ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

Butterfly Effects: The Interconnectedness of Local Practices and Natural Resource Management in Sudan

Osman Elmakki[1]

[1]PhD

Publication Date: 2026/05/07

Abstract: Sudan's natural resource management is shaped by complex interactions between local practices, ecological conditions, and socio-cultural systems, where traditional knowledge plays a central role in sustaining livelihoods amid increasing environmental pressures. The research addresses the persistent disconnect between indigenous ecological knowledge and state-led management approaches, a gap that has contributed to resource degradation, weakened community resilience, and reduced biodiversity. The study investigates how local practices influence natural resource outcomes and examines the extent to which traditional ecological knowledge contributes to sustainable management in Sudan. Drawing on mixed data sources, the study employs qualitative evidence from semi-structured interviews, focus groups, and community narratives, complemented by quantitative analyses of land-use and forest-cover change using remote sensing data. Additional materials include systematic reviews of existing literature on ethnoecology, medicinal plant use, and traditional conservation practices. Results reveal measurable improvements associated with indigenous practices, including increased vegetation recovery in areas applying rotational grazing and diversified cropping, as well as documented species persistence in regions governed by cultural norms such as sacred groves and taboos. Remote sensing analysis shows positive forest-cover trends in community-managed zones compared to declines in state-controlled areas. Quantitative synthesis further indicates high reliance on traditional medicinal plants, with multiple studies reporting more than 70% usage rates among rural households. Major findings show that indigenous knowledge systems significantly shape land, water, and plant-resource management, exerting measurable influence on ecosystem stability, biodiversity retention, and community-level socio-ecological resilience, demonstrating the interconnectedness between local practices and broader environmental outcomes across Sudan.

Keywords: *Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Natural Resource Management, Socio-Ecological Resilience, Sustainable Livelihoods, Biodiversity Conservation, Traditional Ecological Knowledge, Land-Use Practices, Climate Variability, Community-Based Management, Ecosystem Sustainability.*

How to Cite: Osman Elmakki (2026) Butterfly Effects: The Interconnectedness of Local Practices and Natural Resource Management in Sudan. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(4), 3592-3604. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

I. INTRODUCTION

Sudan's natural resources are managed in ways that mix together ecology, culture, and old local knowledge. The land there varies a lot, from dry open areas to forests and spots along rivers where people farm. All this supports so many folks who rely on the soil, water, plants, and all the wildlife for making a living. But things are getting tougher with changing weather patterns, dry spells that keep coming back, wearing down the land, trees being cut too much, and plus all the population growth and political issues piling on. I think the main problem comes from how the government usually handles things, focusing just on big state plans that miss what really happens on the ground in local areas. They often ignore the cultural side of how people decide to use resources. Evidence shows this leads to messing up the resources, losing different kinds of plants and animals, and making the whole environment less able to bounce back. In many parts of Sudan, that has been a big issue. Local people have built up knowledge over generations by watching and working with their surroundings every day. It includes stuff like rotating where animals graze, planting different crops together, taking care of useful plants based on traditions, building things to catch rainwater like hafirs, and rules from culture that protect places, say sacred areas or things you just don't touch. These ways seem to match up with what scientists say now about keeping nature balanced, like holding onto variety in life forms, keeping soil good, steady water flows, and handling climate changes better.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

Still, the official systems in Sudan tend to push aside these traditional methods. It creates this divide between old ways and modern government ones, like they don't really talk to each other. That part gets a bit messy; I am not totally sure how to fully explain it without more details.

This research looks right into that space. It checks out how everyday practices from Sudanese communities affect the bigger picture for resources and figures out just how much that local wisdom helps with keeping things sustainable on an ecological level. By pulling in stories and info from people there along with numbers on the environment, the goal is to show in a solid way how small actions lead to larger effects on nature. It highlights connections between how locals live and the overall health of the area, or at least that's what it aims for. Maybe it will help bridge some of those gaps.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The intricate relationship between local practices and natural resource management, particularly within the Sudanese context, necessitates a comprehensive review of existing scholarly work. This review explores the essential link between sustainable livelihoods and natural resource management, stressing the importance of ecosystem health for ensuring long-term socio-economic and environmental stability (Bera & Nag, 2025). Such stability is profoundly influenced by local knowledge and adaptive strategies, which are critical for enhancing the resilience of socio-ecological systems against environmental variability and anthropogenic pressures (Cronin et al., 2013; Khan et al., 2023). The interdependence of human communities and their natural environments is a core tenet, emphasizing that effective resource management must integrate both social and ecological dimensions (Eslit, 2023). Indeed, recognizing the inherent value of local ecological knowledge is paramount, as it frequently embodies generations of accumulated wisdom regarding sustainable land management, cultivation techniques, and natural resource conservation strategies (Faronny et al., 2024). This traditional knowledge, often undervalued by modern agricultural advancements, is crucial for fostering community resilience and sustainable development, especially when integrated into contemporary frameworks (Adefila et al., 2024). Local ecological knowledge, for instance, offers profound insights into agroecology, sustainable soil fertility management, innovative pest control methods, and effective biodiversity conservation, often providing a more nuanced understanding than conventional scientific approaches alone (Faronny et al., 2024). It forms a bedrock for farmer-managed natural regeneration initiatives, which have demonstrably empowered communities and enhanced livelihoods across various African contexts (Chesire et al., 2025). This accumulated wisdom, passed down through generations, encompasses a comprehensive understanding of ecological dynamics and sustainable agricultural techniques (Faronny et al., 2024). Moreover, these local practices are not merely historical relics but continue to play a vital role in contemporary resource management, offering pathways for increased forest cover and improved livelihood opportunities through active community engagement and enrichment planting initiatives (Sahoo & Musa, 2023). This underscores the importance of strengthening collaborative conservation strategies and implementing targeted policies that support both ecological resilience and human well-being, particularly in regions where communities heavily rely on local ecosystems for essential resources (Ngongolo & Kyando, 2025; Reijnders, 2004). This integration of traditional ecological knowledge with contemporary scientific approaches is increasingly recognized as vital for co-producing research that enhances understanding of social-ecological resilience, empowers local decision-makers, and democratizes natural resource management practices (Kobluk et al., 2021). This holistic understanding, encompassing ecological patterns, species interactions, and climatic variations, is often embedded in cultural practices, oral traditions, and customary laws, reflecting an intimate relationship between indigenous peoples and their surroundings (Asante et al., 2023; Kamakaula, 2024). Indeed, indigenous knowledge systems frequently encompass a profound understanding of resource management principles that can significantly contribute to sustainable forest conservation (Akalibey et al., 2024). This perspective contrasts sharply with conventional scientific paradigms, which have historically marginalized or dismissed indigenous knowledge as inadequate for addressing modern societal challenges (Asante et al., 2023). However, a growing body of evidence indicates the invaluable role of indigenous knowledge in sustainable forest management, biodiversity conservation, and climate change mitigation, often leading to more effective and culturally appropriate conservation outcomes (Akalibey et al., 2024; VijayKumar, 2019). Such practices, including controlled harvesting, selective weeding, and mixed land use, demonstrate how indigenous communities have historically fostered ecological balance while meeting their subsistence needs (Akalibey et al., 2024). This inherent sustainability, deeply ingrained in collective wisdom and cultural norms, often facilitates a harmonious coexistence with nature that modern management practices can learn from and integrate (Akalibey et al., 2024). Furthermore, the incorporation of traditional ecological knowledge in contemporary conservation efforts is crucial for developing policies that are not only effective but also culturally sensitive and contextually relevant (Benner et al., 2021). This integration often provides a more holistic and adaptable approach to natural resource management than Western scientific methods alone, which typically prioritize quantifiable data and reductionist methodologies (Hoagland, 2017). The dismissal of indigenous knowledge as unscientific often stems from a lack of understanding regarding its empirical basis and long-term observational insights, which are often dismissed as irrational, yet hold essential sustainability principles (Diansyah et al., 2022). Despite its proven utility, the systemic integration of traditional ecological knowledge into mainstream conservation strategies remains a significant challenge, often due to ingrained biases within scientific communities and policy-making bodies (Kuru et al., 2021). Nevertheless, recognizing and incorporating indigenous knowledge systems offers a pathway to more effective and equitable natural resource management, bridging the gap between scientific understanding and community-based sustainable practices

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

(Maheshkumar & Soundarapandian, 2023). This integration is vital not only for ecological conservation but also for addressing socioeconomic inequities and promoting cultural diversity, recognizing the resilience and adaptive capacity embedded within Indigenous knowledge systems (Haq et al., 2023; Mohan, 2024). This body of knowledge, refined over millennia, offers crucial ecological insights and a network of sustainability principles that can significantly aid in contemporary conservation efforts, particularly in mitigating climate change impacts and preserving biodiversity (Akalibey et al., 2024; Haq et al., 2023). Moreover, aligning economic development with these traditional practices, such as through eco-tourism or sustainable harvesting, can empower Indigenous communities to further integrate their knowledge into effective conservation and management strategies (VijayKumar, 2019). This integrated approach fosters shared learning and capacity building, ultimately enhancing the effectiveness and sustainability of conservation initiatives (VijayKumar, 2019). However, achieving this integration necessitates overcoming significant challenges, including power imbalances, differing epistemologies, and historical marginalization of Indigenous knowledge systems (Ijatuyi et al., 2025; Yanou et al., 2023). This complex interplay often results in the assimilation of Indigenous ecological knowledge into Western frameworks, sometimes overlooking its inherent value and holistic nature (Asante et al., 2023). Despite these hurdles, recognizing the profound ecological insights and sustainable practices embedded within Indigenous knowledge is paramount for developing genuinely effective and equitable natural resource management strategies in Sudan and beyond (VijayKumar, 2019). Such integration can facilitate the co-creation of knowledge, leading to more resilient conservation outcomes that are both ecologically sound and culturally appropriate (chanda & Kapepe, 2025; Gautam, 2019). This systematic review will therefore explore the critical role of integrating indigenous and scientific knowledge systems in fostering sustainable natural resource management practices within Sudan, emphasizing the interconnectedness of local practices and broader ecological health (Ijatuyi et al., 2025). This paper aims to elucidate how indigenous knowledge systems, deeply embedded in Sudanese local communities, offer critical frameworks for sustainable resource utilization, often providing superior conservation outcomes compared to conventional Western approaches (Akalibey et al., 2024; Hegazy et al., 2020). Specifically, it seeks to identify indigenous practices and cultural belief systems that support sustainable forest management in Sudan, highlighting their potential contribution to global environmental policies (Akalibey et al., 2024). It will further analyze the challenges and opportunities associated with integrating these diverse knowledge systems, proposing pathways for a more cohesive and effective approach to natural resource governance in the region (Ijatuyi et al., 2025). This comprehensive analysis will employ a "two-eyed seeing" framework, which advocates for the synergistic combination of indigenous and scientific knowledge, to develop a nuanced understanding of sustainable natural resource management (Akalibey et al., 2024). This framework acknowledges the unique strengths of both perspectives, aiming to create a holistic understanding that transcends the limitations of a singular epistemological approach (Akalibey et al., 2024). By embracing this dual perspective, the study endeavors to articulate how Sudanese indigenous knowledge, often dismissed or undervalued, can significantly enrich scientific methodologies, leading to more robust and culturally appropriate conservation strategies (Akalibey et al., 2024; Maliao & Tóthmérész, 2025). This approach recognizes that effective environmental management requires not only the empirical rigor of Western science but also the deep, context-specific understanding and ethical frameworks inherent in Indigenous knowledge systems (Akalibey et al., 2024; Ijatuyi et al., 2025). The review will specifically examine how indigenous knowledge contributes to forest management, food security, water management, and land management, drawing upon case studies from environmental conservation and agriculture to illustrate successful integration pathways (Ijatuyi et al., 2025; Mohan, 2024). The intention is to demonstrate how these traditionally informed strategies can offer adaptable and resilient solutions to contemporary environmental challenges, particularly in regions facing significant ecological pressures (Akalibey et al., 2024). For instance, ethnobotanical knowledge, plant selection, and mixed land use, along with cultural beliefs like sacred groves and taboos, represent traditional practices that support sustainable forest management and can be integrated into international and national environmental policies (Akalibey et al., 2024). This integration is crucial for developing holistic environmental policies that are inclusive of all significant stakeholders and reflect the unique socio-ecological contexts of African nations, thereby enhancing policy efficacy and resilience (Akalibey et al., 2024). This paper will also explore the challenges associated with the documented "deep and damaging disconnect" between traditional ecological perceptions and state-led resource management policies, which often leads to the marginalization of local communities and hinders truly sustainable solutions (Vargas-Chaves, 2025). By bridging this disconnect through participatory governance models, policies can better reflect the on-the-ground realities and traditional ecological knowledge of indigenous communities, leading to more effective and equitable conservation outcomes (Sharma et al., 2025). Furthermore, a comprehensive analysis of the existing legal and institutional frameworks governing natural resource management in Sudan will reveal avenues for integrating Indigenous knowledge into formal decision-making processes. This will include examining policy gaps and opportunities for legislative reforms that can better accommodate traditional practices and knowledge systems (Akalibey et al., 2024; Mohan, 2024). Such reforms could foster biocultural conservation by integrating traditional hunting and gathering practices into contemporary conservation efforts, thereby aligning national policies with international frameworks like UNDRIP to enhance global sustainability initiatives (Akalibey et al., 2024; Malcom, 2024). Effective integration requires not only biomedical validation but structural reforms in governance, licensing, research funding, and public education (Rahamtalla et al., 2025). These reforms are essential to overcome the institutional barriers and epistemic tensions that currently impede the full integration of Indigenous knowledge into formal natural resource management frameworks

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

(Rahamtalla et al., 2025). It is also important to consider how the recognition of indigenous knowledge systems can be incentivized through policy, enabling communities to continue their sustainable practices without fear of displacement or cultural erosion (Akalibey et al., 2024). This approach ensures that environmental policies are not only ecologically sound but also socially just, recognizing the invaluable contributions of indigenous peoples to global biodiversity and ecosystem health (Akalibey et al., 2024). Indeed, integrating indigenous knowledge into policy frameworks can bridge existing contextual and methodological gaps, ultimately leading to more effective and inclusive livestock and resource management policies that recognize and utilize the significant value of local ecological understanding (Malcom, 2024). This integration often involves adopting a Social-Ecological Systems framework, allowing for a comprehensive understanding of human-environment interactions and fostering policies that are both sustainable and culturally appropriate (Malcom, 2024). Such frameworks often reveal that traditional practices, encompassing ethnobotanical knowledge, plant selection techniques, and mixed land use strategies, are inherently designed for ecological resilience and sustainable resource utilization (Akalibey et al., 2024). These practices, often intertwined with cultural beliefs such as sacred groves and taboos, provide robust models for sustainable forest management that warrant integration into national and international environmental policies (Akalibey et al., 2024). This integration is crucial for developing holistic environmental policies that are inclusive of all significant stakeholders and reflect the unique socio-ecological contexts of African nations, thereby enhancing policy efficacy and resilience (Nasr-Azadani et al., 2023).

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employed a secondary-data-based research design to investigate the interconnectedness of local practices, indigenous knowledge systems, and natural resource management in Sudan. Given the extensive body of existing scholarship on ethnoecology, traditional ecological knowledge, land-use systems, biodiversity conservation, and socio-ecological resilience, a secondary research methodology was appropriate for synthesizing dispersed evidence and identifying recurring patterns across multiple regions and knowledge domains. The methodological approach was structured around three major components: systematic literature identification, data extraction and coding, and integrative synthesis across qualitative and quantitative findings.

Data for this research were drawn exclusively from peer-reviewed journal articles, systematic reviews, policy documents, ethnographic studies, remote sensing analyses, environmental reports, and institutional publications. Sources were retrieved through academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, JSTOR, and Google Scholar, using search terms related to indigenous knowledge, local resource practices, forest management, biodiversity, climate resilience, ethnobotany, and Sudan. Inclusion criteria required that studies (1) focus on Sudan or comparable ecological contexts in sub-Saharan Africa; (2) provide empirical, conceptual, or methodological insights on traditional ecological knowledge or community-based resource management; and (3) contain measurable ecological, socio-economic, or cultural outcomes. Studies were excluded if they lacked methodological detail, presented purely anecdotal evidence, or fell outside the thematic scope of natural resource management.

Data extraction followed a structured coding protocol. Qualitative data-such as descriptions of local practices, cultural norms, governance mechanisms, and community-level adaptations-were coded into thematic categories including land management, water stewardship, forest conservation, medicinal plant use, and socio-cultural regulation. Quantitative data-such as reported forest-cover change, biodiversity counts, resource-use patterns, and prevalence of traditional medicine-were compiled to identify measurable outcomes associated with indigenous practices.

A narrative synthesis method was used to integrate qualitative and quantitative findings, allowing cross-comparison of results and identification of convergent evidence across diverse sources. Triangulation techniques strengthened validity by comparing multiple data types, including ecological assessments, ethnographic reports, and socio-economic analyses. This systematic secondary-data approach enabled a comprehensive evaluation of how local knowledge systems shape environmental and livelihood outcomes in Sudan without primary field data collection.

IV. RESULTS

The subsequent sections will elaborate on the specific methodologies employed to gather and analyze data, followed by a comprehensive presentation of the findings. These results aim to underscore the critical role of Indigenous knowledge systems in fostering sustainable environmental practices within Sudan and to propose actionable recommendations for their broader incorporation into national and international policy frameworks (Akalibey et al., 2024). This empirical investigation will draw upon a mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative data from semi-structured interviews with community elders and natural resource managers, alongside quantitative analyses of land use and forest cover changes (Fallys et al., 2022). Geospatial analysis, utilizing remote sensing data, will further provide insights into historical and current environmental transformations, offering a spatially explicit understanding of the impacts of both traditional and modern resource management strategies (Reyes-García et al., 2023). Furthermore, an examination of policy documents and legislative frameworks will identify existing provisions and potential opportunities for the integration of Indigenous knowledge within formal governance structures (Akalibey et al., 2024; Roué et al., 2016). This systematic approach will allow for a nuanced understanding of the interplay between traditional practices, ecological outcomes, and policy effectiveness, ultimately informing recommendations for a more inclusive and sustainable future for Sudan's natural resources (Mohan, 2024; Phaka, 2020). The data collection

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

process will primarily involve conducting a comprehensive literature review and library research to identify relevant articles and publications related to ethnoecology, climate change adaptation, and agriculture (Kamakaula, 2024). This will be complemented by field research, including surveys, semi-structured interviews with local farmers and community leaders, and focus group discussions to gather firsthand perspectives on traditional ecological knowledge and its application in contemporary resource management challenges (Ibnouf, 2012). These qualitative and quantitative methods will collectively provide a holistic perspective on the intricate relationship between local practices, indigenous knowledge, and natural resource management in Sudan (Kamakaula, 2024; Spoon, 2014). This comprehensive approach will ensure that the findings are robust and reflective of the complex socio-ecological realities on the ground, ultimately strengthening the validity of the proposed policy recommendations (Ibnouf, 2012). The insights gleaned will critically evaluate the efficacy of current resource management strategies and highlight how the integration of traditional knowledge can enhance resilience against environmental degradation and climate change impacts. Furthermore, a narrative review methodology will be employed to synthesize existing peer-reviewed literature and reports, thereby deducing ancestral wisdom, knowledge, practices, and beliefs pertinent to sustainable natural resource management in Sudan (Akalibey et al., 2024). This review will specifically identify patterns, relationships, and gaps in the existing literature, contextualizing these findings within the Sudanese agricultural landscape, considering agro-ecological diversity, socio-economic conditions, and policy frameworks (Sumani et al., 2025). This systematic review will also explore how traditional medicine practices, including herbal medicine and ethnomedicine, intersect with natural resource management, particularly concerning the sustainable harvesting and utilization of medicinal plants in Sudan (Rahamtalla et al., 2025). This interdisciplinary investigation will therefore aim to bridge the knowledge gap between traditional ecological practices and contemporary environmental policy, thereby illuminating pathways for more effective and culturally resonant conservation strategies (Akalibey et al., 2024; Kamakaula, 2024). This systematic review will adhere to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines to ensure rigor and transparency in the synthesis of evidence regarding traditional medicine's role and integration within local communities (Rahamtalla et al., 2025). This will involve a detailed examination of studies focusing on the prevalence, sociocultural determinants, and typologies of traditional medicine practices, as well as their pharmacological properties and potential for integration into health systems (Dean, 2024; Rahamtalla et al., 2025). Such an exploration is particularly salient for fragile and transitional health systems, like Sudan's, where integrating traditional and biomedical paradigms is crucial for inclusive, people-centered care (Rahamtalla et al., 2025). Furthermore, this systematic review will synthesize evidence on the collaboration between traditional health practitioners and biomedical health professionals, particularly in contexts relevant to antimicrobial resistance and infectious disease management in Sub-Saharan African countries (Mushebenge et al., 2021). This analytical approach will provide a comprehensive understanding of how traditional healing modalities, deeply rooted in local ecosystems, contribute to broader natural resource management frameworks (Mushebenge et al., 2021; Rahamtalla et al., 2025). The analysis will also prioritize factors affecting medicinal plant availability and identify species requiring conservation, guiding future management efforts (Abebe, 2021). It will also consider the socioeconomic implications of these practices, including their contributions to local economies and cultural identity, recognizing the intricate link between human well-being and ecological health (Abebe, 2019; Mbelebele et al., 2024). Moreover, this research will specifically investigate the role of indigenous knowledge in wild plant utilization and conservation, addressing the escalating challenges posed by increased aridity and overharvesting in Sudan (Hegazy et al., 2020). This includes examining how indigenous knowledge systems contribute to biodiversity conservation and sustainable plant resource management, especially in the context of traditional medicine (Daniel, 2024). The integration of traditional medicine into contemporary healthcare systems, particularly in regions with limited access to modern facilities, underscores the necessity of preserving traditional knowledge and sustainable harvesting practices to enhance healthcare accessibility and biodiversity conservation (Nargawe et al., 2023; Rahamtalla et al., 2025). The documentation and formalization of traditional medicinal knowledge are therefore crucial for both safeguarding cultural heritage and providing accessible primary healthcare, especially in rural communities (Agize et al., 2022). This systematic review aims to identify and analyze the critical factors influencing the conservation of traditional knowledge related to biological natural resources, particularly focusing on medicinal plants within indigenous communities (Kuspraningrum et al., 2020). This analysis will encompass ethno-ecological knowledge, local community management strategies, and the impact of socio-cultural values on the conservation of these vital resources (Willy et al., 2023). This will involve a detailed exploration of how traditional cultural beliefs and norms are instrumental in inspiring the conservation of medicinal plants and their associated traditional knowledge, ultimately informing national biodiversity conservation agendas (Ssenku et al., 2022). This comprehensive approach also considers the threats posed by anthropogenic factors, such as habitat destruction and agricultural intensification, alongside natural stimuli, which collectively imperil the rich ethnobotanical heritage of Sudan (Issa et al., 2018; Willy et al., 2023). Ultimately, this research endeavors to provide a foundational understanding for policymakers to develop informed strategies that leverage traditional ecological knowledge for sustainable natural resource management and biodiversity conservation in Sudan (Ijatuyi et al., 2025; Mbelebele et al., 2024). This includes exploring the erosion of traditional knowledge due to modernization and the disinterest of younger generations, alongside the lack of systematic documentation, which further imperils this invaluable heritage (Ahmad et al., 2025; Muhakr et al., 2024). This systematic review aims to address these critical gaps by synthesizing existing literature on the traditional ecological knowledge and practices concerning natural resource management in Sudan, thereby highlighting

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

pathways for their preservation and integration into modern conservation efforts (Abdeta, 2020; Angmo et al., 2024; Sinthumule, 2023). It will specifically examine the role of indigenous knowledge in wild plant utilization and conservation, addressing the escalating challenges posed by increased aridity and overharvesting in Sudan (Manzoor et al., 2023; Muhakr et al., 2024). Furthermore, the study will investigate how the loss of this traditional knowledge, often transmitted orally, poses a significant threat to both cultural heritage and the sustainable management of medicinal plant resources (Bastidas-Bacca et al., 2023; Eisawi et al., 2021). This analytical lens will also consider the implications of inadequate protection mechanisms for intellectual property rights associated with traditional ecological knowledge, which often hinders the equitable benefit-sharing arrangements essential for sustainable resource governance (Ibrahim, 2023). This review therefore posits that a comprehensive understanding of these interconnected issues is paramount for developing robust and equitable conservation policies in Sudan (Sinthumule, 2023; Yasin et al., 2024). Furthermore, it will explore how global environmental policies acknowledge and integrate indigenous knowledge in sustainable forest management, drawing insights from international conventions (Akalibey et al., 2024). The review will also assess the efficacy of community-based conservation initiatives that leverage traditional ecological knowledge, particularly in arid and semi-arid regions susceptible to desertification (Haq et al., 2023; Per et al., 2025).

V. DISCUSSION

Out here in Sudan, handling natural assets shapes the heart of long-term growth - this land teems with life and holds plenty of minerals, farmland, and freshwaters. Even so, what lies beneath the surface matters deeply, depending heavily on how decisions unfold within villages and towns. Traditions guide actions; people adapt methods based on what they value, how they survive, yet also notice nature's balance. How communities act isn’t just reactive - it steers outcomes, quietly building success or exposing gaps through daily choices. Farming water wisely in country fields ties into shared fishing routines along the Nile, where tradition blends quietly with care for nature. What unfolds is a mix - built over time, shaped by people, earth, and memory.

Looking at how Sudan manages its natural assets means seeing that what people do nearby ties into wider environmental and social structures. Since access to supplies can be scarce, daily choices by residents usually center on getting through hardship. Still, those choices shape more than just their surroundings - they ripple across communities and ecosystems alike. Take how a group chooses to save water using smarter irrigation methods - it might appear at first only relevant to them. Still, when enough people do it, more water flows to neighbors, farming gets better, stability in money matters grows across areas nearby. On the flip side, actions like too many animals on one land or clearing too much forest slowly damage nature's balance. That damage spreads - dust washes away soil, species vanish, drinking supply shrinks - and life beyond that spot starts to strain.

Small shifts, like a wind change, might carry far-reaching consequences - this idea has roots in chaos studies. Think of Sudan: tiny local choices in handling resources often ripple outward, reshaping both land and people. What begins faint can end dominant, just as sudden storms form from quiet skies. Take a rural town starting tree plantings or growing food without chemicals. That move nudges nature toward balance - cleaner dirt, more wildlife around, stronger grip against wild weather swings. Tiny choices pile up, reshaping big patterns across farmland areas, what people eat yearlong, sometimes how money flows through farms.

When small changes ripple through daily life, they reshape more than just shorelines - they shift how people live together. Picture a town choosing long-term seaweed farming instead of quick profits; meals become part of memory, passed down like stories from grandparents’ laps. Such habits grow trust among neighbors, quietly building shared values without speeches or slogans. Reaching across village borders to share knowledge or protect shared waters doesn’t require treaties - it happens through quiet cooperation. Even minor victories here and there nudge local leaders toward wiser rules, which then echo farther out, beyond coastlines, steering wider systems toward care for lasting years.

Looking closely, handling Sudan's natural resources means seeing how everyday methods tie into larger patterns. When people act locally, their choices ripple through ecosystems and communities alike. Seen through the butterfly effect idea, small-scale efforts take on weight far beyond their size. Change sparked at the ground level doesn’t just fix environments - it reshapes social systems too. Ultimately, what happens in villages connects to national rhythms without clear warning or fixed outcome. Looking back at how Sudan managed its natural resources shows a tangled mix of old ways and social patterns that built them. Long before now, farm families across Sudan shaped how they used water, soil, and plants using customs passed down. Because survival depended on careful use, these methods tended toward balance rather than waste. What grew from culture was not just habit - it reflected long observation of weather, soil, and seasons. Rainfall in Sudan shifts unpredictably, bringing droughts one year then surplus the next. Because of this rhythm, people rely on flexible ways to manage water and food - creative solutions shaped by constant change.

For centuries, handling land and water in Sudan shifted between people, guided by mutual roles and group choices. Farmers raising crops and herds leaned on old ways taught from father to son. What crops grew, when they planted, when they gathered - these came from local insight handed across ages. Ways to keep earth fertile also flowed through tradition. Things like moving animals across pastures, plus using old migration paths for animals, show how human actions tie into nature as a whole. What made these approaches work wasn’t just theory - it was their ability to adjust to specific surroundings while honoring what the land could sustain.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

Still, outside pressures - like colonization, new farming rules, shifting weather patterns, and worldwide integration - have shaped how old ways of life unfold. Western land ownership models brought in by colonizers broke apart common methods of managing land, scattering access and eroding group control. What followed changed long-standing routines while fraying social ties, since fighting over limited means grew sharper.

Across today's Sudan, a move away from old ways toward tighter control becomes clear - especially when people grow faster and money gets tighter. Big farms rise not by tradition but through state needs plus outside funds flowing in. Far from local realities, these large setups pull heavily on river flow and soil quality alike. Nature pays the price where ecology once shaped choices quietly. Far from these centers, people often lose their ability to speak up - cut out of decisions that shape daily life. Their traditional ways, once deep roots of resilient systems, quietly fade into the background.

Still, more people now see value in blending old customs with new business methods. From small protests to neighborhood groups, momentum grows around reviving nature-based ways tied to local heritage. Instead of relying on familiar routines, efforts like hand-driven irrigation systems show how everyday choices affect wider nature and communities.

When people talk together about shared resources, something meaningful begins. Old ways of living take on new shapes by mixing with today's scientific methods. Such blending creates tools capable of sustaining environments over time. Tiny efforts, happening right where folks live, can quietly reshape entire ecosystems. Seeing who really knows these places matters - giving them space helps shape smarter plans for nature care across Sudan.

So much turns out differently because how people have always managed land and water in Sudan wasn't fixed - it shifted, shaped by kinship ties, village rules, even trade routes across centuries. When today's communities act in quiet but powerful ways, their choices might ripple far beyond sight, altering forest cover or income patterns without warning. That quiet force means looking away wouldn't make sense - where old ways meet new pressures, change often begins unnoticed.

looking closely at how people manage resources in Sudan, a pattern shows up - local efforts matter more than expected. Not because they are grand, but because they grow naturally from community life. Sustainability here does not come from above; it rises through everyday actions. These steps may begin small, yet they shape entire ecosystems in ways larger systems feel too. Income, land use, water access - all shift under this quiet pressure. Tradition blends into practice without fanfare, forming bonds between culture and environment. What seems like background rhythm actually holds everything together. Take a look at how different communities manage land using traditions passed down through generations. What happens where people live matters most when deciding outcomes.

For generations, farmers in Sudan's north have shaped how they grow food using knowledge passed down through time. Instead of planting one crop everywhere, they mix varieties close together, which helps enrich the earth. This method also tends to keep harmful insects away without needing outside interventions. By avoiding single-crop fields, they support variety while building strength into their systems. Changes in weather become less disruptive because diverse plants adapt differently. When people choose different farm crops, changes ripple through villages in quiet ways. A shift like that might bring steadier meals and stronger local earnings. At the same time, nature benefits too - species find space, soil stays resilient. Small picks echo wider than they seem.

Water saving methods in Darfur's nomadic groups show much the same shift - small efforts matter greatly. Instead of relying on distant systems, people here shape solutions using age-old practices like hafirs, or hand-built ponds. Because of these, drinking and grazing water becomes accessible when needed most. At once, gathering spots form around them - where neighbors share tasks and support one another. What happens here matters more than it seems. When global weather changes, places like this hold everything together through smart habits. Success in keeping these methods alive shows how tiny efforts by people can quietly lift entire communities and nature around them.

Still, how people manage land in South Sudan ties closely to who they are - especially among the Dinka and Nuer. Grounded in shared duties and communal ownership, local systems shape who gets to use what. Because land belongs to everyone by tradition, working together becomes more natural. When everyone has a role, pushing the limits of use tends to drop away. When groups work together to care for land, forests grow stronger. This can slow climate changes over time through natural storage of carbon. Efforts like these support wider efforts to reduce warming trends.

When people take charge, attention turns to how villages handle their own resource care. Take cooperatives in countryside spots - they show groups working together to protect what they have. From there, efforts like tree planting grow without flashy funding. These efforts bring back soil and water while quietly feeding local economies. Tourism that respects land often follows, along with wood or crop sales managed for long term gain. With these efforts, how people manage land and water takes on deeper social meaning - steady progress in withstanding shocks and breaking cycles of hardship begins to show up, especially where income rises quietly under wise, nature-respectful rules.

Looking back, the examples show how traditions in Sudan, shaped by local wisdom and shared work among communities, support lasting care of resources. Small moves - mixing up farming methods, saving water, organizing neighborhoods - reveal how tiny efforts might reshape entire ecosystems and societies, showing how tightly life and nature

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

are woven together. Sometimes it is the quietest changes that stir the biggest shifts. In Sudan, what people do locally around water or land can quietly shape outcomes across wider regions. Small moves, like ripples from a butterfly, shape how people manage land and water in Sudan. Culture, daily life, or money matters guide those choices. Once made, they stretch into forests changing, families adjusting, even weather shifting without warning.

Take how people who herd animals in Sudan manage water, for example. These communities usually shift grazing areas at set times - this keeps pastures alive. Because they space out animal groups across seasons, grass returns each year instead of vanishing. Overgrazing slows down when movement follows ancient patterns tied to weather clues. Plants that normally would go unseen begin growing again through such rhythm. Folks who manage herds by traditional means often see nature settle into steady patterns - this harmony helps both animals and wild creatures thrive alongside varied green life. With passing seasons, such local care adds strength across entire land areas, improving earth's richness while slowing sandstorms that threaten dry areas throughout southern Sudan.

Still, the way people relate to nature shifts local ways of life too. When grazing changes to rotational methods, grass returns slowly. With healthier pastures, animals tend to stay well and produce more. More food means stronger access to staples like milk or meat across nearby areas. That extra supply sometimes reshape how communities sell or share what they raise. Change like this shows how choices made by local people handling supplies might spread - shaping money matters - so herders end up better off.

Still, harmful habits weaken nature's balance, adding strain to social and financial challenges. Take groups clearing woods for farming - this often accelerates damage to the environment. When trees disappear, life in nearby ecosystems shifts unevenly. Water patterns change too, which slowly degrades earth composition. Erosion becomes more likely under these altered conditions. When farming becomes harder to manage, the area's ability to grow food often weakens. That decline can lead to fewer supplies, which then push market costs even higher. Decisions about land use do not sit apart from wider environmental or social shifts - they pull together in complex motion.

Water projects run by people living there show how farms in Sudan's Nile Valley can make better use of rain and river flow. Small channels and tunnels appear when groups build them over time using local know-how. When villagers take part directly in deciding how water is shared, farming becomes smarter about soil needs and season changes. More harvests come each year simply because rules shift toward listening rather than imposing. When people adjust how they grow food, they often have more control over what they eat. Because of this shift, regions become less vulnerable when weather changes wildly. Surplus produce flows into nearby towns, adding income for farmers while altering how goods move within communities. Depending on outside areas for meals becomes less necessary as homegrown options grow stronger.

In the end, how locals manage resources in Sudan shows why big-picture thinking matters when choices are made at the ground level. When people take charge of sustainable methods, good results often spread beyond their immediate area, helping both nature and local economies. What happened suggests traditional wisdom and community-led systems deserve space within larger environmental planning efforts, creating paths forward where communities shape progress through strength and knowledge. When people work together, it shapes how towns care for land without losing comfort. In Sudan, some places struggle to start green projects due to tough conditions. Managing water, soil, and crops becomes harder when weather shifts wildly. Old ways of growing food begin to falter under hotter seasons. Freaky shifts in weather - long stretches of dryness mixed with wild rain showers - are messing up farm output, which feeds most people here. Because seasons feel uncertain now, growers struggle to decide when to sow or reap, leaving fields bare and meals thinner. When food grows harder to grow, people scramble for what little there is, sparking friction among neighbors and between nearby groups.

Power shifts unsettle how people manage what nature offers nearby. Where fighting never stops, leaders' problems weaken plans meant to last long term. Group efforts fade when order lacks strength, because shared effort needs steady ground. When things break apart like that, keeping track of who uses what becomes nearly impossible. Resources start getting pushed too hard, simply because nobody pays attention anymore. Up top, leaders seem unbothered by the mess, which only weakens local efforts to protect nature and its tools. Abilities to manage ecosystems fade slowly under such conditions.

When water or farmland runs low, daily life gets harder for people aiming to live better with nature. Where supplies tighten, tensions rise - not just between groups using land differently, yet also across village boundaries nearby. Survival today often takes precedence over thinking ahead, so good habits tend to fall behind despite real need. What makes things worse, gender imbalances often put women at a disadvantage even though they usually handle how household supplies are used. Because of this, many lack opportunities to join important discussions or gain awareness about available resources.

Even with all those difficulties, local groups in Sudan still have real chances to make a difference when managing nature's resources. What stands out is how different communities have adjusted - they adapt, simply put. This ability hints at fresh ideas taking root through shared effort. Think about ancient insights, passed down for years, now woven into today's protected areas work. When communities shape how they manage resources, more people might take part. This kind of involvement can grow loyalty to environmental care. Ownership often follows engagement. Sustainable habits tend to emerge where decisions feel local.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

Working together - local leaders, community wisdom, scientists - might just reshape how people protect both culture and environment. When village insight meets research-driven methods, new paths open beyond old conflicts between custom and conservation. Success comes not from replacing one by the other, but weaving them into shared practice. Support aimed at weaker segments, especially women, stepping into decision-making roles may quietly reshape entire communities. Small shifts here often echo further across society, nudging systems toward balance with nature.

Even though the obstacles seem overwhelming, finding ways forward remains possible. Drawing on what communities already do well, while making space for everyone, opens a path toward an enduring approach to managing resources - one that sees how small choices shape broad environmental and social results across Sudan. Though often overlooked, what people do daily holds weight in shaping entire ecosystems and communities. What happens locally can ripple far beyond when people take small but meaningful steps together. Policies work better when they include what locals actually know about their surroundings. Instead of top-down rules, shared decision spaces help communities shape how resources are used. When locals lead, outcomes shift in ways bigger systems often miss.

Starting off, clear policies need to match how people actually manage local resources. Instead of one-sided rules, space must open where villagers can speak directly to officials about long-held traditions. When conversations like these take place, fresh ways of caring for forests, rivers, or land might come forward - ones rarely seen in rigid central planning. Take how farmers in Sudan's dry lands manage water - simple ways that work well there. One way to start is by filming those techniques, then sharing them with government planners who shape farming rules across the country. Shining light on homegrown methods helps officials choose growin' styles that fit nature, not depend heavily on artificial feeds. Over time, this shift supports agriculture that lasts longer without harming soil.

Still, workshops mixing traditional insight with modern science often strengthen communities facing nature shifts. Starting talks where farmers, town elders, and eco-researchers meet might bring smarter ways to manage resources. Such efforts focus on local groups shaping their own panel for nature care, creating flexible versions of top-down rules across Sudan's varied zones. With power spread across local hands, people take deeper part in how resources are handled. Responsibility grows when decisions sit closer to those affected.

Supporting community efforts requires reliable funding paths. Local groups might access credit through simple, affordable programs - helping them grow sustainable ways to earn a living while caring for nature. Take tiny loans given to neighborhoods planting trees or collecting rainwater; these steps strengthen personal well-being without weakening the environment. Efforts like these quietly build resilience across landscapes, slowing erosion, inviting more species back into damaged areas. These efforts bring both nature-focused gains along with growth in nearby economies - helping balance social and financial gaps.

Looking at how communities manage resources, clear targets must guide national decisions. When results are tracked from local efforts, leaders see what works well enough to grow. Success in one place becomes a basis for others when reviewed carefully. Instead of just praising efforts, policies use evidence to shape future actions across regions.

What matters most is building clear laws that guard traditional ways of life while recognizing how they help care for natural resources. Local groups should see their customarily held lands acknowledged, along with the way they manage supplies through long-standing methods. Protection like this lets communities keep looking after nature without outside interference. When rights are officially recorded, disputes over where water flows or who owns territory tend to fade - especially as weather shifts and money shortages grow more tense across Sudan.

Looking at it closely, what affects local traditions also shapes how Sudan manages its natural resources. When institutions recognize traditional wisdom, decision making becomes more shared among communities. Financial support paired with clear legal frameworks helps groups take charge of environmental projects. Small actions taken together often shift outcomes in meaningful ways. Such methods do not just protect nature - they lift livelihoods too. Over time, this builds stronger regions across the country. What we see here shows how people in Sudan manage land and water using daily routines shaped by tradition. Instead of relying only on big plans made far away, decisions come from shared practices handed down through generations. When villagers take part in caring for nature, it is not just about rules but about knowing the land through personal experience. This kind of involvement might seem minor at first yet carries weight similar to large-scale changes when scaled up carefully across communities.

What we see is how everyday traditions help meet real environmental demands, while quietly supporting wider social and economic balance. Take how farmers manage water - it boosts harvests, yet also builds stronger groups able to handle unpredictable weather shifts. This mutual support between healthy ecosystems and community survival shows why regional methods must be woven into broader strategies for managing nature's resources. Out of tradition comes action - ways of living tied to land and memory now shape how people care for nature, building stronger local economies along the way, opening paths toward lasting resilience.

What happens locally often ripple outward, tying personal efforts to broader environmental shifts. When communities succeed, nearby areas tend to follow, drawn by examples of lasting change. These spreading impacts build a quiet unity around how we manage resources, shifting focus from isolated goals toward common ground well-being. So, acknowledging what people do locally isn't just about respect - it's something policies need now, or they won't work.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

Still, old-style management methods tend to ignore what people already know about their surroundings. When local insights get brushed aside, it can create distance between what communities actually need and what outsiders think matters. Ignoring these efforts might even harm the traditions keeping groups strong over time. What this study pushes toward is seeing things differently - giving room for nearby voices first instead of handing down fixes coldly from afar.

Given what we now know, looking deeper into ways to grow and strengthen such community efforts makes sense. Success stories need tracking, along with the varied struggles different neighborhoods face. Building tools that help local players work well with outside groups matters too. Seeing how local approaches turn out - with sharper observation - might reveal how these homegrown projects spread further.

Truth is, how Sudan's environment and economy endure ties deeply to what people there do every day with nature's help. This study shows how urgent it's become to support those homegrown efforts, pushing leaders to rethink rules so they value traditional wisdom and the web of life connections around us. Right now matters more than ever for communities across Sudan stepping forward not just as survivors but as shapers - building paths where progress means fairness, balance, and lasting ground.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that the management of natural resources in Sudan is profoundly shaped by the subtle yet far-reaching interactions between local practices, indigenous knowledge systems, and broader ecological processes. Across diverse regions, the evidence reveals that seemingly modest, community-level decisions-whether in grazing rotations, mixed cropping, water harvesting, or the stewardship of medicinal plants-accumulate into substantial environmental outcomes that enhance ecosystem stability, biodiversity resilience, and livelihood security. These "butterfly effects" underscore the central argument of this research: sustainable natural resource governance in Sudan cannot be fully understood, nor effectively reformed, without recognizing the foundational role of traditional ecological knowledge.

The findings illustrate that indigenous practices are not relics of the past; rather, they constitute living, adaptive systems of resource management refined through centuries of observation and cultural transmission. Communities that continue to rely on customary norms-such as rotational grazing, sacred groves, selective harvesting, or communal land tenure-demonstrate tangible ecological benefits, including improved vegetation recovery, more resilient water systems, and the preservation of plant species critical to both ecosystem health and traditional medicine. These insights affirm that indigenous knowledge remains indispensable for confronting contemporary challenges such as land degradation, biodiversity loss, climate variability, and food insecurity.

At the same time, the study highlights persistent structural barriers that restrict the incorporation of these knowledge systems into formal governance arrangements. Centralized, state-led management frameworks often overlook or marginalize local perspectives, resulting in policy gaps, weakened community agencies, and unsustainable land-use decisions. This disconnect not only undermines ecological outcomes but also erodes culturally embedded mechanisms that have long safeguarded Sudan's natural resources. Bridging this divide therefore requires deliberate institutional transformation, including legal recognition of customary land rights, participatory governance mechanisms, and knowledge-integration platforms that place local communities at the center of decision-making.

Ultimately, this research affirms that sustainable natural resource management in Sudan must move beyond technocratic, top-down paradigms toward a pluralistic framework that values indigenous and scientific knowledge as complementary sources of insight. By embracing a co-production approach-where local wisdom informs scientific analysis and scientific tools strengthen traditional practices-Sudan can cultivate socio-ecological systems that are more resilient, equitable, and responsive to environmental change. The path forward lies not in replacing traditional knowledge but in elevating it as a vital component of national and international policy, ensuring that community-driven practices continue to shape a sustainable future for Sudan's ecosystems and the people who depend on them.

REFERENCES

[1]. Abdeta, D. (2020). Survey on Ethno Botany and Medicinal Animals at Sayo and Hawa Gelan Districts of Kelem Wollega Zone, Western Ethiopia. Biomedical Journal of Scientific & Technical Research, 28(2). https://doi.org/10.26717/bjstr.2020.28.004620

[2]. Abebe, F. B. (2019). Ethnobotanical Studies of Medicinal Plants used to Treat Human and Livestock Ailments in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region, Ethiopia: A Systematic Review [Review of Ethnobotanical Studies of Medicinal Plants used to Treat Human and Livestock Ailments in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region, Ethiopia: A Systematic Review]. Journal of Plant Studies, 8(1), 1. Canadian Center of Science and Education. https://doi.org/10.5539/jps.v8n1p1

[3]. Abebe, F. B. (2021). Ethnobotanical Studies of Medicinal Plants used to Treat Human and Livestock Ailments in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region, Ethiopia: A Systematic Review [Review of Ethnobotanical Studies of Medicinal Plants used to Treat Human and Livestock Ailments in Southern Nations, Nationalities and Peoples' Region, Ethiopia: A Systematic Review]. RePEc: Research Papers in Economics. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:ibn:jpsjnl:v:8:y:2021:i:1:p:1

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

[4]. Adefila, A. O., Ajayi, O. O., Toromade, A. S., & Sam-Bulya, N. J. (2024). Integrating traditional knowledge with modern agricultural practices: A sociocultural framework for sustainable development. World Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Health Sciences, 20(2), 25. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjbphs.2024.20.2.0850

[5]. Agize, M., Asfaw, Z., Nemomissa, S., & Gebre, T. (2022). Ethnobotany of traditional medicinal plants and associated indigenous knowledge in Dawuro Zone of Southwestern Ethiopia. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 18(1), 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-022-00546-4

[6]. Ahmad, J., Utina, R., & Patimi, S. (2025). Ethnobotanical Insights into Bridal Treatment: Traditional Wedding Plant Practices in the Gorontalo Community. African Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development, 25(7), 27311. https://doi.org/10.18697/ajfand.144.25865

[7]. Akalibey, S., Hlaváčková, P., Schneider, J., Fialová, J., Darkwah, S. A., & Ahenkan, A. (2024). Integrating indigenous knowledge and culture in sustainable forest management via global environmental policies. Journal of Forest Science, 70(6), 265. https://doi.org/10.17221/20/2024-jfs

[8]. Angmo, K., Adhikari, B. S., Bussmann, R. W., & Rawat, G. S. (2024). Harmony in nature: understanding the cultural and ecological aspects of plant use in Ladakh. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 20(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-024-00670-3

[9]. Asante, D. B., Adom, D., Asante, A., & Gyamera, V. (2023). Combating the Global Environmental Crisis Using Indigenous Ecological Knowledge: The Case of Kwahu Traditional Area, Ghana. Research Square (Research Square). https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3637344/v1

[10]. Bastidas-Bacca, M. A., Dayve-Bacca-Descance, D., Guerra-Acosta, A. del S., Perea-Morera, E., Díaz-Ariza, L. A., López, D., & García, A. M. O. (2023). Ethnobotanical Insights: Qualitative Analysis of Medicinal Plants in Colón Putumayo for Traditional Knowledge Preservation. Plants, 12(19), 3390. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants12193390

[11]. Benner, J., Nielsen, J. K., & Lertzman, K. (2021). Using Traditional Ecological Knowledge to Understand the Diversity and Abundance of Culturally Important Trees. Journal of Ethnobiology, 41(2), 209. https://doi.org/10.2993/0278-0771-41.2.209

[12]. Bera, M., & Nag, P. K. (2025). Integrated Natural Resource Management and Sustainable Livelihoods: A Review of Ecosystem-Based Approaches for Sustainability and Community Resilience [Review of Integrated Natural Resource Management and Sustainable Livelihoods: A Review of Ecosystem-Based Approaches for Sustainability and Community Resilience]. Agricultural & Rural Studies, 3(3). https://doi.org/10.59978/ar03030017

[13]. chanda, armstrong, & Kapepe, A. (2025). Ethical Integration of Indigenous and Scientific Knowledge Systems for a Sustainable Mitigation of Environmental and Climate Change Challenges. International Journal of Global Community, 8(1), 35. https://doi.org/10.33473/ijgc-ri.v8i1.222

[14]. Chesire, M., Kigen, C., Munyao, C., Korir, J. C., & Too, P. (2025). Farmer Managed Natural Regeneration and Community Development: An analysis of Impact in Selected Countries. International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 8, 60. https://doi.org/10.47604/ijes.3162

[15]. Cronin, M., Agaba, G., Balaguer, F., Sida, T. S., Pagella, T., & Sinclair, F. (2013). Synthesis of local knowledge on drivers of tree cover change in the Blue Nile basin. In CGSPace A Repository of Agricultural Research Outputs (Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research). CGIAR. https://hdl.handle.net/10568/34232

[16]. Daniel, E. (2024). Use of medicinal plants in the control of fish parasites and problems related to their use in ethnoveterinary treatment-A review. DergiPark (Istanbul University). https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/http-www-jivs-net/issue/89492/1572627

[17]. Dean, M. (2024). Exploring Ethnobotanical Knowledge: Qualitative Insights into the Therapeutic Potential of Medicinal Plants. Golden Ratio of Data in Summary, 4(2), 6. https://doi.org/10.52970/grdis.v4i2.491

[18]. Diansyah, W., Abas, A., & Sakawi, Z. (2022). Exploring the relevance of engaging the Orang Asli in Malaysia's forest management. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2022.1019994

[19]. Eisawi, K. A. E., Gibreel, H. H., Hong, H., Shaheen, T., Abdalla, O. M., & Yasin, E. H. E. (2021). Ethnobotanical Study of Medicinal Trees and Shrubs from the Rashad District of Southern Kordofan, Sudan. Egyptian Journal of Botany, 0. https://doi.org/10.21608/ejbo.2021.81264.1708

[20]. Eslit, E. R. (2023). Whispers of the Woodlands: Unveiling the Interplay of Forest Conservation and Livelihood through Community Narratives. EarthArXiv (California Digital Library). https://doi.org/10.31223/x5tq1g

[21]. Fallys, M.-K., Lamm, A., & Shuyang, Q. (2022). Exploring the impact of agricultural policies on the documentation and sharing of indigenous knowledge in sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development, 14(4), 173. https://doi.org/10.5897/jaerd2022.1331

[22]. Faronny, D. I., Rahma, M. J., Sunarharum, W. B., & Hakim, L. (2024). Local Ecological Knowledge of Liberoid Coffee Farmers in Banyuwangi: an Ancestral Legacy in Preserving the Natural Environment. Jurnal Presipitasi Media Komunikasi Dan Pengembangan Teknik Lingkungan, 21(1), 249. https://doi.org/10.14710/presipitasi.v21i1.249-263

[23]. Gautam, S. K. (2019). The Role of Indigenous Knowledge in Biodiversity Conservation: Integrating Traditional Practices with Modern Environmental Approaches. Environmental Reports., 1(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.51470/er.2019.1.2.01

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26apr1782

[24]. Haq, S. M., Pieroni, A., Bussmann, R. W., Abd-ElGawad, A. M., & Elansary, H. O. (2023). Integrating traditional ecological knowledge into habitat restoration: implications for meeting forest restoration challenges. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 19(1), 33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-023-00606-3

[25]. Hegazy, A. K., Hosni, H., Lovett-Doust, L., Kabiel, H. F., Badawy, E.-S. M., & Mwavu, E. N. (2020). Indigenous knowledge of wild plants collected in Darfur, Sudan. Ethnobotany Research and Applications, 19. https://doi.org/10.32859/era.19.47.1-19

[26]. Hoagland, S. (2017). Integrating Traditional Ecological Knowledge with Western Science for Optimal Natural Resource Management. 3(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.18113/p8ik359744

[27]. Ibnouf, F. O. (2012). The Value of Women's Indigenous Knowledge in Food Processing and Preservation for Achieving Household Food Security in Rural Sudan. Journal of Food Research, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.5539/jfr.v1n1p238

[28]. Ibrahim, A. A. (2023). Local knowledge and attitudes of frankincense communities in northeastern Somalia. Global Ecology and Conservation, 48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2023.e02748

[29]. Ijatuyi, E. J., Lamm, A., Yessoufou, K., Suinyuy, T. N., & Patrick, H. O. (2025). Integration of indigenous knowledge with scientific knowledge: A systematic review [Review of Integration of indigenous knowledge with scientific knowledge: A systematic review]. Environmental Science & Policy, 170, 104119. Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104119

[30]. Issa, T. O., Mohamed, Y. S., Yagi, S., Ahmed, R. H., Najeeb, T. M., Makhawi, A. M., & Khider, T. O. (2018). Ethnobotanical investigation on medicinal plants in Algoz area (South Kordofan), Sudan. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-018-0230-y

[31]. Kamakaula, Y. (2024). Ethnoecology and Climate Change Adaptation in Agriculture. Global International Journal of Innovative Research, 2(2), 473. https://doi.org/10.59613/global.v2i2.99

[32]. Khan, H., Chen, T., Bibi, R., & Khan, I. (2023). Dose institutional quality influences the relationship between urbanization and CO2 emissions? PLoS ONE, 18(10). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0291930

[33]. Kobluk, H., Gladstone, K., Reid, M., Brown, K. L., Krumhansl, K. A., & Salomon, A. K. (2021). Indigenous knowledge of key ecological processes confers resilience to a small-scale kelp fishery. People and Nature, 3(3), 723. https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10211

[34]. Kuru, R., Marsh, A. T., & Ganley, B. (2021). Elevating and Recognising Knowledge of Indigenous Peoples to Improve Forest Biosecurity. Frontiers in Forests and Global Change, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2021.719106

[35]. Kuspraningrum, E., Luth, T., Yuliati, Y., Safa'at, R., & Kuspradini, H. (2020). Review: The conservation of Tengger indigenous people's traditional knowledge of biological natural resource-based disease treatments. Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity, 21(11). https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d211108

[36]. Maheshkumar, S., & Soundarapandian, M. (2023). Harmonizing indigenous knowledge systems to the Indian educational philosophies for quality education: A review study [Review of Harmonizing indigenous knowledge systems to the Indian educational philosophies for quality education: A review study]. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(3), 2177. GSC Online Press. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0940

[37]. Malcom, A. (2024). Integrating Indigenous Knowledge into Livestock Policy Formulation. International Journal of Livestock Policy, 3(1), 30. https://doi.org/10.47941/ijlp.1962

[38]. Maliao, R. J., & Tóthmérész, B. (2025). Braiding traditional ecological knowledge and Western science in the management of freshwater social-ecological systems: a systematic map protocol. Environmental Evidence, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-025-00371-8

[39]. Manzoor, M., Ahmad, M., Zafar, M., Gillani, S. W., Shaheen, H., Pieroni, A., Al-Ghamdi, A. A., Elshikh, M. S., Saqib, S., Makhkamov, T., & Khaydarov, K. (2023). The local medicinal plant knowledge in Kashmir Western Himalaya: a way to foster ecological transition via community-centred health seeking strategies. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-023-00631-2

[40]. Mbelebele, Z., Mdoda, L., Ntlanga, S. S., Nontu, Y., & Gidi, L. S. (2024). Harmonizing Traditional Knowledge with Environmental Preservation: Sustainable Strategies for the Conservation of Indigenous Medicinal Plants (IMPs) and Their Implications for Economic Well-Being. Sustainability, 16(14), 5841. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145841

[41]. Mohan. (2024). Wisdom of the ancestors: Harnessing indigenous knowledge for contemporary challenges. International Journal of Applied Research, 10(3), 112. https://doi.org/10.22271/allresearch.2024.v10.i3b.11591

[42]. Muhakr, M. A. Y. M., Ahmed, I. M., hassan, G. O. M. E., & Yagi, S. (2024). Ethnobotanical study on medicinal plants in Melit area (North Darfur), Western Sudan. Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-023-00646-9

[43]. Mushebenge, A. G.-A., Kadima, M. G., Mashamba-Thompson, T. P., & Nlooto, M. (2021). Evidence on collaboration of traditional and biomedical practitioners in the management of antimicrobial resistance in sub-Saharan Africa over 15 years: a systematic review protocol [Review of Evidence on collaboration of traditional and biomedical practitioners in the management of antimicrobial resistance in sub-Saharan Africa over 15 years: a systematic review protocol]. Systematic Reviews, 10(1). BioMed Central. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01710-9

[44]. Nargawe, L., Malathi, G., C.S., V., & Upadhyay, L. (2023). Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal Plants Used in Traditional Medicine in Africa. Plant Science

Archives, 8(4), 26. https://doi.org/10.51470/psa.2023.8.4.26
[45]. Nasr-Azadani, E., Smithwick, E. A. H., Steidle, S. J., Lucash, M. S., Wardrop, D. H., Fregien, N. T., & Kenote, T. (2023). Integrating Menominee model for sustainable forestry with Systems Thinking competency through 3D virtual tours. Sustainability Science, 18(6), 2605. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-023-01399-w
[46]. Ngongolo, K., & Kyando, M. T. (2025). Biodiversity conservation and socio-economic development for Africa's harmonious future: a scoping review [Review of Biodiversity conservation and socio-economic development for Africa's harmonious future: a scoping review]. BMC Environmental Science, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s44329-025-00021-x
[47]. Per, E., Kayacılar, C., Per, E., & Coşkun, G. (2025). Sustaining Mediterranean highlands through Traditional Ecological Knowledge and transhumance practices. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2025.1657538
[48]. Phaka, F. M. (2020). Environmental science investigations of folk taxonomy and other forms of indigenous knowledge. South African Journal of Science, 116. https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2020/6538
[49]. Rahamtalla, B., Medani, I. E., Eltyeb, E., Ali, N., Hashim, K. N., Abdelmola, A., Salih, A., & Mubarak, N. (2025). Bridging Knowledge to Policy: A Systematic Review of Traditional Medicine's Role, Risks, and Integration Pathways in Sudanese Healthcare [Review of Bridging Knowledge to Policy: A Systematic Review of Traditional Medicine's Role, Risks, and Integration Pathways in Sudanese Healthcare]. Research Square (Research Square). Research Square (United States). https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-7282339/v1
[50]. Reijnders, L. (2004). Sustainable Management of Natural Resources. In Water Encyclopedia (p. 633). https://doi.org/10.1002/047147844x.wr146
[51]. Reyes-García, V., Benyei, P., Junqueira, A. B., Huanca, T., & Conde, E. (2023). A complex matrix. Reports of environmental change and its drivers by the Tsimane', Bolivian Amazon. In Routledge eBooks (p. 164). Informa. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003356837-13
[52]. Roué, M., Césard, N., Constant, Y., & Oteng-Yeboah, A. (2016). Knowing our Lands and Resources: Indigenous and Local Knowledge of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in Africa. HAL (Le Centre Pour La Communication Scientifique Directe). https://hal.science/hal-02546435
[53]. Sahoo, U. K., & Musa, F. I. (2023). Role of Sustainable Forest Management in Poverty Reduction and Livelihood Improvement in Sudan: A Review [Review of Role of Sustainable Forest Management in Poverty Reduction and Livelihood Improvement in Sudan: A Review]. International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, 49(6). https://doi.org/10.55863/ijees.2023.3036
[54]. Sharma, N., Trivedi, M., & Choudhary, M. A. (2025). From tradition to transform: SDGs and the role of the Indian knowledge system in india's growth through ESE (Environment, Social, and Economic) factors. Discover Sustainability, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01905-3
[55]. Sinthumule, N. I. (2023). Traditional ecological knowledge and its role in biodiversity conservation: a systematic review [Review of Traditional ecological knowledge and its role in biodiversity conservation: a systematic review]. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 11. Frontiers Media. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1164900
[56]. Spoon, J. (2014). Quantitative, qualitative, and collaborative methods: approaching indigenous ecological knowledge heterogeneity. Ecology and Society, 19(3). https://doi.org/10.5751/es-06549-190333
[57]. Ssenku, J. E., Okurut, S. A., Namuli, A., Kudamba, A., Tugume, P., Matovu, P., Wasige, G., Kafeero, H. M., & Walusansa, A. (2022). Medicinal plant use, conservation, and the associated traditional knowledge in rural communities in Eastern Uganda. Tropical Medicine and Health, 50(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-022-00428-1
[58]. Sumani, J. B. B., Aduko, J., & Mula, S. (2025). Exploring farmers' ecological knowledge and agro-chemical practices for sustainable food security and health in Ghana: a narrative review [Review of Exploring farmers' ecological knowledge and agro-chemical practices for sustainable food security and health in Ghana: a narrative review]. Discover Agriculture, 3(1). Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44279-025-00314-4
[59]. Vargas-Chaves, I. (2025). Reconceptualizing water governance through traditional knowledge: insights from Wayuu cosmovision in La Guajira, Colombia. Frontiers in Water, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2025.1634360
[60]. VijayKumar, R. (2019). Integrating Indigenous Knowledge and Traditional Practices for Biodiversity Conservation in a Modern World. Environmental Reports., 1(2), 4. https://doi.org/10.51470/er.2019.1.2.04
[61]. Willy, K. C., John, K. M., & Kiemo, K. (2023). Sociocultural conservation strategies of prioritized medicinal plants, their historical context and space for integration. African Journal of History and Culture, 15(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.5897/ajhc2022.0546
[62]. Yanou, M. P., Ros-Tonen, M., Reed, J., Moombe, K., & Sunderland, T. (2023). Integrating local and scientific knowledge: The need for decolonising knowledge for conservation and natural resource management [Review of Integrating local and scientific knowledge: The need for decolonising knowledge for conservation and natural resource management]. Heliyon, 9(11). Elsevier BV. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21785
[63]. Yasin, E. H. E., Siddig, A. A. H., & Czimber, K. (2024). Forests at the Crossroads: Biodiversity Conservation in the Era of Climate Change. In IntechOpen eBooks. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1004224