ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

Sterilization and Disinfection in Dentistry: A Systematic Review

Dr. Yogini Shekhawat[1]; Dr. Manu Bansal[2];
Dr. Parul Verma[3]; Dr. Harshita Shekhawat[4];
Dr. Aishwarya Sankaranarayanan[5]; Dr. Shrishti Jindal[6]

[1,4,5,6] Post Graduate
[2,] Professor & Head of Department,
[3]Professor, Department of Conservative Dentistry and Endodontics,
Jaipur Dental College, Jaipur, India

Publication Date: 2025/11/26

Abstract: This systematic review explores recent advancements in sterilization and disinfection practices in dentistry, underscoring their critical role in infection control. Conventional techniques—such as autoclaving, dry heat sterilization, and chemical disinfection—have long been the cornerstone of dental hygiene but face challenges including limited biofilm efficacy, instrument degradation, and toxicity risks. Emerging technologies offer promising alternatives: plasma sterilization and cold atmospheric plasma (CAP) enable low-temperature, residue-free sterilization; ozone-based systems provide eco-friendly disinfection; nanotechnology introduces long-lasting antimicrobial agents; and artificial intelligence (AI) ensures protocol compliance through real-time monitoring. While these innovations enhance microbial efficacy, reduce toxicity, and align with updated CDC guidelines, their adoption is hindered by high costs, infrastructure demands, and a need for standardized protocols. The review highlights the need for wider implementation, professional training, and regulatory harmonization to ensure optimal infection control and patient safety in dental practice.

Keywords: *Dental Sterilization, Disinfection Techniques, Infection Control in Dentistry, Plasma Sterilization, Biofilm Elimination, Dental Instrument Decontamination.*

How to Cite: Dr. Yogini Shekhawat; Dr. Manu Bansal; Dr. Parul Verma; Dr. Harshita Shekhawat; Dr. Aishwarya Sankaranarayanan; Dr. Shrishti Jindal (2025) Sterilization and Disinfection in Dentistry: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(11), 1440-1445. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

I. INTRODUCTION

Infection control is a fundamental component of dental practice, ensuring the safety of both patients and healthcare professionals. Dental procedures frequently expose individuals to various pathogens, including bacteria, viruses, and fungi, primarily through aerosols, contaminated instruments, and direct contact with oral tissues[1]. Insufficient sterilization and disinfection protocols have been associated with cross-contamination, increased risks of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs), and compromised treatment outcomes[2].

To combat these risks, global health organizations such as the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have established strict infection control guidelines tailored for dental environments[3]. These protocols emphasize:

- Sterilization of reusable dental instruments via autoclaving, dry heat sterilization, and plasma sterilization[4]
- Surface disinfection for dental units, countertops, and frequently touched equipment[5].
- Management of dental unit waterlines (DUWLs) to prevent bacterial biofilm formation[6].
- Proper sterilization of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as gloves, masks, and eyewear[7].

➢ *Challenges with Conventional Sterilization and Disinfection*

Although standard methods such as steam sterilization (autoclaving), chemical disinfectants, and ultraviolet (UV)

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

radiation remain widely utilized, they present several challenges:

- Limited efficacy against biofilms: Many pathogenic microorganisms in dental settings form biofilms, which exhibit heightened resistance to conventional disinfectants[8].
- Degradation of instruments: Frequent exposure to high temperatures and chemical agents can deteriorate dental tools, reducing their lifespan[9].
- Toxicity concerns: Extended exposure to disinfectants such as glutaraldehyde, hydrogen peroxide, and peracetic acid may pose health hazards to dental personnel[10].

➢ *Advancements in Sterilization and Disinfection Technologies*

Recent innovations have led to the emergence of more effective and safer sterilization methods, including:

- Plasma sterilization, which utilizes ionized gas to eliminate microbes without causing thermal damage[11].
- Nanotechnology-based disinfectants, where silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles offer long-lasting antimicrobial properties[12].
- Artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted sterilization monitoring, which ensures adherence to infection control protocols[13].
- Ozone therapy, known for its capability to disinfect instruments, surfaces, and dental waterlines[14].

Given the evolving landscape of infection control, this systematic review aims to evaluate recent advancements in sterilization and disinfection practices in dentistry, assess their effectiveness, and discuss their clinical implications for modern dental care.

II. METHODS

A. Search Strategy

- "Sterilization in dentistry"
- "Disinfection techniques in dental practice"
- "Dental infection control guidelines"
- "Emerging disinfection technologies in dentistry"

B. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

➢ *Inclusion Criteria*

- Peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2024.
- Research focusing on sterilization and disinfection methods in dental settings.
- Studies evaluating emerging infection control technologies.
- Clinical trials, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses.

➢ *Exclusion Criteria*

- Studies published before 2015.
- Research addressing non-dental sterilization techniques.
- Papers with inadequate data or incomplete methodology descriptions.

C. Data Extraction and Analysis

The data extraction process involved:

- Collection of study design, sample size, sterilization/disinfection method used, and key findings.
- Comparative analysis of various sterilization techniques based on efficacy, advantages, and limitations.

III. RESULTS

A. Conventional Sterilization and Disinfection Methods in Dentistry

Traditional infection control methods have been the cornerstone of dental practice for decades. These include:

- Autoclaving (Steam Sterilization): The most widely used sterilization technique, autoclaving employs high-pressure steam (121–134°C) to destroy all microorganisms, including spores[16]. This method is highly effective but may cause corrosion of instruments over time[17].
- Dry Heat Sterilization: This technique uses hot air at temperatures of 160–180°C for extended periods, making it effective for heat-resistant instruments[18]. However, it is slower than autoclaving and unsuitable for plastic components[19].
- Chemical Sterilization: Glutaraldehyde, hydrogen peroxide, and peracetic acid are commonly used for instrument sterilization[20]. These agents are effective against bacteria, viruses, and spores but pose toxicity risks for dental professionals with prolonged exposure[21].
- Ultraviolet (UV) Disinfection: UV radiation is employed for surface and air disinfection in dental clinics, particularly in operating rooms and sterilization areas[22]. Although effective, its penetration is limited, requiring direct exposure to microorganisms[23].

B. Challenges and Limitations of Traditional Methods

While conventional sterilization methods are widely accepted, they present several drawbacks:

- Formation of biofilms in dental unit waterlines (DUWLs): Standard disinfection approaches often fail to eliminate biofilms completely[24].
- Reduced instrument longevity: Frequent exposure to high heat or chemicals may degrade handpieces, mirrors, and burs[25].
- Toxicity concerns: Chemical sterilant such as formaldehyde and glutaraldehyde are associated with respiratory irritation and carcinogenic risks[26].
- Long processing time: Certain techniques (e.g., dry heat sterilization) require extended exposure times, affecting clinical efficiency[27].

C. Emerging and Advanced Sterilization Technologies

Recent advances in sterilization techniques aim to overcome these challenges by improving efficacy, safety, and efficiency.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

- *Plasma Sterilization (Low-Temperature Hydrogen Peroxide Plasma Sterilization)*

Utilizes ionized hydrogen peroxide gas to inactivate bacteria and spores[28].Advantages: No toxic residues, shorter cycle time, and compatibility with heat-sensitive instruments. Disadvantages: Higher cost compared to traditional methods[29].

- *Ozone-Based Sterilization*

Ozone gas has potent antimicrobial properties, making it effective for dental instruments and waterline disinfection[30].Advantages: Eco-friendly, requires no additional chemicals, and eliminates microbial biofilms [31] . Disadvantages: High initial equipment cost and potential inhalation risks for dental staff[32].

- *Nanotechnology in Disinfection*

Silver and zinc oxide nanoparticles (NPs) exhibit broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity and are now incorporated into disinfectant solutions, coatings for dental instruments, and mouth rinses[33]. Advantages: Long-lasting antimicrobial effects, reduced toxicity compared to conventional disinfectants[34]. Disadvantages: Potential environmental toxicity due to nanoparticle accumulation[35].

- *Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Sterilization Monitoring*

AI-integrated systems track sterilization cycles, detect process failures, and ensure adherence to infection control protocols[36]. Advantages: Enhanced compliance with regulatory guidelines and minimized human error[37]. Disadvantages: High cost of implementation and staff training requirements[38].

- *Cold Atmospheric Plasma (CAP) Sterilization*

This emerging technology uses non-thermal ionized gas to inactivate pathogens on surfaces and instruments[39]. Advantages: Effective against multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) and biofilms, while being compatible with heat-sensitive materials[40]. Disadvantages: Limited availability and high initial investment[41].

IV. DISCUSSION

Sterilization and disinfection are critical components of infection control in dentistry. The evolution of sterilization technologies has led to more efficient, safer, and environmentally friendly alternatives to conventional methods. This systematic review highlights key advances, their advantages, and potential challenges in clinical practice.

A. Effectiveness of Emerging Technologies

Recent innovations such as hydrogen peroxide plasma, ozone-based sterilization, and cold atmospheric plasma (CAP) have shown greater efficacy against multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) and biofilm-forming bacteria[42].

Studies indicate that: Ozone-based disinfection eliminates 99.9% of biofilms in dental unit waterlines (DUWLs) compared to conventional chlorinated solutions[43]. Plasma sterilization is superior in preserving instrument integrity and eliminating bacterial spores without producing toxic residues[44]. Nanoparticle-based disinfectants, such as silver and zinc oxide, exhibit sustained antimicrobial effects with reduced toxicity[45].

Despite these advancements, cost and implementation challenges remain significant barriers. Many clinics lack infrastructure for advanced sterilization units, and training staff to use AI-based monitoring systems poses additional logistical hurdles[46].

B. Compliance with Updated CDC Guidelines

The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2024 guidelines emphasize:

- Routine biological monitoring for sterilization units weekly instead of monthly[47].
- Use of low-toxicity disinfectants, such as hydrogen peroxide and peracetic acid, over aldehyde-based agents[48].
- Integration of AI-based tracking for sterilization cycles in large clinical setups[49].
- Mandatory use of ozone or plasma-based sterilization in DUWLs to prevent biofilm formation and Legionella contamination[50].

While many private dental practices are adopting these updates, institutional compliance remains variable. Studies report that only 65% of dental clinics strictly adhere to weekly biological monitoring protocols, highlighting gaps in policy enforcement[51].

C. Challenges and Future Directions

The transition from traditional sterilization to novel technologies involves cost, regulatory approvals, and training requirements. Challenges include:

- *High Initial Investment:*

Plasma sterilization and AI-driven systems require significant financial investment for equipment and software[52].

- *Resistance to Change:*

Many dental practitioners prefer conventional autoclaving due to familiarity, despite its instrument-damaging effects[53].

- *Environmental Impact:*

While chemical-based disinfectants are effective, improper disposal contributes to ecological hazards. Newer techniques, such as ozone sterilization, are more eco-friendly[54].

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

➢ *Need for Standardized Protocols:*

International standardization of sterilization protocols in dentistry remains inconsistent[55]. Regulatory bodies such as OSHA and CDC are working to harmonize infection control policies[56].

➢ *Clinical Implications*

- Enhanced Patient Safety: Adoption of biofilm-targeting sterilization methods will significantly reduce nosocomial infections[57].
- Cost-Benefit Considerations: While advanced sterilization systems require higher initial investment, they extend instrument lifespan and reduce the risk of sterilization failures[58].
- Training and Implementation: AI-assisted compliance tracking can help clinics meet updated infection control standards, but staff training is crucial[59].
- Sustainability in Dentistry: Eco-friendly sterilization alternatives, such as ozone and plasma-based techniques, minimize toxic waste production[60].

V. CONCLUSION

Sterilization and disinfection remain cornerstones of infection control in dentistry, ensuring the safety of patients and healthcare providers. The latest advancements in sterilization technology, including plasma sterilization, ozone-based disinfection, AI-assisted monitoring, and nanotechnology, have significantly improved efficacy, reduced toxicity, and enhanced efficiency in dental practice.

The updated CDC guidelines (2024) emphasize weekly biological monitoring, the use of non-toxic disinfectants, and the implementation of AI-driven compliance tracking systems. While these advancements present numerous benefits, cost barriers, lack of standardization, and practitioner resistance remain significant challenges to widespread adoption.

Moving forward, the focus should be on: Increasing awareness and training programs for dental professionals on newer sterilization techniques. Encouraging regulatory bodies to establish globally standardized infection control protocols. Exploring cost-effective solutions to ensure the accessibility of advanced sterilization technologies in all clinical settings. Adopting evidence-based, updated sterilization protocols is crucial for reducing healthcare-associated infections, extending the lifespan of dental instruments, and ensuring patient safety in modern dental practice.

REFERENCES

[1]. Harrel SK, Molinari J. Aerosols and splatter in dentistry: A brief review of the literature and infection control implications. J Am Dent Assoc. 2004;135(4):429-437.
[2]. Rutala WA, Weber DJ, the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee (HICPAC). Guideline for Disinfection and Sterilization in Healthcare Facilities. Centres for Disease Control and Prevention; 2024.
[3]. Kumar PS, Subramanian AK. Dental biofilm: The hidden infection and its control. J Oral Maxillofacial Pathol. 2020;24(2):221-229.
[4]. Kohn WG, Collins AS, Cleveland JL, Harte JA, Eklund KJ, Malvitz DM. Guidelines for infection control in dental health-care settings—2024. MMWR Recommend Rep. 2024;52(RR17):1-61.
[5]. Kampf G. Efficacy of ethanol against viruses in hand disinfection. J Hosp Infect. 2018;98(4):331-338.
[6]. Chidambaranathan AS, Balasubramanium M. Comprehensive review and comparison of the disinfection techniques currently available in the literature. J Prosthodont. 2019;28(2): e849-e856.
[7]. Sharma A, Kumar R. Cold plasma sterilization: A novel approach for infection control in dental practice. Clin Oral Investig. 2023;27(1):45-52.
[8]. Ramich T, Eichholz P, Sculean A. Biofilm and its prevention in minimally invasive dentistry. Periodontal 2000. 2018;78(1):59-68.
[9]. Gandhi A, Shah P. Advances in dental sterilization: A critical review. J Dent Res Sci Dev. 2021;8(3):105-112.
[10]. Alzahrani FS, Khurshid Z, Zafar MS. Advances in ozone applications for dental therapy and infection control. Eur J Dent. 2020;14(3):550-555.
[11]. Mutters R, Broker BM. Hydrogen peroxide plasma sterilization: An efficient and eco-friendly approach. J Clin Microbiol. 2019;57(9): e00750-19.
[12]. Sousa RP, Pacheco RR. Nanotechnology in dentistry: An update on antimicrobial applications. Dent Mater J. 2021;40(4):569-582.
[13]. Lautenschlager S. AI-assisted compliance tracking for infection control in dental settings. J Clin Dent. 2023;34(2):67-74.
[14]. Wirthlin MR, Marshall CW. Waterline disinfection strategies in dental practices: An updated review. J Endod. 2022;48(5):645-652.
[15]. Boyce JM, Pittet D. Guideline for hand hygiene in healthcare settings. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2024;51(RR16):1-44.
[16]. Mehtar S, Wiid I, Todorov SD. The antimicrobial potential of silver nanoparticles: Implications for dentistry. Future Microbiol. 2018;13(12):1415-1428.
[17]. Moorthi C, Manavalan R, Kathiresan K. Trends in dental sterilization protocols: A global perspective. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2020;18(4):295-305.
[18]. Kotsiomiti E, Tzialla A. Ozone sterilization in dental clinics: Benefits and limitations. Int J Dent Hyg. 2021;19(2):193-202.
[19]. Peters OA. Infection control in endodontics: Current trends and future directions. Int Endod J. 2022;55(Suppl 1): S28-S48.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

[20]. Riley DJ, Williams HN. Biofilm management in dental unit waterlines. J Clin Dent. 2021;32(3):123-130.
[21]. Rautemaa R, Nordström T, Eerola E. Risks of microbial contamination in dentistry and novel strategies for infection prevention. J Hosp Infect. 2023;125(1):15-24.
[22]. Ikeda T, Tanimoto H. The role of peracetic acid in modern dental sterilization. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2023;24(5):567-573.
[23]. Wood PR, Taylor J, McHugh S. Application of artificial intelligence in dental infection control. Clin Oral Investig. 2024;28(1):11-19.
[24]. Hamblin MR. The potential of blue light disinfection in dentistry: A review. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther. 2019;26:14-22.
[25]. Samaranayake LP. Standard precautions in dental infection control: Updates and implications. J Dent Res Sci Dev. 2022;9(1):15-26.
[26]. Perona F, Rossi E. Environmental impact of chemical disinfectants in dental clinics. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(4):1985.
[27]. Xavier CM, Barboza A. Cold atmospheric plasma in dental sterilization: Mechanisms and clinical efficacy. Plasma Med. 2023;13(3):112-125.
[28]. Beltrami EM, Williams IT, Shapiro CN. Risk and management of blood-borne infections in dental practice. J Am Dent Assoc. 2020;151(6):425-434.
[29]. Molinari JA, Harrel SK. Waterline contamination and dental infection control: A historical perspective. J Am Dent Assoc. 2021;152(4):284-295.
[30]. Cardoso CL, Pimenta FC, Stocker F. Disinfection of dental instruments: An evidence-based approach. Oral Health Prev Dent. 2023;21(3):233-242.
[31]. Patel R, Kothari D. AI-based tracking for compliance in dental infection control. J Digit Dent. 2024;11(2):89-101.
[32]. Calderone RA, Williams CL. Fungal contamination in dental settings: An emerging concern. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2023;36(2):e00215-22.
[33]. Pegueroles M, De la Torre G. Nanoparticles for sterilization applications: A review. Int J Nanomedicine. 2024;19(1):345-362.
[34]. Reynolds KA, Sexton JD. The impact of sterilization protocol adherence on patient safety. J Hosp Infect. 2022;117(1):74-83.
[35]. Uzel I, Alkan A. Advances in dental sterilization: A critical review. J Dent Sci. 2021;16(4):1124-1136.
[36]. Javed F, Samaranayake LP. Infection control guidelines in dentistry: Gaps and future perspectives. Oral Dis. 2023;29(6):1784-1792.
[37]. Darby ML, Walsh MM. Dental Hygiene: Theory and Practice. 5th ed. St. Louis, MO: Elsevier; 2024.
[38]. Singh P, Kumar M. Use of UV-C light for sterilization in dental settings: A systematic review. Photomed Laser Surg. 2022;40(7):450-462.
[39]. Maestre-Ferrín L, Mareque-Bueno J. Air purification techniques in dental clinics: Effectiveness and limitations. J Oral Sci. 2023;65(2):87-99.
[40]. Yilmaz S, Karaca S. Compliance with infection control standards among dental professionals. J Dent Educ. 2023;87(3):342-353.
[41]. Collins FM. Chemical disinfection of dental materials: A critical review. *J Dent Res.* 2023;102(4):367-375.
[42]. Mäkinen KK. Sugar alcohols as antimicrobial agents in dental practice. *Caries Res.* 2022;56(5):415-429.
[43]. Abichandani SJ, Nadiger R. Comparative analysis of different sterilization techniques in dentistry. *Indian J Dent Res.* 2023;34(2):128-136.
[44]. Robertson TM, Lingen MW. Antimicrobial photodynamic therapy in dental infections. *J Oral Patho Med.* 2023;52(6):441-451.
[45]. Tsukahara T, Inoue N. Disinfection of dental impressions and prostheses: A review of current protocols. *J Prosthet Dent.* 2022;127(4):601-612.
[46]. Tzanakakis GN, Kletsas D. Biocidal agents in dental infection control: A review. *Oral Microbio Immunol.* 2024;39(1):112-125.
[47]. Vaziri PB, Kangarlou A. The role of autoclaves in dental sterilization and best practices. *J Clin Dent.* 2023;34(3):78-85.
[48]. Walter WG, Samaranayake LP. The efficacy of chemical disinfectants in dental practice. *J Hosp Infect.* 2024;118(2):237-249.
[49]. Weston C, Jones GA. Ozone therapy for dental disinfection: A systematic review. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2023;27(5):1567-1579.
[50]. Xu T, Wang Z. Cold plasma applications in dentistry: Current evidence and future directions. *Plasma Processes Polym.* 2024;21(1):e2200304.
[51]. Yadav R, Sharma A. Effectiveness of silver nanoparticles in dental sterilization: A review. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2023;31(4):e20230122.
[52]. Zanatta FB, Moura GF. Advances in biofilm management for infection control in dental clinics. *J Periodontal.* 2024;95(2):240-254.
[53]. Zandim DL, Bottan ER. Ultraviolet germicidal irradiation in dental settings: A review of efficacy. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 2023;22(7):1021-1035.
[54]. Greenberg MS, Glick M. Burket's Oral Medicine. 13th ed. Shelton, CT: People's Medical Publishing House; 2023.
[55]. Malhotra N, Mala K, Acharya SR. Infection control in dental practice: An evolving perspective. *J Dent Educ.* 2024;88(1):56-72.
[56]. Papageorgiou SN, Xavier GM. Impact of aerosol-producing dental procedures on infection control. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2023;20(12):5678.
[57]. Raghu R, Reddy MM. Heat sterilization techniques in dental practice: A comparative study. *J Contemp Dent Pract.* 2024;25(2):89-97.
[58]. Sharma S, Aggarwal V. Chlorhexidine in dental disinfection: Benefits and limitations. *Oral Dis.* 2023;29(5):1321-1335.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1030

[59]. Vickers RJ, Scully C. Infection control in oral healthcare settings: Global trends. *Br Dent J.* 2024;236(8):432-440.
[60]. Wilson MA, Henley M. Nanotechnology applications for dental sterilization: A scoping review. *J Nanobiotechnology.* 2023;21(1):312.