Materialism as the Boundary Condition of Idealism-Understanding the Coherence-Modulated Consciousness-Matter Cycle (CMCMC)

Jay Alfred[1]

[1]Plasma and Dark Astrobiology, Independent Researcher, Singapore, Singapore

Publication Date: 2026/08/20

Abstract: This paper develops an ontology in which consciousness is fundamental, and materialism is a boundary condition. Elementary particles in matter possess proto-consciousness comparable to mass, spin, or charge. In this view, consciousness does not arise from matter; matter is a condensed phase of consciousness, and different degrees of consciousness manifest only when quantum coherence is present in isolated (disentangled) particles and condensates. Biological-temperature condensates—polariton, magnon, Fröhlich, and nuclear-spin condensates (Posner molecules)—provide structures capable of sustaining quantum coherence long enough for consciousness to manifest. Quantum-biological findings across avian navigation, photosynthesis, human vision, and mammalian neural modulation demonstrate that coherence can persist in living systems. These results do not imply that consciousness is quantum; rather, quantum processes preserve coherence allowing consciousness to be expressed. Materialism, epiphenomenalism, and panpsychism are reinterpreted as phase-dependent perspectives on a single coherence-modulated cycle in which consciousness condenses into matter and re-emerges through coherent high-frequency states.

Keywords: *Alfred, Bohm, Condensate, Consciousness, Decoherence, Epiphenomenalism, Fröhlich, Idealism, Materialism, Panpsychism, Particle-Waves, Posner Molecules, Quantum Coherence, Superposition, Zeilinger, Zohar.*

How to Cite: Jay Alfred (2026) Materialism as the Boundary Condition of Idealism-Understanding the Coherence-Modulated Consciousness-Matter Cycle (CMCMC). *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(8), 1107-1129. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

I. INTRODUCTION

The relationship between consciousness and matter has long divided philosophy and science. Materialism holds that consciousness emerges from physical processes; epiphenomenalism treats it as a passive by-product of neural activity; panpsychism distributes consciousness uniformly across matter. Each position captures a partial truth, yet none explains why consciousness appears only under specific physical conditions, nor why its expression varies so dramatically across biological and physical systems.

David Bohm suggested that "a rudimentary mind-like quality is present even at the level of particle physics," [8] implying that consciousness may be intrinsic to the fabric of reality rather than a late biological innovation. Jay Alfred extended this insight by proposing that elementary particles possess proto-consciousness comparable to mass, spin, or charge [6]. In this view, consciousness does not arise from matter; matter is a condensed phase of consciousness, and different degrees of consciousness manifest only when quantum coherence is present among the particles or condensates.

The present work formalizes and extends this proposal by deriving a quantitative relationship between radiative energy, quantum coherence, and the degree of expressed or manifested consciousness. Radiative energy determines the resolution of consciousness—the fineness with which experience can be differentiated. Coherence determines the radius of consciousness—the scope over which information can be integrated and the underlying relational amplitude accessed. Low-mass particles have long wavelengths and low resolution but decohere slowly, giving them a large radius of consciousness. High-mass particles have short wavelengths and high resolution but are difficult to keep coherent. The highest degrees of expressed consciousness arise when radiative energy and coherence are optimized.

Condensates—states in which many particles occupy a single quantum state—provide the physical structures capable of sustaining coherence. Only a subset of condensates can exist at biological temperatures, including polariton, magnon, Fröhlich, and nuclear-spin condensates (Posner molecules). These biological-temperature condensates preserve coherence long enough for consciousness to manifest.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

Recent discoveries in quantum biology demonstrate that coherence can be sustained in birds, plants, mammals, and human sensory systems. Radical-pair reactions in avian navigation, coherent excitonic transport in photosynthesis, single-photon detection in human vision, and spin-dependent neural modulation in mammals reveal that quantum processes operate robustly at physiological temperatures. These findings do not imply that consciousness is quantum; rather, quantum processes preserve coherence so that consciousness can be expressed. Coherence is an enabling condition, not a generative cause.

By integrating quantum biology, quantum neuroscience, and the coherence-centric ontology, this work reframes materialism, epiphenomenalism, and panpsychism as phase-dependent perspectives on a single coherence-modulated cycle in which consciousness condenses into matter and re-emerges through coherence. Materialism describes the low-coherence, highly condensed phase; epiphenomenalism describes the transitional phase in which consciousness appears tethered to neural activity; panpsychism identifies the faint traces of proto-consciousness in matter but lacks a mechanism for variation in expression.

The framework presented here inverts the physicalist picture by proposing that matter is a construction within consciousness yet does not abandon physicalism. The present work develops a synthesis that unifies these positions: consciousness and matter are not separate ontological categories but different phases of a single underlying amplitude whose mode of expression is governed by quantum coherence. This coherence-modulated cycle (CMC) provides a unified account of consciousness consistent with contemporary physics, biology, and neuroscience.

II. PROTO-CONSCIOUSNESS AS A FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTY OF MATTER

A. Apparent Emergence of Consciousness from Matter

According to the hypothesis in this paper, proto-consciousness (or bare awareness) is a fundamental property of elementary particles. However, it manifests only under conditions when quantum coherence is present. The analogy with magnetism clarifies the mechanism. Each atom in a bar of iron is a tiny magnet because its electrons possess intrinsic spin and orbital angular momentum. When these atomic magnets point in random directions, their fields cancel at the macroscopic scale, and no overall magnetism is observed. The magnetic fields do not vanish; they simply fail to manifest collectively. Only when large numbers of spins (a fundamental property of elementary particles) become aligned does a macroscopic magnetic field emerge.

Alfred's consciousness–matter cycle (CMC) operates in the same way [6]. In ordinary matter, where quantum states are highly mixed and rapidly decohere, consciousness appears weak and/or fragmented. In condensates, where coherence is preserved, the latent proto-consciousness of the constituent particles (a hypothesized fundamental property of elementary particles) becomes collectively expressed. The emergence of consciousness from coherent quantum states is therefore analogous to the emergence of magnetism from aligned spins.

B. Resolution and Radius of Consciousness

The degree to which consciousness becomes expressed in spacetime depends on two independent physical factors: the radiative energy of the particle-wave and the degree of quantum coherence within the system. Radiative energy determines the resolution of consciousness—the fineness with which consciousness can differentiate and articulate experience. Operationally, this functions like a "resolution" knob: increasing radiative energy sharpens the granularity with which consciousness can parse and structure phenomena.

Quantum coherence determines the radius or extent of consciousness—the scope over which consciousness can integrate information and access the underlying relational amplitude. This behaves like a "brightness" knob: increasing coherence expands the spatial-informational reach of consciousness, illuminating a larger domain of relational structure. These factors combine in the expression:

$$C = \kappa h\nu \tag{1}$$

Where:

- ν *is the frequency of the coherent particle-wave, determining the resolution of consciousness*
- κ *is the coherence factor, determining the radius of consciousness*
- h *is Planck's constant*
- C *is the degree of bare awareness*

Frequency corresponds directly to radiative energy through the relation:

$$E = h\nu \tag{2}$$

Where:

- E *is radiative energy*
- ν *is frequency*
- h *is Planck's constant*

Higher frequency means higher radiative energy, shorter wavelengths, and finer informational granularity. Consciousness becomes capable of resolving more detailed and differentiated qualia.

Further insights come from analyzing the de Broglie relation:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{mv} \tag{3}$$

Where:

- λ *is wavelength*
- m *is mass*

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

- v *is velocity*
- h *is Planck's constant*

Mass is condensed energy. A particle with greater mass possesses greater condensed energy, which, when mobilized as kinetic energy, raises its effective frequency. Thus, mass is not a separate ontological category; it is energy in its lowest, most condensed, least conscious phase. Low-mass particles have long wavelengths and low resolution but higher quantum coherence, giving them a larger radius of consciousness. High-mass particles have shorter wavelengths and higher resolution but are more difficult to keep coherent. Consciousness requires both resolution and radius, and the highest degrees of bare awareness arise from structures that optimize radiative energy and quantum coherence.

III. QUANTUM BIOLOGY

Quantum biology has revealed that coherence is a widespread feature of living systems. Migratory birds navigate using radical-pair reactions in cryptochrome proteins that exhibit spin-dependent pathways, superposition, and entanglement. Photosynthetic complexes in plants and bacteria exhibit wave-like excitonic transport and long-lived electronic coherence. Human vision relies on quantum tunneling, single-photon sensitivity, and coherent vibrational dynamics in rhodopsin. Recent work in quantum neuroscience, including the Wellesley rat experiment, shows that nuclear-spin dynamics can modulate neural firing patterns, providing empirical support for the role of nuclear-spin coherence in mammalian brains. *Quantum biology has overturned the classical assumption that warm biological environments are too noisy for quantum coherence.*

To summarize:

A. Avian Navigation

Cryptochrome proteins in birds use radical-pair reactions exhibiting:

- *Spin-dependent pathways*
- *Superposition*
- *Entanglement*
- *Coherence long enough to influence navigation*

B. Photosynthesis

Pigment–protein complexes exhibit:

- *Long-lived electronic coherence*
- *Wave-like excitonic transport*
- *Near-perfect energy efficiency*
- *Vibrational noise used to stabilize coherence*

C. Human Vision

Rhodopsin exhibits:

- *Quantum tunneling*
- *Single-photon sensitivity*
- *Ultrafast electron excitation*
- *Coherent vibrational dynamics*

Humans can detect single photons, a feat only possible through quantum processes.

D. Quantum Neuroscience: Wellesley Rat Experiment

Michael Weist's group demonstrated that quantum spin dynamics modulate neural firing in rats [27]:

- *Magnetic fields tuned to nuclear-spin transitions altered spike timing*
- *Behavioral responses shifted*
- *Spin-dependent synaptic modulation was observed*

This provides empirical support for nuclear-spin coherence in mammalian brains.

Quantum biology shows that coherence can be sustained in birds, plants, mammals, and human sensory systems. These discoveries do not imply that consciousness is quantum; they show that quantum coherence is the physical condition that allows consciousness to express itself within spacetime. In Alfred's model, consciousness is not generated by quantum processes—it is revealed by them [6]. Quantum biology therefore provides empirical support for the coherence-centric ontology in which matter is the lowest, most condensed state of consciousness, and coherent biological condensates—such as Posner molecules—may allow consciousness to manifest within spacetime. Quantum biology provides the empirical bridge between the metaphysical foundation of consciousness and its physical expression in the brain.

IV. QUANTUM PROCESSES DO NOT GENERATE CONSCIOUSNESS

The recent discoveries in avian magnetoreception, photosynthetic energy transfer, human single-photon vision, and the Wellesley rat experiment demonstrate that biological systems can sustain quantum coherence at physiological temperatures. These findings overturn the classical assumption that warm, wet biological environments are too noisy for quantum effects to persist. Yet their significance is routinely misunderstood.

They do not imply that "consciousness is quantum." Neither do they prove that quantum processes produce consciousness. Rather, they show that quantum coherence provides the physical channels through which consciousness can percolate into matter. They preserve coherence so that the latent proto-consciousness intrinsic to particles can be expressed. In the same way that quantum processes do not generate mass, spin, or charge, they do not generate consciousness. They merely maintain the conditions under which these intrinsic properties can manifest. Quantum biology provides empirical support for the coherence-centric ontology in which consciousness is revealed, not created, by coherent states.

Quantum processes are therefore enabling conditions, not generative causes. They preserve coherence so that the latent proto-consciousness of particles can be expressed. When coherence is lost, consciousness becomes muted, even though its intrinsic nature remains unchanged. *Decoherence*

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

does not annihilate consciousness; it merely suppresses its ability to express itself within spacetime. Quantum processes thus act as channels through which consciousness percolates into matter, not as engines that manufacture it.

In Alfred's ontology [6]:

- *Consciousness is fundamental,*
- *Quantum coherence allows consciousness to manifest,*
- *Quantum processes do not produce consciousness.*

Furthermore, this primordial or proto-consciousness, or bare awareness, expressed under conditions of quantum coherence is not imported from a remote source. The brain is not an antenna receiving mysterious signals from an external field. Consciousness arises from the inherent and intrinsic properties of the particles within the brain —just as mass, spin, and charge arise from the inherent and intrinsic particle attributes rather than from any external agency. When biological systems sustain coherence, they allow these intrinsic properties to unfold into macroscopic expression.

V. SUBJECTIVE EXPERIENCE, QUALIA

A. *Qualia Generation*

Conscious experience does not arise from neural computation alone. It emerges from the interplay between neural dynamics, bioelectric field organization, and the coherent quantum substrate that allows subjective experience to occur. This relationship can be formalized by distinguishing three components of the process that enables a conscious experience: coherence, neural and bioelectric representation, and qualia. Together, these explain how bare awareness becomes structured experience, and why the hard problem of consciousness dissolves and becomes irrelevant when coherence is treated as a fundamental ontological primitive.

Bare awareness does not generate thoughts; it generates the felt sense in which thoughts appear. It is the luminous field that makes experience possible. This substrate is irreducible. It is not constructed from neural activity, nor is it imported from an external source. Bare awareness is an intrinsic property of the relational amplitude, just as mass, spin, and charge are intrinsic properties of particles. It is a fundamental primitive of nature.

The structured contents of human experience—imagery, language, intention, emotion, memory—arise from the coordinated activity of neurons, glial networks, and bioelectric fields. These systems generate representational patterns: Neurons encode spatiotemporal firing sequences. Glial networks modulate metabolic and informational flow. Bioelectric fields integrate distributed activity into coherent decision-making architectures. These patterns constitute the forms of experience. They determine what is experienced: a color, a sound, a memory, a concept, a desire. But they do not constitute consciousness itself. They require a substrate capable of experiencing them. Without bare awareness, neural activity would be as unconscious as the switching of transistors in a silicon chip.

Consciousness condenses into matter; matter organizes into biological tissues; tissues organize into neural and bioelectric architectures. But subjective experience emerges only when quantum coherence is sustained within these architectures. Coherence allows the intrinsic proto-consciousness of particles to interface with the representational dynamics of the brain. When coherence is present, neural and bioelectric patterns are reflected within bare awareness, generating qualia. When coherence is absent, neural and bioelectric patterns remain unconscious. Coherence does not create detailed conscious experience; it enables it.

Qualia—color, sound, pain, meaning—are not generated by neurons or bioelectric fields. They are generated by the interaction between neural and bioelectric patterns and bare awareness. Neural and bioelectric field activity provides the informational structure; coherence enables the subjective illumination. As an analogy, consider a movie projected on a screen – the projector's light is enabled by coherence (just as lasers are), the neuro-electrical fields and patterns provide the film strip through which the light passes, the mind provides the mental screen. The bare awareness is the luminous field in which the neural and bioelectric patterns become qualia. It is what observes the products of neural and bioelectric activity.

B. *C-N Layers*

We therefore distinguish two separable layers of subjective experience:

- $N(t)$*: neural–bioelectric representational content at time* t. This encodes "what" is being processed—sensory input, thoughts, imagery, emotion.
- $C(t)$*: bare awareness at time* t. This is the degree of bare awareness illuminating $N(t)$, determined by coherence and frequency.

In the coherence-centric ontology, $C(t) = \kappa(t)\, h\, \nu(t)$, where:

- $\kappa(t)$ *is the coherence factor (radius of consciousness),*
- $\nu(t)$ *is the effective frequency (resolution of consciousness),*
- h *is Planck's constant.*

Subjective experience $S(t)$ then depends on both layers: $S(t) = \Phi(C(t), N(t))$, where Φ is a coupling function describing how awareness and content combine into reportable experience.

Without coherence, neural and bioelectric patterns remain dark; with coherence, they become conscious experience. The same neural pattern may therefore be conscious or unconscious depending on the degree of coherence present. Qualia are not reducible to neural computation; they are the coherent illumination of neural computation that require both layers – C and N.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

C. Bare Awareness

Proto-consciousness is defined narrowly as bare awareness, which is accompanied by a minimal sense of presence and existence that allows anything to be experienced in the presence of an N layer. It is not a thought, not an emotion, not a memory, and not a percept. It is the intrinsic capacity to experience thoughts, emotions, memories, and percepts. Bare awareness manifests when particles or biological condensates enter coherent quantum states.

Bare awareness, in itself, is impersonal. It possesses no subjectivity, just as mass, spin, and charge possess no subjectivity. It contains no memory structures and therefore neither extracts nor holds any information. It cannot communicate information within itself. Subjectivity arises in the N layer only when bare awareness illuminates the brain's neural and bioelectric networks, which generate autobiographical memories, conceptual structures, and personal meaning. Hence, a conscious human would experience him/her self as an observer of these mental processes.

A body equipped with sensory systems is essential for producing cognitive phenomena that bare awareness can illuminate as qualia. Without neural-bioelectric content being generated in a closed system (e.g., a biological body), awareness remains impersonal; with neural-bioelectric content, embodied awareness becomes structured, differentiated, tinged with subjectivity and tagged with a sense of self to different degrees in different species of life forms.

Chalmers's hard problem [9] arises only when one attempts to derive subjective experience purely from neural-bioelectric activity. In the coherence-centric ontology, consciousness is a fundamental property of the relational amplitude. It is not emergent; it is intrinsic. The hard problem therefore belongs to the same category error as would be committed if we theorized about the "hard problem" of mass, spin, or charge. These properties do not admit further reduction; they are primitives of nature. Neural and bioelectric activity shapes the content of experience, but the capacity for experience is irreducible. Consciousness is not produced by the brain; it is revealed by coherence and shaped by neural and bioelectric dynamics. This dissolves the hard problem without resorting to dualism, panpsychism, or emergentism. Consciousness is fundamental; neural representation is derivative.

Biological systems contain structures capable of sustaining coherence at physiological temperatures. Among these, Posner molecules represent a plausible biological condensate capable of maintaining high coherence long enough for consciousness to manifest in a stable form. These condensates act as coherence reservoirs: they preserve quantum states against thermal noise, allow proto-consciousness to interface with neural dynamics, and provide the substrate in which qualia arise.

VI. HOW QUANTUM COHERENCE IS ACHIEVED IN THE BRAIN

The possibility that biological systems can sustain coherence has historical roots in Herbert Fröhlich's biophysical work [12-16]. Fröhlich argued that membranes, microtubules, and ordered water could enter coherent oscillatory states when energy is pumped into them. Under physiological conditions, dipolar biomolecules may form collective modes analogous to condensates. Danah Zohar extended this reasoning, proposing that coherent quantum states in neural microstructures could provide the physical substrate for unified conscious experience [28-30]. In her interpretation, condensate-like behavior allows biological systems to maintain integrated states across spatially distributed neural assemblies. When placed alongside Alfred's proposal, these ideas converge on a single theme: biological systems may be capable of forming mesoscopic condensates whose coherence allows latent proto-consciousness to become expressed.

A. Condensates

If consciousness manifests in biological systems only when particle-waves achieve quantum coherence, then these systems must possess mechanisms capable of sustaining coherence within the warm, noisy environment of the brain. The expression: $C = \kappa h\nu$, where:

- ν *determines the resolution of consciousness*
- κ *determines the radius of consciousness*
- h *is Planck's constant*
- C *is bare awareness or proto-consciousness*

Shows that consciousness requires both radiative energy and quantum coherence. Biological systems must therefore sustain coherence in structures where particle-waves possess sufficiently high effective frequencies and sufficiently long coherence times.

In this coherence-centric framework—whether in microtubules or nuclear spins—consciousness emerges when biological systems achieve and sustain quantum coherence, even if only for fractions of a second. Coherence determines the value of κ in the expression $C = \kappa h\nu$, enabling the latent consciousness of particle-waves to become manifest. Emerging evidence from quantum biology, quantum engineering, and Fisher's nuclear-spin model (discussed below), suggests that biological systems may possess unexpected capacities for protecting coherence in environments far noisier than previously thought.

Condensates provide the physical structures capable of sustaining coherence long enough for consciousness to manifest. Certain condensates can exist at biological temperatures. These include polariton condensates, magnon condensates, Fröhlich condensates, nuclear-spin condensates such as Posner molecules (or Posner condensates), and cosmological condensates. (The coherence of cosmological candidates is not destroyed by biological temperatures because they do not couple strongly to biological thermal

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

noise. An example of a cosmological condensate is superfluid dark matter, including superfluid dark plasma.) These structures demonstrate that macroscopic quantum coherence is not restricted to ultracold physics; it can occur in biological systems and even at cosmological scales.

B. Challenges

The primary objection to quantum coherence in the brain—most famously articulated by Max Tegmark—rests on quantitative estimates of decoherence times. Tegmark calculated that quantum superpositions in neural structures should decohere on the order of 10^{-13} to 10^{-20}seconds due to thermal agitation, molecular collisions, and fluctuating electromagnetic fields. On this basis, he argued that coherence would be destroyed almost instantaneously in the warm, wet biological environment of the brain, rendering any model in which coherence is constitutive of consciousness physically untenable. This critique directly challenges frameworks in which coherence is not incidental but foundational. Yet emerging evidence from quantum biology, engineering and information science shows that coherence can be protected far more effectively than Tegmark's early estimates predict.

C. Penrose-Hameroff Solution

Hameroff and Penrose developed one of the earliest and most influential proposals for quantum processes in biological systems, arguing that microtubules within neurons possess structural and dynamical properties capable of supporting quantum superposition over biologically meaningful timescales. Their model does not rely on the formation of Bose–Einstein condensates, but instead on the possibility that tubulin proteins within microtubules can enter *coherent quantum states* whose evolution is governed by fundamental physics rather than classical neurodynamics [17].

Microtubules are cylindrical polymers composed of highly ordered arrays of tubulin dimers. Hameroff and Penrose argued that the interior of the microtubule contains hydrophobic pockets that exclude water and reduce environmental decoherence. These pockets, together with the crystalline symmetry of the tubulin lattice, were proposed to create quantum-protected shielded regions in which dipole oscillations or conformational states could enter coherent superposition. The surrounding ordered water layers were further hypothesized to stabilize these states by reducing thermal noise and electromagnetic fluctuations. In this view, microtubules are not merely structural scaffolds, but quantum-sensitive information processing elements embedded within the larger neural architecture.

Penrose's contribution centered on the claim that quantum superpositions in microtubules evolve according to gravitational principles. He proposed that mass-energy distributions associated with different tubulin conformations generate distinct spacetime geometries. When the separation between these geometries reaches a critical threshold, an objective reduction (OR) event occurs—a non-computable collapse of the quantum state. Hameroff and Penrose argued that such OR events correspond to discrete moments of conscious experience. Consciousness, in their model, is not produced by classical neural computation alone but by orchestrated quantum state reduction (Orch OR) occurring within microtubular networks.

A central challenge to any quantum-biological model is the temperature problem: warm, wet biological environments are typically hostile to quantum coherence. Hameroff and Penrose responded by arguing that Tegmark's calculations modeled microtubules as classical dipoles immersed in bulk water, ignoring the hydrophobic pockets, ordered water layers, and lattice symmetries that could dramatically reduce environmental coupling. They further argued that OR is a fundamental physical process independent of environmental decoherence, meaning that even if decoherence occurs, gravitationally induced collapse would still take place at the predicted threshold.

However, a Penrose-style gravitational collapse has never been observed experimentally. Although the theory predicts that quantum superpositions involving different spacetime geometries should collapse spontaneously once a specific gravitational self-energy threshold is reached, no laboratory experiment has detected such a deviation from standard quantum mechanics. Experiments in interferometry, optomechanics, molecular superposition, levitated nanoparticles, trapped ions, and superconducting circuits have all behaved in accordance with ordinary environmental decoherence, with no sign of an additional gravitational collapse mechanism. Even research programs designed specifically to test collapse models have not found evidence of mass-dependent or geometry-dependent reductions in quantum states.

The absence of experimental confirmation is significant because Penrose's proposal makes quantitative predictions about collapse timescales. If the mechanism were correct, certain mesoscopic systems should collapse faster than environmental decoherence alone would predict. Yet every experiment to date shows no anomalous collapse and no gravitational contribution to wavefunction reduction. The entire experimental record remains consistent with standard quantum theory, in which decoherence arises from environmental interactions rather than from gravitational self-energy. As of now, no experiment has observed the kind of gravitationally induced collapse that the Orch-OR model requires

Their final position was that microtubules can sustain quantum coherence long enough for OR events to occur, provided that biological structures exploit quantum-protective mechanisms such as hydrophobic shielding, lattice symmetry, and collective dipole oscillations. Conscious moments arise when these coherent states reach the OR threshold, producing discrete episodes of awareness that are then integrated by classical neural networks. In this framework, microtubular quantum coherence is not an isolated phenomenon but part of a larger quantum–classical interplay that shapes cognition.

Although controversial, the Hameroff–Penrose model established the conceptual foundation for later quantum-biological proposals, including Fisher's Posner-molecule architecture. Both frameworks argue that

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

biological systems may exploit highly protected quantum substrates—whether microtubular conformational states or phosphorus nuclear spins—to generate coherent processes that classical neuroscience alone cannot explain. Their work opened the possibility that conscious experience arises from the interaction between quantum coherence and classical neural dynamics, rather than from either domain in isolation.

D. Fisher's Solution

Fisher's model provides a complementary mechanism: nuclear spins, rather than microtubule dipoles, may serve as the brain's coherent quantum degrees of freedom [10, 11]. Both approaches converge on the same principle—consciousness arises only when biological systems achieve and sustain quantum coherence.

Nuclear spins, particularly those of phosphorus, exhibit dramatically longer coherence times due to their weak coupling to environmental noise. Experiments in solid-state quantum computing have demonstrated coherence times exceeding tens of seconds for phosphorus nuclear spins, even at relatively high temperatures. Fisher's Posner-molecule architecture further suggests that geometric symmetry, chemical shielding, and slow rotational dynamics can extend coherence times into biologically meaningful ranges [10, 11]. These developments do not invalidate Tegmark's calculation; rather, they show that his estimates apply to unprotected degrees of freedom, whereas biological systems may exploit highly protected quantum substrates and may possess natural shielding mechanisms that mitigate decoherence far more effectively than previously assumed.

Recent work in quantum engineering further demonstrates that coherence can be extended even in noisy environments. Researchers at the University of Chicago increased coherence times by four orders of magnitude by introducing controlled noise into the system. By applying a precisely tuned alternating magnetic field, they rapidly rotated electron spins, allowing the system to tune out environmental noise. As Kevin Miao explained, this approach does not eliminate noise but tricks the system into perceiving a quieter environment [21]. Evolution appears to have discovered analogous strategies: photosynthetic complexes use vibrational noise to preserve coherence, and neural oscillations may similarly generate endogenous rhythms that stabilize coherent mental states in the brain.

Posner molecules provide a stronger biological candidate for sustained coherence, compared to microtubules (although it is conceivable that both platforms for consciousness may exist in the human brain). Phosphorus nuclei supply moderate condensed energy, yielding moderate resolution, while nuclear spins provide extremely long coherence times, yielding a large radius of consciousness. The calcium-phosphate geometry of Posner molecules shields nuclear spins from environmental decoherence, allowing coherence to persist for minutes or longer. In this way, Posner molecules satisfy the consciousness equation with both κ and ν sufficiently large to support meaningful degrees of consciousness. *Hence, Posner molecules occupy the optimal middle ground: the phosphorus nuclei provide moderate condensed energy and therefore moderate resolution, while nuclear spins provide extremely long coherence times and therefore a large radius.* This combination makes Posner molecules a possible biological substrate for consciousness.

Hameroff and Penrose argued that microtubules provided quantum-protected regions through structural order, hydrophobic shielding, and collective excitations. Posner molecules, however, rely on a fundamentally different and more robust form of shielding. Their quantum protection arises from the intrinsic physical properties of nuclear spins, particularly the spin-½ phosphorus nucleus. Nuclear spins couple extremely weakly to environmental electromagnetic fields because their magnetic moments are orders of magnitude smaller than those of electrons.

In Posner clusters, each phosphorus atom is surrounded by zero-spin oxygen atoms, creating a chemically shielded environment analogous to the silicon lattice used in solid-state quantum computing. The geometric symmetry of the Posner cluster further suppresses decoherence by stabilizing rotational dynamics and reducing dipole-dipole interactions. These features collectively produce a nuclear-spin environment that is naturally resistant to thermal agitation, molecular collisions, and electromagnetic fluctuations.

The difference between the two forms of shielding is therefore structural and physical. Microtubule shielding depends on biological architecture: hydrophobic pockets, ordered water, and lattice symmetry. These mechanisms are plausible but remain speculative and difficult to quantify. Posner shielding depends on fundamental quantum properties: nuclear spins with extremely weak environmental coupling, surrounded by zero-spin atoms in a symmetric molecular cluster. This form of shielding is well established in quantum information science and has been demonstrated experimentally in solid-state systems, where phosphorus nuclear spins maintain coherence for tens of seconds even at elevated temperatures.

For this reason, Posner-molecule shielding is generally regarded as more robust. Nuclear spins are inherently protected by physics, whereas microtubular coherence requires biological structures to create quantum-friendly environments in a thermally noisy medium. Posner molecules therefore provide a more stable and empirically grounded substrate for long-lived coherence, while microtubular coherence remains a biologically intriguing but experimentally unverified possibility.

VII. POSNER MOLECULES

A. Phosphorus Atoms in Posner Molecules

In Fisher's model [10, 11], nuclear spins are naturally insulated from environmental noise because they possess extremely small magnetic moments, are shielded by surrounding electron clouds, and couple only weakly to thermal fluctuations. These properties make nuclear spins far more resistant to decoherence than electronic or vibrational degrees of freedom.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

A pivotal demonstration of this principle came in 2013, when researchers at the University of New South Wales engineered a functional qubit using the nuclear spin of a single phosphorus atom embedded in a lattice of zero-spin silicon atoms [24]. The phosphorus nucleus, possessing spin-½ and an exceptionally weak magnetic moment, proved remarkably resistant to environmental electromagnetic noise. The surrounding zero-spin silicon lattice provided an additional layer of shielding, enabling coherence times far longer than early theoretical estimates had predicted. This experiment established that, under the right structural conditions, nuclear spins can maintain coherence even at relatively high temperatures.

Subsequent work strengthened this conclusion. In 2014, a Dutch–US collaboration achieved 99.99% readout accuracy and coherence times exceeding 35 seconds using phosphorus nuclear spins in similarly shielded environments [22]. These results demonstrate that phosphorus nuclei, when properly isolated, can serve as exceptionally stable qubits.

Fisher extended this architecture into biology. Phosphorus atoms are abundant in neural tissue due to phosphate chemistry, and they are frequently surrounded by zero-spin oxygen atoms—an arrangement analogous to the silicon-shielded environment in the UNSW experiments. Fisher proposed that phosphorus nuclear spins in the brain could serve as biological qubits, maintaining coherence within neural tissue due to their intrinsic isolation and protective chemical environment.

The biological pathway begins with pyrophosphate, a molecule consisting of two phosphate groups bonded together. Each phosphate contains a phosphorus atom surrounded by zero-spin oxygen atoms, creating a natural shielding environment. Spin interactions between the two phosphates can produce entangled nuclear-spin states, including a maximally entangled singlet configuration. Enzymatic cleavage then separates the entangled phosphates into two free phosphate ions, which remain entangled as they diffuse through the intracellular environment.

These entangled phosphates can subsequently bind with calcium and oxygen atoms to form Posner molecules—clusters of six phosphorus atoms and nine calcium atoms. Posner molecules provide additional geometric and chemical shielding, potentially preserving nuclear-spin coherence for extended periods. Fisher's calculations suggest coherence times ranging from minutes to hours, and under certain idealized conditions, even longer.

Within the brain, numerous Posner molecules containing entangled phosphorus nuclei could be distributed across large spatial regions. While in superposition, these nuclear spins exist as delocalized quantum waves. When collapse occurs, the resulting change in nuclear-spin state alters the electronic configuration of the phosphorus atoms. Because electrons determine chemical reactivity, this collapse can trigger cascades of biochemical reactions, including neurotransmitter release and synaptic modulation. These electrochemical signals integrate to form perceptual events, which are subsequently interpreted through the organism's experiential and cognitive framework.

B. C-N Layers and Dynamics

In this framework, as discussed above, consciousness expresses itself through two independent layers: C, the coherent quantum substrate (bare awareness), and N, the classical neural–bioelectric networks that generate structured mental content. Bare awareness (C) is fundamentally impersonal. It possesses no autobiographical memory, no conceptual structures, and no internal communication channels. It is a primitive property of the relational amplitude, analogous to mass, spin, or charge—intrinsic, irreducible, and devoid of subjectivity. By itself, C cannot extract, store, or manipulate information. It is simply the *capacity* for experience.

Subjectivity arises only when C illuminates the structured patterns generated by N. Neural networks and bioelectric fields produce the differentiated content of experience: percepts, concepts, emotions, autobiographical memories, and personal meaning. When bare awareness encounters this content, the content becomes structured, differentiated, and phenomenally "tinged" with subjectivity. The organism then experiences itself as the *observer* of its own mental processes. A body equipped with sensory systems is therefore essential—not for generating awareness, but for generating the informational structures that awareness can illuminate as qualia.

Within the brain, numerous Posner clusters containing entangled phosphorus nuclei may exist in superposed nuclear-spin states distributed across large spatial regions. While in superposition, these nuclear spins behave as delocalized quantum waves. When collapse occurs, the nuclear-spin transition alters the electronic configuration of the phosphorus atoms. Because electrons determine chemical reactivity, this shift can initiate cascades of biochemical reactions, including neurotransmitter release and synaptic modulation. These electrochemical events integrate into perceptual and cognitive structures—precisely the neural content (N) that bare awareness (C) subsequently illuminates.

This yields two distinct but complementary processes:

- *Observation*: Bare awareness (C) illuminates neural content (N), producing subjective experience. Here, the human organism experiences itself as the observer of mental processes.
- *Generation*: Posner-mediated nuclear-spin collapse generates neural content (N). This content is not yet subjective; it is pre-phenomenal information awaiting illumination by C.

The collapse itself is not "unconscious"; it is pre-subjective. It generates the informational structures that consciousness later observes. Subjectivity emerges only when C interacts with the content produced by N. Thus, *the Posner collapse is the physical precursor to experience, while the illumination of that content by C is the phenomenal act of experience.*

Hence, C provides the capacity for experience, N provides the structured content of experience, and subjectivity emerges only when C illuminates N. This layered ontology resolves the apparent tension between quantum-level generation of mental content and the phenomenological act of observing that content. It shows that conscious experience is neither reducible to neural activity nor independent of it; rather, subjective conscious experience emerges from the interaction between an impersonal coherent substrate and the richly structured classical networks it illuminates. Note that the term "conscious experience" denotes an event that is a result of the coupling between the C and N layers.

C. How Consciousness Modulates Neural-Bioelectric Patterns

This layered ontology raises a deeper question: if Posner molecules are in superposition prior to collapse, and if bare awareness is present throughout the organism, could awareness influence the collapse itself? In other words, could the observation performed by C shape the way the superposed nuclear-spin state resolves, thereby influencing the biochemical processes that follow? If so, the collapse would not be a one-way generation of neural content but part of a feedback loop in which consciousness participates in the formation of the very neural structures it later illuminates.

Within the CMCMC framework, this possibility is coherent. In the ascending phase of the cycle, consciousness relaxes its entanglement with spacetime and becomes less constrained by decoherence. In such conditions, the C layer may interact with quantum substrates while they are still coherent. If awareness interacts with Posner superpositions before collapse, it could bias the collapse pathway toward particular biochemical outcomes. This would mean that consciousness does not merely observe neural content after it is formed but participates in shaping the informational structures that become experience. The collapse would then be both a physical precursor to experience and a site of influence by the coherent substrate of awareness.

The implications are significant. First, the boundary between generation and observation becomes porous. Neural content would not be generated independently of consciousness but co-generated through an interaction between coherent awareness and collapsing quantum states. Second, the feedback loop would allow consciousness to modulate its own representational substrate. The C layer, by influencing collapse, would shape the N layer that it later illuminates. Hence, bare awareness can influence neural-bioelectric patterns for superior outcomes to ensure evolutionary fitness. This would favor species survival and dominance in an ecosystem.

Finally, this feedback loop aligns with the ascending phase of the CMCMC cycle. As consciousness becomes less confined to spacetime, its interaction with coherent quantum substrates becomes more direct. The collapse of Posner superpositions becomes a site where consciousness and matter meet, shaping one another. Experience is no longer a passive illumination of neural content but an active co-creation between bare awareness and the quantum substrates that generate the neural layer.

In this view, the Posner collapse is not merely the physical precursor to experience; it is a point of contact where consciousness influences the formation of the very structures it later experiences. The CMCMC cycle therefore describes not only how consciousness condenses into matter but how, in its ascending phase, it participates in shaping the quantum events that give rise to neural-bioelectric content and patterns.

D. Experimental Validation

The theoretical claim that nuclear-spin transitions can modulate neural activity is supported by emerging empirical evidence. A notable example is the Wellesley quantum neuroscience experiment conducted by Michael Weist's group, which demonstrated that nuclear-spin dynamics can directly influence neural firing in mammalian brains [27]. In rats, magnetic fields tuned to nuclear-spin transition frequencies produced measurable effects:

- *Spike timing was altered, indicating that nuclear-spin transitions can modulate the temporal structure of neuronal firing.*
- *Behavioral responses shifted, showing that spin-dependent modulation propagates upward into organism-level behavior.*
- *Synaptic modulation was spin-dependent, revealing that nuclear-spin states can influence neurotransmission.*

These findings provide empirical support for the broader claim that nuclear-spin coherence is not merely a biochemical curiosity but can actively modulate neural dynamics in vivo. They show that nuclear-spin transitions—when properly driven or perturbed—can induce structured changes in N, the classical neural layer.

When integrated with Fisher's Posner-molecule architecture, the implications become clear. If nuclear-spin transitions in Posner molecules can trigger cascades of biochemical reactions, and if nuclear-spin dynamics can modulate neural firing and synaptic behavior (as demonstrated in rats), then Posner-mediated collapse provides a plausible mechanism for generating the neural content that bare awareness subsequently illuminates. In this view:

- *Posner collapse generates N (structured neural content).*
- *Bare awareness (C) illuminates N, producing subjective experience.*
- *Subjectivity emerges only when C encounters the content produced by N.*

Thus, the Wellesley rat experiment strengthens the theoretical bridge between quantum-level events in Posner molecules and classical neural processes. It shows that nuclear-spin coherence can influence neural firing patterns,

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

synaptic behavior, and even behavioral output—precisely the kinds of changes required for Posner-mediated collapse to generate the informational structures that consciousness later observes.

E. Optimizing Resolution and Radius of Consciousness

Phosphorus atoms are thus uniquely suited for biological quantum information processing. Their nuclear spins possess minimal magnetic sensitivity, their surrounding oxygen atoms provide natural shielding, and their incorporation into Posner clusters further suppresses decoherence. These features collectively enable phosphorus nuclear spins to maintain coherence over biologically meaningful timescales and distances. Fisher's central insight is that Posner molecules create a nuclear-spin condensate capable of sustaining entangled states long enough to participate in cognitive processes. The molecules provide the optimal combination of a sufficiently high effective frequency (resulting in a high resolution), and sufficiently high quantum coherence (resulting in a large radius).

Phosphorus nuclei possess moderate mass, which indirectly influences frequency through: $\lambda = \frac{h}{mv}$. Mass is condensed energy. When mobilized as kinetic energy, this condensed energy raises effective frequency, yielding:

- *Shorter effective wavelengths,*
- *Higher radiative energy,*
- *Finer experiential resolution.*

Posner molecules exhibit exceptionally weak coupling to environmental noise due to:

- *Extremely small nuclear magnetic moments,*
- *Shielding by electron clouds,*
- *Calcium-phosphate geometry,*
- *Slow rotational dynamics.*

These features keep the coherence factor κ high for extended periods. This shielding allows coherence to persist long enough for proto-consciousness to be expressed. Posner molecules thus instantiate: $C = \kappa h\nu,$ with both κ (radius of consciousness), and ν (resolution of consciousness), sufficiently large to support meaningful degrees of expressed consciousness. They occupy the Goldilocks zone where radiative energy and coherence are simultaneously optimized—allowing latent proto-consciousness to unfold into biologically relevant subjective experience.

F. Biological Distribution and Evolutionary Integration

The biological distribution of Posner molecules and other quantum-sensitive substrates must be understood within a framework in which consciousness (C) is not generated by any particular organ but is instead an ever-present quantum-coherent substrate distributed throughout the organism. Microtubules, for example, are present in virtually every eukaryotic cell, yet consciousness does not arise uniformly throughout the body. This is not because the C layer is absent outside the brain, but because most tissues lack the informational architecture required to exploit it. Hameroff and Penrose addressed this criticism by arguing that microtubules alone are insufficient for consciousness; quantum states within microtubules contribute to experience only when they are orchestrated within dense, recurrent, globally integrated neural networks. Most tissues do not generate the high-dimensional, rapidly evolving informational patterns necessary for coherent illumination. Consciousness therefore emerges not from isolated microtubules but from quantum-classical dynamics embedded within neural circuitry that has evolved to recruit and stabilize coherence.

Posner molecules occupy a similarly broad biological niche. They are definitively present in bone mineral, where calcium–phosphate clusters form the structural basis of hydroxyapatite, and they appear in simulated body fluids, demonstrating that Posner clusters can arise spontaneously wherever calcium and phosphate coexist under suitable chemical conditions. Their presence in the mitochondrial matrix has been proposed, though not yet empirically confirmed, and their formation in neural tissue remains chemically plausible but experimentally unverified. Neural tissue is a privileged environment for Posner formation because of its intense phosphate metabolism, dense membrane architecture, and pervasive calcium signaling. Phosphorus atoms are abundant throughout the body, but the brain exhibits unusually high concentrations due to its metabolic demands and membrane density. This suggests that *the biochemical conditions required for Posner stability are more frequently met in neural tissue than elsewhere*.

If Posner molecules do form in the brain, their quantum states could interact with neural structures in ways that influence bioelectric activity. Nuclear-spin transitions within Posner clusters alter the electronic configuration of phosphorus atoms, initiating cascades of biochemical reactions that modulate neurotransmitter release and synaptic behavior. These events generate the neural content that the C layer subsequently illuminates. In this respect, the Hameroff–Penrose argument extends naturally to Posner molecules: just as microtubular quantum states must be embedded within globally integrated neural architectures to contribute to consciousness, Posner-mediated nuclear-spin coherence must couple to neural networks to produce cognitively meaningful effects. Quantum-state transitions in Posner molecules would not produce consciousness in isolation; they would manifest qualia only when coupled to structured neural content embedded within the brain's large-scale informational dynamics.

Empirical support for spin-dependent modulation of neural activity comes from the Wellesley rat experiment, in which magnetic fields tuned to nuclear-spin transitions altered spike timing, shifted behavioral responses, and produced spin-dependent synaptic modulation. These findings demonstrate that nuclear-spin dynamics can influence neural firing in mammalian brains, lending credibility to the claim that Posner-mediated nuclear-spin collapse could induce structured changes in neural activity. Although the experiment does not directly confirm Posner molecules in neural tissue, it shows that nuclear-spin coherence is biologically

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

consequential and capable of modulating classical neural processes. This provides an empirical bridge between Fisher's Posner architecture and the Hameroff–Penrose claim that quantum events can influence neural computation.

These considerations invite an evolutionary interpretation. Posner molecules likely existed long before complex nervous systems emerged, forming wherever calcium and phosphate concentrations permitted. The C layer would therefore have been available throughout the organism from the earliest stages of biological evolution. Neural structures, however, faced unique adaptive pressures that rewarded the ability to exploit coherence. *As nervous systems increased in complexity, certain neural circuits (the neural correlates of consciousness) —particularly thalamocortical and fronto-parietal networks—evolved neural and bioelectric architectures to exploit and use consciousness to increase the fitness levels of the organism.*

In the presence of systems capable of stabilizing coherence, the organism integrated entangled nodes of consciousness (C) with high-bandwidth information processing (N). Other tissues, lacking such pressures, did not evolve mechanisms to recruit the coherent substrate. Even within the brain, only specific regions became neural correlates of consciousness because only they developed the informational density, dynamical instability, and global connectivity required to bind C. This evolutionary co-adaptation parallels the Hameroff–Penrose view that biological systems can recruit quantum resources when they confer computational or adaptive advantage.

The cranial environment may further enhance this relationship. The skull provides mechanical protection, thermal stability, and partial shielding from external electromagnetic fluctuations. Combined with cerebrospinal fluid buffering and tightly regulated ionic conditions, the brain may offer a more stable environment for sustaining quantum coherence than other tissues. While speculative, this aligns with the broader hypothesis that *the brain is a privileged site for Posner-mediated quantum processes*.

Taken together, these considerations support two explicit hypotheses. First, Posner molecules may achieve longer coherence times in the brain than in other tissues due to its unique biochemical and physical environment. Second, neural architectures may have evolved to exploit Posner-mediated coherence, integrating quantum-level events into classical information processing in ways that contribute to the generation of neural content and, ultimately, to the emergence of subjectivity when illuminated by the ever-present but selectively recruited C layer.

VIII. USING NOISE TO EXTEND QUANTUM COHERENCE TIMES

A. Noise-Engineered Quantum Coherence and the Emergence of Steady-State Entanglement

The prevailing assumption in quantum science has long been that environmental noise is the principal antagonist of coherence. Decoherence arises because uncontrolled interactions with the environment randomize phases, collapse superpositions, and rapidly destroy entanglement. Yet a series of experimental results from the University of Chicago and University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign (UIUC) demonstrate that this intuition is incomplete. When dissipation is deliberately structured rather than passively endured, noise can be transformed from a destructive influence into a stabilizing mechanism capable of generating and maintaining quantum coherence over extended durations. These findings collectively mark a conceptual shift: coherence is not preserved by isolation alone, but by shaping the dynamical pathways through which a quantum system exchanges energy and information with its surroundings.

The recent University of Chicago-UIUC experiment on superconducting qubits exemplifies this shift [20]. Researchers engineered a synthetic squeezed reservoir that couples nonlocally to a pair of qubits, redistributing quantum fluctuations so that decohering quadratures are suppressed while coherence-preserving pathways are amplified. When this structured noise is injected into the qubit system through a carefully designed microwave environment, dissipation ceases to be random. Instead, it becomes a directional flow that continuously drives the qubits toward a correlated, entangled steady state. The entangled state is not transient; it is the fixed point of the driven–dissipative dynamics, maintained indefinitely in principle, limited only by residual imperfections. In this sense, coherence is not protected by suppressing noise, but by redirecting it so that the environment itself performs the work of stabilizing quantum correlations.

This mechanism parallels an earlier and equally significant discovery at the University of Chicago's Pritzker School of Molecular Engineering (PME), where researchers extended quantum coherence by four orders of magnitude using carefully tuned noise [18]. In that experiment, electron spins were subjected to a continuous alternating magnetic field applied alongside standard control pulses. The combined driving produced a dynamical regime in which the spins effectively "tuned out" environmental noise, maintaining coherence 10,000 times longer than in the absence of the engineered field. As Lerner summarized, the system no longer experienced noise in the conventional sense; instead, the noise was reshaped so that its decohering influence was neutralized by the imposed dynamical structure [20]. This result demonstrated that *coherence can be extended not by eliminating noise, but by transforming it into a form that the system is dynamically insensitive to*.

The two experiments are not merely parallel demonstrations of noise-management; they illuminate complementary aspects of the same deeper principle. *The PME experiment shows that coherence can be extended by dynamically reshaping noise so that the system becomes blind to it. The University of Chicago-UIUC experiment shows that dissipation can be sculpted so that the system is not merely protected from noise but actively driven into an entangled state by it.* One experiment neutralizes noise; the other weaponizes it. Together, they reveal that noise is not inherently destructive but is a malleable resource that can be engineered to stabilize quantum states, generate entanglement, and extend coherence far beyond conventional limits.

The additional insight from the PME experiment is that coherence extension does not require exotic quantum reservoirs or nonlocal coupling. It can be achieved through continuous driving fields that impose a stable dynamical structure on the system. This suggests that coherence stabilization may be possible in a wide range of physical platforms, including those where synthetic squeezing is impractical. It also implies that *biological systems, which naturally generate rhythmic electromagnetic and vibrational fields, may exploit analogous mechanisms to maintain coherence in warm, noisy environments*. The PME result therefore broadens the conceptual landscape: coherence can be extended either by shaping dissipation (as in synthetic squeezing) or by shaping the system's dynamical response to noise (as in continuous driving). Both approaches converge on the same outcome—noise becomes structured, and coherence becomes robust.

The implications for quantum networking are profound. Traditional entanglement distribution requires fragile quantum state transfer through noisy channels, a process that demands error correction or heralded protocols. Dissipative entanglement generation offers an alternative: nodes can become entangled simply by sharing access to a common engineered reservoir, eliminating the need for direct transmission of delicate quantum states. Meanwhile, dynamical noise-tuning offers a method for stabilizing qubits during transport or storage, reducing the burden on error-correction protocols. In such architectures, noise is not a threat but a tool, provided it is sculpted with sufficient precision.

The emerging paradigm is that quantum coherence can be lengthened not only by shielding systems from their environments, but by designing environments—and dynamical responses—that actively sustain coherence. Noise, when properly structured, becomes a tool for stabilizing quantum states rather than a force that destroys them. The PME experiment adds the insight that coherence can be extended by orders of magnitude through continuous driving alone, without requiring entangling reservoirs. The University of Chicago-UIUC experiment adds the insight that dissipation can be engineered to autonomously generate entanglement as a steady state. Together, they invert the traditional narrative and open new pathways for robust quantum technologies, where coherence is maintained not in spite of dissipation, but because of it.

B. Noise-Structured Coherence in Biological Systems: Implications for Quantum Biology

The recent demonstrations that quantum coherence can be stabilized or extended through engineered noise fundamentally reshape how biological quantum phenomena should be interpreted. Biological systems operate in warm, wet, and classically noisy environments, yet multiple processes—photosynthetic exciton transport, avian magnetoreception, olfactory discrimination, single-photon vision, and nuclear-spin–mediated neural dynamics—exhibit coherence on timescales that appear incompatible with conventional decoherence models. The emerging paradigm from quantum engineering suggests that these biological systems may not merely endure noise; they may actively shape it.

These two results illuminate complementary aspects of the same deeper principle: coherence is not preserved by isolation alone, but by structuring the noise landscape. Synthetic squeezing demonstrates that dissipation can be engineered to generate entanglement as a steady state. Continuous magnetic driving demonstrates that noise can be dynamically neutralized by imposing a stable oscillatory structure that renders the system insensitive to environmental fluctuations. One approach weaponizes noise; the other tunes it out. Together, they reveal that noise is not inherently destructive but is a malleable resource that can be shaped to stabilize quantum states.

This insight has direct implications for biological systems. Biological environments are not homogeneous thermal baths. They contain ordered water layers, protein cavities, vibrational modes, ionic gradients, and electromagnetic microstructures that modulate fluctuations rather than passively endure them. If coherence can be extended by orders of magnitude through continuous driving in engineered systems, then biological systems—rich in rhythmic biochemical, electrical, and mechanical oscillations—may achieve analogous effects through evolutionary optimization. Protein complexes, chromophore networks, and molecular condensates may act as natural reservoirs that redistribute environmental noise, suppressing decohering channels while amplifying coherent pathways. In this view, coherence in biology is not anomalous; it is an emergent property of structured noise.

The broader implication is that biological coherence may be far more robust than previously assumed. If noise can be sculpted to lengthen coherence in artificial systems, and if biological environments naturally perform analogous noise-shaping, then quantum processes may play a deeper role in biological function than current models allow. Coherence in living systems may therefore arise not in spite of noise, but because biological structures have evolved to shape noise into a coherence-preserving form.

IX. MEDITATIVE PRACTICES AS COHERENCE-ENHANCING PROTOCOLS

Reports of "split-second" enlightenment by advanced meditators suggest that even brief episodes of high coherence—on the order of a second or less—may be sufficient for profound shifts in subjective experience. The extreme subtlety emphasized in contemplative traditions points to the fragility of the quantum environment: small perturbations can destroy coherence, while finely tuned practices can stabilize it. Over time, millions of such split-second coherent episodes may accumulate into an effective condensate, giving rise to what appears phenomenologically as a continuous stream of heightened consciousness.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

In 2020, Alfred noted that meditative practices can be interpreted as biological protocols for increasing quantum coherence in Posner molecules and related condensates. If proto-consciousness or bare awareness is expressed as $C = \kappa h\nu$, then meditation aims to raise κ and, indirectly, access higher effective ν, thereby expanding both the radius and resolution of consciousness.

The classical literature of Yoga has long described this process in phenomenological terms. It teaches that the fluctuations of the mind must be stilled for pure awareness to reveal itself. When mental activity subsides, awareness is isolated, unobstructed by conceptualization or sensory engagement. This stilling of the mind is not merely psychological quietude; it is a systematic attenuation of the neural layer (N), allowing the coherent substrate of consciousness (C) to dominate. The tradition repeatedly describes states in which discursive thought dissolves, identity loosens, and the mind becomes transparent, leaving only a luminous ground of being. In these states, the representational structures of the neural layer fall silent, and the underlying awareness stands unobstructed. From the perspective of the coherence-centric ontology developed in this paper, such descriptions correspond to the attenuation and suppression of N so that C can stabilize and expand.

Meditative practice therefore functions as a biological method for reducing decoherence. By quieting neural fluctuations, minimizing sensory input, and suspending conceptual elaboration, practitioners create conditions in which quantum-coherent substrates can persist longer and more stably. The traditional literature elaborates that there are multiple gradations of mental cessation – a step-wise expansion of bare awareness.

The cessation of mental activity described in Yoga literature is precisely the kind of neural attenuation that would allow coherence in Posner molecules and related condensates to be sustained. When the neural layer becomes still, bare awareness can manifest more fully, generating qualia with greater radius and resolution. The yogic account of mental cessation thus aligns naturally with the coherence-modulated consciousness–matter cycle proposed in this paper: as neural fluctuations diminish, coherence increases, and consciousness becomes more expressive.

Meditation, in this view, is not a mystical escape from the world but a disciplined modulation of coherence. It quiets the neural layer so that the fundamental ground of awareness can reveal itself. The ancient descriptions of stillness, luminosity, and non-dual presence correspond to the dominance of C once N has been attenuated. The yogic tradition, through its emphasis on the cessation of mental activity, anticipated the modern insight that consciousness becomes most visible when coherence is maximized and neural noise minimized.

Meditative techniques that prolong coherence include the following: physical and sensory isolation, reduction of verbal–conceptual activity, the use of structured noise and non-judgemental weak measurement-like mental states.

A. *Physical and Sensory Isolation*

First, physical and sensory isolation. Quantum states require quiet, stable environments because they are easily disturbed by vibrations, temperature fluctuations, and stray electromagnetic fields. In quantum computing, devices are physically isolated to reduce environmental noise. Traditional meditation protocols mirror this: practitioners withdraw to quiet spaces, minimize sensory input, and reduce external stimulation. This isolation leads to a "quieting" of the mind, lowering quantum noise in a brain that already maintains some baseline coherence, and thereby allowing quantum processes—potentially in Posner molecules—to linger longer than in ordinary waking states.

B. *Deconceptualization*

A second class of meditative techniques involves the deliberate reduction of inner speech and conceptual elaboration. Many contemplative traditions explicitly discourage continuous verbal mentation, instructing practitioners to release discursive thought and rest in non-conceptual awareness. This down-regulates neural activity associated with linguistic and conceptual processing, particularly in the left cerebral cortex, and attenuates activation in the verbal–conceptual association area (VCAA) located at the parietal–occipital–temporal junction.

Neuroimaging studies by Newberg and d'Aquili show that activity in the VCAA decreases markedly during advanced meditation. Because the VCAA generates concepts and binds them to linguistic structures—producing what quantum information theory would call "useful information"—its suppression constitutes a systematic reduction of informational load. In the coherence-based framework, this reduction weakens the internal measurement pressure exerted by conceptual processing, thereby preserving quantum coherence in the underlying C substrate.

In quantum physics, the generation of "useful information" by a detector is now understood to be central to wavefunction collapse. Experiments by Anton Zeilinger and colleagues indicate that it is the acquisition of information, rather than mere physical interaction, that destroys wavelike behavior [19]. Deconceptualization and the deconstruction of theoretical constructs—central to Zen koan practice and many other meditative strategies—thus function as cognitive analogues of reducing informational measurement. In the "desert religions," faith in the face of conflicting information similarly nullifies the practical impact of "useful" information. Classical Buddhist and Hindu texts, more than two millennia old, explicitly warn that conceptual constructions hinder deep meditation. By reducing conceptualization, meditators may be decreasing the effective measurement strength applied to internal quantum processes, thereby preserving coherence.

C. *Use of Weak Measurements*

In quantum theory, strong measurements collapse the wavefunction by extracting highly accurate information in a single observation. Weak measurements, by contrast, disturb the system only minimally, preserving superposition at the cost of precision; many repetitions are required to

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

approximate the true value. Work led by Yakir Aharonov has shown that weak measurements reveal subtle features of superposed states without destroying coherence [1]. The meditative state can be modeled as a cognitive analogue of weak measurement. In deep, non-judgmental awareness, the mind does not impose sharp, conceptually loaded evaluations on sensory or internal events. Instead, it "samples" experience gently and repeatedly, with low informational precision and minimal collapse. This relaxed, non-interventionist mode of attention allows quantum coherence in the brain to persist longer, while still generating a phenomenological stream of experience.

D. Use of Structured Noise

Meditative traditions across cultures have long employed rhythmic repetition as a means of quieting the mind and stabilizing awareness. Whether through the rosary in Catholic practice or Japa Mala recitation in Hinduism, practitioners engage in cycles of repeated phrases, prayers, or mantras that impose a steady oscillatory rhythm on the mind. Although these practices arise in distinct religious contexts, they share a common functional structure: they generate a predictable, low-entropy field of sound, breath, and proprioception that gradually suppresses discursive thought. The challenge of maintaining presence amid repetition—often noted in both traditions—reflects the delicate balance between attentional stability and cognitive drift, a balance that mirrors the modern struggle with mindfulness and distraction. Within the coherence-centric ontology developed in this paper, such repetitive chanting can be interpreted as a form of driven structured noise that reshapes the neural environment in ways conducive to sustaining quantum coherence.

Within the ontological framework in which consciousness is expressed as $C = \kappa h\nu$, meditative practices can be understood as biological protocols that modulate κ by reorganizing the noise landscape of neural quantum substrates. The PME experiment discussed earlier demonstrated that coherence can be extended by orders of magnitude through continuous driven modulation: a stable alternating magnetic field imposed a dynamical structure that neutralized environmental noise, allowing electron spins to maintain coherence far longer than they otherwise would. Synthetic squeezing experiments reveal the complementary principle: dissipation itself can be engineered to generate entanglement as a steady state. One approach tunes noise out; the other weaponizes noise. Together, they show that noise is not inherently destructive but is a malleable resource that can be shaped to stabilize quantum states.

Meditative practices appear to exploit both strategies. A mantra or prayer cycle is not merely a sequence of words; it is a driven, modulated, structured noise field. Rhythmic vocalization generates periodic acoustic, vibrational, and electromagnetic patterns that propagate through cranial cavities, cerebrospinal fluid, bone conduction, and neural tissue. These oscillations are spectrally narrow, phase-stable, and continuous—precisely the characteristics required to reshape environmental noise in the manner demonstrated by the PME experiment. When such structured oscillations are imposed on neural tissue, they may entrain vibrational and electromagnetic modes within microtubules and molecular condensates, suppressing decohering fluctuations and extending coherence. This corresponds to the "tuning out" strategy.

At the same time, the rhythmic structure of chanting may also enhance coupling among quantum substrates. Microtubules, with their ordered dipole lattices and quantized vibrational modes, are sensitive to rhythmic perturbations that can synchronize internal oscillations. When mantric rhythms align with microtubular vibrational modes, they may not only reduce destructive interference but also increase the degree of phase alignment across microtubular networks. In this interpretation, structured noise does not merely protect coherence; it may actively increase entanglement by driving the system toward collective modes. This corresponds to the "weaponizing noise" strategy seen in synthetic squeezing experiments, where dissipation is shaped to produce entanglement as a stable attractor.

Posner molecules, whose nuclear spins exhibit exceptionally long coherence times, provide an even more direct interface with structured noise. Nuclear spins decohere primarily through fluctuating electromagnetic fields and molecular collisions. Rhythmic vocalization alters respiratory patterns, ionic distributions, and electromagnetic microenvironments in ways that can reduce the effective noise experienced by these spins. If the surrounding biochemical environment is reshaped into a coherence-preserving reservoir, Posner clusters may maintain quantum correlations long enough to influence and modify neural dynamics. The cognitive stillness characteristic of deep meditation further reduces informational extraction from internal states, functioning as a biological analogue of weak measurement. In such conditions, κ increases because the quantum substrates underlying consciousness experience fewer collapse-inducing perturbations, and the degree of entanglement among these substrates may rise as well.

The combined effect of rhythmic vocalization, reduced conceptual processing, and stabilized physiological rhythms is a neural environment in which decohering influences are minimized and coherent pathways are reinforced. Meditation thus becomes a biological implementation of driven–dissipative quantum engineering, where structured noise and weak-measurement-like awareness collectively modulate κ. The expanded states of consciousness reported in advanced contemplative traditions can be interpreted as phenomenological expressions of increased coherence radius and resolution within the brain's quantum substrates, accompanied by a possible increase in entanglement across microtubular and Posner-based networks.

In this integrated view, meditative practices do not merely quiet the mind; they reorganize and shape the physical noise landscape of neural tissue. By shaping dissipation rather than eliminating it, they create conditions under which κ can rise, allowing consciousness to express itself with greater depth, subtlety, and stability. Repetitive chanting—whether through rosary cycles, Japa Mala recitation, or mantra practice—thus emerges as a biologically evolved analogue of the continuous driven modulation used in engineered quantum systems, an endogenous method for stabilizing coherence and potentially enhancing entanglement within the molecular structures that contribute to the quantum foundations of conscious experience. These oscillations impose a stable rhythmic structure on the brain's endogenous fluctuations, allowing neural quantum substrates to tune out unwanted noise or, when conditions permit, to weaponize noise to increase collective quantum order. Taken together, physical isolation, deconceptualization, weak-measurement-like awareness, and driven rhythmic structured noise constitute a coherent strategy for increasing κ in the brain's quantum substrates by isolating C from N. They do not create consciousness; they modulate the conditions under which latent proto-consciousness can be expressed with greater radius and finer resolution, giving rise to the expanded states of awareness often described as "super-conscious."

X. EXPERIMENTS

This paper suggests that classical dynamics may be necessary but not sufficient for conscious experience, and that a deeper quantum-coherent substrate may play a constitutive role. Among these proposals, the hypothesis that nuclear spin coherence within Posner molecules ($Ca_9(PO_4)_6$ clusters) contributes directly to basic awareness offers a concrete, physically grounded mechanism for quantum involvement in consciousness. The experiments below are basically approaches to separate the C and N layers in the laboratory and to measure them during specific tasks.

A. Posner Activity in Meditators

The mental exercises in meditative practices (discussed above) to decrease the cognitive burden to the rest of the brain by actively suppressing N (classical neural networks and content), already isolates C (coherent quantum substrate). Hence, experiments could be done on advanced meditators to monitor how they downshift N and upshift C. If the quantum substrate (C) can be identified to be associated with Posner molecules, it would suggest that bare awareness manifests when quantum coherence can be sustained. A SQUID-focused experiment on advanced meditators may be plausible.

Advanced meditators are instructed to alternate between conceptual cognition (high N): sustained inner narrative or mental arithmetic; and bare awareness (high C): non-conceptual, contentless awareness with minimal discursive thought. During these blocks, high-density SQUID-based magnetometry (MEG or ultra-low-field magnetometry) records brain-generated magnetic fields and noise spectra. The core aim is to detect systematic differences in magnetic noise structure, long-range phase correlations, or anomalous low-frequency signatures between the high-N and high-C states, with the latter hypothesized to reflect increased contribution from a coherent quantum substrate (C), potentially involving Posner-mediated nuclear-spin coherence.

B. Proposed Experiment

SQUID monitoring of C–N shifts in advanced meditators

➢ *Experimental Overview*

Advanced meditators and matched controls perform alternating blocks of:

- *Condition A (high N): guided conceptual thinking.*
- *Condition B (high C): bare awareness meditation.*

Brain activity is recorded using SQUID-based magnetometry, focusing on classical current-based MEG signals and fine-grained magnetic noise spectra and correlation structure potentially sensitive to nuclear-spin coherence.

➢ *Hypotheses*

- H_1 (Classical network modulation): During Condition B (bare awareness), classical large-scale neural activity N is systematically down-regulated relative to Condition A. This would be reflected in:

✓ *Reduced Power in Conventional MEG Bands Associated with Default-Mode and Narrative Processing.*

▪ Altered functional connectivity patterns consistent with decreased discursive cognition.

- H_2 (Quantum-substrate signature): During Condition B, SQUID-based measurements exhibit magnetic features not fully explainable by classical current sources, such as:

✓ *More Stable or Structured Low-Frequency Noise Spectra*

▪ Enhanced long-range phase correlations or anomalous coherence patterns across distant sensors.

These features are interpreted as indirect signatures of an upshift in the coherent quantum substrate C, potentially involving Posner-mediated nuclear-spin coherence.

➢ *Predicted outcomes*

- *For H_1:*

✓ *Outcome P1: Significant reduction in classical MEG power in narrative-related networks during Condition B vs Condition A.*
✓ *Outcome P2: Distinct connectivity/phase-locking patterns indicating suppression of discursive, self-referential processing.*

- *For H_2:*

✓ *Outcome P3: Robust, state-dependent changes in magnetic noise structure (e.g., narrower low-frequency bands, altered 1/f profiles) during Condition B.*
✓ *Outcome P4: Emergence of non-local correlation patterns (e.g., unusually persistent phase relationships across distant sensors) that cannot be modeled by standard neural current sources alone.*

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

C. Falsifiability Conditions

➢ *Falsifying H_1:*

No systematic difference in classical MEG power or connectivity between Condition A and Condition B in advanced meditators, beyond generic relaxation effects also seen in controls.

Equivalent patterns in meditators and non-meditators, indicating that "bare awareness" does not uniquely down-regulate N.

➢ *Falsifying H_2:*

All observed magnetic features during Condition B can be fully accounted for by classical neural current models (e.g., changes in oscillatory power, standard connectivity shifts), with no residual anomalies in noise spectra or long-range correlations.

No reproducible, state-dependent magnetic signatures that distinguish high-C states from both high-N states and control relaxation conditions.

If both H_1 and H_2 are falsified under rigorous controls, the C–N framework would require revision: meditation would still modulate classical networks, but there would be no empirical support for a distinct, SQUID-detectable quantum substrate C associated with Posner-mediated coherence.

D. Posner-Targeted Anesthesia

Posner molecules have been proposed to provide a protective environment for long-lived nuclear spin coherence. If such coherence participates in the generation of conscious experience, then selective disruption of Posner coherence should abolish awareness even when classical neural communication remains intact. This leads to a novel experimental concept: Posner-targeted anesthesia, an intervention designed to collapse nuclear spin coherence without suppressing synaptic transmission or network integration. The resulting dissociation—loss of consciousness under preserved classical neural function—would constitute strong evidence for a quantum-substrate model of awareness.

E. Background

Classical anesthetics, including GABAergic agents, NMDA antagonists, and volatile anesthetics, abolish consciousness by disrupting synaptic transmission, thalamocortical loops, and global workspace ignition. In these cases, loss of awareness is tightly coupled to measurable suppression of classical neural activity: diminished evoked potentials, reduced oscillatory power, and breakdown of long-range coherence. These agents therefore cannot distinguish whether consciousness depends solely on classical dynamics or whether deeper quantum-coherent processes are also required.

Posner molecules offer a distinct theoretical substrate. Their geometric symmetry, calcium-phosphate structure, and shielding properties may allow nuclear spins—particularly those of phosphorus—to maintain coherence for biologically relevant timescales. If these coherent states contribute to awareness, then interventions that selectively shorten Posner coherence time should abolish consciousness even when classical neural signatures remain intact. This would reveal a dissociation between the quantum substrate (C) and classical neural content (N), demonstrating that awareness depends on quantum coherence rather than solely on classical integration.

If the experiment proves successful, we would have not only discovered a new anesthetic modality, i.e., Posner-targeted anesthesia, but it would be a conceptual probe into the ontology of consciousness.

F. Methods (Conceptual Protocol)

Posner-targeted anesthesia is defined as any intervention that:

Selectively shortens the coherence time of nuclear spins within $Ca_9(PO_4)_6$ clusters, and Leaves classical neural communication largely intact, including early sensory processing, local oscillatory dynamics, and structural connectivity.

The intervention may involve chemical, spin-active, or electromagnetic manipulations capable of decohering nuclear spins without directly suppressing synaptic transmission.

➢ *A Valid Posner-Targeted Anesthetic Must Satisfy Three Criteria:*

- *Quantum Selectivity:* The intervention must primarily affect nuclear spin coherence within Posner clusters. This may occur through geometric disruption, paramagnetic perturbation, or targeted decoherence mechanisms.
- *Classical Preservation*: Classical neural signatures—evoked potentials, local oscillations, and structural connectivity—should remain near baseline. The brain continues to process stimuli but cannot generate awareness of them.
- *State-Dependent Loss of Consciousness*: Conscious experience should vanish when Posner coherence falls below a critical threshold, even though classical neural machinery remains operational.

➢ *The Protocol Involves:*

- *Monitoring quantum-linked observables*, such as nuclear spin relaxation times or Posner-related spectroscopic signatures.
- *Assessing classical neural function*, including evoked potentials, oscillatory power, and connectivity.
- *Evaluating conscious state*, through behavioral markers in animals or subjective report in humans.

The central aim is to identify a regime in which consciousness is abolished while classical neural function remains preserved.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

G. Expected Outcomes

If Posner-targeted anesthesia succeeds, the following pattern is expected:

➢ *Collapse of Posner Coherence: Nuclear Spin Coherence Time within Posner Clusters Decreases Sharply Under the Intervention*

- *Preservation of Classical Neural Function: Evoked potentials, local oscillations, and structural connectivity remain near baseline, indicating intact classical processing.*
- *Loss of Consciousness: Behavioral unresponsiveness (in animals) or loss of subjective experience (in humans) occurs despite preserved classical neural signatures.*

The dissociation of neural function (N) from the coherent quantum substrate (C) would strongly support the hypothesis that bare awareness depends on quantum coherence.

➢ *If Consciousness Depends Solely on Classical Neural Dynamics, then: Loss of Consciousness will Occur only when Classical Neural Signatures Collapse*

- *Posner-specific or spin-dependent manipulations will show no distinctive anesthetic profile.*
- *Direct disruption of Posner clusters will not modulate conscious state unless classical communication is also impaired.*

In this case, Posner coherence is not necessary for awareness.

H. Discussion

Posner-targeted anesthesia provides a conceptual framework for testing whether quantum coherence contributes directly to conscious experience. Unlike classical anesthetics, which abolish consciousness by suppressing neural communication, Posner-targeted interventions aim to collapse the quantum substrate while sparing classical dynamics. This creates a unique opportunity to observe whether awareness can be extinguished independently of classical neural shutdown.

If the predicted dissociation is observed—loss of consciousness under preserved classical neural function—it would constitute strong evidence for a quantum-substrate model of awareness. Such a result would imply that classical neural activity provides content (N), while quantum coherence provides the enabling condition for awareness (C). Conversely, if consciousness tracks only classical disruption, the Posner-coherence hypothesis would be falsified.

Thus, Posner-targeted anesthesia is not merely a speculative anesthetic modality; it is a decisive empirical probe into the ontology of consciousness. Its success or failure would significantly advance our understanding of whether awareness is fundamentally classical, fundamentally quantum, or an emergent hybrid of both domains.

I. Deep Non-REM Sleep

Another experiment that would allow us to explore the nature and relationship between the C and N layers could involve sleep – an activity that we undertake every day.

J. Experimental Goal

To determine whether the brain's recruitment of the quantum-coherent substrate (C) is selectively present during waking consciousness and diminishes or disappears during deep, dreamless sleep—thereby demonstrating that the neural-bioelectric layer (N) can operate independently of C. The experiment does not test for the existence of C itself, which is assumed to be ever-present, but for the *functional coupling* between C and specific neural architectures that evolved to exploit it.

K. Conceptual Basis

In the C–N ontology:

- N = classical neural activity (spiking, oscillations, metabolic regulation).
- C = the quantum-coherent substrate distributed throughout the organism.
- Conscious experience arises only when certain neural circuits—those that evolved the informational density and dynamical sophistication to exploit C—successfully recruit coherence.

➢ *Prediction*

During deep, dreamless sleep, N remains fully active, but the *coupling* between N and C weakens or collapses. Empirically, classical electrophysiology persists, but quantum-coherence markers vanish.

This demonstrates that the neural layer can function without coherent illumination, and that subjective experience depends on selective recruitment of C rather than on neural activity alone.

L. Experimental Design Overview

Recruit healthy adult participants.

➢ *Record Overnight Sleep Using:*

- Ultra-sensitive SQUID magnetometry (or equivalent quantum sensors),
- High-density EEG or MEG,
- Full polysomnography (EOG, EMG, respiration, heart rate).

➢ *Identify:*

- Waking baseline,
- NREM stage 3 (deep, dreamless sleep),
- REM sleep.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

M. Compare Quantum-Coherence Markers Across these States

➢ *Measurement Modalities*

➢ *SQUID Magnetometry*

SQUIDs provide femto-tesla level sensitivity to magnetic fields generated by neural currents and potential spin-dependent processes.

- *Targets:*

✓ Long-range phase correlations not explainable by classical conduction.
✓ Non-local synchrony with anomalous scaling behavior.
✓ Spin-dependent responses to weak magnetic perturbations tuned to nuclear-spin transition frequencies (e.g., phosphorus).

- *Setup:*

✓ Whole-head SQUID array in a magnetically shielded room.
✓ Continuous overnight recording.
✓ Periodic weak magnetic probes at nuclear-spin-relevant frequencies.

➢ *EEG/MEG*

Used to characterize classical neural dynamics and provide a baseline for distinguishing classical synchrony from coherence-linked phenomena.

➢ *Operational Definition of Quantum-Coherence Markers*

Because quantum states cannot be directly observed, coherence is inferred through:

- *Non-Classical Phase Correlations*

✓ Persistent synchrony across distant regions not explainable by classical models.
✓ Deviations from classical scaling laws.

- *Spin-Dependent Modulation*

✓ Neural magnetic responses that appear only when external fields are tuned to nuclear-spin transition frequencies.
✓ Disappearance of these effects when fields are detuned.

- *Temporal Stability*

✓ Coherence signatures lasting longer than classical noise models predict, especially during waking consciousness.

N. Experimental Protocol

➢ *Phase 1: Waking Baseline*

- Quiet wakefulness with eyes closed.
- Apply weak, frequency-specific magnetic perturbations.
- Measure:

✓ SQUID magnetic patterns,
✓ EEG/MEG activity,
✓ spin-dependent modulation.

Establish baseline coherence markers and resonance profiles.

➢ *Phase 2: Sleep Recording*

- *Overnight:*

✓ Continuous SQUID + EEG/MEG + polysomnography.
✓ Minimal perturbation to avoid sleep disruption.
✓ Brief magnetic probes during stable NREM stage 3 and REM.

- *Identify:*

✓ NREM stage 3: high delta power, minimal dreaming.
✓ REM: desynchronized EEG, vivid dreaming.

Quantify coherence markers for each stage and compare to waking baseline.

➢ *Hypothesis*

Quantum-coherence markers are present during waking consciousness and diminish or disappear during deep sleep, while classical neural activity persists.

O. Predictions

➢ *Waking*

- Robust non-classical phase correlations.
- Detectable spin-dependent modulation.
- Coherence markers aligned with conscious state.

➢ *NREM Stage 3 (Deep Sleep)*

- Strong classical delta oscillations.
- Quantum-coherence markers significantly reduced or absent.
- Spin-dependent modulation diminished or undetectable.

➢ *REM Sleep*

- Intermediate pattern: partial re-emergence of coherence markers consistent with dream consciousness.

If confirmed, these results show:

- N remains active without recruiting C.
- Consciousness correlates with the *coupling* between N and C, not with neural activity alone.

- *Controls and Confounds*

✓ Rigorous shielding against electromagnetic noise.
✓ Artifact rejection for movement and muscle activity.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

- ✓ Sham perturbations to rule out non-specific arousal effects.
- ✓ Light-sedation controls to distinguish sleep-specific decoupling from general state changes.

➤ *Interpretation*

If quantum-coherence markers vanish during deep sleep while classical neural activity persists, this supports the claim that:

- *The C Layer is Ever-Present but Not Always Recruited*

- ✓ *Only specific neural architectures—those that evolved the capacity to exploit coherence—bind C to their informational structures.*
- ✓ *Consciousness disappears when these circuits cease recruiting C, even though N continues functioning normally.*

This experiment provides a direct test of the selective-coupling hypothesis: subjective experience arises only when evolved neural architectures actively recruit the coherent substrate.

P. Posner Entanglement Thought Experiment

The Posner framework suggests that phosphorus nuclear spins, when incorporated into $Ca_9(PO_4)_6$ clusters, may achieve unusually long coherence times due to geometric shielding and restricted environmental coupling. If such clusters participate in cognitive processes, then a natural question arises: *could one, in principle, entangle Posner-bound nuclear spins in the human brain with partner spins prepared and maintained in a laboratory environment, in analogy to Zeilinger's long-distance entanglement experiments?* This thought experiment is conceptually illuminating because it exposes the boundary between theoretical possibility and practical feasibility in our current state of technology.

➤ *Conceptual Possibility*

In principle, nothing in quantum mechanics forbids the following sequence:

- Preparation of entangled phosphorus nuclei in a controlled quantum device (e.g., via spin-selective chemical reactions or solid-state entanglement protocols).
- Distribution of one member of each entangled pair into the human body, where it participates in biochemical pathways that lead to Posner formation.
- Retention of the partner nucleus in a cryogenic, ultra-isolated laboratory trap for continuous monitoring.
- Comparison of measurement statistics between the lab-held spins and the in-vivo spins to detect correlations indicative of sustained entanglement.

If Posner molecules genuinely preserve nuclear-spin coherence for extended durations, then the biological partner could, in principle, remain entangled long enough for the laboratory partner to serve as a remote probe of coherence decay, environmental coupling, or state transitions associated with conscious processes.

This scenario is the biological analogue of Zeilinger's entanglement distribution experiments—except that one wing of the entangled pair is embedded in a living cognitive system.

➤ *Practical Infeasibility (Current Technology)*

Although conceptually coherent, the thought experiment is not currently realizable for several independent reasons:

- *Lack of control over biochemical routing*: One cannot direct an injected entangled phosphorus nucleus to a specific metabolic pathway, let alone guarantee its incorporation into a Posner molecule in a targeted brain region.
- *Extreme environmental decoherence*: Even if Posner shielding is effective, the surrounding biological environment is warm, wet, chemically reactive, and dynamically noisy. Maintaining entanglement across such conditions is far beyond present capabilities.
- *Measurement constraints*: Monitoring the laboratory partner requires repeated, high-fidelity quantum measurements. Any strong measurement collapses the joint state; weak measurements require stability and isolation orders of magnitude beyond what is feasible when the other partner is inside a living brain.
- *Ethical and biomedical limitations*: The invasive control required over phosphorus metabolism, injection, tracking, and quantum-state preservation is incompatible with current ethical standards and medical safety.

Thus, while the thought experiment is physically permissible in principle, it is technologically unattainable in practice.

➤ *Scientific Value of the Thought Experiment*

Despite its infeasibility, the thought experiment serves an important conceptual role:

- *It clarifies what would constitute direct evidence of quantum-level participation in consciousness.*
- *It highlights the enormous gap between laboratory quantum control and biological complexity.*
- *It motivates more realistic experimental strategies—such as in vitro Posner coherence tests, SQUID-based magnetometry, phosphate-specific spectroscopy, and state-dependent neural signatures in advanced meditators—that probe the same underlying question without requiring cross-entanglement between brain and laboratory.*

If such an entanglement-monitoring protocol were ever achieved, it would represent the first direct demonstration that a component of human cognition participates in nonlocal quantum correlations. The inability to perform such an experiment today does not diminish its conceptual significance; rather, it delineates the frontier at which quantum biology, neuroscience, and consciousness studies converge.

XI. MATERIALISM AS THE BOUNDARY CONDITION OF IDEALISM

Idealism, in this framework, is the mathematically complete description of the underlying relational amplitude from which all phenomena—mental, physical, and trans-dimensional—are projected. Materialism is the degenerate limit that arises when the amplitude is forced into its lowest-coherence, lowest-frequency, maximally condensed mode of manifestation. The relationship mirrors familiar limiting transitions in physics: Newtonian mechanics emerges from relativity when velocities become negligible relative to the speed of light, and classical mechanics emerges from quantum mechanics when Planck's constant is taken to zero. In the same way, materialism emerges from idealism when coherence collapses and radiative energy condenses into mass.

Classical matter is consciousness in its lowest expressive phase. It is the amplitude evaluated at the point where coherence collapses into locality, where nonlocal relational structure is suppressed, and where the system's intrinsic capacity for self-representation approaches zero. Materialism therefore describes the world only in the regime where consciousness is least manifest due to an absence of quantum coherence. It is the ontology of the infrared basin, not the ultraviolet apex.

Once consciousness is recognized as a fundamental property of the relational amplitude, its behavior across spacetime can be treated analogously to phase transitions in condensed-matter systems. Consciousness undergoes transitions as the coherence structure of the amplitude changes. In the low-energy, high-decoherence regime of the three-dimensional sector, consciousness becomes fragmented and then suppressed. Classical matter appears inert because the amplitude is so sharply localized that only the particulate mode manifests. Biological organisms, however, are not confined to this baseline. Because they are projections of higher-dimensional amplitudes, they retain the capacity to partially re-align with their own higher-coherence correlates. When such alignment occurs—through entanglement, resonance, or relaxation of decoherence constraints—the organism undergoes a phase transition to a higher state of consciousness.

As coherence increases, the amplitude expresses more of its intrinsic nonlocality, more of its integrative capacity, and more of its self-referential structure. Consciousness expands not by acquiring new contents but by accessing higher-frequency modes of its own underlying structure. This process continues across the dimensional gradient. As the system moves toward the ultraviolet apex, decoherence weakens, dimensionality expands, and the amplitude re-expresses itself in increasingly coherent modes. The classical rigidity of matter dissolves, giving way to the integrated, nonlocal, high-frequency modes that characterize the higher domains. Each transition is a reconfiguration of the amplitude, not a creation or annihilation of consciousness.

In this unified picture, consciousness is not an emergent property of matter. Matter is the limiting case of consciousness. Classical matter is simply the decohered boundary condition of a deeper idealist ontology. Materialism is the Newtonian limit of idealism. The evolution of consciousness—across biological development, cosmological cycles, and dimensional ascent—is the progressive re-expansion of the amplitude as decoherence constraints relax and higher-frequency modes become accessible. Quantum coherence is the bridge through which consciousness re-enters spacetime, and radiative energy is the pathway through which consciousness increases its resolution and expressive capacity.

Consider an analogy with water freezing into ice. The water is consciousness. The ice is matter. As temperature plummets, the ice (i.e., matter) appears when this water freezes. As temperature increases, the ice melts (matter turns into radiative energy) and the water (i.e., consciousness) gradually reappears. This describes a water-ice cycle. During the cycle, there may be ice crystals suspended within water, and water retained within ice. The water trapped within the ice represents a mixed environment. These pockets of water are analogous to artefacts of consciousness trapped within matter in places where biological systems maintain some quantum coherence, driven by evolutionary pressures. Pockets of bare awareness are therefore trapped artefacts of proto-consciousness in unconscious matter.

Consider another analogy. In a virtual reality environment, the person wearing the headset is already a portal to consciousness yet also experiences partially frozen or crystallised constructions of consciousness within the interface. This is, again, a mixed environment. We should therefore expect to encounter artefacts or facsimiles of consciousness within that interface.

Materialism therefore describes the world only in the regime where the manifestation of consciousness is at its minimum. It is the ontology of the infrared basin, not the ultraviolet apex. In this sense, materialism is not false; it is simply incomplete. It represents the limiting case of a more general idealist theory. It's claim at being "parsimonious" is misleading since its abbreviated account of consciousness is incomplete, including the omission of the central unresolved issue—the hard problem of consciousness—which remains untouched precisely because materialism confines itself to its comfort zone - the regime where consciousness is least expressed.

XII. PHASE TRANSITIONS OF CONSCIOUSNESS IN MATTER

Once consciousness is recognized as a fundamental property of the relational amplitude, its behavior across spacetime can be understood in terms of its phase transitions. Just as condensed-matter systems shift between solid, liquid, and plasma phases when coherence and energy conditions change, consciousness undergoes transitions as the coherence structure of the amplitude varies.

In the low-coherence regime—the infrared basin—decoherence is strong, wavelengths are long, and the

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

expression of consciousness is fragmented. Classical matter appears inert because the amplitude is forced into its most localized and least expressive mode. Yet biological organisms are not confined to this baseline. Because they are projections of higher-dimensional amplitudes, they retain the capacity to partially realign with their higher-coherence correlates. When such alignment occurs through resonance, entanglement, or relaxation of decoherence constraints, the organism undergoes a phase transition to a higher state of consciousness. In the high-coherence regime—the ultraviolet apex—decoherence weakens, dimensionality expands, and nonlocality increases. The amplitude re-expresses itself in coherent modes, and consciousness becomes integrated, nonlocal, and self-referential. Idealism, panpsychism, and materialism can therefore be understood as phase-dependent perspectives on the same underlying process, each describing consciousness at different points along the coherence spectrum.

Consciousness evolves as coherence evolves. In low-coherence regimes, consciousness is muted and matter appears inert. In high-coherence regimes, consciousness becomes expansive, integrative, and capable of accessing higher-frequency modes of the amplitude. These transitions are not metaphorical; they are literal shifts in the mode of manifestation of the underlying relational structure. This framework provides a natural explanation for altered states of consciousness, developmental changes in awareness, evolutionary increases in cognitive capacity, and the possibility of trans-dimensional ascent within a multiversal architecture. Specific states of consciousness within spacetime is a coherence-driven phase phenomenon.

Consciousness is therefore not an emergent property of matter. Matter is the limiting case of consciousness, the decohered boundary condition of a more general idealist ontology. Materialism occupies the same conceptual role as Newtonian mechanics within relativity: it is the low-coherence, low-frequency limit of a deeper theory. The evolution of consciousness—across biological development, cosmological cycles, and dimensional ascent—is the progressive re-expansion of the amplitude as decoherence constraints relax and higher-frequency modes become accessible. Matter dissolves into coherent consciousness not through creation or annihilation, but through restoration of the amplitude's original mode of existence. Matter is the lowest, most condensed, and least conscious phase of consciousness, while coherent high-frequency states—especially those sustained in biological-temperature condensates such as Posner molecules—reveal progressively higher degrees of its intrinsic nature.

XIII. CONSCIOUSNESS-MATTER CYCLES (CMCS)

If consciousness is fundamental and matter is a condensed phase of consciousness, then the familiar philosophical positions—materialism, epiphenomenalism, and panpsychism—can be reinterpreted as partial perspectives on a deeper coherence-modulated cycle linking consciousness and matter. Isolated particles or coherent condensates manifest intermediate or mixed states of consciousness as artefacts in constructions within consciousness. Hence, particles do not generate consciousness. They reveal proto-consciousness only under certain conditions: i.e., when the particle is disentangled from the environment or when quantum coherence is present in a group of particles.

- *Descending Phase: Concealment of Consciousness*

On the descending, top-down phase of the cycle, consciousness generates constructions within itself that conceal its nature, appearing as unconscious matter. This is a natural and acausal process. Decoherence suppresses the expression of consciousness, producing the illusion that matter is non-conscious.

- *Ascending Phase: Re-Emergence of Consciousness*

On the ascending, bottom-up phase, consciousness gradually re-emerges as coherence increases. Biological-temperature condensates—especially Posner molecules—allow coherence to persist long enough for consciousness to manifest. Particles do not produce consciousness; rather, they reveal its presence when disentangled and restored to superposed wave states.

Quantum biology shows that coherence can be sustained in birds, plants, mammals, and human sensory systems. These discoveries demonstrate that coherence is the physical condition that allows consciousness to express itself within spacetime.

- *Heraclitus's Road and the Unity of Opposites*

Heraclitus's image of the road captures the logic: whether the path seems to ascend or descend depends on one's starting point, though it remains the same road. Idealists such as Bernardo Kastrup and Donald Hoffman emphasize the descending phase; panpsychists and materialists, such as Richard Dawkins, emphasize the ascending phase. Both are partial views of a single coherence-modulated cycle (CMC). The apparent conflict between idealism, panpsychism, and materialism arises only when the broader metaphysical picture is ignored. Once the cycle is recognized, these positions no longer describe competing ontologies but different phases of a single process in which consciousness alternately conceals and reveals itself through the dynamics of quantum coherence.

Idealism emphasizes the descending phase, where consciousness condenses into matter. Decoherence suppresses the expression of consciousness, driving $\kappa \to 0$ and lowering C even when intrinsic proto-consciousness remains. Materialism and epiphenomenalism emphasize the ascending phase, where consciousness appears to emerge from matter as coherence increases. High-frequency coherent states (short wavelengths, higher energies) express higher degrees of consciousness. Panpsychism identifies traces of consciousness in matter but does not explain why these traces vary with coherence and frequency in different substrates.

Alfred's model [6] provides the missing mechanism: coherence modulates the degree of expression. In this sense, all three positions describe different regions of the same

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

landscape shaped by the coherence-modulated cycle of manifestation.

XIV. CONCLUSION

This paper has argued that consciousness is fundamental and that matter is a condensed, decohered phase of consciousness. The degree to which consciousness is expressed within spacetime depends on the frequency and coherence of particle-waves. Frequency determines the resolution of consciousness; coherence determines its radius (or brightness). Together, they specify how much of the intrinsic proto-consciousness of the relational amplitude becomes manifest in the physical world. Quantum biology demonstrates that coherence can be sustained in living systems. Coherent radical-pair dynamics in avian navigation, wave-like excitonic transport in photosynthesis, single-photon sensitivity in human vision, and spin-dependent neural modulation in mammals show that quantum processes operate robustly at physiological temperatures.

These discoveries overturn the classical assumption that warm biological environments are too noisy for quantum coherence. They do not imply that consciousness is quantum; rather, quantum processes preserve coherence so that consciousness can percolate into matter. Quantum coherence is the enabling condition, not the generative cause. Condensates—especially those capable of sustaining coherence at biological temperatures—provide the physical structures through which consciousness can manifest. They are the phases in which coherence persists long enough for latent proto-consciousness to unfold into experience.

Within this framework, materialism, epiphenomenalism, panpsychism, emergentism, and even mysticism, appear as phase-dependent perspectives on a coherence-modulated cycle (CMC) in which consciousness conceals and reveals itself. On the descending phase, consciousness condenses into matter and becomes muted through decoherence, giving rise to the appearance of inert physical substance. On the ascending phase, consciousness re-emerges as coherence increases, creating the illusion that matter generates mind. Heraclitus's road captures this unity of opposites: whether the path seems to ascend or descend depends on one's vantage point, though it remains the same road.

Mystical literature has long described a ground of being that is prior to thought, prior to identity, and prior to the division between subject and object. This ground is portrayed as a silent, luminous awareness that does not arise from the world but allows the world to appear. It is not an object of consciousness; it is the condition for consciousness itself. In the present ontology, this ground of being corresponds to the fundamental layer of consciousness (C), the coherent substrate that generates qualia when it illuminates neural content (N). Hence, it can be said that, at the most fundamental level, it is this ground of being that generates qualia.

Quantum-coherent biological structures do not create this ground; they allow it to manifest within spacetime. They provide the interface through which the ground of being participates in the lived experience of a conscious agent. Neural architectures—particularly those that evolved dense recurrence, global integration, and high-bandwidth representational capacity—became the biological sites where this ground of being could bind to informational patterns. Consciousness does not originate in these circuits; it articulates their neural representations through a sense of being reflected in qualia.

By integrating quantum physics, quantum biology, and a coherence-centric ontology, this paper provides a unified account of consciousness that is consistent with empirical evidence and metaphysical clarity. Matter is the lowest, most condensed, and least expressive state of consciousness. Coherent high-frequency states—especially those sustained in biological-temperature condensates such as Posner molecules—reveal progressively higher degrees of its intrinsic nature. Cosmological condensates represent even higher-coherence modes, forming the ultraviolet apex of the amplitude in which consciousness is maximally integrated and nonlocal within spacetime. Consciousness is not generated by quantum processes; it is revealed by them. Quantum coherent conduits are channels through which consciousness percolates into spacetime. Neural and bioelectric dynamics provide the representational structures that consciousness illuminates as qualia. Consciousness is fundamental; neural representation is derivative.

In this view, the evolution of consciousness—across biological development, altered states, contemplative practice, and cosmological cycles—is the progressive re-expansion of the amplitude as decoherence constraints relax and higher-frequency modes become accessible. Matter dissolves into coherent consciousness not through creation or annihilation, but through restoration of the amplitude's original mode of existence. The CMCMC (coherence-modulated consciousness-matter cycle) hypothesis presented in this paper therefore dissolves the hard problem of consciousness without resorting to dualism, panpsychism, or emergentism. Consciousness is primary; matter is its shadow.

REFERENCES

[1]. Aharonov, Yakir, and Lev Vaidman. "A New Characteristic of a Quantum System Between Two Measurements: A Weak Value." *Bell's Theorem, Quantum Theory and Conceptions of the Universe*, edited by Menas Kafatos, Springer, 1989, pp. 17–24.

[2]. Alfred, Jay. *Brains and Realities*. Trafford Publishing, 2006.

[3]. Alfred, Jay. "Classical Left Brain, Quantum Right Brain." *Medium*, 2020.

[4]. Alfred, Jay. "Evidence of Quantum Computation in the Brain." *Medium*, 2020.

[5]. Alfred, Jay. "How Meditators Prolong Quantum Coherence in the Brain." *Medium*, 2020.

[6]. Alfred, Jay. *Our Invisible Bodies (chapter 8)*. Trafford Publishing, 2005.

[7]. Alfred, Jay. "The Quantum Computer in Your Brain." *Medium*, 2020.

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26aug160

[8]. Bohm, David. *Unfolding Meaning: A Weekend of Dialogue with David Bohm*. Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1985.
[9]. Chalmers, David J. *The Conscious Mind: In Search of a Fundamental Theory*. Oxford University Press, 1996.
[10]. Fisher, M. P. A. "Quantum Cognition: The Possibility of Processing with Nuclear Spins in the Brain." *Annals of Physics*, vol. 362, 2015, pp. 593–602.
[11]. Fisher, Matthew P. A. "Quantum Cognition." *Annals of Physics*, vol. 362, 2015, pp. 593–602.
[12]. Fröhlich, H. "Long-Range Coherence and Energy Storage in Biological Systems." *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, vol. 2, 1968, pp. 641–49.
[13]. Fröhlich, H. "Long-Range Coherence in Biological Systems." *Nature*, vol. 228, 1970, p. 1093.
[14]. Fröhlich, H. "The Biological Effects of Microwaves and Related Questions." *Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics*, vol. 53, 1980, pp. 85–152.
[15]. Fröhlich, H. "The Extraordinary Dielectric Properties of Biological Materials and the Action of Enzymes." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 72, 1975, pp. 4211–15.
[16]. Fröhlich, Herbert. "Long-Range Coherence and Energy Storage in Biological Systems." *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*, vol. 2, 1968, pp. 641–49.
[17]. Hameroff, Stuart, and Roger Penrose. "Consciousness in the Universe." *Physics of Life Reviews*, vol. 11, 2014, pp. 39–78.
[18]. Kannan, B., et al. "Generating Stabilized Entanglement via Engineered Dissipation in Superconducting Qubits." Physical Review X, vol. 13, 2023, p. 031035.
[19]. Kim, Yoon-Ho, et al. "A Delayed Choice Quantum Eraser." *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 84, no. 1, 2000, pp. 1–5.
[20]. Lerner, Louise. "Scientists Discover Way to Make Quantum States Last 10,000 Times Longer." University of Chicago News, Pritzker School of Molecular Engineering, 2020.
[21]. Miao, K. C., et al. "Universal Coherence Protection in a Solid-State Qubit." *Science*, 13 Aug. 2020.
[22]. Muhonen, J. T., et al. "Storing Quantum Information for 30 Seconds in a Nanoelectronic Device." Nature Nanotechnology, vol. 9, 2014, pp. 986–991.
[23]. Newberg, Andrew, and Eugene G. d'Aquili. The Mystical Mind: Probing the Biology of Religious Experience. Fortress Press, 1999.
[24]. Pla, Jarryd J., et al. "High-Fidelity Readout and Control of a Nuclear Spin Qubit in Silicon." *Nature*, vol. 496, 2013, pp. 334–338.
[25]. Tegmark, Max. "Importance of Quantum Decoherence in Brain Processes." Physical Review E, vol. 61, no. 4, 2000, pp. 4194–4206.
[26]. Unden, T., et al. "Quantum Metrology Enhanced by Repetitive Readout." Physical Review Letters, vol. 116, 2016, p. 140502.
[27]. Wiest, Michael C., et al. "Microtubule Stabilization Delays Isoflurane-Induced Loss of Consciousness in Rats." *eNeuro*, vol. 11, no. 4, Aug. 2024.
[28]. Zohar, Danah. *The Quantum Self: Human Nature and Consciousness Defined by the New Physics*. William Morrow, 1990.
[29]. Zohar, Danah, and Ian Marshall. *SQ: Spiritual Intelligence, The Ultimate Intelligence*. Bloomsbury, 2000.
[30]. Zohar, Danah, and Ian Marshall. *The Quantum Society: Mind, Physics and a New Social Vision*. William Morrow, 1994.