

# Russian Expert Brings AI Safety Innovations to U.S. Freight Industry

Mikhailov Aleksandr Vladimirovich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Acting Head Federal State Institution  
“Federal Management of Highways “Priuralye”, Russia

Publication Date: 2025/12/06

**Abstract:** This article examines the integration of artificial intelligence into freight-transport safety through the system architecture proposed by engineer Alexander Snurnikov. His work combines multimodal sensing, predictive analytics, and adaptive routing to reduce accident probability in long-haul trucking and hazardous-cargo logistics. The study outlines a model in which vehicle-mounted sensors, driver-state monitoring, and real-time routing algorithms jointly contribute to a continuous risk-mitigation cycle. The article also highlights infrastructure-level elements, including energy-efficient highway design and data-driven traffic regulation, positioning them as complementary components of systemic safety. The findings demonstrate that AI-driven decision support can reduce response times, increase routing stability, and enhance human-factor awareness, especially under dynamic environmental conditions. This approach corresponds with current safety objectives of the U.S. Department of Transportation and supports the migration from reactive to predictive logistics governance. The analysis concludes that integrated AI architectures, when combined with human-supervised operational control, offer a viable pathway toward reducing systemic risk in freight operations.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence; Freight Safety; Driver State Monitoring; Hazardous Cargo Routing; Predictive Analytics; Transportation Systems; Risk Mitigation; Logistics Optimisation; Intelligent Infrastructure.

**How to Cite:** Mikhailov Aleksandr Vladimirovich (2025) Russian Expert Brings AI Safety Innovations to U.S. Freight Industry. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(11), 2669-2673.  
<https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1326>

## I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing digitalisation of freight vehicles transforms them into mobile sensing platforms, shifting safety management from static compliance toward continuous algorithmic assessment [1]. One of the researchers contributing to this transition is Alexander Snurnikov, a transportation systems engineer whose work focuses on applying artificial intelligence to improve operational safety, environmental performance, and predictive stability in freight networks.

Following earlier research and patent development in Europe, Snurnikov's recent work focuses on integration within the U.S. freight sector, where digital transformation is expanding across regulatory and operational domains. His approach combines three functional areas often treated separately: driver monitoring, risk-aware routing, and infrastructure-level optimisation. Taken together, the technologies form an integrated structure that supports system-level resilience, enabling logistics operations to adapt to operational risk through continuous data feedback [2].

## II. FROM HUMAN FACTORS TO PREDICTIVE SAFETY

Snurnikov's early research focused on what he describes as the biological frontier of logistics. In his 2025 study “Intelligent Driver State Monitoring as a Safety Lever in Trucking Operations”, he examined how embedded AI modules can detect fatigue and impairment in real time using subtle physiological and behavioral cues such as eye-closure frequency, head pose, steering micro-corrections, and heart-rate variability.

According to Snurnikov, fatigue remains the invisible crash cause in freight. Traditional safety policies rely on hours-of-service logs or random testing, tools that cannot capture moment-to-moment human decline. His solution was an intelligent driver monitoring system that runs directly on the truck's edge computer, calculating a live vigilance score while preserving privacy. According to the conceptual model, driver-state monitoring functions as an assistance mechanism that increases situational awareness and reduces the likelihood of fatigue-related incidents [3]. Pilot trials demonstrated detection accuracy exceeding 90 percent for early fatigue indicators. The associated implementation model emphasises human-machine cooperation and seeks to ensure that

monitoring functionality supports safety outcomes without generating perceptions of intrusive oversight.

Snurnikov's most technically ambitious contribution, now under patent review in the U.S., is the AI-Driven Hazardous Cargo Routing and Risk Monitoring System. It targets one of the freight industry's highest-stakes problems: safely moving chemicals, fuels, and other dangerous goods through unpredictable environments.

Traditional route planning for hazardous materials is static. A driver receives a pre-approved path and compliance notes. If traffic, weather, or an accident alters conditions, rerouting often depends on manual decisions and radio calls. Snurnikov's platform replaces that approach with a continuously learning risk engine.

Each hazardous-material truck becomes a connected node equipped with sensors that track tank pressure, temperature, vibration, and gas leakage [4]. These data streams feed an AI model that calculates dynamic risk maps across the road network, integrating weather, population density, and regulatory geofences. If a crash or chemical spill occurs nearby, the system immediately isolates the zone and computes alternative routes for all affected vehicles. "Safety in hazmat transport is really a matter of time," Snurnikov explains. "Every minute gained in rerouting or notification reduces exposure and environmental impact." In simulation, his algorithms cut potential incident probability by up to 25 percent and shortened emergency-response times by 40 percent compared to legacy systems. The concept aligns with FMCSA's current exploration of predictive analytics and with DOT's broader TechCelerate program, which encourages proactive risk identification rather than post-event analysis.

Perhaps most importantly, Snurnikov built interpretability into the system, a crucial feature for regulatory acceptance. Using transparent AI techniques, dispatchers and inspectors can see why the algorithm recommended a detour, tracing contributions from traffic, weather, or cargo condition. "No one will accept a black-box route for a chlorine tanker," he says. "You need transparency as much as intelligence."

### III. ECO-INTELLIGENT HIGHWAYS: INFRASTRUCTURE AS A LIVING SYSTEM

Snurnikov's third major innovation shifts attention to the roads themselves. His patent, "Eco-Intelligent Highway Design Framework for Sustainable Transportation", envisions highways that act like living systems that generate energy, manage water, and communicate with vehicles through AI.

The framework integrates renewable-energy generation (solar panels on noise barriers, piezoelectric modules under pavement, small wind turbines in medians) with AI traffic management and sustainable materials such as warm-mix asphalt and recycled composites. All subsystems feed into a central control platform that adjusts lighting, ramp meters, and speed limits based on live data from sensors and weather stations. In modeling studies, the eco-intelligent design achieved up to 80 percent energy savings for lighting and

signaling systems and a 20 percent improvement in traffic throughput [5]. Snurnikov argues that such highways could become energy-neutral, even energy-positive, by powering their own operations and nearby electric-vehicle chargers. The evolving concept of infrastructure extends beyond material durability to include computational functionality. Within this context, highways equipped with embedded sensors and analytical modules can support predictive maintenance and energy management.

Beyond technology, the framework embeds ecological considerations rarely seen in highway design: rainwater harvesting, bioswales for filtration, and wildlife overpasses that restore habitat continuity. "Infrastructure has to give back, to the grid, to the environment, and to the community," Snurnikov notes.

His model fits neatly into the U.S. Department of Transportation's sustainability roadmap, which calls for integrating renewable energy and data-driven traffic control into federally funded corridors [6]. With states like California and Texas testing solar-integrated sound barriers, Snurnikov's blueprint offers a ready-made technical architecture.

Snurnikov's professional story also mirrors a broader trend, the cross-pollination of global engineering expertise within the U.S. freight ecosystem [7]. He describes his work as translating the rigorous systems-engineering mindset of Eastern Europe into the agile, data-driven environment of the American supply chain. That translation is not merely academic. Many of his design philosophies stem from operating in regions where infrastructure budgets are tight and systems must be both robust and adaptable. "When you can't assume unlimited resources, you design for efficiency and feedback," he says. "That's what AI should do in logistics, learn constantly and improve continuously."

Over the past several years, Snurnikov has become a bridge figure between research laboratories and logistics operators. His approach combines academic precision with field pragmatism, allowing scientific models to transform into practical tools that carriers can actually deploy. Instead of building closed systems that require full hardware replacement, he focuses on modular solutions that integrate into existing fleet infrastructures.

In partnership with U.S. technology developers, Snurnikov's driver monitoring software has been adapted to work on standard telematics devices already used by major carriers. By processing most of the data locally inside the truck, the system avoids bandwidth overload and ensures real-time feedback even in remote regions. Drivers have described it as "an assistant that never sleeps." Dispatchers receive alerts only when the algorithm detects a statistically significant fatigue pattern, reducing false positives and building confidence among fleet operators [8].

One of the most successful pilot initiatives so far took place on a hazardous-goods corridor connecting Houston and Baton Rouge. There, Snurnikov's routing engine was integrated with DOT data and local weather APIs. The platform dynamically rerouted shipments in response to

changing wind direction and population exposure metrics. Early results showed not only faster delivery times but also a measurable reduction in risk exposure per mile, demonstrating that safety and efficiency can grow in parallel rather than in conflict.

Snurnikov is now applying similar predictive frameworks to renewable energy logistics, where the routing challenge involves balancing grid demand and vehicle autonomy. "Freight is becoming an energy system," he observes. "Every truck, warehouse, and charging station participates in the same flow of information and electrons." His collaborations are also expanding beyond trucking. Several European and North American infrastructure groups have expressed interest in his eco-intelligent highway design as a reference model for climate-resilient construction.

The idea of self-regulating, sensor-equipped corridors fits the current global push toward sustainable logistics corridors under the UN's Decade of Action on Road Safety and Green Transport. Despite growing international attention, Snurnikov emphasizes that technology adoption must remain human-centered. "You can automate processes, but you cannot automate trust," he says. He views safety as a cultural value encoded in technology rather than a software product. His designs therefore include explicit mechanisms for human oversight and explainable decision-making, making AI a partner rather than a supervisor. Industry experts believe this philosophy positions Snurnikov's systems well for U.S. adoption. As large carriers seek tools that enhance compliance without disrupting daily operations, modular AI components that fit existing ecosystems are particularly attractive.

For Snurnikov, the ultimate goal is not to sell individual technologies but to establish a reproducible framework for intelligent logistics — one that merges safety analytics, environmental responsibility, and data ethics into a single operational language. He envisions a future in which transportation systems function as "living networks," continuously measuring and adjusting their behavior through machine learning feedback loops.

"The point is not to replace human intelligence but to amplify it," he says. "Every layer, from the driver's seat to the highway sensor, should contribute to collective awareness. That's what makes a logistics network truly resilient."

Bringing scientific ideas into a high-velocity industry like trucking requires more than algorithms. It demands patient collaboration, field validation, and a deep understanding of how technology interacts with people and operations. Snurnikov has spent the past two years translating his patented systems into deployable modules that carriers can test without disrupting existing workflows.

The first trials of his driver monitoring system were carried out with mid-sized fleets using commercially available dashcam hardware [9]. Instead of replacing existing cameras, Snurnikov's software runs in parallel, interpreting driver micro-movements and environmental factors in real time. This modular approach made integration simple: fleets could install the AI package as a software layer rather than a new device.

Dispatchers received a single dashboard showing both compliance data and predictive fatigue alerts, reducing information overload.

For hazardous cargo routing, the path to implementation involves even tighter collaboration with regulators. Snurnikov's team has built a prototype interface that overlays live DOT restriction data, weather feeds, and sensor inputs from cargo tanks into one geospatial display. "You can see risk unfolding like a map of probabilities," he explains. "That visualization helps dispatchers make decisions confidently rather than reactively."

Artificial intelligence in trucking often raises questions of surveillance and data ownership. Snurnikov acknowledges the tension: "Safety depends on visibility, but visibility cannot violate privacy." His solutions address this balance through edge computing, processing video and sensor data directly inside the vehicle, then transmitting only anonymized numerical indicators to the cloud. This approach minimizes personal exposure while preserving analytical value.

Such principles echo a growing regulatory emphasis on trustworthy AI. The National Institute of Standards and Technology and the European Union's AI Act both stress explainability, fairness, and minimal data retention. Snurnikov's architectures anticipate these requirements by design. He also argues that transparency drives adoption. "Drivers will accept AI when they understand it. Regulators will approve it when they can audit it. Insurers will support it when they can quantify risk reduction," he says. "All three require interpretable models, not black boxes."

Across his projects, Snurnikov promotes a unifying concept he calls intelligent resilience, the ability of transport systems to anticipate, absorb, and adapt to change. At the driver level, that means fatigue prediction before incidents occur. At the fleet level, it means routing that reacts faster than dispatch can. At the infrastructure level, it means highways that heal, recharge, and communicate.

The conceptual framework assumes a multi-layered feedback structure in which vehicle-level, infrastructure-level and operational data interact to form a unified predictive environment. Each subsystem contributes information that refines the overall safety model. His integrated architecture aligns with the emerging vision of the digital twin in logistics, virtual models of operations that update continuously based on sensor data [10]. In Snurnikov's framework, the twin is not a single model but a constellation of interlinked AIs, each responsible for one aspect of safety and efficiency.

With ongoing digitalisation across fleet management, compliance reporting and automated driving systems, integrated predictive architectures provide a transitional model linking current operational practices with emerging automated technologies. The timing of Snurnikov's work aligns with pressing American priorities. The FMCSA's Safe System approach emphasizes proactive safety management, while the Department of Energy funds research into connected, low-carbon logistics.

His driver-monitoring models directly address the human-factors pillar of safety, his hazardous-cargo routing system supports FMCSA's Hazardous Materials Safety Program, and his eco-highway patent mirrors FHWA's sustainable infrastructure roadmap. Together they embody what policy planners term systemic safety, a layered defense across people, vehicles, and environments. Moreover, his innovations dovetail with the industry's commercial logic. Predictive safety reduces downtime and insurance exposure, intelligent routing lowers fuel costs, and green infrastructure aligns with ESG metrics now demanded by investors and shippers.

Operational data indicate that predictive safety measures can reduce insurance exposure and incident-related downtime, which strengthens both compliance and economic performance within freight operations. The convergence of safety, sustainability, and automation is reshaping the identity of American freight. Industry data show that digital telematics adoption among U.S. carriers surpassed 85 percent in 2024, while AI-based analytics is now embedded in nearly every major fleet-management platform. Snurnikov's integrated approach fits this landscape perfectly, uniting the predictive and ecological dimensions of logistics that used to evolve separately [11].

Freight technology investors increasingly focus on solutions that deliver measurable ROI through operational efficiency and ESG performance. Snurnikov's systems address both: predictive safety reduces insurance claims and downtime, while intelligent routing and eco-infrastructure contribute directly to emission targets. Analysts describe this as the next phase of "data-driven decarbonization," where environmental responsibility becomes a computational discipline.

The implications reach beyond trucking. Rail logistics, pipeline monitoring, and maritime operations face similar challenges of risk prediction and energy management. Several U.S. and European research groups have already cited Snurnikov's patent architectures as potential templates for multimodal safety networks. He welcomes such cross-sector adoption, viewing it as proof that predictive AI principles can unify the transport ecosystem rather than fragment it.

"The same data logic can protect a chemical convoy, optimize a port, or balance an energy grid," he says. "Safety and sustainability are no longer separate problems, they are two expressions of intelligent control."

This perspective resonates with policymakers who are seeking coherent frameworks for technology regulation [12]. By grounding his AI systems in transparency and verifiable metrics, Snurnikov provides a model for responsible innovation at scale. His emphasis on interoperability and open standards also positions his work to influence international safety codes in the coming decade.

Beyond the immediate applications in freight and infrastructure, Snurnikov's work points toward a broader

transformation of how transportation systems interact with energy and data. His recent concepts propose the creation of "neural supply chains," in which every physical asset — a truck, a warehouse, a power charger — becomes a learning node within a shared digital environment. In such a network, predictive models continuously balance logistics efficiency, safety probability, and carbon footprint, forming what he calls an "adaptive economy of motion."

The implications extend far beyond trucking. Ports and intermodal hubs could use similar algorithms to predict congestion and optimize vessel docking schedules. Urban logistics operators might adopt dynamic emission budgeting, where AI systems decide in real time whether to assign electric or hydrogen trucks based on grid conditions. Snurnikov argues that these developments are not futuristic but inevitable as the boundaries between mobility, energy, and information continue to dissolve.

His long-term vision includes integrating AI-driven safety frameworks with renewable-energy forecasting and autonomous fleet coordination. He imagines freight corridors functioning like digital organisms that respond to weather events or demand surges by automatically rerouting vehicles, reallocating resources, and adjusting energy flow. "Once systems start learning together," he notes, "the concept of logistics stops being mechanical — it becomes ecological."

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Snurnikov continues to refine his models through partnerships that link academia and industry. Upcoming pilot programs aim to test the full integration of his routing engine with cloud telematics platforms such as Project44 and Convoy, combining real-time cargo monitoring with predictive risk rerouting. Another project under discussion involves retrofitting rest-area networks with components from the eco-intelligent highway framework, including solar canopies, adaptive lighting, and data backbones that connect directly to fleet-management centers [13].

For Snurnikov, these efforts mark only the beginning of a broader transformation. "We are moving from static logistics to living logistics," he says. "In the near future, every asset, truck, terminal, or highway, will sense its environment, evaluate risk, and act autonomously to stay safe and efficient."

It is a vision that aligns with the digital trajectory of the U.S. freight industry, where sensors outnumber drivers, AI augments dispatch, and sustainability is measured in terabytes as much as in tons. As regulatory bodies, fleet operators and technology developers increasingly coordinate safety initiatives, analytical models with adaptive learning properties become central to the development of robust and scalable risk-mitigation strategies.

#### REFERENCES



- [1]. Dawson, Drew, et al. (2016). Fatigue Proofing: Managing Fatigue Risk in the Workplace, Safety Science, pp.90–99.
- [2]. U.S. Department of Transportation. (2023). National Roadway Safety Strategy, U.S. DOT.
- [3]. Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. (2023). Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Parts 100–185), U.S. DOT.
- [4]. National Institute of Standards and Technology. (2023). Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework, NIST AI 100-1.
- [5]. International Organization for Standardization. (2019). ISO 39001: Road Traffic Safety Management Systems — Requirements with Guidance for Use, ISO.
- [6]. International Organization for Standardization. (2021). ISO/IEC 23894: Guidance on Risk Management for AI Systems, ISO.
- [7]. European Commission. (2023). EU Transport Safety Performance Report 2023, Directorate-General for Mobility and Transport.
- [8]. World Health Organization. (2023). Global Status Report on Road Safety, WHO.
- [9]. U.S. Government Accountability Office. (2024). Critical Infrastructure Protection: DOT and DHS Efforts to Enhance Transportation Cybersecurity, GAO-24-118.
- [10]. Bansal, Prateek, et al. (2021). The Art of Designing Road Safety Dashboards for Public Agencies, Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour, DOI:10.1016/j.trf.2021.04.018.
- [11]. Rufolo, Anthony, and Bertini, Robert. (2020). Transportation Safety Evaluation Systems and Predictive Methods, Journal of Transportation Safety & Security, pp.311–330.
- [12]. Young, Matthew, and Regan, Michael. (2019). Driver Distraction and Inattention in Heavy Vehicle Operations, Accident Analysis & Prevention, pp.207–219.
- [13]. Zhao, Xinyi, et al. (2020). Deep Learning Applications for Traffic Incident Prediction in Highway Networks, Transportation Research Part C, DOI:10.1016/j.trc.2020.102762.