among political entities. Furthermore, the paper examines the sociopolitical ramifications of these transitions in terms of social and infrastructural development, relations with the international community, and the overall confidence of both citizens and investors in the democratic process.

In essence, this inquiry into the challenges and impacts of democratic transformation reveals a complex interplay of historical legacies, current political dynamics, and the aspirations of citizenry engaged in the quest for democratic ideals across Central and Eastern European countries.

➤ *Historical Foundation of Democratic Transition*

The historical foundations of democratic transition in Central and Eastern Europe, as outlined in the paper, are rooted in the profound political, social, and economic transformations that followed the decline of communist regimes at the end of the 20th century (Ekiert and Ziblatt 2013). These foundations are characterized by the collapse of authoritarian rule, the pursuit of political liberalization, and the efforts to establish democratic institutions that reflect the will of citizens.

Historically, the transition process was influenced by the legacy of authoritarianism, which had established centralized political control, suppressed civil liberties, and limited political pluralism (Dobry 2000). The fall of communist regimes around 1989 marked a pivotal moment, catalyzing a wave of democratization driven by popular uprisings, the weakening of Soviet influence, and increasing exposure to Western liberal ideas. Countries in Central Europe, such as Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic, benefited from pre-existing civil society movements, relatively stable political institutions, and closer integration with Western Europe, which facilitated their path toward democracy.

Throughout the transition period, the role of political parties, external actors, and international organizations was crucial in shaping the trajectory toward democracy. Also Western European integration, through accession to the European Union and NATO, provided a strategic framework and normative standards that reinforced democratic reforms in Central Europe (Schimmelfennig 2003). Meanwhile, post-Soviet influences and geopolitical tensions have significantly impacted the stability and nature of democratic progress in Eastern Europe. Overall, the historical foundations of democratic transition in this region are embedded in their complex legacies of authoritarianism, the influence of external actors, and their ongoing efforts to consolidate democratic institutions amid internal challenges and external pressures.

II. THE IMPACT OF DEMOCRACY IN EASTERN AND CENTRAL EUROPE

Democracy by international standards has been registered as the most acceptable and peaceful way of good governance. No doubt the regions of Eastern and Central Europe adopts to ensure a good governance and transition in the management of her nations, this paved way for operatives of political parties to participate in elections to represent them in their various constituencies. There are numerous impact that underscores the impact of democracy in both regions and a few are discussed below:

➤ *Good Governance and Political Stability:*

This is key as democracy paved away for good governance since elections are conducted to allow for competitive selection of competent and expertise to lead. For example countries like Poland and Czech Republic in the Central Europe has developed a more stable Parliamentary democratic system and that has facilitated effective governance and clearer representation of political interest (Hoen 2013). The case of Eastern Europe, mostly experience more volatility in the political system as a result of frequent changes in political dynamics and structures which can undermine political stability and effective governance (Hoen 2002).

➤ *Two-Way Participation and Citizen Engagement:*

This offers an opportunity for the citizenry to participate and engage in governance through election system (process). With the structural electoral process in Central Europe, it promotes higher voter participation and also allow citizens to contribute in decision making process (Koc-Michalska et al. 2024) and to hold leaders accountable, and in the Eastern Europe, citizens are also engaged in policy decision making aside voter apathy that hinder meaningful participation in governance.

➤ *Economic Development and Integration:*

Political stability results in an improved economic development and integration, more especially in Central Europe that has had more stable democratic transition in governance after experiencing a tremendous improvement in economic

development and integration (Bharti 2022). Even thou, countries in Eastern Europe also experienced slightly improved economic development but they experience challenges due to political instability and less effective governance.

➤ *Education and Civil Society Development:*

Democratic governance has often led to reforms in the education sector, highlighting improved access to education and promoting critical thinking and civic engagement among citizens in both Eastern and Central Europe and these also reflects in the development of the society by fostering a stronger civil society organizations, NGOs, and grassroots movements (Bernhard 1993; Gotchev 1998). These entities have played a crucial role in promoting human rights, environmental issues, and social justice in both regions.

➤ *Diplomatic and Regional Integration:*

This has encouraged regional cooperation, as countries work together to address shared challenges like security, trade, and environmental issues. Also influenced both Eastern and Central European countries to integrate with Western Organizations like the European Union (EU) and NATO and further adopts to their democratic norms and practices (Dimitrova and Pridham 2004).

➤ *Populism and Authoritarianism:*

In recent years, some countries have seen a rise in populism and authoritarian tendencies, challenging the democratic institutions established in post-communism. For example, Poland and Hungary have experienced shifts towards illiberal democracy, raising concerns about media freedom, judicial independence, and civil rights (Maggo and Kumari 2023).

➤ *Socioeconomic Disparities:*

Although many countries have experienced economic growth, inequality and poverty persist in some regions, leading to disillusionment with the democratic process and a questioning of its benefits (Bohle and Greskovits 2009).

III. DEMOCRATIC CHALLENGES IN EASTERN AND CENTRAL EUROPEANS

Democratic challenges in Eastern and Central Europe have been influenced by a variety of historical, social, and political factors (Greskovits 2015). These challenges can be divided into several key areas:

➤ *Authoritarian Backsliding:*

Several countries in the region, such as Hungary and Poland, have experienced a decline in democratic norms and practices. Governments in these countries have been accused of undermining the independence of the judiciary, curbing media freedom, and using populist rhetoric to consolidate power (Cianetti et al. 2020; 2019). This trend is often referred to as "illiberal democracy," where elections may still occur, but the

fundamental principles of democracy such as the rule of law and civil liberties are eroded.

➤ *Corruption and Governance Issues:*

Corruption remains a significant issue in many Eastern and Central European countries. High levels of corruption can undermine public trust in democratic institutions and processes, leading to disillusionment among citizens. This can result in lower voter turnout and increased support for populist movements that promise to challenge the status quo (Ouahmane and Ouahmane 2023).

➤ *Nationalism and Ethnic Tensions:*

A rise in nationalist sentiments has been observed in several countries, often accompanied by xenophobia and anti-immigrant sentiment. These nationalist movements can threaten social cohesion and democratic inclusiveness, as they may marginalize minority groups and diminish the protection of minority rights (Bugajski 2016; Wolff 2002; Gellner 1991).

➤ *Political Polarization:*

The political landscape in many Eastern and Central European countries has become increasingly polarized. This polarization can lead to legislative gridlock, communal strife, and a breakdown of civil discourse, making it difficult for moderate voices to promote consensus-building and collaborative governance (Schulze et al. 2020; McCoy et al. 2018).

➤ *Economic Challenges:*

Economic instability, high unemployment rates, and inequality can contribute to public dissatisfaction with democratic governance. Individuals dissatisfied with their socio-economic conditions may be more susceptible to extremist ideologies or support for authoritarian leaders who promise quick solutions.

➤ *Influence of External Forces:*

External influences observed as Russia, have been a significant factor in shaping or influencing the political dynamics among Eastern Europe nations (Wöll and Wydra 2008). Russia's support for certain political parties and movements, along with misinformation and disinformation campaigns, can destabilize democratic processes and undermine public trust in democratic institutions.

➤ *Weak Civil Society:*

In some countries, civil society organizations play a crucial role in advocacy and accountability and also face some restrictions on their operations. This lack of a vibrant civil society can diminish citizen engagement and oversight of government actions (Bernhard 1993; Ruzza 2004). Not enough of Civil Society participation in many democracies of both Central and European countries and hence critics are mostly masterminded by the opposition parties.

➤ *Media Freedom:*

The media landscape in many Eastern and Central European countries has faced challenges such as censorship, ownership concentration, and government pressure. Free and independent media are essential for informing citizens and holding governments accountable, and their decline poses a direct threat to democracy (Coman and Tomini 2015).

IV. METHODS

The methods used in writing this paper primarily involve the utilization of secondary sources. The author undertook a thorough study and review of various materials, including, official documents to have insights into the political landscape and governance structures of the countries in Eastern and Central Europe, another major source is the comprehensive study of Journals and publications that provide regular findings of democracy, governance and political development in both regions, academic articles also contributed to theoretical perspectives and empirical data relevant to democratic transitions and political party dynamics and finally the use of authentic social media reports gives a contemporary view of the activities of political parties and public discourse on governance and democracy (Rea and Parker 2014). This comprehensive approach allows for a multi-faceted understanding of the challenges and impact of democratic transformation in Eastern and Central European countries.

Another different digital search engines for this paper used are Google scholar and Harzing's Publish or Perish. Some keywords (Democracy, Diplomacy, Eastern and Central Europe, European Union, integration, international cooperation, dialogue and development.) were used separately at first and then combine later. After the raw and systematic extraction of 250 publications linked to the- keywords used, the research focused on the purification of these publications in order to obtain the desired results relating to the study.

V. DISCUSSION AND DATA ANALYSIS

➤ *The Paper on "Democratic Transformation:*

Challenges and Impact in Central and Eastern Europe" provides an in-depth analysis of the political evolution in the region, of post-communism. The discussion illustrates the contrast between Eastern and Central Europe regarding the stability and functionality of their democracies. Also highlights how political parties are central to establishing democratic governance. In Central Europe, such as Poland and the Czech Republic, political parties are more cohesive and contribute to stable governance (Tóka 1997). In contrast, Eastern Europe exhibits a fragmented party system with frequent shifts, leading to political volatility. This contrast raises questions about how party structures impact citizens' engagement and overall trust in political processes. This draws attention to the economic disparities observed across the region. Central Europe has attained a better economic performance in post-transition due to more stable political systems. However, the paper notes the

persistent economic challenges in Eastern Europe that stem from political instability (Bharti 2022). This invites further inquiry into the correlation between governance stability and economic development. The Recent trends of authoritarian backsliding, particularly in Hungary and Poland, serve as a significant concern leading to the rise of populist movements and nationalism that poses a threat to the democratic fabric. This aspect prompts discussions about the resilience of democratic institutions and the role of civic engagement in countering these trends. There exist some influence of external factors like the influence of Russia in the Eastern Europe that undermines public trust in democracy and cause disinformation in the region.

This discussion is backed by quantitative and qualitative data that compares voter turnout in Central and Eastern European countries before and after the transition could provide insights into civic engagement levels. Data showing a decline in voter participation in Eastern Europe versus stable or increased participation in Central Europe would support claims about effective governance (Dimitrova and Pridham 2004). The perception of corruption could be analyzed using indices such as Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index. Countries that demonstrate higher levels of political corruption may align with lower voter trust and engagement, reinforcing the claims made in the paper. Also an examination of GDP growth rates, unemployment levels, and income inequality in countries across both regions since the 1990s underscores the impact of political stability on economic performance.

VI. CONCLUSION

The impact of democracy in Eastern and Central Europe has been significant, bringing about political, economic, and social transformations. However, the journey is ongoing, with both achievements and challenges that shape the contemporary political landscape. The resilience of democratic institutions and civic participation will be crucial in addressing these challenges and advancing the democratic project in the region. In response to these challenges, some countries in the region have taken steps toward strengthening democratic institutions, improving governance, and fostering civic engagement. However, the extent of these efforts varies considerably across the region, reflecting both the unique historical contexts and contemporary issues faced by each country. The future of democracy in Eastern and Central Europe will likely depend on the ability of citizens and institutions to address these persistent challenges effectively.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Ágh, Attila. 1994. "The Hungarian Party System and Party Theory in the Transition of Central Europe." *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 6 (2): 217–38.
- [2]. Bernhard, Michael. 1993. "Civil Society and Democratic Transition in East Central Europe." *Political Science Quarterly* 108 (2): 307–26. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2152014>.
- [3]. Bharti, Mukesh Shankar. 2022. "The Economic Integration of the Central and Eastern European Countries into the European Union: Special Reference to Regional Development." *Copernicus Political and Legal Studies* 1 (2): 11–23. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=1197131>.
- [4]. Bohle, Dorothee, and Béla Greskovits. 2009. "Poverty, Inequality, and Democracy (II): East-Central Europe's Quandary." *Journal of Democracy* 20 (4): 50–63. <https://muse.jhu.edu/pub/1/article/362636/summary>.
- [5]. Bugajski, Janusz. 2016. *Ethnic Politics in Eastern Europe: A Guide to Nationality Policies, Organizations and Parties: A Guide to Nationality Policies, Organizations and Parties*. Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315287454/ethnic-politics-eastern-europe-guide-nationality-policies-organizations-parties-janusz-bugajski>.
- [6]. Cianetti, Licia, James Dawson, and Seán Hanley. 2019. *Rethinking 'Democratic Backsliding' in Central and Eastern Europe*. Routledge Abingdon and New York. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9780429264795&type=googlepdf>.
- [7]. Cianetti, Licia, James Dawson, and Seán Hanley. 2020. "Rethinking 'Democratic Backsliding' in Central and Eastern Europe—Looking beyond Hungary and Poland." In *Rethinking 'Democratic Backsliding' in Central and Eastern Europe*. Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429264795-1/rethinking-democratic-backsliding-central-eastern-europe-looking-beyond-hungary-poland-licia-cianetti-james-dawson-se%C3%A1n-hanley>.
- [8]. Coman, Ramona, and Luca Tomini. 2015. *The State of Democracy in Central and Eastern Europe*. Routledge London and New York.
- [9]. Dimitrova, Antoaneta, and Geoffrey Pridham. 2004. "International Actors and Democracy Promotion in Central and Eastern Europe: The Integration Model and Its Limits." *Democratization* 11 (5): 91–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340412331304606>.
- [10]. Dobry, Michel. 2000. *Democratic and Capitalist Transitions in Eastern Europe: Lessons for the Social Sciences*. Vol. 55. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [11]. Ekiert, Grzegorz, and Daniel Ziblatt. 2013. "Democracy in Central and Eastern Europe One Hundred Years On." *East European Politics and Societies* 27 (1): 90–107.
- [12]. Gellner, Ernest. 1991. "Nationalism and Politics in Eastern Europe." *New Left Review* 189 (5): 127–34. <https://newleftreview.org/issues/i189/articles/ernest-gellner-nationalism-and-politics-in-eastern-europe.pdf>.

- [13]. Gotchev, Atanas. 1998. NGOs and Promotion of Democracy and Civil Society in East-Central Europe. Albatros Publishers. <https://www.nato.int/acad/fellow/96-98/gotchev.pdf>.
- [14]. Greskovits, Béla. 2015. "The Hollowing and Backsliding of Democracy in East Central Europe." *Global Policy* 6 (S1): 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12225>.
- [15]. Hoen, Herman. 2002. "Good Governance in Central and Eastern Europe: The European Union as an Anchor for Reform." *Economic and Environmental Studies* 2: 11–27. <https://cejsh.icm.edu.pl/cejsh/element/bwmeta1.element.ojs-issn-1642-2597-year-2002-volume-2-article-3246/c/3246-2726.pdf>.
- [16]. Hoen, Herman. 2013. "Good Governance in Central and Eastern Europe: The European Union as an Anchor for Reform." *Economic and Environmental Studies* 13 (2 (26)): 95–112. <https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=159997>.
- [17]. Holzer, Jan, and Miroslav Mareš. 2016. *Challenges to Democracies in East Central Europe*. Routledge London.
- [18]. Kitschelt, Herbert. 1992. "The Formation of Party Systems in East Central Europe." *Politics & Society* 20 (1): 7–50. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329292020001003>.
- [19]. Kitschelt, Herbert. 1995. *Party Systems in East Central Europe: Consolidation or Fluidity?* Centre for the Study of Public Policy, University of Strathclyde.
- [20]. Koc-Michalska, Karolina, Darren Lilleker, Christian Baden, et al. 2024. *Citizens, Participation and Media in Central and Eastern European Nations*. Routledge. <https://api.taylorfrancis.com/content/books/mono/download?identifierName=doi&identifierValue=10.4324/9781003517207&type=googlepdf>.
- [21]. Lewis, Paul G. 2006. "Party Systems in Post-Communist Central Europe: Patterns of Stability and Consolidation." *Democratization* 13 (4): 562–83. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13510340600791863>.
- [22]. Maggo, Ritika, and Suprabha Kumari. 2023. "The Rise of Populism in Central and Eastern Europe: The Gaining Power Bond between Hungary and Poland in the European Union." *Social Development and Security* 13 (3): 206–17. <http://paperssds.eu/index.php/JSPSDS/article/view/544>.
- [23]. Malová, Darina, and Branislav Dolný. 2008. "The Eastern Enlargement of the European Union: Challenges to Democracy?" *Human Affairs* 18 (1): 67–80.
- [24]. Markowski, Radosław. 1997. "Political Parties and Ideological Spaces in East Central Europe." *Communist and Post-Communist Studies* 30 (3): 221–54.
- [25]. McCoy, Jennifer, Tahmina Rahman, and Murat Somer. 2018. "Polarization and the Global Crisis of Democracy: Common Patterns, Dynamics, and Pernicious Consequences for Democratic Politics." *American Behavioral Scientist* 62 (1): 16–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764218759576>.
- [26]. Norris, Pippa. 2000. "Global Governance and Cosmopolitan Citizens." *Governance in a Globalizing World* 155: 173–75.
- [27]. Ouahmane, Hanna, and Hanna Ouahmane. 2023. "Rule of Law, Corruption and Development, An Analysis of Western." *Daedalus* 2: 64. <https://helda.helsinki.fi/bitstreams/164dea92-6da7-45d6-bb5a-62b21dc4689e/download>.
- [28]. Rea, Louis M., and Richard A. Parker. 2014. *Designing and Conducting Survey Research: A Comprehensive Guide*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [29]. Ruzza, Carlo. 2004. *Europe and Civil Society: Movement Coalitions and European Governance*. Manchester University Press.
- [30]. Schimmelfennig, Frank. 2003. *The EU, NATO and the Integration of Europe: Rules and Rhetoric*. Cambridge University Press.
- [31]. Schulze, Heidi, Marlene Mauk, and Jonas Linde. 2020. "How Populism and Polarization Affect Europe's Liberal Democracies." *Politics and Governance* 8 (3): 1–5. <https://epub.ub.uni-muenchen.de/93273/>.
- [32]. Sierp, Aline. 2015. *Democratic Change in Central and Eastern Europe 1989-90*. European Parliament.
- [33]. Slider, Darrell. 1990. "The Soviet Union." *Electoral Studies* 9 (4): 295–302.
- [34]. Tóka, Gábor. 1997. *Political Parties and Democratic Consolidation in East Central Europe*. Centre for the Study of Public Policy, University of Strathclyde.
- [35]. Wolff, Stefan. 2002. "Beyond Ethnic Politics in Central and Eastern Europe." *JEMIE*, i. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/jemie2002§ion=30.
- [36]. Wöll, Alexander, and Harald Wydra. 2008. *Democracy and Myth in Russia and Eastern Europe*. Vol. 36. Routledge London.