

The Role of Conscience in Social Change: Advocating for Moral Education to Foster Respect for the Sanctity of Human Life

Angwaomaodoko A. Ejuchegahi¹

¹ Independent Researcher

<https://orcid.org/0009-0001-6300-2017>

Publication Date: 2025/12/06

Abstract: The study focuses on the critical role of conscience in effecting social change, together with the imperatives of moral education in cultivating respect for the sanctity of human life. With special emphasis on historical movements like the abolition of slavery and the Civil Rights Movement, this paper brings into view how moral education played a transformative role in overcoming systemic injustices. The paper also emphasizes that modern challenges such as violence, inequality, and violation of human rights require ethical responsibility on both the individual and social levels. The study reassesses critically cultural relativism-which, while introducing the virtue of tolerance, complicates the establishment of any universal moral standard, also pervasive media and technology contribute to moral desensitization and contradictory values. It also points to key gaps in the educational policy, emphasizing that moral education requires a holistic and inter-disciplinary setting for empathy, respect, and critical ethical reasoning. The study also highlights advocacy campaigns, youth-led initiatives, and inclusive strategies that are important to promote and ensure sanctity of human life and social justice. Furthermore, incorporating conscience-driven moral education in policy and curriculum is necessary in resolving and mitigating contemporary challenges, fostering equitable societies, and promoting ethical leadership.

Keywords: *Conscience; Sanctity; Moral Education; Social Change; Humanity.*

How to Cite: Angwaomaodoko A. Ejuchegahi (2025) The Role of Conscience in Social Change: Advocating for Moral Education to Foster Respect for the Sanctity of Human Life. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(11), 2674-2679. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25nov1524>

I. INTRODUCTION

In a period characterised by rapid transformation in the society, the impact of conscience and moral education in fostering respect for the sanctity of human life has become increasingly crucial. Glanzer (2021) emphasizes that as communities navigate complex ethical landscapes, the need to cultivate moral values that uphold human dignity is paramount. According to Churchland (2019), conscience is an internal moral guide that guides an individual through the distinction between good and evil, thus it can be regarded as an important or critical factor in navigating the ethical dilemmas and complexities associated with the modern society. This development of a well-formed conscience is highly essential not only for one's personal moral growth but also for promoting ethical awareness which is an important aspect of human sanctity. Historically, educational institutions have been instrumental in not only imparting knowledge but also in shaping the moral character of individuals. However, with industrialization which has led to the focus on a skilled

labour force, emphasis on moral education has been reduced drastically (Glanzer, 2021).

Moral education throughout the world is incredibly variable, reflecting a wide range of cultural, religious, and philosophical traditions. In Finland, it is an integrated part of the national curriculum, while comprehensive moral education is beyond reach in most developing countries' schools due to other pressing needs (Hampton, 2017). According to Izzati et al. (2019), these moral vacuums are a result of contemporary education systems' emphasis on academic commitments coupled with a low interest in issues of character development. However, Hampton (2017) support incorporating moral education within existing curricula in such a way as not to compromise the attainment of valued academic objectives.

Among such social challenges for which removal of moral values is held responsible are increased antisocial behaviour, corruption, and decline in community engagement. A lack of moral grounding can lead to actions that undermine

social trust and cohesion, such as dishonesty, exploitation, and violence. Croitoru and Munteanu (2014) indicated that the rising incidents of hate speech and discrimination in educational settings have been attributed to inadequate moral education that fails to promote inclusivity and respect for diversity. On the other hand, cultural relativists argue that such an imposition of a universal moral framework would lead to the neglect of local traditions and values and might further create resistance and conflict (Glanzer, 2021). The tension between universalism and relativism brings out the challenge of responding to moral decline in a plural world. This article discusses the relationship between moral education and the cultivation of respect for sanctity of human life and how conscience serves as a catalyst for social change.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

➤ *Philosophical Perspective on Conscience*

According to Rosenfield (2018), philosophers like Thomas Aquinas and Immanuel Kant have given their opinions about conscience in different ways. Aquinas emphasized that conscience is a rational application of moral knowledge to particular situations ordained by divine law. It also comprised of 'synderesis' which was the innate grasp of moral principles and 'conscientia' which is regarded as application (Rosenfield, 2018). He also indicated that conscience intrinsically orients man to good and away from evil. However, Kant considered conscience to be a tribunal of reason within the person, and also prioritising the autonomous and self-imposed character of every moral commitment. In this way, Kant believed that the voice of conscience emanates from practical reason itself, which demands obedience to universal moral laws (Guibilini, 2014). Whereas Aquinas had based morality on divine order, Kant focused on rationality and autonomy.

Critics of Aquinas claim that his schema holds less relevance for secular societies. While those who criticize Kant claim he does not take into consideration the emotional and cultural contexts of moral decisions. The two views, therefore, indicate a continuous argument on the source of moral authority and whether ethics can always be universally applied.

➤ *Psychological Development of Moral Reasoning*

Lawrence Kohlberg's theory of moral development includes three levels of pre-conventional, conventional, and post-conventional. Each of these reflects progressively more complex moral reasoning. The earlier stages of this theory involve self-interest, while the latter relate to universal ethical principles (Fasko & Willis, 2008). Carol Gilligan argued that Kohlberg's structure as biased towards gender because it emphasizes justice-oriented reasoning associated with males while leaving out care-oriented reasoning typical for females (Fasko & Willis, 2008).

However, proponents of Kohlberg's work support the idea that his stages provide an extremely valuable framework not only in understanding moral growth, especially within Western contexts, but also in emphasizing justice and human rights. However, critiques indicate the need for alternative

models that take into consideration various perspectives from culture and gender in moral development (Vozzola, 2014).

III. CONCEPT OF SOCIAL CHANGE

According to Smith (2010), social change indicates the significant transformation of structures, norms, and values in a society induced through technological, economic, political, and cultural forces. It is possible to portray social change in terms of level, such as micro to macro; tempo or pace, gradational evolution to rapid revolution; or the level of intentionality, planned initiative to unplanned development. It is these dimensions that give insight into how societies cope with pressures of adaptation and development, thereafter furthering the changes in the course of evolution of cultural and institutional frameworks (Erkilla, 2019).

Historical examples show the various ways in which acts of conscience have precipitated great social change: underpinned by moral opposition to slavery, the 19th-century abolitionist movement brought reforms across large parts of society and slavery's abolition in Britain and the United States alike (Schuerkens, 2017). Also, during the mid-20th century U.S. civil rights movement, under the banner of principles of justice and equality, led to the landmark legislation known as the Civil Rights Act of 1964, which eradicated systemic racial segregation (Schuerkens, 2017).

The success of such conscience-driven movements depends on the prevailing socio-economic and political climates in which they occur. Erkilla (2019) have indicated that democratic contexts, i.e those characterized by free expression and collective action-favour the success of such movements. On the other hand, Clarke (2016) points to examples of authoritarian regimes that repressed similar movements, but even small acts of conscience have been used to spark broader revolutionary change, such as the fall of apartheid in South Africa and the collapse of the Eastern Bloc.

It is through such interactive processes of personal moral agency with structural variables that social change becomes so complex. Indeed, conscience-societal movements do provide an ethical platform for change. However, the actual outcomes may strongly be influenced by the social and political factors.

IV. THE INTERSECTION OF MORALITY, ETHICS, AND THE SANCTITY OF HUMAN LIFE

The sanctity of human life is the basic ethical principle that insists that human life has inherent value and dignity deserving of protection and respect. That view emanates from a number of religious and philosophical traditions, shaping current bioethical debates and policies in public health. Godwin (2018) illustrated that protection of life, health, and well-being are identified as basic human rights in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Moral education provides the principle of the sanctity of life that forms attitudes toward such critical issues as violence, human rights, and social justice. It helps in the development

of an understanding of the worth of every human being by instilling values and practices that ensure respect for human dignity. Furthermore, the application of this principle to complex ethical dilemmas, such as reproductive rights, remains highly controversial (Nucci, 2015).

Ekeke and Ikegbu (2010) emphasizes that various types of moral education have extensively prescriptive approaches that may result in the indoctrination of individuals rather than true critical thinking and ethical independence. Godwin (2018) also indicate that without a clear guideline or framework in ethical doctrine, individuals have much more difficult time establishing a clear and structured moral guideline in which they would live by. This then underlines the delicate tension required to balance the transmission of fundamental ethical principles with the formation of independent moral reasoning skills in educational contexts. Also, ethical dilemmas form part of decision-making processes and thus also create the need for ethical leadership and decision-making mechanisms that can navigate through difficult moral landscapes.

V. CONSCIENCE AS A DRIVER FOR SOCIAL CHANGE

Throughout history conscience served as a catalyst for social change, mobilising individuals and entire movements to act against injustices and promote human rights. Foran and Widick (2020) indicated that one of the most obvious examples is the abolition of slavery in the United States. Black and white abolitionists joined hands to shed light on the moral and legal outrages of slavery (Delton, 2017). Their efforts drew national attention to the issue and eventually led to its abolition through changes in legislation and public advocacy.

The Civil Rights Movement of the 1950s and 1960s, led by Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., was another embodiment of conscience-driven leadership. It was the moral conviction and strategic activism of Dr. King that helped eradicate legalized racial segregation and achieve major legislative successes, such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and the Voting Rights Act of 1965. These movements have shown how moral responsibility can bring about change in the face of systemic injustices (Delton, 2017).

In contemporary society, the prevalence of violence, inequality, and human rights violations show the importance of conscience in social change. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) simply reaffirms the inalienable rights of freedom of thought, conscience, and religion, which are placed upon individuals and their communities to uphold these ideals and principles (Delton, 2017). Humphrey (2012) indicated that systemic obstacles, include structural violence and consolidated inequities which reveal a gap between these moral imperatives and their practical realization.

Also, moral responsibility goes far beyond passive commitment, but to active engagement in terms of promoting social justice and the securing of human rights. Williams Breault (2018) asserts that cultural practices that involve assaults or violation of basic human rights such as female

genital mutilation (FGM) requires the need for advocacy and changes within jurisdictions at the legal institutional and policy level. The World Health Organization (WHO), among others, has led active campaigns against FGM, setting it in a framework of violation of human dignity and health. Addressing systemic inequalities requires comprehensive policy interventions and community-driven initiatives to dismantle discriminatory structures (WHO, 2018).

The growth of moral progress and alleviation of human suffering are made possible by individuals and societies that embrace their moral responsibilities. It is such a shift-from violent conflict and authoritarian repression toward nonviolent diplomacy and participatory democracy-that constitutes the aspirational trajectory of conscientious social change. Historical precedents and contemporary challenges alike affirm the enduring centrality of conscience as a catalyst in building equitable and just societies.

VI. ROLE OF MORAL EDUCATION IN CULTIVATING RESPECT FOR HUMAN LIFE

➤ *The Link between Education and Moral Values*

Bhutta et al. (2018) emphasizes that moral education plays a very significant role in shaping the moral values and behaviours of individuals by serving as a primary agent of socialization in which societal norms and values are transmitted. Bhutta et al (2018) also notes that moral education is essential in the development of ethical reasoning by setting a person capable of differentiating between what is right and wrong and applying moral principles in life. Through structured curricula and the influential role of educators, students are guided in forming a structure for moral decision-making. As role models, educators play an important role in the character development of students, and their behaviours and attitudes strongly influence and bolster positive moral values among learners. According to Jasper (2011), teachers reflect moral conducts such as justice, honesty, and sensitivity and thus may provide an atmosphere that can persuade students to embrace such values. The relationship between teacher and student becomes the very core of moral education, as many times ethical notions are internalized within the students while interacting.

According to Yu (2018), a comprehensive, well-structured curriculum is of paramount importance in providing stimulating experiences. Such activities offer the opportunity for reflection on moral dilemmas and simulate situations where ethical decisions is required. Also moral education should not be knowledge-based but rather include the competencies for moral reasoning, such as critical thinking, empathy, and perspective-taking.

➤ *Cultivating Empathy and Respect*

Empathy and respect in schools will help in bringing up caring, socially responsible individuals who can contribute back to society. Strategies for teaching these values will help students develop the emotional intelligence they need to successfully navigate diverse and complex social relationships (Manassis, 2017). One basic method is modelling empathy, teachers are well-placed to model empathetic behaviour that

students are likely to follow. Stephany (2022) indicate that when teachers express empathy and are emotionally supportive in their interactions, they help to create an environment in the classroom where empathy is not only valued but also enacted. The teacher acts as a role model, showing students how to understand and share the feelings of others. By doing so, they set a powerful standard for students to follow, fostering emotional connections that encourage mutual respect and understanding.

VII. ADVOCACY FOR SANCTITY OF HUMAN LIFE

Advocacy for the sanctity of human life plays a critical role in fostering human dignity and a culture of respect in societies. International organizations, such as UNESCO, have taken initiatives to promote human dignity, emphasizing the need to understand cultural diversity within the framework of universal respect for human rights (Harris, 2012). These campaigns highlight the interconnectedness of human dignity with social justice, equity, and peace, underscoring the need for collaborative efforts in addressing systemic violations of human rights globally or internationally.

One of the most integral components of promoting these processes involves the active engagement of young people themselves in creating social change. Bubu (2023) indicated that engaging young people as partners in decision-making has been demonstrated to increase the acceptance and long-term sustainability of such decisions, thus making them key stakeholders in the process of social progress (Luczon & Francisco, 2015). Youth-led initiatives are particularly effective in addressing injustices and fostering social connectedness, as they provide innovative perspectives and harness the energy and commitment of younger generations to advocate for equitable practices.

The integration of youth perspectives in advocacy ensures that campaigns resonate across diverse communities for inclusivity and cultural relevance. This approach empowers young people to be active agents of change, reinforcing their roles as custodians of societal values and principles (Life children services, 2024). Also, embedding the voices of youth in advocacy creates a greater potential for social transformation toward perspectives where human life is valued across cultures and societies. Such a strategy is important in making human dignity promotion vibrant, creative, and responsive to emerging societal needs.

VIII. CHALLENGES AND BARRIERS TO MORAL EDUCATION

➤ *Influence of Cultural Relativism on Moral Values*

According to Dimmuck and Fischer (2017), cultural relativism indicates that moral values and ethical standards are dictated by the peculiar cultures in which such morals or ethical issues were to emerge and that it is from that perspective they shall be understood. Morality is perceived as something which is not universal and differs based on different cultural perspectives. While cultural relativism allows for the promotion of tolerance and understanding of

other cultures' ways, it has also brought challenges in moral education. It is sometimes hard for educators to find a common ground in moral issues for all students when practices in some cultures seemingly violate the widely accepted standards of human rights. Miller (2006) asserts that practices like FGM are regarded as being cultural traditions by some, and yet they receive condemnation from global human rights organizations because of the severe harm they will cause to the individuals involved.

➤ *Media and Technological Impact on Morality*

The influence of media and technology bears strong impacts on setting moral inspirations in societies. New technologies and social media have absolutely changed the way one would access information and the way individuals interact. Often, information not reflecting traditional moral standards may be amplified with great intensity, hence likely to affect the perception and ethics of public individuals. Liberman (2020) reveal that media contents promoting violent behaviour, aggression, and other indecent acts, significantly impact moral judgments of the people as well as behaviour, which could lead to negative traits such as hostility and aggressiveness, and even ignorance.

Also, fast information dissemination through digital channels contributes to moral desensitization, which is a process whereby people become insensitive to ethical considerations as they are continuously exposed to a wide range of often conflicting moral standpoints. Continuous and increased exposure to harmful behaviours or ethical violations desensitizes and may lead to indifference toward such exposures. The high volume of information impairs one's capability for sound moral judgment (Allcott et al., 2020). This presents a challenge faced most notably by educators as they navigate the environment filled with diverse and contradictory moral standpoints.

➤ *Gaps in Educational Policies Regarding Moral Education*

While there is recognition of the importance of moral education, much educational policy lacks coherent frameworks with regard to how to effectively incorporate moral and ethical learning into curricula. There is a gap in policy that results in a fragmented approach to moral development at schools which involves either marginalizing ethical considerations or making their application inconsistent. Veckalne and Tambovceva (2023) have pointed out that the lack of uniform policies on moral education results in a discrepancy in the manner in which moral values are imparted.

Hampton (2017) also indicated that in situations when moral education is not integrated into the system of education in a structured way, students may not be able to fully understand and utilize important ethical conceptions which will be guiding them in making certain decisions and drawing logical conclusions.

IX. CONCLUSION

Conscience is very important in effecting social change as it drives the individual to take note of and act on moral imperatives against unjust systems for the improvement of society. It acts as an inner compass and pushes for the realization of actions that conform to ethical principles and the common good. In the modern world, moral education plays a very significant role because it equips individuals' critical thinking and ethical reasoning skills in making decisions amidst the complexities of life and further contributes to living in a just society. The sense of moral values instilled by moral education promotes in the individuals a deeper understanding of human sanctity, which will empower them to make decisions that would promote the sanctity of human life and for the promotion of social harmony.

The study also indicates that it is the role of educators, policymakers, and society at large to make moral education a priority in order to raise individuals that can tackle contemporary challenges with integrity and compassion. Comprehensive moral education programs emphasizing empathy, respect, and social responsibility need to be implemented to raise individuals who are not only aware of their moral duties but also motivated to act upon them. Such initiatives would help bridge gaps in society, reduce conflict, and develop a culture of mutual respect and understanding.

Furthermore, the concept of Conscience, moral education, and the sanctity of human life have to be combined for there to be effective social change. By prioritizing moral education, we can foster individuals who will be prepared to navigate through ethical challenges in their pursuit of a just and compassionate society.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Allcott, H., Braghieri, L., Eichmeyer, S., & Gentzkow, M. (2020). The welfare effects of social media. *American Economic Review*, 110, 3629–3676. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20200352>
- [2]. Bhutta, M. H., Wormith, J. S., & Zidenberg, A. M. (2018). Assessing the relationship between religiosity and recidivism among adult probationers in Pakistan. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 63(5), 752–780. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624x18808674>
- [3]. Churchland, P. (2019). *Conscience: The Origins of Moral Intuition*. National Geographic Books.
- [4]. Clarke, S. (2016). Two concepts of conscience and their implications for conscience-based refusal in healthcare. *Cambridge Quarterly of Healthcare Ethics*, 26(1), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0963180116000670>
- [5]. Croitoru, I., & Munteanu, H. (2014). The moral-religious education – A support of self-conscience training. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 2155–2163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.536>
- [6]. Delton, J. (2017). Racial realignment: The transformation of American liberalism, 1932–1965. *Journal of American History*, 104(2), 541–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jahist/jax268>
- [7]. Dimmock, M., & Fisher, A. (2017). *Ethics for A-Level*. Open Book Publishers.
- [8]. Ekeke, E. C., & Ikegbu, E. A. (2010). The sanctity of human life in the twenty-first century: The challenge of euthanasia and assisted suicide. *Educational Research*, 1(9), 312–318. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Emeka_Ekeke/publication/249991774_The_sanctity_of_human_life_in_the_twenty_first_century_the_challenge_of_euthanasia_and_assisted_suicide/links/0046351e7f00909d05000000.pdf
- [9]. Erkkilä, V. (2019). *The conceptual change of conscience: Franz Wieacker and German legal historiography 1933-1968*. Mohr Siebeck.
- [10]. Fasko, D., & Willis, W. (2008). Contemporary philosophical and psychological perspectives on moral development and education. In *Hampton Press eBooks*. <https://ci.nii.ac.jp/ncid/BB18631556>
- [11]. Foran, J., & Widick, R. (2020). The need for a collective social conscience. *Global Perspectives*, 1(1). <https://online.ucpress.edu/gp/article/1/1/17641/113436/The-Need-for-a-Collective-Social-Conscience>
- [12]. Giubilini, A. (2024). Philosophical perspectives on conscience and conscientious destinations: An interview with Alberto Giubilini. In *Emerald Publishing Limited eBooks* (pp. 15–16). <https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80455-960-420241002>
- [13]. Glanzer, P. L. (2021). The disappearance of the conscience from collegiate moral education. *Journal of Beliefs & Values*, 42(1), 15–28.
- [14]. Godwin, W. (2018). *Enquiry concerning political justice*. In *Routledge eBooks* (pp. 37–40). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351291521-5>
- [15]. Hampton, J. (2017). The moral education theory of punishment. In *Routledge eBooks* (pp. 85–116). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315095387-5>
- [16]. Harris, J. (2012). "Sanctity-of-life"—A bioethical principle for a right to life? *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, 15(3), 295–308.
- [17]. Humphreys, T. (2012). Conscious relationships and social change. *Irish Journal of Counselling and Psychotherapy*, 12(1), 6–10. <https://iacp.ie/dev/files/UserFiles/IJCP-Articles/2012/Conscious-relationships-and-social-change-by-Tony-Humphreys.pdf>
- [18]. Izzati, U. A., Bachri, B. S., SahiD, M., & IndriAni, D. E. (2019). Character education: Gender differences in moral knowing, moral feeling, and moral action in elementary schools in Indonesia. *Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists*, 7(3), 547–556. <https://doi.org/10.17478/jegys.597765>
- [19]. Jasper, J. M. (2011). Emotions and social movements: Twenty years of theory and research. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 37, 285–303. <https://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev-soc-081309-150015>
- [20]. Lieberman, A., & Schroeder, J. (2020). Two social lives: How differences between online and offline interaction influence social outcomes. *Current Opinion*

- in *Psychology*, 31, 16–21.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.008>
- [21]. Lifeline Children's Services. (2024). *Embracing the sanctity of human life: Navigating the 2024 landscape*.
- [22]. Luczon, C. A., & Francisco, J. S. (2015). Commentary: Sustained advocacy produces success in the Philippines. *Global Public Health*, 10(2), 271–272.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2014.986174>
- [23]. Manassis, K. (2017). *Developing empathy: A biopsychosocial approach to understanding compassion for therapists and parents*. Routledge.
- [24]. Miller, J. G. (2006). Insights into moral development from cultural psychology. In M. Killen & J. G. Smetana (Eds.), *Handbook of moral development* (pp. 375–398). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
<https://www.routledge.com/Handbook-of-Moral-Development/Killen-Smetana/p/book/9780805847753>
- [25]. Nucci, L. (2015). Moral development and education. *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2, 775–780.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.92152-4>
- [26]. Rosenfeld, M. (2018). The conscience wars in historical and philosophical perspective. In *Cambridge University Press eBooks* (pp. 58–101).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316780053.003>
- [27]. Schuerkens, U. (2017). *Social changes in a global world*. SAGE.
- [28]. Smith, A. D. (2010). *The concept of social change (Routledge Revivals): A critique of the functionalist theory of social change*. Routledge
- [29]. Stephany, K. (2022b). *Cultivating empathy: Inspiring health professionals to communicate more effectively* (Revised Edition). Bentham Science Publishers.
- [30]. Veckalne, R., & Tambovceva, T. (2022). The role of digital transformation in education in promoting sustainable development. *Virtual Economics*, 5(4), 65–86. <https://doi.org/10.34021/ve.2022.05.04>
- [31]. Vozzola, E. C. (2014). *Moral development: Theory and applications*. Routledge.
- [32]. Williams-Breault, B. D. (2018). Eradicating female genital mutilation/cutting: Human rights-based approaches of legislation, education, and community empowerment. *PubMed*, 20(2), 223–233.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30568416>
- [33]. Yu, N. (2018). Critical consciousness and social change. In C. M. Schick & J. McNinch (Eds.), *Consciousness-raising: Critical pedagogy and practice for social change* (pp. 155–169). Routledge.