

Complication and Side-Effect Incidence Registry in FUE/DHI Hair Transplantation: A Comprehensive Retrospective Cohort Analysis

Victor Okonoyi¹; Dr. Samuel Filima²

Msc.¹, Assoc.²

^{1,2} Vera Clinic Academy

Publication Date; 2026/09/23

Abstract:

➤ Background:

Patient safety, post-operative predictability, and minimal procedural morbidity constitute the structural benchmarks of quality in contemporary autologous hair restoration. This extensive retrospective cohort study, by Vera Clinic Academy, evaluates the absolute clinical incidence of acute and long-term complications, normal physiological sequelae, and transient side effects across standard Follicular Unit Extraction (FUE) and Direct Hair Implantation (DHI) modalities under advanced micro-surgical optimization protocols.

➤ Methods:

Clinical charts, intraoperative logs, and longitudinal multi-month follow-up profiles from a comprehensive cohort of consecutive patients treated between January 2023 and December 2024, with follow-up through December 2025, were systematically analyzed. Evaluated endpoints included Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores for needle-free vs. conventional local anesthesia infiltration, edema duration, incidence rates of local bacterial infections, ischemic tissue necrosis, recipient-site folliculitis, epidermal desquamation, and macroscopically visible scarring.

➤ Results:

The overall incidence of major, non-transient clinical complications was minimal (<0.5%). Needle-free high-pressure local anesthetic delivery dramatically mitigated infiltration pain by 63.79% compared to traditional needle injections (VAS: 2.1 ± 0.8 vs. 5.8 ± 1.2 , $p < 0.001$). Post-operative transient forehead edema resolved within a mean period of 4.2 ± 1.1 days. No instances of full-thickness donor or recipient scalp necrosis were observed within the studied cohort. Bacterial folliculitis occurred in 0.29% of cases, resolving promptly via targeted antibiotics. Post-operative scalp pruritus was observed in 68.4% of patients, resolving within 5–14 days. Mild to moderate transient scaling (dandruff) and sterile breakthrough pimples were captured in 41.2% and 22.7% of patients respectively, correlating strictly with natural physiological regeneration phases. Macroscopic scarring was minimal (<0.15% of cases), and no oncological transformations were recorded.

➤ Conclusions:

Standardized, micro-punch-guided follicular unit extraction combined with high-pressure anesthesia exhibits an exemplary safety margin and minimal morbidity. This institutional study provides empirical benchmarks for therapeutic counseling, quality control, and academic reference in global hair restoration.

Keywords: Hair Transplantation, Follicular Unit Extraction, Direct Hair Implantation, Vera Clinic Academy, Complication Registry, Needle-Free Anesthesia, Scalp Ischemia.

How to Cite: Victor Okonoyi¹; Dr. Samuel Filima (2026), Complication and Side-Effect Incidence Registry in FUE/DHI Hair Transplantation: A Comprehensive Retrospective Cohort Analysis. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology* 11(8), 4091-4094. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug1595>

I. INTRODUCTION

Autologous hair transplantation has transitioned from historical macro-grafting techniques to a highly sophisticated, minimally invasive micro-surgical discipline. With Follicular Unit Extraction (FUE) and Direct Hair Implantation (DHI) dominating the contemporary therapeutic landscape, patient expectations have grown exponentially. Today's patients demand not only maximal graft survival and dense, natural-looking hair distribution but also minimal intraoperative pain, diminished recovery downtime, and a low likelihood of noticeable adverse events [1].

Despite being superficially categorized as an outpatient cosmetic procedure, hair restoration involves thousands of individual micro-incisions across highly vascular scalp layers. Consequently, it carries a defined spectrum of potential physiological sequelae (e.g., transient edema, desquamation, pruritus, breakthrough pimples) and rare clinical complications (e.g., persistent infection, keloidal scarring, ischemic skin necrosis) [2]. The vast majority of online consumer-facing medical content and literature reviews rely either on broad industry generalizations or highly restricted sample cohorts, leaving a noticeable content gap regarding large-scale, quantified clinical data.

This comprehensive retrospective analysis aims to eliminate these empirical gaps through a framework established within the Vera Clinic Academy. By systematically documenting the real-world incidence of side effects and surgical complications across optimized surgical protocols—such as high-pressure needle-free anesthesia and micro-punch parameters—this study provides a structured empirical reference designed to guide clinical expectations, validate institutional safety mechanisms, and optimize evidence-based pre-operative patient counseling.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A rigorous retrospective cohort design was applied to review patient files extracted from the joint Vera Clinic Academy Institutional Data Registry. The study population encompassed consecutive patients who received surgical hair restoration between January 2023 and December 2024, with follow-up data collected through December 2025. A total of 780 consecutive patients met initial eligibility criteria. Of these, 90 patients (11.5%) were excluded due to loss to follow-up, incomplete clinical records, or failure to complete the mandated 12-month observation period, yielding a final analyzed cohort of 690 patients. Inclusion criteria mandated a minimum post-operative follow-up period of 12 months with absolute data integrity across all designated clinical checkpoints.

➤ *Surgical Protocols and Harvesting Parameters*

The operative techniques utilized were Sapphire-tip FUE (executed in 62.0% of cases) and DHI utilizing specialized implanter pens (executed in 38.0% of cases). Follicular units were harvested utilizing motorized micro-

punches with external diameters rigorously constrained between 0.7 mm and 0.9 mm to prevent structural donor depletion or linear scarring. Tumescence fluid composition was strictly standardized, containing a balanced mixture of saline, lidocaine, and low-concentration epinephrine to achieve stable vasoconstriction without compromising dermal tissue viability.

➤ *Pain Quantification and Infiltration Modalities*

Intraoperative pain perception during initial anesthetic infiltration was quantified using an 11-point visual analog scale (VAS; where 0 indicates absolute absence of pain, and 10 denotes the most agonizing pain imaginable). The cohort was stratified based on whether they received pre-anesthetic high-pressure needle-free jet infiltration or traditional needle-syringe infiltration. Anesthesia modality (needle-free jet infiltration vs. traditional needle infiltration) was selected based on patient preference following pre-operative counseling; allocation was not randomized. Clinical evaluation and systematic follow-ups were scheduled at Day 1, Day 10, Month 1, Month 3, Month 6, and Month 12 post-surgery. Statistical significance was verified using Student's t-tests for continuous data and chi-square tests for categorical parameters. All incidence rates presented in Table 1 were calculated on a per-patient basis (proportion of patients experiencing a given finding at least once), not per-graft or per-session.

➤ *Ethical Considerations*

This retrospective cohort analysis was based on de-identified clinical charts, intraoperative logs, and follow-up records collected as part of routine post-operative care. Patients were informed at the time of treatment that anonymized clinical data collected during standard follow-up visits could be used for research and quality-improvement purposes. All patient records were anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis, in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

III. RESULTS

Analysis of the joint cohort revealed an exceptionally safe therapeutic window. Demographically, the population was 89.3% male and 10.7% female, with a mean age of 34.2 years (range: 21 to 63 years). The quantitative incidence of all short-term physiological adjustments and major structural complications has been summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Comprehensive Clinical Endpoint Matrix, Incidence Rates, and Chronological Recovery Windows

Clinical Endpoint / Parameter Evaluated	Incidence Rate / Metric Value	Mean Resolution Timeline	Primary Manifestation & Diagnostic Criteria
Needle-Free Anesthesia (High-Pressure Jet)	Mean VAS Score: 2.1 ± 0.8	Immediate (Infiltration phase)	Localized pressure sensation; minimal dermal tearing.
Traditional Needle Local Infiltration	Mean VAS Score: 5.8 ± 1.2	Infiltration phase (3–5 min)	Acute localized sharp pain, burning sensation.
Post-Operative Transient Edema	14.2% of cases	4.2 ± 1.1 days post-op	Frontal/periorbital fluid retention due to tumescence.
Post-Operative Scalp Pruritus (Itching)	68.4% of cases	Days 5 to 14 post-op	Mild to moderate; secondary to histaminergic healing.
Transient Desquamation (Dandruff)	41.2% of cases	Weeks 2 to 6 post-op	Epidermal flaking surrounding resolving graft crusts.
Recipient-Site Breakthrough Pimples	22.7% of cases	Months 2 to 5 post-op	Sterile folliculitis or mild mechanical ingrown shafts.
Acute Bacterial Scalp Infection	0.29% of cases	Resolved within 7 days	Superficial pustules; managed via oral antibiotics.
Ischemic Skin Necrosis (Donor/Recipient)	Not observed in the studied cohort	None observed	Absence of deep dermal microvascular thrombosis.
Macroscopic Structural Scarring (Keloid)	$<0.15\%$ of cases	12-month final observation	Minimal keloidal or hypertrophic scarring; limited to isolated cases.
Long-Term Oncological Transformation	Not observed in the studied cohort	12-month final observation	Zero cellular atypia or skin carcinoma correlation

IV. DISCUSSION

➤ Refined Pain Management and Anesthetic Optimization

The primary deterrent for patients considering surgical hair restoration is the initial pain associated with local anesthetic infiltration of the scalp. The scalp possesses an incredibly dense network of sensory nerves derived from the trigeminal and greater occipital pathways [3]. Traditional needle infiltration causes localized tissue stretching and severe mechanical nociceptor activation, yielding an average VAS score of 5.8 ± 1.2 in our studied population.

In contrast, the application of high-pressure needle-free jet injection systems, applied under standardized Vera Clinic Academy protocols, demonstrated a profoundly lower mean VAS score of 2.1

± 0.8 . This represents a highly significant 63.79% reduction in perceived pain ($p < 0.001$). This quantifiable drop suggests that omitting needles from the initial infiltration phase may reduce procedural discomfort and associated anxiety.

➤ Short-Term Transient Physiological Sequelae

The large-scale data clarifies that certain post-operative developments must be classified as normal physiological tissue healing rather than pathological complications:

- **Transient Edema (14.2%):**

Forehead and periorbital swelling are primarily induced by gravity-dependent migration of the saline-based tumescent fluid injected intraoperatively. Our strict utilization of customized compression bands and targeted post-operative corticosteroids ensured complete fluid resorption within a mean window of 4.2 days without any secondary visual impairment.

- **Pruritus (68.4%) and Desquamation (41.2%):**

These manifestations peak during the first two weeks as the micro-wounds undergo epithelialization. The dry, dandruff-like flaking represents the natural shedding of damaged epidermal layers around resolving crusts. This registry indicates that these symptoms are self-limiting and easily managed via specialized panthenol-based washes.

- **Breakthrough Pimples (22.7%):**

Occurring between the second and fifth months, these lesions frequently alarm patients. Our diagnostic tracking indicates that these are sterile, inflammatory follicular reactions caused by emerging newly synthesized hair shafts or mild sebum retention. They do not represent graft failure, but rather indicate active, vigorous hair regeneration.

➤ Critical Avoidance of Severe Complications (Infection, Necrosis, Scarring)

Severe complications in hair restoration are directly linked to procedural deviations or poor sanitation standards. Bacterial infection was restricted to an ultra-low 0.29% of the cohort, consistent with our strict perioperative sterile protocols. These cases involved superficial staphylococcal presentations that resolved cleanly within one week through empirical oral antibiotic therapy, showing no downstream impact on long-term graft survival.

Scalp necrosis is arguably the most devastating structural complication of hair transplantation, typically caused by excessive local epinephrine concentrations leading to prolonged vasoconstriction or overly dense graft packing that disrupts microvascular networks [4]. In our observed patient cohort, no cases of necrosis were identified. This underscores the safety of using controlled tumescent mixtures and maintaining structurally calculated, safe distances

between recipient slits.

Furthermore, concerns regarding long-term donor area damage or keloids were substantially reduced. The implementation of micro-punch extraction diameters below 0.9 mm resulted in favorable punctate scar healing, with minimal linear or macroscopic scar visibility at the 12-month milestone. Finally, addressing common patient inquiries regarding long-term side effects, no cases of skin malignancy were observed among the studied cohort during the 12-month follow-up period. However, this study was not designed or powered to assess long-term oncological risk, and no dedicated peer-reviewed literature currently exists establishing a causal safety profile for micro-grafting procedures in this regard.

V. CONCLUSION

This retrospective registry analysis indicates that under optimized clinical conditions, FUE and DHI hair transplant techniques demonstrate a favorable safety profile. With a severe complication rate approaching zero, no observed cases of skin necrosis, and a substantial reduction in anesthetic pain via advanced high-pressure delivery systems, the parameters identified here may serve as empirical reference points for the international medical community, contributing to the broader evidence base on FUE/DHI safety outcomes.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Rassman, W. R., Bernstein, R. M., McClellan, R., Jones, R., et al. (2002). Follicular Unit Extraction: Minimally invasive surgery for hair transplantation. *Dermatologic Surgery*, 28(8), 720-727.
- [2]. Unger, W. P., & Shapiro, R. (2018). *Hair Transplantation: Micro-Surgical Approaches and Pathological Overviews* (5th ed.). New York: Informa Healthcare.
- [3]. Alameeri, A. A., AlShamsi, H. A., Murad, A., et al. (2022). The feasibility of needleless jet injection versus conventional needle local anesthesia during dental procedures: a systematic review. *Journal of the Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons*, 48(6), 331-341.
- [4]. Kerure, A. S., & Patwardhan, N. (2018). Complications in hair transplantation. *Journal of Cutaneous and Aesthetic Surgery*, 11(4), 182-189.
- [5]. Vera Clinic Academy Database (Dataset: 2024-2025).