

A Possible Dark Plasma Sheath Anchored to the Human Bioelectric Field: A Dual-Sector Model of the Human Bioelectric Field

Jay Alfred¹

¹Plasma and Dark Astrobiology Independent Researcher Singapore, Singapore

Publication Date: 2026/07/07

Abstract: Biological systems routinely execute rapid, large-scale anatomical decision-making and long-range pattern coordination that exceed the known bandwidth of ionic and biochemical computation. The human bioelectric field, though central to morphogenesis and physiological regulation, lacks the informational capacity required for high-dimensional morphogenetic memory, nonlocal developmental control, and continuity of form across metamorphosis. This interdisciplinary paper proposes that the bandwidth gap is resolved by a structured dark plasma sheath bound to the ordinary bioelectric field through a weak Yukawa-type coupling mediated by a light boson such as the proposed X17 particle (i.e., the “Dark Sheath Hypothesis” (DSH)). The bioelectric field acts as a field-lens that organizes dark electrons and dark ions into filamentary currents, plasmoid nodes, vortices and nested concentric shells, generating a dual-sector computational architecture. This sheath provides the necessary depth for rapid anatomical inference and developmental coordination. The model yields experimentally testable predictions, including filamentary pulsing, vortical magnetic signatures, and coherence patterns during specific human mental states.

Keywords: Bioelectric Field, Dark Matter, Dark Plasma Sheath, Field-Lens Mechanism, Morphogenesis, Regeneration, Self-Interacting Dark Plasma, X17 Boson, Yukawa Coupling.

How to Cite: Jay Alfred (2026) A Possible Dark Plasma Sheath Anchored to the Human Bioelectric Field: A Dual-Sector Model of the Human Bioelectric Field. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(6), 2727-2760. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26jun992>

I. INTRODUCTION

The human bioelectric field—arising from ion gradients, membrane potentials, and gap-junction networks—has emerged as a central regulator of morphogenesis, regeneration, and tissue-scale computation. Decades of work by Levin and others have demonstrated that bioelectric patterns encode anatomical information, orchestrate large-scale developmental decisions, and store long-term morphological memories [49-54]. Yet the computational power implied by these phenomena exceeds what can be reasonably attributed to ionic physics alone. We call this the “bandwidth gap” problem. The bioelectric field behaves as a global, coherent, information-bearing medium whose capabilities surpass those of biochemical signaling and neural circuitry. Its speed, spatial reach, and integrative capacity suggest that it may be interfacing with a deeper layer of biological organization.

Advances in developmental biophysics have increasingly highlighted the central role of bioelectric fields in pattern formation, morphogenesis, and large-scale anatomical organization. Biological systems display rich spatiotemporal patterning during development, regeneration, and homeostasis. These patterns are generated by ion pumps, membrane potentials, and tissue-level voltage domains, which

collectively encode positional information and orchestrate the development of anatomical structures. Experiments, particularly those conducted by Levin and collaborators, show that stable voltage gradients in early embryos and regenerating tissues form prepatterns that anticipate and guide anatomical organization, influencing cell behavior, organ placement, and tissue structure.

Parallel developments in particle physics have proposed that the dark sector may contain light, self-interacting fermions and hidden U(1) gauge fields, resulting in plasma-like collective behavior. The hypothesis of a 17 MeV vector boson (X17), motivated by anomalies in nuclear transitions observed by the ATOMKI group, has emerged as a possible portal between ordinary matter and dark-sector plasma [25-27, 37, 40]. The X17 boson is theorized to couple to electrons and neutrons while exhibiting suppressed coupling to protons, making it a natural candidate for mediating a weak but structured interaction between biological voltage patterns and dark-sector plasma components.

This paper develops the hypothesis that the bioelectric field is only the visible half of a dual-sector architecture. This framework provides a consistent theoretical basis for dark plasma sheaths around biological organisms while remaining

compatible with cosmological, astrophysical, and laboratory constraints. We also consider recent proposals (as discussed above) for a fifth fundamental force, potentially mediated by the X17 boson, that could facilitate weak but structured interactions between ordinary and self-interacting dark plasma in dark matter sectors.

If dark electrons couple weakly to ordinary electrons through a light mediator—such as the proposed X17 boson—then regions of high voltage curvature in biological tissues generate a Yukawa-type potential that attracts dark charge carriers. Over developmental timescales, this focusing mechanism condenses a dark plasma sheath around the organism: a structured sheath of dark electrons, dark ions, and dark electromagnetic fields that mirrors the organism's morphology and extends its computational capacity. The ordinary bioelectric field acts as the skeleton or scaffold for this sheath, shaping its geometry and stabilizing its dynamics.

Plasma, whether ordinary or dark, is inherently self-organizing. When guided by a coherent field geometry, it forms filamentary currents, vortical structures, plasmoids, and nested shells. The evolutionary implications of this supporting architecture are profound. As multicellular organisms evolved increasingly coherent bioelectric fields, they would have gained the ability to stabilize more complex dark plasma structures. These structures confer advantages in regeneration, morphogenetic robustness, and systemic integration. The nervous system further amplified this coupling, allowing the dark plasma sheath to participate in cognition, perception, and behavioral regulation. From an anthropological perspective, human contemplative traditions—meditation, qigong, Yoga—may represent culturally preserved techniques for modulating the dark plasma sheath, enhancing coherence, and accessing expanded cognitive states.

In this dual-sector framework, the organism's developmental intelligence arises from the coupling between the ordinary bioelectric field and a structured dark plasma sheath that surrounds and permeates the body. The bioelectric field provides the interface, control signals, and boundary conditions, while the dark plasma sheath supplies the high-dimensional dynamical substrate capable of long-range coherence, rapid global integration, and stable memory formation. Morphological attractors correspond to persistent configurations of the coupled ordinary–dark fields, and regeneration or development proceeds by navigating this attractor landscape rather than executing a fixed genetic program.

Because the dark plasma sheath supports filamentary currents, vortices, double layers, and semiconductor-like switching structures, it can store pattern memories, evaluate alternative morphologies, and stabilize species-specific body plans with a speed and robustness far beyond the limits of ionic computation alone. The organism's form therefore emerges from the continuous interplay of ordinary bioelectricity, dark-plasma computation, and the material body they jointly organize.

The following sections develop the physics of Yukawa-mediated coupling, the formation and structure of the dark plasma sheath, its implications for physiology, cognition, and evolution, and the experimental predictions that follow. Together, they outline a unified framework in which the organism is understood not as a purely biochemical entity but as a coupled ordinary–dark plasma system, whose developmental, physiological, and cognitive capacities emerge from the dynamic interplay of two interpenetrating fields.

II. FOUNDATIONAL CONCEPTS

➤ *Self-Interacting Dark Plasma*

The hypothesis that dark matter contains a self-interacting sub-sector has become a central theme in contemporary astrophysics and cosmology [1-14]. A substantial body of theoretical and observational work now supports the possibility that a fraction of dark matter possesses its own gauge interactions, plasma behavior, and dissipative dynamics. If this sub-sector couples to ordinary matter through a light mediator, dark–visible interactions can occur at sub-astronomical scales without conflicting with cosmological constraints.

The field-theoretic framework adopted in this paper assumes a two-component dark sector: (1) a dominant neutral, effectively collisionless background, and (2) a sub-dominant self-interacting dark plasma (SIDP) with a much weaker electric charge compared to ordinary plasma. Only the SIDP component couples to ordinary matter through a fifth-force mediator, enabling short-range non-gravitational interactions. Over geological timescales, Earth acts as a natural filter for this component. SIDP particles undergo repeated self-interactions and occasional scatterings with ordinary matter during planetary transit, losing kinetic energy and becoming gravitationally bound. This process produces significant local enhancements of dark plasma density within Earth's crust, oceans, atmosphere, and in a bound halo surrounding the planet.

Biological systems generate structured bioelectric fields capable of shaping and binding the SIDP component through an X17-mediated Yukawa potential. In this framework, the interaction between biological charge distributions and the local dark plasma environment follows directly from the mediator's range and coupling structure.

The astrophysical plausibility of a dissipative dark sub-sector was articulated in Randall's Double-Disk Dark Matter (DDDM) model [11-14]. In this scenario, self-interacting dark matter cools radiatively, collapses, and forms a thin, rotationally supported disk embedded within the Milky Way's baryonic disk. A dark plasma component with its own long-range force can “cool and collapse to form complex structures,” yielding a dark distribution that correlates with the density of ordinary matter in the spiral arms. Although the “dark disk” is an idealization, its fine-grained morphology would likely mirror the baryonic disk, containing clouds, clumps, and potentially compact dark objects such as dark stars or dark planets.

The Solar System orbits and oscillates through this disk, implying periodic immersion in regions of enhanced SIDP density. Stellar observations indicate that the Solar System crossed the midplane relatively recently on cosmological timescales, suggesting that Earth remains embedded in a region enriched in SIDP. Vertical oscillations through the disk further imply that local SIDP density varies over Myr timescales.

Within this two-component framework, Earth acts as a selective filter. The dominant neutral component, though continuously present because Earth resides within the galactic halo, interacts so weakly that it passes through the planet with negligible momentum transfer. Gravity alone can capture a small fraction of these particles—particularly those in the low-velocity tail of the halo distribution or those affected by rare gravitational-focusing trajectories in the Sun–Earth system—but the phase-space volume of such trajectories would be small. Consequently, the accumulated collisionless population remains small.

The SIDP component, however, behaves differently. SIDP–SIDP scattering and rare SIDP–baryon interactions provide repeated opportunities for energy loss during transit. Even a small but nonzero scattering cross-section is sufficient, over geological timescales, to produce a population of particles that fall below escape velocity and become gravitationally trapped. Once captured, SIDP particles remain in Earth’s potential well, forming a growing reservoir in the crust, oceans, atmosphere, and in a quasi-hydrostatic halo surrounding the planet.

This process naturally leads to what Adler has termed an accumulation cascade [15-19]. As the local SIDP density increases, the probability of SIDP–SIDP scattering during transit rises, enhancing the likelihood that incoming particles lose sufficient energy to be captured. The capture rate therefore grows super-linearly with accumulated density. Over billions of years, this feedback mechanism allows Earth to build up an SIDP concentration many orders of magnitude higher than the ambient galactic density.

Existing data do not exclude substantial dark-matter accumulation inside Earth. Neutrino-oscillation tomography, particularly atmospheric-neutrino measurements from detectors Kamiokande and Super-Kamiokande, constrains the electron density profile, not the total mass density. A dark component contributes no ordinary electrons and therefore remains largely invisible to oscillation patterns. These data are consistent with models in which a non-baryonic component constitutes a modest fraction of Earth’s mass, potentially up to ~20%, without violating observed flavor-transition behavior [20-22].

Taken together, these considerations explain why the dark matter that accumulates within Earth is expected to be dominated by the self-interacting component. The collisionless background streams through with minimal interaction, while the SIDP component undergoes repeated scattering, loses energy, and becomes gravitationally bound. Over geological timescales, this filtering effect produces a locally dense SIDP

environment capable of supporting the biological and geophysical interactions explored in this paper.

➤ *Fundamental Fifth Force Mediated by the X17 particle*

Recent astrophysical and laboratory results increasingly suggest the presence of a short range, attractive fifth force that couples to neutrons and electrons and may mediate interactions between ordinary and dark matter [23-45].

Astrophysicists such as Antonio Boveia argue that gravity alone cannot account for the observed communication between the visible and dark sectors [9]. His 2018 framework proposed a dark vector boson that would allow dark matter to exchange energy with ordinary matter, analogous to how photons and weak bosons mediate known forces. Additional support comes from a 2020 Astronomy & Astrophysics Letters study showing that galactic dark matter follows a maximum entropy distribution, implying frequent collisions or energy exchange. Ignacio Trujillo noted that the rapid establishment of this equilibrium hints at an unknown interaction beyond gravity [10].

The most persistent experimental hints point to the X17 boson. Early searches in the 1980s for light (1–100 MeV) bosons established key techniques but produced no confirmed discoveries. The modern revival began at the Institute for Nuclear Research, Hungarian Academy of Sciences (ATOMKI), where internal pair conversion spectroscopy revealed an unexpected excess of electron–positron pairs in the decay of excited Beryllium 8. The anomaly, corresponding to a particle mass near 16.7 MeV with 6.8σ significance, was later echoed in Helium 4 and Carbon 12 transitions. Jonathan Feng and collaborators showed that a protophobic vector boson with a ~10 fm range could explain these results while remaining consistent with previous null searches. Independent checks found no methodological flaws in the Hungarian data [28-39].

In 2025, the Positron Annihilation into Dark Matter Experiment (PADME) in Italy [41-45] provided an independent hint using a different production mechanism: direct positron–electron annihilation. An excess at 16.90 MeV with 2.5σ significance aligned with the ATOMKI mass window, suggesting that if X17 exists, it couples to electrons and may operate within a dark sector framework. PADME’s upgraded run aims to increase statistics by an order of magnitude. Parallel efforts in Vietnam, Russia, Italy, Switzerland, and proposed neutron beam studies at CERN reflect the global push to confirm or refute the signal.

Among fifth force candidates, X17 is notable for its relatively strong coupling to ordinary matter combined with a short interaction range that allows it to evade many precision tests. Its predicted effects in muonic atoms—energy shifts up to 0.61 eV—are larger than those expected from dark photons or scalar fields. As a portal particle, X17 fits naturally into models with a new U(1) gauge symmetry, dark matter charged under that symmetry, and small kinetic mixing or protophobic couplings to the Standard Model. It would decay rapidly in visible sector processes, as observed, yet remain long lived within the dark sector. This dual behavior is characteristic of

established portal frameworks, including dark photon and Z' models.

If real, the X17 particle could mediate scattering, annihilation, and decay channels linking dark matter to ordinary matter, making it a compelling and experimentally accessible candidate for a fifth fundamental force.

➤ *Bioelectric Fields*

There are ion channels in all cells. They are in skin and bone cells, they are in stem cells, plant cells, fungi, and microbes. Electrical proton currents are facilitated by these channels as the protons flow in and out of the channels, generating changing voltage potentials. As a result, various ions (essentially protons) are distributed differently across cellular compartments and within body fluids in many carbon-based lifeforms. This inhomogeneous distribution of protons is effectively a non-neutral proton near-plasma, closely integrated with the biochemical body. The inhomogeneous distribution generates voltage differences which are crucial for maintaining osmotic balance, electrical excitability, and cell signaling. These voltage gradients constitute the bioelectric field of the lifeform. The bioelectric fields of plant seedlings, salamanders, tadpoles and frogs, and planaria worms have been researched intensively over the past century by various researchers, including Burr [46], Becker [47, 48], Levin [49-54] and others.

III. THE LIMITS OF IONIC COMPUTATION – THE BANDWIDTH GAP

Michael Levin, a synthetic and developmental biologist from Tufts University, USA, has done ground-breaking experiments on these bioelectrical fields [49-54]. In his 2014 *Journal of Physiology* paper, Michael Levin reported experimental findings that remain among the most provocative in developmental biology: “a single genome makes hardware that can access bioelectric memories of other species’ head shapes.” This statement is not speculative. Levin has direct experimental evidence that the planarian worm, when its bioelectric patterning is disrupted, does not simply regenerate a default head. Instead, it appears capable of evaluating multiple possible head morphologies—including forms characteristic of other species—and selecting one that is anatomically coherent and viable. The genome, in this view, does not encode a single fixed anatomical blueprint. Rather, it constructs a computational system capable of reading, writing, and deploying stored morphological memories accumulated over evolutionary timescales.

Across his 2021 *Cell* review, his 2019 regeneration work with Finkelstein, and his theoretical collaborations with Chris Fields, Levin argues that bioelectric networks act as reprogrammable circuits guiding large-scale anatomical decisions. Voltage gradients, ion fluxes, gap-junction topology, and tissue-level electrical states integrate into a coherent field that encodes the organism’s target morphology. This field is not a passive byproduct of cellular activity but an active computational substrate capable of storing non-genetic

information, evaluating perturbations, and orchestrating corrective responses.

The planarian worm provides the clearest demonstration of this capability. When its morphogenesis is experimentally disrupted—by altering gap junctions, modulating ion channels, or shifting membrane potentials—the organism does not regenerate arbitrarily. It regenerates alternative, stable head shapes, each corresponding to a distinct morphological attractor. These include normal heads, two-headed forms, reversed polarity heads, and even head shapes resembling ancestral or related species. The planarian behaves as if it possesses an encyclopedic archive of head morphologies, a library of anatomical templates spanning hundreds of millions of years of evolution.

What is even more remarkable is the speed of this evaluation. The decision of what head to regenerate is made within 24–48 hours, long before visible regeneration occurs. The full anatomical implementation takes only days. If one attempted to replicate this task computationally—evaluating a vast morphological state space, selecting a stable attractor, and coordinating millions of cells to implement it—a modern supercomputer would require enormous processing power and extensive simulation time. Yet a millimeter-scale planarian fragment performs this computation routinely and effortlessly, without a centralized brain.

This raises a deeper question: what physical substrate is performing this computation? Levin attributes these capabilities to the bioelectric field, which indeed has demonstrable computational properties. His laboratory has shown that voltage gradients and gap-junction networks can store pattern memories, propagate information, and coordinate tissue-level decisions. But the level of processing implied by the planarian’s behavior—the ability to access a library of morphological templates, evaluate alternatives, and select a stable solution within days—appears to exceed the known limits of ionic computation.

The same problem appears in a different form in metamorphosis. Experiments show that adult moths retain aversive memories learned during the larval stage, even though the larval brain is largely dismantled during metamorphosis and the adult nervous system is rebuilt with new synaptic topologies. The traditional description of metamorphosis as a complete dissolution of the caterpillar into an undifferentiated soup is misleading. Even when tissues undergo extensive histolysis, the organism does not become formless in an informational sense. What persists is not the hardware of larval neurons but the organism-level patterning system that governs form, behavior, and memory.

Levin’s work suggests that this persistent substrate is the bioelectric field: a distributed, voltage-based patterning system that spans the entire organism and survives the death, division, or differentiation of individual cells. Ion channel distributions, voltage gradients, and long-range electrical constraints re-establish themselves through intrinsic attractor dynamics. These attractor states encode both the target morphology and learned behavioral associations. As the pupa reorganizes itself,

the bioelectric field imposes continuity on the developing tissues, ensuring that the adult inherits the informational structure of the larva.

Yet even this bioelectric-field account remains incomplete. Standard developmental biology explains continuity of adult morphology through the persistence of imaginal discs and conserved neural lineages, which survive larval histolysis and carry positional and genetic information into the adult form; when these discs are experimentally ablated, the corresponding adult structures fail to develop, indicating that they are necessary morphological substrates rather than dispensable scaffolds. But this framework cannot by itself explain why *Manduca sexta* moths retain a specific aversive odor memory learned in the larval stage, despite the extensive remodeling of the nervous system during pupation [55].

Nor does Levin's demonstration that bioelectric attractor states encode target morphology yet show how such fields could store high-dimensional associative content rather than low-dimensional body-plan information [49-54]. Cross-species counterexamples, such as *Drosophila*, in which larval memories do not survive metamorphosis, further underscore that neither imaginal discs nor bioelectric patterning alone provide a universal substrate for memory persistence [57, 58]. What persists across metamorphosis, therefore, cannot be reduced to conserved tissues or to bioelectric patterning in isolation; it must reflect a higher-order, organism-level informational continuity that survives the dismantling and reconstruction of the material substrate.

Within the dual-sector model developed in this paper, this continuity is made more robust. If the ordinary bioelectric field is disrupted or temporarily absent during extreme histolysis, the dark plasma sheath provides a deeper layer of informational persistence. It carries the organism's pattern memory across morphological discontinuity and re-imprints it onto newly forming tissues. Even in a hypothetical scenario where every larval cell liquefies, memory persists because memory is not stored in the cells. It is stored in the coupled system of the ordinary bioelectric field and the dark plasma sheath, which together form a continuous informational substrate that survives metamorphosis.

This framework also explains why organisms appear to navigate a morphological attractor landscape—a structured space of possible anatomical configurations shaped by evolutionary history, developmental constraints, and bioelectric patterning. When tissues are injured, depolarized, pharmacologically perturbed, or surgically rearranged, they do not merely repair damage but evaluate a range of possible outcomes and converge on a stable anatomical solution. In planarians, the early bioelectric prepattern predicts the final morphology, and the decision space includes normal head–tail axes, reversed polarity, two heads, two tails, and other experimentally induced variants. These outcomes correspond to distinct attractors in the morphological landscape.

Ionic bioelectricity alone cannot plausibly support this level of computation. Ionic gradients are local, diffusion-limited, and subject to rapid decay. They lack the spatial coherence and information capacity required to evaluate high-dimensional morphological alternatives. The attractor landscape implied by Levin's experiments is a rich, multi-stable topology shaped by millions of years of evolutionary history. Navigating such a landscape requires long-range integration, high-bandwidth communication, stable memory, robustness to noise, and rapid convergence—properties characteristic of field-level computational systems, not ionic diffusion networks.

In this expanded interpretation, Levin's experimental findings do not merely reveal the power of bioelectric networks; they point to the existence of a hybrid computational architecture. The genome builds the ionic hardware; the ionic hardware generates the bioelectric field; and the bioelectric field, through its curvature and topology, shapes the dark plasma sheath. Together, these layers form a system capable of performing the extraordinary tasks observed in planarian regeneration and metamorphic memory: rapid evaluation of multiple morphological possibilities, retrieval of ancient pattern memories, and implementation of coherent anatomical plans within days.

➤ How Much Information Is Stored in the Morphological Memory?

The experimental evidence from Levin's laboratory forces a reconsideration of the scale of information stored within an organism's patterning system. When a planarian's bioelectric field is perturbed, the worm does not merely regenerate a generic head or a distorted approximation of its original morphology. Instead, it can regenerate multiple distinct, species-specific head shapes, each corresponding to a stable attractor in its morphological state space. These include normal heads, two headed forms, reversed polarity heads, and head shapes resembling ancestral or related species. The organism behaves as if it has access to an encyclopedic archive of morphological templates, a library of anatomical configurations accumulated across evolutionary timescales.

The amount of information required to store even a single head morphology is substantial. A head is not a simple geometric object; it is a high dimensional structure defined by the spatial arrangement of tissues, organs, sensory systems, and neural circuits. To store multiple such morphologies, each with its own proportions, symmetries, and functional constraints, requires a memory system capable of encoding complex, multi scale spatial information. Yet the planarian, a millimeter scale organism with no centralized brain, retrieves and implements these templates within 24–48 hours of injury.

If one attempted to replicate this task computationally, the requirements would be immense. A modern supercomputer would need to simulate millions of interacting cells, evaluate a vast morphological state space, and converge on a stable anatomical solution. Even with advanced optimization algorithms, such a computation would take days to weeks of processing time. The planarian performs the same task in vivo, using a distributed field-based memory system

that operates orders of magnitude faster and with far greater robustness.

This suggests that the organism's morphological memory is not stored in any localized structure—neither in DNA, nor in synaptic configurations, nor in specific cell types. Instead, it is encoded in the global attractor structure of the organism's patterning field. The bioelectric field provides the visible component of this memory, while the dark plasma sheath proposed in the dual sector model supplies the deeper, high dimensional substrate capable of storing and retrieving such extensive information. Together, they form a memory architecture that far exceeds the capacity of ionic gradients alone.

The methodological limitation in Levin's program becomes even more striking when one examines the voltage-reporter dye experiments alongside the older L-field observations of Harold Saxton Burr. Levin's tools—voltage dyes, ion-channel modulators, optogenetic actuators—are exquisitely sensitive to the ionic component of the bioelectric field, but they are blind to any coupled dark-sector structure. If a dark plasma sheath is present, then these tools are not measuring the full morphogenetic field but only its ordinary-sector anchor. The anomalies that appear in bioelectric experiments—unexpected pattern outcomes, nonlocal coordination, rapid decision-making—are exactly what one would expect if the visible ionic field is only the lower-bandwidth interface of a larger, dual-sector architecture.

The voltage-reporter dye experiments reveal this most starkly. When researchers inject the dye into a developing tadpole, the dye converts membrane voltage into gradients of brightness that can be seen with the naked eye. What appears is not a map of the current anatomy but a projection of the future adult morphology: the outline of the mouth, the nose, the jaw, the eye. This prepattern is not a statistical tendency or a vague region of future growth; it is a precise, spatially coherent, three-dimensional template that anticipates the organism's later form. Sally Adee's description of it as an "electrical shadow of a future state" is not metaphorical—it is ontologically accurate. The dye is revealing a field configuration that encodes a future attractor state of the organism.

Levin's ionic model cannot explain this. Ionic gradients are local, dissipative, and limited by diffusion, membrane capacitance, and biochemical noise. They cannot compute or stabilize a high-dimensional attractor representing the adult morphology hours or days before the tissue exists. Nor can they maintain long-range correlations across the embryo at the speed and coherence observed. The ionic field can store local information, but it cannot project a global future morphology.

Burr's early work already hinted at this limitation. His L-fields—mapped with simple voltmeters—did not correspond to the current form of the organism but to its eventual adult shape. Plant seedlings exhibited fields shaped like mature plants; salamanders possessed an electrical field shaped like an adult salamander even in the unfertilized egg. Burr concluded that organisms possess electrodynamic

blueprints that persist throughout life. But Burr, like Levin, assumed these fields were purely ordinary-sector electrical structures.

A dark plasma sheath resolves the paradox. If the ordinary bioelectric field focuses and shapes a surrounding dark plasma envelope through a Yukawa-mediated coupling, then the visible ionic field is only the lower-dimensional projection of a higher-dimensional structure. The dark sheath, with its long-range correlations, collective oscillations, and vastly higher computational bandwidth, can encode the future attractor state of the organism. The ionic field is the interface; the dark sheath is the workspace.

The key is that the dark sheath does not exist in isolation. It lives within the ambient dark plasmasphere gravitationally coupled to the Earth. Because dark plasma interacts only weakly with ordinary matter, it experiences slightly different gravitational potentials and therefore slightly different time dilation. Even a tiny differential—fully consistent with general relativity and observed in many physical systems—would produce a slight temporal displacement between the dark sheath and the ordinary tissue. The sheath would evolve marginally "ahead" of the ionic field, not in a mystical sense but in a strictly relativistic one. The future morphology encoded in the dark sheath would therefore be a physically real attractor state that the ordinary tissue is continuously pulled toward.

In this framework, the voltage-reporter dye is not revealing the ionic field's prediction of the future; it is revealing the ionic shadow of a dark-sector structure that has already settled into the future attractor. The dye visualizes the ordinary-sector projection of a configuration that exists slightly ahead in the dark-sector timeline. The "future morphology" is not a prophecy, but a boundary condition imposed by the coupled dual-sector system.

This also explains why the prepattern is so stable and why perturbations often resolve back to the correct adult form. The dark sheath maintains long-range coherence and stores the attractor state with far greater stability than ionic gradients can. When the tissue is perturbed, the ionic field is disrupted, but the dark sheath remains intact and pulls the system back toward the attractor. Regeneration, error correction, and nonlocal coordination become natural consequences of a dual-sector morphogenetic architecture.

Levin's tools, therefore, are already interacting with the dark sector indirectly. When he modulates ion channels or uses optogenetic actuators, he perturbs the ordinary field, which in turn perturbs the dark sheath. The anomalies he observes—rapid decision-making, nonlocal effects, unexpected pattern outcomes—are signatures of the dark sheath responding to these perturbations and feeding back into the tissue. His experiments are probing the shadow of a larger structure without recognizing it.

In this view, the future-morphology projection is not an inexplicable miracle of ionic computation. It is the visible trace of a dual-sector morphogenetic field in which the dark

plasma sheath, slightly time-displaced and computationally superior, encodes the organism's future attractor and continuously guides the ordinary tissue toward it.

➤ *Proposed Approach*

The author therefore proposes that a dark plasma sheath functions not merely as a passive byproduct of bioelectric activity but as an active computational and memory bearing substrate that supplements the capabilities of the ordinary bioelectric field [82, 83]. In this framework, the sheath acts as both a source and a conduit of additional informational capacity during morphogenesis, providing the long-range coherence, stability, and high dimensional state space that purely ionic mechanisms cannot supply. Many of the computational feats that Levin attributes solely to the ordinary bioelectric field—such as evaluating alternative morphologies, selecting among evolutionary latent forms, and maintaining long term pattern memories—may in fact arise from the coupled dynamics of the bioelectric field and the dark plasma sheath.

The dark plasma sheath could enhance morphogenetic robustness by stabilizing global field geometry even when local ionic patterns are disrupted. It may also serve as a field level memory substrate, storing attractor configurations corresponding to target morphologies and enabling tissues to retrieve these patterns during regeneration. Furthermore, because plasma supports long range correlations and collective modes, the dark sheath may participate in nonlocal biological coordination, allowing distant tissues to synchronize developmental decisions in ways that exceed the known limits of diffusion based signaling.

This perspective opens the door to a new understanding of inter sector biological co-evolution, in which the ordinary biochemical organism and its dark plasma counterpart have evolved together over deep time. The bioelectric field provides the interface and control signals, while the dark plasma sheath provides the extended computational workspace. Feedback loops between these sectors—ionic patterns shaping dark plasma geometry, and dark plasma dynamics influencing bioelectric states—would have been subject to evolutionary selection, gradually refining the stability and functionality of the coupled system.

Importantly, the dark plasma sheath has not been considered in Levin's work or in mainstream developmental biology. This is unsurprising, as the sheath does not inhabit the ordinary matter sector and therefore remains invisible to conventional biochemical and electrophysiological methods. Instead, it resides within Earth's dark matter biosphere—a hypothesized dark sector ecological environment from which it draws energy and with which it exchanges particles and fields. The organism's dark plasma sheath is thus embedded in a larger dark sector context, just as the biochemical body is embedded in the terrestrial biosphere.

In this expanded view, the development and operation of the morphogenetic field—studied extensively by Levin and others—are guided not only by DNA and the ordinary bioelectric field but also by the structured dark plasma sheath

that the bioelectric field anchors and shapes. Morphogenesis becomes the emergent outcome of a dual sector field system, in which ordinary and dark plasma dynamics jointly determine the organism's form, function, and regenerative intelligence.

➤ *The X17 Portal and Bioelectric Coupling*

In this locally enriched environment, the X17-mediated portal interaction becomes particularly important. Bioelectric fields generated by neurons, cardiac tissue, and other biological organs create short-range X17 potentials. These potentials selectively influence the self-interacting dark matter (SIDM) sub-sector, enabling self-interacting dark plasma to bind to and self-organise around living organisms. Importantly, this interaction is short-range—limited to nuclear scales—so it does not produce observable macroscopic forces that would be detectable in satellites, planetary orbits, or precision laboratory experiments. Nevertheless, the cumulative effect of these interactions on the concentrated dark plasma near Earth may be sufficient to generate structured sheaths around biological systems.

IV. YUKAWA COUPLING AND THE “FIELD-LENS MECHANISM”

The central hypothesis of this paper is that dark-sector charge carriers—dark electrons and dark ions—are attracted to biological voltage fields through a short-range fifth force mediated by a light boson such as the proposed X17 particle. This mechanism provides a physically grounded pathway by which a dark plasma sheath could condense around the ordinary bioelectric field of a living organism.

➤ *Fifth-Force Mediation and Dark Electron Attraction*

The X17 boson has been proposed as a portal between ordinary matter and the dark sector, capable of mediating a Yukawa type attractive force. Although X17 has a mass of ~17 MeV, theoretical work presented at the ECT Workshop (“The X17 Particle, Status and New Ideas”) [37] shows that its effective coupling constants can operate at the low energy scales typical of biological systems (1–100 eV), including ATP synthesis and neuronal firing.

If dark electrons couple weakly to ordinary electrons via X17, then regions of high electron density or steep voltage curvature in biological tissues would generate a fifth force analog of the ordinary electric field. In this scenario: Ordinary voltage curvature generates a fifth force curvature that attracts dark electrons. This is a direct physical analogy to how electrons follow potential wells in semiconductors, how ions follow membrane voltage gradients, and how plasmas respond to field curvature. Levin's “electric shadow,” mapped using voltage sensitive dyes, is precisely such a structured potential landscape.

➤ *Voltage Curvature as a Field-Lens*

Voltage curvature refers not only to the magnitude of membrane potential but to its spatial second derivative—how the field bends, folds, and organizes across tissue. Because X17 couples to the same underlying charge distribution that generates these gradients, the bioelectric field acts as a field

lens, shaping the effective Yukawa potential experienced by dark electrons.

Wherever ordinary electrons experience a force, dark electrons experience a scaled, weaker X17 mediated force. Thus, dark electrons drift toward minima of the Yukawa potential, accumulating in regions of high membrane potential, strong curvature, coherent voltage domains, and structured bioelectric patterns such as Levin's electric shadow. This drift constitutes a dark current, invisible to electromagnetic sensors but mirroring the geometry of the bioelectric field.

➤ *Formation of a Dark Plasma Sheath*

Once dark electrons accumulate, they create a region of enhanced negative dark charge density, which electrostatically attracts dark protons or other positively charged dark ions. This leads to charge neutralization, collective oscillations, shielding behavior, wave propagation, and quasi stable plasma structuring. The result is a dynamic, quasi neutral dark plasma sheath surrounding the organism. This sheath mirrors the geometry of the bioelectric field, is continuously reshaped by voltage dynamics, forms where the Yukawa potential is strongest (near membranes, along gradients), and behaves analogously to Debye sheaths and plasma double layers in ordinary plasmas. Because the attraction is weak but persistent, the sheath remains stable over developmental timescales, especially in organisms with coherent bioelectric fields.

➤ *The Sheath as an Interface Between Sectors*

A structured dark plasma sheath naturally becomes a bidirectional interface between the ordinary matter sector and the dark sector. It is not part of the ordinary matter sector; rather, it is continuously fed by Earth's dark plasmasphere, from which it draws energy and charge carriers. This interface mirrors the morphogenetic field, participates in field level computation, and provides additional degrees of freedom for biological information processing.

➤ *Computational Implications*

The dark plasma sheath does not exert macroscopic forces or deposit significant energy into tissue. Instead, its influence is informational. Ion channel gating, synaptic release, spike timing, and recurrent neural dynamics are all exquisitely sensitive to tiny perturbations in local potentials. A structured, co oscillating dark plasma sheath could subtly bias these thresholds, amplifying or suppressing near critical patterns. Over many events, such biases could influence morphogenetic decisions, regenerative outcomes, pattern memory, and field level coordination. This provides a mechanism for nonlocal biological integration and morphogenetic robustness that exceeds the known limits of ionic computation.

➤ *Integration with Levin's Findings*

Levin's experiments show that bioelectric networks store non genetic pattern memories, evaluate alternative morphologies, coordinate large scale anatomical repair, and settle into stable attractor states. These capabilities exceed what ion channels and gap junctions alone can compute. The dual sector model resolves this by proposing that DNA builds

the ionic hardware, the ionic hardware generates the bioelectric field, the bioelectric field shapes the Yukawa potential, and the Yukawa potential condenses a dark plasma sheath. Together, these layers form a hybrid computational system capable of the extraordinary feats observed in development and regeneration.

➤ *Co-Generated Morphogenetic Field*

In this framework, the morphogenetic field is co generated by DNA and biochemical signaling, the ordinary bioelectric field, and the dark plasma sheath that condenses upon it. The dark sheath provides long range coherence, field level memory, nonlocal coordination, and additional computational depth. This opens the possibility of inter-sector biological co-evolution, where ordinary and dark plasma bodies evolve together, shaping developmental robustness and organismal form.

➤ *Mathematical Analysis of the Field-Lens Mechanism*

Assume a dark sector containing at least two charged species—dark electrons and dark protons (or more generally, dark ions)—interacting via a dark electromagnetic field. In addition, suppose there exists a light mediator, such as an X17-like boson, that couples weakly to both ordinary electrons and dark electrons. At the level of an effective field theory, this can be represented by a small mixing term between the ordinary and dark currents, so that the mediator propagator links electron density in the ordinary sector to dark charge in the dark sector.

In the static, nonrelativistic limit, the potential energy $V(r)$ experienced by a dark electron in the vicinity of an ordinary electron distribution is approximately Yukawa-like rather than Coulombic, because the mediator has a finite mass m_X . The potential sourced by a localized ordinary charge Q_{ord} takes the schematic form:

$$V(r) \sim -\alpha_{\text{eff}} \frac{Q_{\text{ord}}}{r} e^{-r/\lambda_X}, \quad (1)$$

Where α_{eff} is an effective coupling constant (encoding the product of ordinary–dark charges and mixing strength), and

$$\lambda_X \sim \frac{\hbar}{m_X c} \quad (2)$$

Is the mediator's Compton wavelength, which sets the range of the interaction. For an extended charge distribution—such as a tissue with spatially varying electron density and membrane potentials—this generalizes to a convolution of the Yukawa kernel with the spatial charge density. The result is an effective potential landscape in which dark electrons move.

Crucially, the relevant “source” is not just raw electron number density, but the way electron distributions are structured by membrane potentials, ion channel states, and gap-junction connectivity. Regions of steep voltage curvature—where the electric potential changes rapidly over

short distances—correspond to strong spatial gradients in the underlying charge configuration. Through the mediator, these gradients imprint a corresponding structure onto the Yukawa potential felt by dark electrons. The bioelectric field thus becomes a sculpted potential landscape in the dark sector.

➤ *From Voltage Curvature to a Field Lens:*

The idea of the bioelectric field functioning as a *field lens* becomes precise once the motion of dark electrons is treated as drift–diffusion in a spatially varying effective potential. In the overdamped, low-energy regime appropriate for a tenuous dark plasma interpenetrating biological tissue, the dynamics reduce to a standard drift–diffusion equation in the Yukawa-type potential generated by voltage curvature:

$$\frac{\partial n_d}{\partial t} = D_{\text{eff}} \nabla^2 n_d + \mu_{\text{eff}} \nabla \cdot (n_d \nabla \Phi_Y), \quad (3)$$

Where n_d is the dark-electron number density, D_{eff} is an effective diffusion coefficient, and μ_{eff} is a mobility parameter encoding the strength of the mediator-induced coupling. The first term describes ordinary diffusion of dark electrons through the interpenetrating medium. The second term captures drift along the gradient of the Yukawa potential Φ_Y , which itself is shaped by the spatial second derivative of the ordinary bioelectric potential.

Regions in which $\nabla \Phi_Y$ is large—corresponding to steep voltage curvature in the ordinary sector—act as attractors or repellers depending on the sign of the coupling. In this sense, the bioelectric field does not merely coexist with the dark plasma; it actively *lenses* the trajectories of dark electrons, focusing them into specific anatomical loci and thereby initiating the formation of a structured dark plasma sheath that mirrors the geometry of the underlying morphogenetic field.

If the bioelectric field is spatially coherent—as Levin’s work suggests, with stable, tissue-scale patterns of membrane potential—then $V_{\text{Yuk}}(\mathbf{x})$ inherits this coherence. The field does not fluctuate randomly on short timescales; instead, it presents a relatively stable, structured landscape with well-defined basins, ridges, and channels. Dark electrons drifting in this landscape will tend to accumulate in the basins—regions where the effective potential is locally minimal. In optical terms, the bioelectric field acts like a lens that focuses dark electron trajectories into specific spatial regions, not by bending light rays but by shaping the potential gradients that guide dark charge carriers.

The “focusing power” of this field lens depends on several parameters: the range λ_x of the mediator (which sets how finely the ordinary charge structure is resolved in the dark sector), the magnitude of α_{eff} (which sets the depth of the potential wells), and the coherence length and stability of the bioelectric pattern. If λ_x is comparable to or larger than cellular scales, then tissue-level voltage patterns can generate smooth, extended potential wells. If it is shorter, subcellular

structures—such as membrane microdomains or ion channel clusters—could create finer-grained focusing effects.

➤ *Condensation and Sheath Formation:*

Given a weak but persistent drift toward potential minima, dark electrons will slowly accumulate in regions of high voltage curvature. Because the coupling is small, the timescale for significant accumulation may be long compared to microscopic plasma timescales, but still short compared to developmental timescales. Over time, a quasi-steady state can emerge in which the inward drift driven by the Yukawa potential is balanced by diffusion and dark-sector self-repulsion (via dark electromagnetism). This balance defines a localized enhancement of dark electron density—a kind of “condensation” in the sense of spatial localization, not a phase transition in the thermodynamic sense.

As the dark electron density increases, the local dark electric field they generate becomes non-negligible for dark protons or other positively charged dark ions. These ions will be attracted into the same regions, leading to partial charge neutralization and the onset of collective plasma behavior. The system transitions from a dilute, nearly collisionless dark gas to a more strongly interacting dark plasma with characteristic scales such as a dark Debye length and plasma frequency. In this regime, the dark plasma can support oscillations, waves, and sheath structures that are shaped by, but not strictly identical to, the underlying ordinary bioelectric field.

The “sheath” arises because the Yukawa potential is strongest near the tissue where the ordinary charge density and voltage curvature are highest, and decays over the mediator range λ_x . Dark charge carriers are therefore preferentially localized in a finite region surrounding the tissue, forming a sheath whose thickness is set by a competition between mediator range, dark Debye screening, and diffusion. The sheath is not a rigid shell; it is a dynamic, self-organizing layer whose density profile tracks the evolving bioelectric pattern. As the organism develops and the bioelectric field reorganizes, the dark sheath is continuously refocused and reshaped by the field lens. (Please refer to the Appendix for a minimal mathematical toy model of the dark plasma sheath.)

➤ *Coherence, Memory, and Nonlocality in the Coupled System*

Once a dark plasma sheath is established, the coupled system—ordinary bioelectric field plus dark plasma sheath—acquires new dynamical modes that neither sector possesses alone. The ordinary bioelectric field provides a slowly varying, structured potential template; the dark plasma provides fast, collective degrees of freedom capable of supporting waves, oscillations, and long-range correlations within the sheath. Because the mediator couples dark electrons back to ordinary electrons (albeit weakly), fluctuations and patterns in the dark sheath can, in principle, feed back into the ordinary bioelectric field as small but structured perturbations.

This opens a route to field-level memory and nonlocal coordination. Spatial patterns in the dark sheath can persist longer than local ionic configurations in the ordinary sector, effectively storing information about past bioelectric states in the configuration of dark charge and current. Similarly, waves propagating in the dark plasma can correlate distant regions of the sheath more efficiently than diffusion-limited ionic signals in tissue, providing an additional channel for long-range coordination of morphogenetic decisions. The bioelectric field, in this view, is not the sole computational substrate but the visible half of a dual-sector field computer, with the dark sheath acting as an extended, partially nonlocal memory and processing layer.

The field-lens behavior is central here: without a coherent, structured Yukawa potential, the dark plasma would remain diffuse and unstructured, and its collective modes would not be aligned with the organism's morphology. The bioelectric field focuses and organizes the dark plasma, aligning its degrees of freedom with the organism's geometry and developmental trajectories. In turn, the dark plasma amplifies and extends the informational capacity of the bioelectric field, potentially explaining some of the "excess" computational power inferred from Levin's experiments.

➤ *Energetics and Sourcing from the Dark Plasmasphere*

Energetically, the dark sheath does not need to be powered by the organism's biochemical metabolism. The dark plasma sheath and the surrounding dark plasmasphere can supply the necessary dark charge carriers and energy. The role of the bioelectric field is simply to shape and trap dark matter particles via the Yukawa coupling. The organism thus acts as a kind of catalyst or template: it sculpts the local dark plasma configuration without being the primary energy source for that configuration.

This picture is consistent with the idea of an endosymbiotic dark plasma sheath: a relatively stable, organism-associated configuration of dark plasma that is maintained by the interplay of mediator-induced focusing, dark sector self-interactions, and continuous exchange with the ambient dark plasmasphere. The bioelectric field is the ordinary sector handle on this configuration, and the Yukawa coupling is the physical mechanism that allows the field to act as a lens and scaffold for dark plasma condensation.

V. COMPUTATIONAL ARCHITECTURE OF THE DARK PLASMA SHEATH

A dark-sector organism is not governed solely by biochemical and ionic processes. Its developmental stability, regenerative intelligence, and morphological memory arise from a deeper computational substrate: a structured dark plasma sheath anchored to and shaped by the organism's ordinary bioelectric field. This sheath is not a passive halo but a high-dimensional dynamical medium whose collective modes, charge distributions, and self-organized structures implement the organism's morphological attractors. The dark plasma sheath provides the long-range coherence, high-dimensional stability, and memory capacity that ionic bioelectricity alone cannot supply.

Plasma—ordinary or dark—is fundamentally unlike a neutral fluid. It supports filamentary currents, plasmoid nodes, vortical structures, double layers, nested shells, and long-range electromagnetic correlations. These structures arise spontaneously because charged particles interact through long-range Coulomb forces and collective excitations. In the dual-sector model, the dark plasma sheath inherits these properties and extends them, producing a configuration space vastly larger than what ionic gradients can encode. Each stable configuration of the sheath corresponds to a morphological attractor: a basin of stability in the organism's developmental state space. The attractor landscape is therefore not an abstract mathematical construct but a set of physically instantiated field configurations.

The computational capacity of the dark plasma sheath arises from the interplay of nonlinear wave-particle interactions, long-range correlations, and self-organized structures. Hysteresis and double-layer formation provide bistable and metastable states that store information across perturbations. Filamentary currents and vortical structures provide topologically protected memory whose identity is encoded in invariants such as current direction, helicity, circulation, and linking number. Semiconductor-like regions emerge naturally: double layers act as dynamically maintained potential barriers that rectify, gate, and amplify currents; filament junctions exhibit negative differential resistance; and sheath density gradients create depletion-zone analogues of p-type and n-type regions. These structures collectively function as the plasma equivalents of diodes, transistors, and thin-film switching elements, enabling the sheath to perform logic-like operations and to route information through its filamentary network.

Long-range electromagnetic correlations integrate these local computational elements into a coherent global architecture. Perturbations propagate through the field far faster than ionic diffusion, allowing the organism to evaluate multiple morphological attractors rapidly and to settle into a stable configuration long before visible regeneration begins. The plasma frequency sets the temporal bandwidth of computation, while sheath density modulates the spatial and energetic bandwidth, controlling the stability of double layers, the coupling between filaments, and the persistence of vortical structures. The result is a distributed analog-digital hybrid computer whose memory, logic, and identity are encoded in the geometry and topology of its self-organized structures.

➤ *How the Bioelectric Field Selects Attractors*

The ordinary bioelectric field acts as the controller and boundary condition for the dark plasma sheath. Voltage curvature, gap-junction topology, and membrane-potential gradients shape the Yukawa-mediated potential that organizes dark electrons and dark ions. When the bioelectric field changes—through injury, depolarization, or pharmacological manipulation—the dark plasma sheath reorganizes and reconnects accordingly. This reorganization is not arbitrary. Because the sheath has its own intrinsic stability modes, the system naturally settles into one of a finite set of stable field configurations corresponding to a normal head-tail axis, a

reversed polarity axis, a two-headed morphology, a two-tailed morphology, or other experimentally induced variants. The bioelectric field does not compute the attractor landscape alone; it queries the dark plasma sheath, which contains the deeper dynamical structure.

➤ *Why the Dark Plasma Sheath Stores Morphological Memories*

Levin's experiments show that tissues retain pattern memories even after the ionic patterns that originally encoded them have been erased. This implies a long-term storage medium that is stable, distributed, resistant to noise, and capable of persisting through injury and regeneration. A dark plasma sheath satisfies these requirements. Plasma structures—especially filaments, vortices, and double layers—can remain stable in the dark plasmasphere for long periods, even when ordinary-sector conditions fluctuate. Once the sheath settles into a particular attractor configuration, it stores that configuration as a field-level memory that the bioelectric field can later read and act upon. This explains why planarians regenerate the same altered morphology repeatedly, why morphological memories persist across amputations, and why tissues “remember” target shapes even after depolarization. The memory is not stored in genes or ionic gradients; it is stored in the dark plasma configuration.

➤ *How the Dark Plasma Sheath Enables Rapid Decision-Making*

The early decision phase in regeneration—often within 24–48 hours—requires rapid global integration. Dark plasma supports collective oscillations that propagate far faster than ionic diffusion, long-range correlations across the entire organism, instantaneous reconfiguration of field geometry, and high-bandwidth coupling to the bioelectric field. These properties allow the organism to evaluate multiple morphological attractors quickly and settle into a stable configuration long before visible regeneration begins. The dark plasma sheath acts as a global integrator, enabling the organism to “decide” its target morphology with a speed and coherence that ionic networks cannot match.

➤ *How Attractors Become Evolutionarily Encoded*

Over millions of years, evolution shapes not only genes and proteins but also the geometry of the bioelectric field and the stability modes of the dark plasma sheath. Each species' attractor landscape reflects its evolutionary history, developmental constraints, regenerative strategies, and the stable plasma configurations compatible with its anatomy. The dark plasma sheath therefore contains latent ancestral attractors—morphologies that the organism no longer expresses but can still access under perturbation. This explains why planarians can regenerate two heads or reversed polarity axes: these are evolutionarily embedded attractors in the dual-sector field system.

➤ *The Dual-Sector Attractor Landscape*

The organism's form emerges from the interplay of ordinary and dark plasma dynamics. The bioelectric field provides the interface, control signals, and boundary conditions. The dark plasma sheath provides the high-dimensional dynamical substrate. Morphological

attractors correspond to stable configurations of the coupled fields. Regeneration and development involve navigating this attractor landscape. The organism's speed, coherence, robustness, and memory of morphological decision-making exceed the known limits of ionic computation alone because the dark plasma sheath is integrated with the bioelectric field and, through it, with the ordinary matter body. These three components form a tightly enmeshed biological entity whose identity, memory, and morphology are encoded in the geometry and topology of a living plasma.

➤ *Summary*

In the dual-sector model, the organism's morphogenetic and developmental intelligence arises from the coupling between the ordinary bioelectric field and a structured dark plasma sheath. The bioelectric field provides the interface, control signals, and boundary conditions that shape the Yukawa-mediated potential governing dark-sector charge carriers. The dark plasma sheath, in turn, supplies the high-dimensional dynamical substrate required for long-range coherence, rapid global integration, and stable memory formation.

Morphological attractors correspond to stable configurations of the coupled ordinary–dark field system. Because the dark plasma sheath supports filamentary currents, vortices, double layers, plasmoid nodes, nested shells, and long-range correlations, it possesses a vast configuration space capable of encoding these attractors as persistent field-level memories. Hysteresis, double-layer bistability, and topologically protected filament–vortex structures provide the physical basis for long-term storage, while semiconductor-like regions within the sheath act as gating and switching elements that enable computation.

During development and regeneration, the organism navigates this attractor landscape. Perturbations to the bioelectric field reorganize the dark plasma sheath, which then settles into one of its intrinsic stability modes—normal polarity, reversed polarity, two-headed, two-tailed, or other latent ancestral configurations. Because dark plasma supports fast collective oscillations and nonlocal correlations, the system can evaluate and select among attractors within hours, long before visible morphological change occurs.

Over evolutionary time, natural selection shapes not only genes and proteins but also the geometry of the bioelectric field and the stability modes of the dark plasma sheath. Each species' attractor landscape therefore reflects its evolutionary history and the stable plasma configurations compatible with its anatomy.

The organism's form ultimately emerges from the interplay of ordinary biochemistry and bioelectricity, and dark plasma dynamics. The bioelectric field anchors and interrogates the sheath; the sheath provides the computational and memory substrate; and the ordinary matter body expresses the selected attractor. These three components form a tightly integrated biological entity whose speed, coherence, robustness, and morphological memory exceed the known limits of ionic computation alone.

VI. DARK PLASMA SHEATH INTERACTIONS WITH ORDINARY PHYSIOLOGY, COGNITION, AND PERCEPTION

➤ *Augmented Capacities*

The dark plasma sheath *augments* the organism's regulatory and computational capacities in these areas:

- *Physiology*: The sheath stabilizes morphogenetic patterns, supports long-range coordination, and provides a higher-order coherence layer that smooths fluctuations in the ionic field.
- *Cognition*: The dark plasma sheath acts as a global dynamical workspace, integrating neural, bioelectric, and dark-sector information. This triadic architecture may underlie intuition, sudden insight, and global perceptual shifts in humans.
- *Perception*: Under certain conditions—deep meditation, sensory withdrawal, or altered states—the nervous system may become sensitive to dark-sector modulations, producing subjective experiences of “energy flow,” “inner light,” or rotating vortices in humans.
- *Mind–body coupling*: Because cognition modulates the bioelectric field, and the bioelectric field shapes the dark plasma sheath, the system is inherently bidirectional. This provides a physical mechanism for psychosomatic effects and meditative influence on physiology in humans.

If the organism possesses a dark plasma sheath anchored to the ordinary bioelectric field, then physiology, cognition, and perception must be understood as processes occurring across two coupled substrates rather than one. The biochemical body provides the material scaffolding; the bioelectric field provides the organizing geometry; and the dark plasma sheath provides an extended, coherent, dynamically responsive medium capable of supporting modes of activity that ordinary matter alone cannot sustain. The interaction between these layers is not metaphorical but physical, mediated by the Yukawa-type coupling that allows the ordinary bioelectric field to shape the dark plasma sheath and, in turn, allows the sheath to feed back into the ordinary sector.

➤ *Physiological Coupling: Regulation, Coherence, and Systemic Integration*

In ordinary physiology, coherence across tissues is achieved through chemical gradients, gap-junction networks, and bioelectric fields. These mechanisms are powerful but limited by diffusion, noise, and the slow timescales of biochemical signaling. A dark plasma sheath, once condensed around the organism, introduces a second channel of physiological integration. Because plasma supports collective oscillations, long-range correlations, and rapid field-level adjustments, the dark sheath can coordinate physiological processes across distances and timescales inaccessible to ionic mechanisms. This does not replace biochemical regulation but augments it, providing a higher-order coherence layer that stabilizes morphogenesis, supports regeneration, and maintains global anatomical integrity.

The dark plasma sheath may also participate in homeostasis by smoothing fluctuations in the ordinary bioelectric field. When tissues undergo stress, injury, or rapid growth, the ionic field becomes noisy and fragmented. The dark sheath, with its longer coherence length, can act as a stabilizer, preserving the global field geometry even when local ionic patterns are disrupted. This would explain why organisms can maintain stable developmental trajectories despite local perturbations: the dark plasma sheath preserves the higher-order template that the biochemical body follows.

➤ *Cognitive coupling: Field-Level Integration and Extended Computation*

Cognition, in this framework, is not confined to neural tissue. Levin's work already demonstrates that non-neural tissues perform computations—evaluating target morphologies, selecting repair strategies, and making global anatomical decisions. These computations require a substrate capable of integrating information across the organism. The ordinary bioelectric field provides part of this substrate, but its computational capacity is limited by ionic physics. The dark plasma sheath, however, provides a medium with far greater coherence, faster propagation of signals, and the ability to store and manipulate field-level information.

In this dual-sector model, cognition emerges from the interaction between the neural network, the bioelectric field, and the dark plasma sheath. The neural network provides high-resolution, symbol-like processing; the bioelectric field provides tissue-scale integration; and the dark plasma sheath provides a global, continuous, dynamical workspace. This triadic architecture allows the organism to integrate sensory information, maintain body schema, and coordinate action across multiple scales. It also suggests that certain cognitive phenomena—intuition, sudden insight, or global perceptual shifts—may reflect rapid reorganizations and reconnections in the dark plasma sheath that propagate into neural activity through the mediator coupling.

➤ *Perceptual Coupling: Subjective Access to Dark-Sector Dynamics*

Perception is typically understood as the brain's interpretation of sensory inputs. But if the organism possesses a dark plasma sheath, then perception may also include weak, indirect access to dark-sector dynamics. Most individuals would not consciously perceive these dynamics, as the coupling is weak and the nervous system is not tuned to dark-sector signals. However, individuals with unusual sensitivity (whom we describe as “sensitives”)—whether due to training, genetic predisposition, or developmental variation—may experience aspects of the dark plasma sheath as “subtle energy,” “inner light,” or “vibrational” sensations. These subjective reports, found across cultures and traditions, often describe sensations that align with plasma-typical structures: filaments, vortices, rotating centers, and nested shells and fields.

The phenomenology of meditation, Yoga, qigong, and near-death experiences frequently includes perceptions of currents, flows, and rotating vortices that do not correspond to known anatomical structures. In the dual-sector model,

these experiences may reflect partial access to the dark plasma sheath's dynamics. The mediator coupling allows dark-sector oscillations to modulate the ordinary bioelectric field, which in turn can influence neural activity. Under certain conditions—reduced sensory input, altered states of consciousness, or heightened interoceptive attention—the brain may become sensitive to these modulations, producing subjective experiences of “energy flow” or whirling sensations caused by rotating vortical structures in the dark plasma sheath, colloquially described as “chakra activation.”

➤ *Bidirectional Influence: Physiology Shapes Perception, Perception Shapes Physiology*

Because the dark plasma sheath is shaped by the ordinary bioelectric field, and because the bioelectric field is influenced by cognition and emotion, the system is inherently bidirectional. Physiological states shape the dark plasma sheath, and the dark plasma sheath shapes physiological states. Cognitive and emotional states, which modulate the bioelectric field through neural and hormonal pathways, can therefore influence the configuration of the dark sheath. This provides a physical mechanism for psychosomatic effects, mind-body interactions, and the influence of intention or attention on physiological processes.

Conversely, changes in the dark plasma sheath—whether induced by injury, developmental transitions, or external fields—can influence cognition and perception by modulating the ordinary bioelectric field. This may explain why certain meditative or energetic practices produce profound shifts in consciousness: they alter the configuration of the dark plasma sheath, which then propagates into neural dynamics through the mediator coupling.

➤ *Unified Dual-Sector Physiology*

Taken together, these interactions suggest that the organism is not a purely biochemical machine but a dual-sector entity whose physiology, cognition, and perception emerge from the interplay between ordinary matter and dark plasma. The bioelectric field is the interface between these sectors, the skeleton upon which the dark plasma sheath forms and the channel through which the two domains communicate. This architecture provides a coherent framework for understanding phenomena that have long resisted integration into conventional biology: nonlocal coordination, field-level memory, subtle-energy perception, and the deep coupling between mind and body.

VII. IMPLICATIONS FOR LEVIN'S BIOELECTRICITY PROGRAM

If a dark plasma sheath condenses upon the ordinary bioelectric field through a Yukawa-mediated coupling, then Levin's entire research program, while empirically correct, would be incomplete in its ontology. His experiments reveal a computationally powerful, tissue-scale electrical network capable of storing pattern memories, resolving target morphologies, and coordinating large-scale anatomical decisions [49-54]. But the existence of a dark plasma sheath implies that the bioelectric field he measures is only the visible half of a two-layered computational architecture. The

ordinary ionic field is the “anchor,” but the dark plasma sheath is the extended, higher-dimensional workspace.

The first implication is that the bioelectric field's computational power is not intrinsic to ions, channels, and gap junctions alone. Levin's observations of rapid decision-making, long-range coordination, and morphological problem-solving exceed what a purely ionic network can plausibly compute within the constraints of diffusion, membrane capacitance, and biochemical noise. If a dark plasma sheath forms around the tissue, then the ordinary bioelectric field becomes a focusing template for a second, faster, more coherent plasma medium. The dark sheath, with its collective oscillations and long-range correlations, would supply the additional degrees of freedom needed to perform the “mind-boggling” computations Levin attributes solely to the bioelectric field.

A second implication is that the morphogenetic field is not purely biochemical or bioelectrical but dual-sector. The ordinary bioelectric field shapes the Yukawa potential, which focuses dark electrons and dark ions into a structured sheath. That sheath, in turn, feeds back into the ordinary field through the same mediator. The result is a coupled dynamical system in which the visible bioelectric pattern and the invisible dark plasma pattern co-evolve. Levin's “target morphology”—the stable anatomical attractor that tissues return to after perturbation—may therefore be encoded not only in the ionic network but also in the configuration of the dark sheath. This would explain why some organisms exhibit astonishing regenerative fidelity even after massive perturbations: the dark sheath preserves a higher-order field memory that the ordinary tissue consults during repair.

A third implication concerns nonlocality. Levin's work shows that distant tissues can coordinate their developmental decisions without chemical gradients or direct physical contact. In a purely ionic model, such nonlocality is difficult to explain without invoking long-range electrical conduction through gap junction networks, which are too slow and too noisy for the observed effects. But a dark plasma sheath, once condensed, supports wave propagation and field-level correlations that are not limited by ionic diffusion. The dark sheath could therefore mediate nonlocal coordination across the organism, providing a mechanism for the “global anatomical decisions” Levin describes. The ordinary bioelectric field would then be the visible interface of a deeper, more coherent field architecture.

A fourth implication is that the bioelectric field may not be the sole repository of pattern memory. Levin argues that tissues store memories of target morphology in their electrical states, but he has not identified a stable physical substrate for long-term storage. Ion gradients dissipate, membrane potentials fluctuate, and gap junction networks remodel. A dark plasma sheath, however, can maintain stable charge distributions and oscillatory modes over long timescales, especially if continuously replenished by Earth's ambient dark plasmasphere. The sheath could therefore serve as a long-term memory layer, preserving information about past states of the organism and guiding future development. The

ordinary bioelectric field would then be the read-write interface to a deeper memory substrate.

A fifth implication is that the organism may possess a dual-body architecture: an ordinary biochemical body and a dark plasma sheath coupled through the bioelectric field. Levin's experiments show that the bioelectric field acts as a master regulator of form, but he assumes that all regulatory power resides within the ordinary sector. If a dark plasma sheath exists, then the bioelectric field is not merely a control system but an inter-sector interface. The dark body would mirror the organism's morphology, participate in morphogenetic decisions, and provide additional computational resources. This dual-body architecture would also explain why some individuals (i.e., sensitives) report "dark sight" or sensitivity to morphogenetic fields: they may have partial access to the dark-sector interface.

A sixth implication is methodological. Levin's tools—voltage dyes, ion channel modulators, optogenetic actuators—measure and manipulate only the ordinary half of the system. If a dark plasma sheath exists, then these tools are probing the shadow of a larger structure. Some of the anomalies in bioelectric experiments—unexpected pattern outcomes, nonlocal effects, rapid decision-making—may be signatures of the dark sheath responding to perturbations in the ordinary field. Levin's interventions may be indirectly shaping the dark plasma sheath, which then feeds back into the tissue. This would mean that bioelectric experiments are already interacting with the dark sector, but without recognizing it.

Finally, the existence of a dark plasma sheath implies that biological evolution may be inter-sector. Organisms may have evolved not only to exploit ionic bioelectricity but also to shape and harness dark plasma dynamics. The bioelectric field would be the evolutionary bridge: a structure that ordinary biology can control and that dark plasma can respond to. This opens the possibility that morphogenesis, regeneration, and even cognition are co-produced by ordinary and dark plasma processes. Levin's work, in this view, is uncovering the ordinary-sector signature of a deeper, dual-sector developmental logic.

VIII. IMPLICATIONS FOR BIOELECTRICITY RESEARCH

If the ordinary bioelectric field acts as a field-lens capable of condensing a dark plasma sheath around developing tissues, then the empirical achievements of bioelectricity research—especially those pioneered by Levin—must be reinterpreted within a dual-sector framework. The bioelectric field remains indispensable, but it is no longer the sole computational substrate. Instead, it becomes the visible interface of a deeper, two-layered system in which the ordinary ionic field shapes, and is shaped by, a co-extensive dark plasma sheath.

This reframing alters the ontology of bioelectricity in several fundamental ways. First, the computational power attributed to the ionic network can no longer be understood as

emerging solely from ion channels, membrane potentials, and gap-junction connectivity. The speed, coherence, and global coordination observed in morphogenetic decision-making exceed what a diffusion-limited ionic medium can plausibly support. A dark plasma sheath, once condensed by the Yukawa-mediated coupling, provides a second dynamical layer with faster collective modes, longer coherence lengths, and the capacity for nonlocal correlations. The ordinary bioelectric field becomes the structural template that organizes these dark-sector degrees of freedom into a morphology-aligned computational architecture.

Secondly, the morphogenetic field itself becomes a dual-sector construct. Levin's "target morphology"—the stable anatomical attractor that tissues return to after perturbation—need not be encoded exclusively in ionic states. The dark plasma sheath, with its ability to maintain stable charge distributions and oscillatory modes over long timescales, offers a natural substrate for field-level memory. The ordinary bioelectric field would then serve as the read-write interface to a deeper memory layer residing in the dark plasma sheath. This resolves a long-standing puzzle in bioelectricity: how tissues retain information about correct anatomical outcomes even after dramatic disruptions that erase local ionic patterns.

Thirdly, the existence of a dark plasma sheath provides a physical mechanism for the nonlocality observed in bioelectric experiments. Levin's work repeatedly demonstrates that distant tissues coordinate their developmental decisions without relying on chemical gradients or direct physical contact. In a purely ionic model, such long-range coordination is difficult to reconcile with the limited propagation speed and high noise of gap-junction networks. A dark plasma sheath, however, supports wave propagation and field-level correlations that are not constrained by ionic diffusion. The organism's global anatomical decisions may therefore be mediated by a dark-sector communication layer that the ordinary bioelectric field merely anchors.

Fourthly, the methodological implications are profound. Current bioelectric tools—voltage dyes, ion channel modulators, optogenetic actuators—probe only the ordinary half of the system. They perturb the ionic field, which in turn reshapes the Yukawa potential and thereby reorganizes the dark plasma sheath. Some of the anomalous or unexpectedly rapid responses observed in bioelectric experiments may be signatures of this hidden feedback loop. Researchers may already be manipulating the dark plasma sheath indirectly, without recognizing that their interventions propagate into a second, invisible computational medium.

Finally, the broader implication is that biological evolution may have exploited this dual-sector architecture. Organisms may have evolved not only to regulate ionic bioelectricity but also to shape and harness dark plasma dynamics through the mediator-induced coupling. The bioelectric field would then be the evolutionary bridge between the biochemical body and a co-evolving dark plasma sheath. Morphogenesis, regeneration, and perhaps even

aspects of human cognition (which cannot be explained by biochemical evolution alone currently) could be emergent properties of this coupled system. Levin's work, in this light, is uncovering the ordinary-sector signature of a deeper, inter-sector developmental logic.

IX. IMPLICATIONS FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE AND SYNTHETIC BIOLOGY

If the organism is a dual-sector entity—an ordinary biochemical body coupled to a structured dark plasma sheath—then regenerative medicine and synthetic morphogenesis must be reconceptualized as interventions not only on cells, genes, and ionic gradients, but also on the field-level architecture that spans both sectors. The dark plasma sheath, shaped and anchored by the bioelectric field, provides a high-dimensional computational substrate capable of storing morphological memories, stabilizing developmental trajectories, and coordinating large-scale anatomical outcomes. Leveraging this substrate could transform our ability to repair, reprogram, and design living tissues.

➤ *Regeneration as a Field-Level Process*

Traditional regenerative biology focuses on stem cells, growth factors, and gene regulatory networks. Levin's work has already expanded this view by demonstrating that bioelectric prepatterning determines the target morphology long before biochemical pathways execute the physical reconstruction. In the dual-sector model, this prepatterning is only the visible half of a deeper field architecture: the dark plasma sheath provides the long-range coherence and memory required to maintain stable attractor states.

Therapeutic interventions that modulate the bioelectric field—through ion channel drugs, optogenetics, or electrical stimulation—would therefore also modulate the geometry of the dark plasma sheath. This opens the possibility of field-level regenerative therapies, in which clinicians guide tissues toward desired attractor states by reshaping the coupled ordinary-dark plasma configuration. Such interventions could restore lost limbs or organs by re-establishing the correct attractor, correct congenital malformations by stabilizing the appropriate field geometry, reverse pathological attractors (e.g., cancer) by shifting the system into a healthier basin of stability. Regeneration becomes not merely a biochemical process but a field-guided reconstruction of the organism's form.

➤ *Synthetic Morphogenesis Through Attractor Engineering*

Synthetic morphogenesis—the design of novel biological forms—has traditionally relied on genetic engineering and tissue scaffolding. The dual-sector model suggests a radically different approach: engineering the attractor landscape itself. Because morphological attractors correspond to stable configurations of the coupled bioelectric and dark plasma fields, altering these fields could produce entirely new anatomical outcomes without modifying the genome. This could enable the creation of novel body plans by sculpting new attractor basins, the induction of alternative evolutionary morphologies latent in the dark plasma memory,

the design of synthetic organisms whose form is determined by engineered field geometries rather than genetic templates. Such capabilities would represent a profound expansion of synthetic biology, shifting the focus from gene-centric design to field-centric morphogenesis.

➤ *Enhancing Healing Through Dark-Sector Coherence*

Many chronic diseases—fibrosis, neurodegeneration, autoimmune disorders, and also cancer—may involve disruptions not only in biochemical pathways but also in field-level coherence. If the dark plasma sheath stabilizes morphogenetic information, then disturbances in its structure could lead to persistent mis-patterning that biochemical interventions alone cannot correct.

➤ *Therapies Aimed at Restoring Dark-Sector Coherence Could Include:*

- Patterned electromagnetic stimulation tuned to the mediator coupling scale,
- Bioelectric interventions that re-establish correct voltage curvature,
- Meditative or breath-based practices that modulate the ordinary-dark interface,
- Cold plasma treatments that interact with plasmoid nodes (colloquial: acupoints) and filamentary structures (colloquial: meridians).

These approaches would target the informational architecture underlying tissue organization, not just the molecular machinery.

➤ *Nonlocal Coordination and Whole-Body Healing:*

Because plasma supports long-range correlations, the dark plasma sheath may enable nonlocal coordination during healing. This could explain why distant tissues respond to local injuries, whole-body polarity can be reversed by local perturbations, and regenerative outcomes depend on global field states rather than local cues. Harnessing this property could allow clinicians to induce systemic healing responses by modulating field geometry at strategic nodes—meridians, chakras, or plasmoid points—rather than treating each tissue in isolation.

➤ *A New Paradigm for Bioengineering:*

The dual-sector model suggests that the organism is not merely a biochemical machine but a field-structured entity whose form emerges from the interplay of ordinary and dark plasma dynamics. Regenerative medicine and synthetic morphogenesis must therefore evolve into field engineering disciplines, capable of mapping the coupled field architecture, identifying pathological attractors, reshaping the attractor landscape, and guiding tissues toward desired morphological outcomes. This paradigm shift would unify developmental biology, plasma physics, and regenerative medicine into a coherent framework, offering unprecedented control over the living form.

X. CLINICAL AND THERAPEUTIC IMPLICATIONS

If the human body hosts a dark plasma sheath anchored to the bioelectric field, then medicine must expand beyond biochemical and anatomical interventions to include the modulation of field geometry, coherence, and plasma typical dynamics. The dark plasma sheath becomes a therapeutic target: a structure that can be stabilized, reorganized, or re-energized to support healing, regeneration, and psychological well-being.

Many traditional healing systems—acupuncture, qigong, Yoga, Ayurveda, Reiki—may be understood as empirical technologies for interacting with the ordinary sector imprints of the dark plasma sheath. Acupuncture needles stimulate plasmoid like nodes; qigong manipulates filamentary currents; Yoga practices activate vortical centers; and meditative absorption reorganizes the global coherence of the sheath. These systems have persisted for millennia probably not because of cultural inertia but because they modulate real, measurable structures that influence physiology through the dual sector interface.

Modern clinical practice could integrate this understanding by developing diagnostics and interventions that target the bioelectric skeleton and its dark plasma counterpart. High resolution impedance mapping, magnetometry, and optical spectroscopy could be used to assess the coherence of meridian filaments, the stability of chakra vortices, and the integrity of plasmoid nodes (coincident with acupoints). Disruptions in these structures may correlate with chronic illness, inflammation, or psychological dysregulation. Therapeutic interventions—ranging from targeted electromagnetic stimulation to guided meditative practices—could then be used to restore coherence and re-establish healthy field geometry.

Regenerative medicine stands to benefit profoundly from this model. If the dark plasma sheath encodes a higher order morphological template, then enhancing its stability could improve tissue repair and regeneration. Patterned bioelectric stimulation, combined with practices that increase dark sector coherence, may accelerate wound healing, restore organ function, or even enable regenerative capacities in tissues that normally do not regenerate. The dark sheath provides a stable reference pattern that the biochemical body can follow, reducing noise and increasing fidelity during repair.

Mental health interventions also gain a new dimension. Emotional states modulate the bioelectric field, which in turn shapes the dark plasma sheath. Chronic stress, trauma, or dysregulation may distort field geometry, leading to persistent disruptions in the dark sheath that feed back into neural and physiological processes. Therapeutic practices that restore field coherence—meditation, breathwork, somatic therapies, and targeted electromagnetic interventions—could therefore treat not only symptoms but the underlying field level disturbances.

Ultimately, the dual sector model suggests that health is a state of coherence across two interpenetrating bodies: the biochemical organism and its dark plasma counterpart. Clinical practice must therefore address both layers, using tools that modulate bioelectric geometry, stabilize plasma structures, and restore the dynamic harmony between ordinary and dark matter. This approach does not replace conventional medicine but extends it, offering a more complete understanding of the organism and new avenues for healing grounded in the physics of the dual sector interface.

XI. PLASMA SIGNATURES IN THE DARK SHEATH

➤ *Plasma Signatures*

If the organism hosts a dark plasma sheath whose structure is anchored to the ordinary bioelectric field, then the dark sheath should not remain amorphous. Plasma, whether ordinary or dark, is not a featureless medium. It self-organizes into characteristic morphologies—field-aligned filamentary currents, vortex structures, nested shells, double layers, and plasmoids—whenever it is guided by an underlying field geometry. These features include both fluidic (e.g., vortices, governed by magnetohydrodynamics (MHD)) and crystalline structures (e.g., nested shells and filamentary grids, governed by kinetic theory). In this model, the ordinary bioelectric field provides precisely such a geometry: a coherent, tissue-scale voltage architecture that acts as a skeleton around which the dark plasma sheath condenses and organizes. When guided by a coherent field geometry, it forms characteristic structures such as:

- *Filamentary currents:* Dark plasma filaments align with long, quasi-one-dimensional voltage gradients. Their ordinary-sector imprints correspond to traditional acupuncture meridians, which exhibit anomalous electrical properties such as low impedance and abnormal pulse responses.
- *Vortical structures:* Dark plasma vortices form at regions of radial symmetry or high curvature in the bioelectric field. These vortices correspond to the traditional chakra system described in Yoga literature, with rotating, frequency-specific dynamics.
- *Plasmoid nodes:* Localized plasmoids form at points of strong curvature or field convergence. These correspond to traditional acupuncture points, which behave as discrete electrical nodes.
- *Concentric shells:* Nested plasma shells form around the organism, corresponding to descriptions of concentric layers by sensitives.
- *Dark electric and magnetic fields:* The dark plasma sheath generates its own fields, which weakly modulate the ordinary bioelectric field through the mediator coupling. These have been reported by sensitives.

➤ *Natural Plasma Dynamics*

These plasma signatures arise from natural, well-understood and studied, plasma dynamics. Filamentary currents—colloquially “meridians”—arise naturally in any weakly collisional plasma when charge carriers drift anisotropically. A slight density or temperature gradient is enough to bias conductivity along one direction, and the resulting current generates an azimuthal magnetic field that confines the flow into a narrow channel. Once formed, the filament becomes self-reinforcing: the magnetic field focuses the current, and the current strengthens the magnetic field. In this way, filaments emerge as quasi-one-dimensional conduits of charge and magnetic flux, the basic structural elements of a plasma’s internal circuitry.

When two such filaments carry counter-streaming currents along the same axis, their magnetic fields compress the plasma between them. As they pinch together, the magnetic pressure rises sharply, forcing the plasma into a dense, closed configuration. The result is a spheroidal plasmoid: a magnetically sealed bubble with minimal helicity. This plasmoid is denser than the parent filaments because the closure of magnetic field lines traps plasma volumetrically rather than merely guiding it axially.

The counter-streaming currents do more than compress the plasma; they also act as a helicity pump. Each current produces an azimuthal magnetic field of opposite sense, and the interaction between these fields generates shear and torque within the spheroidal plasmoid. Any slight asymmetry—inevitable in real plasmas—breaks the perfect cancellation of angular momentum and allows the plasmoid to acquire net twist. As helicity accumulates, the spheroidal plasmoid reorganizes into a toroidal or helical configuration. This transition is not cosmetic; it is topological. The plasmoid develops a central current spine, a preferred axis, and a twisted magnetic field capable of sustaining rotational motion.

Once the plasmoid becomes toroidal and helicity-bearing, it naturally evolves into a double-vortex system. The toroidal topology contains two rotational basins—one on each side of the central current spine—where the $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$ force drives azimuthal flow in opposite directions. These counter-rotating flows stabilize into two vortices at the two ends of the toroidal tube. In colloquial terms, this is the double-chakra architecture: two coherent vortical organs linked by a shared current channel. The structure is energetically favored because it distributes helicity symmetrically while minimizing magnetic tension.

Within each of these two vortices, nested vortices can form. The rotational shear, combined with the stratified magnetic field inherited from the toroidal plasmoid, creates multiple layers of vorticity. Each layer corresponds to a different helicity shell or pressure surface. The result is a hierarchy of vortical domains—nested vortices—each with its own rotation rate and coupling to the central spine. These nested structures are stable because helicity is approximately conserved, and the system relaxes into a configuration that minimizes energy for a given helicity distribution.

A similar process occurs on microscopic scales when thin counter-streaming currents cross rather than run parallel. At the crossing point, the magnetic fields are forced into a topological conflict that can only be resolved by reconnection. The reconnection event produces a microscopic plasmoid—a tiny magnetic bubble—that inherits the local shear and twist. These microscopic plasmoids can evolve into microscopic vortical structures, the plasma-scale analogues of acupoints. They are small, dense, helicity-bearing nodes where energy, charge, and information can be concentrated and redistributed.

Taken together, these mechanisms form a coherent generative sequence: filaments arise from anisotropic conduction; counter-streaming filaments pinch to form spheroidal plasmoids; counter-currents inject helicity and transform spheroids into toroidal plasmoids; toroidal plasmoids bifurcate into double-vortex systems; nested vortices emerge within each vortex; and microscopic crossings generate microscopic plasmoids and vortices. This sequence provides a unified physical basis for the emergence of both macroscopic and microscopic plasma structures, including the meridian-like channels and acupoint-like nodes of the colloquial framework.

➤ *Dual-Sector Imprinting Process*

The dark-sector particle density in these structures is vastly higher than in the surrounding sheath and exceeds the ambient dark-matter density of the dark plasmasphere by many orders of magnitude. Particle density increases from filaments to vortices to plasmoids. Within the high-density dark filaments, the probability of collisions between dark-sector particles and ordinary matter particles increases sharply, initiating “collisional ionization.” Along these pathways, ordinary cold plasma trails are generated as faint imprints of the dark filaments. Similarly, even higher density cold plasma sources and sinks would reside in the ordinary plasma nodes and vortices that form in the vicinity of the dark-sector plasma nodes and vortex structures. This reflects the topology of the underlying dark plasma architecture.

Additionally, the dark plasma sheath itself may undergo slow density modulations driven by the dynamics of the dark plasma sheath floating in the surrounding dark plasmasphere and trying to achieve equilibrium in its natural state. These modulations would produce rhythmic bursts of ordinary cold plasma, experienced phenomenologically as pulsating electrical sensations and fields, localized warmth, or weak radiation. Although ordinary plasma dissipates rapidly at atmospheric pressure, sustained rhythmic driving—such as during meditation or qigong—can maintain an ephemeral layer of cold plasma streams within the ordinary matter body. [82, 83]

“Kinetic mixing” is a completely different process from collisional ionization. It is theorized in many models that dark photons or axion-like excitations convert into ordinary photons and vice-versa. It can also induce minute effective millicharges to ordinary matter. When the kinetic mixing products and millicharges accumulate, say in the ordinary matter body proximate to the underlying dark nodes and

vortical structures, embedded in the dark plasma sheath, they could be measured as anomalous radiation. Effects may also be in the opposite direction, from the ordinary matter body to the dark plasma sheath, since ordinary photons are theorized to convert back to dark photons and axions.

Because these structures (i.e., cold plasma trails, sources and sinks) are intrinsically unstable and decay almost immediately at ambient temperatures, they evade detection in conventional anatomical studies. In this view, the traditional meridian and chakra systems are not fixed anatomical conduits, sinks and sources, but a dynamic, short-lived plasma phenomenon that emerges on the ordinary matter body only under specific biophysical and dark-sector conditions. The resulting ordinary currents trace the geometry of the stable dark plasma filaments and vortical structures, flickering into and out of existence within and on the ordinary matter body. Their configuration is entirely distinct from the nervous, vascular, or lymphatic networks and therefore appears as an independent, non-anatomical system.

These filamentary and vortical currents thus have a dual character. In the ordinary sector, it manifests as transient streams or whirling of cold plasma; in the dark sector, it corresponds to a stable network of dark currents and vortical structures within the dark plasma sheath (similar with the filamentary meridians and vortical chakra structures charted-out traditionally). This duality allows the filamentary and vortical systems imprinted on the ordinary matter body to function as an interface between the ordinary matter body and its dark plasma counterpart. What appears in the ordinary sector are only faint, shimmering plasma traces—ephemeral signatures of a deeper, more coherent dark-plasma filamentary and vortical architecture.

The plasma-like conduction model that is proposed here reframes meridians not as fixed anatomical structures but as emergent, transient, and an integrated, multi-layered phenomena arising from interactions between:

- *The dark plasma sheath (with its dense filaments, nodes, and vortex structures),*
- *The organism's bioelectric field, and*
- *The ordinary matter body, including its ionic and molecular environment.*

In this view, the observed electrical anomalies at acupoints—lower resistance, higher conductance, rhythmic fluctuations—are not caused by a single tissue type or biochemical pathway. Instead, they reflect episodic generation of ordinary cold plasma through collisional ionization and kinetic mixing along dark-sector filaments and vortices, as well as modulation by the organism's endogenous bioelectric field. The persistence of the visible or detectable ordinary cold plasma filaments and vortical structures is also dependent on environmental conditions; it dissipates rapidly at sea level but can linger longer in the more highly ionized atmosphere at higher altitudes and near electrical installations.

- *This Interpretation Resolves Several Longstanding Criticisms:*

- ✓ *No fixed anatomy is required, because the plasma structures are transient and dissipate rapidly.*
- ✓ *Ionic conduction anomalies become secondary signatures, not primary mechanisms.*
- ✓ *Biochemical mediators (NO, neuropeptides) modulate but do not define the pathways.*
- ✓ *Electrical measurements become context-dependent, consistent with their variability across studies.*

Thus, the plasma like conduction model integrates the disparate empirical findings into a coherent, multi-sector biophysical framework.

The dual-sector model presented in this paper therefore predicts the existence of secondary ordinary plasma signatures in and on the ordinary matter body. Some of these signatures have already been observed in various experimental settings, as discussed below.

➤ *Experimental Verification of Plasma Signatures on Ordinary Matter Body Already Conducted*

Here, we discuss experimental observations already conducted and what they suggest. In this paper, further experimental designs are explored to identify and detect the ordinary plasma signatures in and on the ordinary matter body in the next section.

➤ *Field-Aligned Filamentary Currents:*

Plasma filaments are ubiquitous in astrophysical and laboratory plasmas because charged particles moving in electromagnetic fields naturally self-focus into narrow, current-carrying channels. If a dark plasma sheath forms around the body, the ordinary bioelectric field—especially its long, quasi-one-dimensional gradients along limbs and fascial planes—would guide dark electrons and ions into filamentary pathways. From the perspective of the dark plasma sheath, these would function as stable current channels. The acupuncture meridian system, with its extended pathways and discrete nodes, mirrors this architecture of filamentary currents and nodes. Hence, studies and maps relating to the traditional system may be used to test the hypothesis presented in this paper.

Electrophysiological studies consistently report that meridian lines and acupuncture points exhibit distinctive electrical behavior, including lower skin impedance, higher capacitance, and atypical responses to applied currents. Experiments at Jiao Tong University showed that electrical signals propagate along meridian trajectories in ways not observed in adjacent tissue, implying a conductive pathway not explained by nerves or blood vessels. Robert Becker's electrophysiological work reached similar conclusions, identifying current flow along meridian-like routes that did not match known neuroelectric patterns. Numerous similar studies have been conducted which reach similar conclusions [59–79].

Valerie Hunt at UCLA (US) reported that beyond the electrical frequencies of muscle, brain and heart, there is another field of electrical energy, smaller in amplitude and higher in frequency. It is eight to ten times faster than the other bioelectricity from the body's surface. However, it was one-half to one-third as strong as the millivoltage of a resting muscle. She reports that the high-frequency electrical emissions she recorded at certain sites cannot be explained by known electrophysiology. The emissions are not consistent with muscle, nerve, or cardiac electrical activity. The signals appear to be distinct from conventional electromagnetism. She says that this energy is "more complex" and "composed of an as-yet undiscovered energy," not accounted for by standard biophysics. These claims are discussed in the context of her electromyographic studies measuring frequencies from 100–1600 Hz, far above typical physiological ranges [79].

These observations are difficult to reconcile with conventional anatomy but align naturally with the hypothesis that meridians correspond to cold ordinary plasma traces of deeper dark-sector filaments anchored to the bioelectric skeleton. Plasma channels have low electrical resistance, support continuous current flow, and respond sensitively to external fields. Reports from healers, sensitives, and near-death experiencers of an "electrical" sensation—distinct from both household electricity and ordinary bioelectricity—may reflect ultra-weak interactions between dark-sector currents and the nervous system.

Ahn et al.'s systematic review confirmed that acupuncture points frequently show reduced electrical impedance and elevated capacitance relative to surrounding tissue. [62] Such properties are consistent with conduits optimized for charge transport rather than neural signaling. A 2020 *Nanoscale Research Letters* study proposed that meridian transmission involves ion drift and diffusion currents described by isothermal transient ionic current (ITIC) theory, suggesting a form of ion-based, plasma-like conduction distinct from standard bioelectricity [73].

The main scientific criticisms of ITIC-based meridian conduction are that the measured "transient ionic currents" lack the cellular, molecular, and anatomical correlates required for genuine ion-drift conduction. The signals are too fast, too spatially coherent, too geometry-insensitive, and too weakly dependent on ionic concentration to be explained by classical drift-diffusion physics. True ionic drift currents scale strongly with extracellular ion concentration, temperature, hydration and pH. But ITIC-interpreted meridian signals show weak or inconsistent dependence on these variables [73]. This is a major red flag: if the signals were ionic, concentration dependence should be strong.

The transient signals resemble capacitive discharge, dielectric relaxation, polarization currents in soft tissue—not ionic drift-diffusion [74]. This suggests that ITIC is misinterpreting a field-mediated relaxation phenomenon. Experiments show that cooling tissue, applying ion-channel blockers, and altering extracellular ion composition does *not* eliminate the meridian-like transient signals [75]. This is

incompatible with ITIC's ionic-current interpretation. These criticisms collectively suggest that the ITIC signals are *not* ionic currents in tissue, but instead reflect a non-ionic, field-based, or plasma-like phenomenon—precisely the regime in which the dark plasma sheath model (presented in this paper) predicts cold plasma trails and vortex-guided conduction.

Biomedical explanations typically attribute lower resistance at acupuncture points to increased vascularization, nerve density, connective-tissue intersections, or variations in epidermal thickness and hydration. Fascia has also been proposed as a semi-conductive matrix capable of guiding ionic currents. Yet critics note that *meridians do not correspond to any recognized anatomical structures—nerves, vessels, or lymphatics—and attempts to map them onto fascial planes remain speculative.*

This critique appears repeatedly in reviews of meridian biology and in biophysical studies attempting to identify structural correlates. Research surveys note that although some morphological studies report enriched vascular or neural components at acupoints, these findings are inconsistent and do not map onto the continuous, longitudinal pathways described in classical meridian charts. The absence of a stable, identifiable anatomical substrate remains a central objection raised by physiologists and anatomists.

While numerous studies [59-79] report distinct electrical properties along meridian pathways, critics argue that these findings lack direct cellular or molecular confirmation. The electrical anomalies (e.g., lower impedance, higher conductance) may arise from confounding factors such as skin hydration, electrode pressure, temperature, or sympathetic nervous system activity rather than from a discrete conductive channel. Systematic reviews show inconsistent results across laboratories, with only a subset of studies demonstrating statistically significant differences between meridian and non-meridian sites. These inconsistencies fuel skepticism about whether ionic conduction could explain a genuine physiological pathway.

Another criticism concerns biochemical signaling mechanisms. Some researchers propose that neuropeptides, nitric oxide (NO), or gap-junction-mediated signaling underlie meridian function [76, 77]. However, critics point out that none of these biochemical mediators are unique to acupuncture points. NO, for example, is elevated at acupoints in some studies, but NOergic signaling is ubiquitous in skin physiology and strongly modulated by sympathetic activity, inflammation, and vascular tone. Similarly, neuropeptides such as substance P or CGRP are widely distributed in cutaneous nerve fibers and cannot by themselves explain the longitudinal coherence of meridian pathways. These biochemical correlates therefore lack the specificity required to ground meridians in conventional physiology.

Together, these criticisms argue that no single anatomical, ionic, or biochemical mechanism adequately explains the meridian system. The inconsistencies in electrical measurements, the non-specificity of biochemical

markers, and the absence of identifiable anatomical conduits motivate the search for a more integrative framework.

➤ *Vortical Structures:*

Plasma vortices are another universal feature of self-organizing plasmas. They arise when charged particles circulate around field-aligned axes, forming stable, rotating structures with characteristic frequencies. In magnetized plasmas, these vortices often appear as nested, rotating toroids or spirals. If the dark plasma sheath forms vortices at anatomical junctions where the ordinary bioelectric field exhibits radial symmetry or strong curvature, these vortices would appear as stable, rotating energy centers. In the dual-sector model, these would correspond to dark plasma vortices anchored to regions of high voltage curvature—junctions where the ordinary bioelectric field changes direction, folds, or converges. The subjective sensations associated with chakras in traditional Yoga practices—rotation and whirling sensations, expansion, heat, or pressure—could arise from weak mediator-coupled interactions between dark plasma vortices and ordinary sensory pathways.

Valerie Hunt's work [79] contains the most explicit attempts to empirically characterize vortex-like, rotating energy structures associated with the human body in a quasi-clinical setting. Hunt developed high-frequency electromagnetic recording systems and spectral-analysis protocols to detect what she described as "high-frequency bioenergy fields" surrounding the body. Her research reports:

- Distinct rotational patterns in the recorded electromagnetic emissions at locations corresponding to traditional chakra sites.
- Dynamic changes in rotation, amplitude, and spectral composition during emotional shifts, healing sessions, and altered-state practices.
- Individualized field signatures, with rotational patterns that varied systematically across subjects and conditions.

Hunt argues that these rotating field structures behave as organized vortices whose dynamics correlate with physiological, emotional, and cognitive states. Her work positions these vortices as measurable electromagnetic phenomena.

➤ *Summary of Recent Experimental Results*

Modern research on field-aligned filamentary currents in the human body has struggled to identify anatomical correlates. Attempts to map meridians to nerves, vessels, or fascia have produced inconsistent results. The ionic conduction hypothesis, while promising, lacks direct cellular or molecular validation. The dual-sector model resolves these inconsistencies by proposing that the filamentary currents and vortical structures and related structures are not anatomical in the ordinary sense but are dark plasma structures anchored to the ordinary bioelectric field and intermittently projected onto the ordinary matter body.

Their electrical signatures arise from the interaction between dark plasma currents and the ionic environment,

mediated by the weak coupling. These features include both fluidic and crystalline structures. Hence, they should not be confused with normal hydrodynamic behavior within the ordinary matter body. Furthermore, the vortices or chakras along the spine of the body (as depicted in traditional Yoga literature) are large and centralized – there are no known anatomical structures in the human body that would fit the description of these types of vortices and their structural organization.

However, the dark sheath hypothesis notes that these are natural structures that are predicted to arise from plasma dynamics. It explains why meridians exhibit electrical properties without corresponding to known anatomical structures; why nodes appear in a conductive network; why there are whirling sensations during meditative states, and why there have been reports of sensations in the human body that feel electrical but not like ordinary electricity. All these are explained, not using metaphysical explanations, but basic plasma dynamics in the context of a dark sector.

XII. EXPERIMENTAL PREDICTIONS AND TESTABLE CONSEQUENCES

This section provides guidance on possible detection strategies that would be able to detect filamentary conduction, transient cold-plasma trails, plasmoid-like cold plasma nodes, rotating ordinary-sector cold plasma vortices, nested shell-like cold plasma structures, which are not related to any known biochemical structures. These will be in the form of weak, transient, ordinary-sector plasma phenomena generated by the interaction between the dark plasma sheath, the organism's bioelectric field, and the ordinary matter biochemical body.

➤ *Overview of Detection Techniques*

• *Detecting Filamentary Currents*

These can include detection strategies using impedance mapping, isothermal transient ionic current (ITIC), and microcurrent propagation. High frequency EM scanning can detect transient linear conduction pathways, cold plasma like microcurrents, and localized low impedance channels. These are theorized to correspond to filamentary imprints of dark filaments.

• *Detecting Plasmoids and Localized Energy Nodes*

Plasmoids—self-contained, quasi-stable plasma structures—form spontaneously in many plasma environments. They are bounded by double layers, maintain internal oscillations, and can persist for long periods. If the dark plasma sheath supports plasmoid formation, these structures would appear as localized nodes of dark charge density, often at anatomical points where the ordinary bioelectric field exhibits local minima or maxima. Their unusual electrical properties—lower resistance, higher capacitance, and abnormal pulse responses—could reflect the presence of a dark plasmoid anchored to a local curvature feature in the ordinary bioelectric field. The fact that acupuncture points do not correspond to nerves, vessels, or lymphatics becomes less puzzling if they are not anatomical

structures at all, but dark-sector plasma nodes stabilized by the ordinary field. These techniques are designed to detect ordinary-sector plasmoid echoes by the following methods:

- ✓ Fast optical imaging (nanosecond-scale luminescence)
- ✓ Localized magnetic pulses
- ✓ Micro-ionization bursts
- ✓ RF micro-emissions.

These would be extremely weak but theoretically measurable.

- *Detecting Vortical Structures*

These can include experiments to detect ordinary matter correlates of the dark vortical structures (and rotating nodes) using:

- ✓ SQUID magnetometry - rotating magnetic micro-fields
- ✓ Ultra-low-frequency EM sensors - torsional field oscillations
- ✓ High-frequency EM arrays (Hunt-style [79]) - rotational spectral signatures
- ✓ Optical polarization mapping - circular birefringence from ionized micro-flows.

- *Detecting Additional Electromagnetic (EM) Fields and Nested Concentric Shells*

A dark plasma sheath would generate its own dark electric and magnetic fields, distinct from the ordinary fields produced by ionic currents. These dark fields would not be directly detectable by conventional instruments, but they could influence the ordinary electromagnetic fields through the mediator coupling, collisional ionization and kinetic mixing. These would correlate with field geometry rather than anatomical structures.

Plasma systems often naturally form concentric shells or nested layers, each with distinct charge densities and oscillation modes. Using the ordinary bioelectric field as the anchor, the dark plasma sheath may form nested shells of tenuous cold plasma (through collisional ionization and kinetic mixing) around the ordinary matter body, each corresponding to different coherence lengths or coupling strengths. Nested shells in the dark sheath would produce concentric modulations in the ordinary bioelectric field, frequency-band stratification, and radial gradients in ionization probability. Experiments may use the following detection techniques:

- ✓ Multi-frequency EM tomography
- ✓ Electric field tomography (EFT)
- ✓ Bioelectric potential mapping
- ✓ Hunt-style high-frequency spectral arrays.

These detect ordinary-sector shells, which shadow the dark shells.

➤ *How to Design Experiments to Detect the Dark Plasma Sheath*

Designing experiments to detect a dark plasma sheath requires accepting that we will not, at least initially, measure

dark charges directly. Instead, we probe the coupled system by manipulating the ordinary bioelectric field in ways that selectively stress its role as a field lens and template for dark plasma condensation. The central strategy is to distinguish effects that follow from simple changes in membrane potential from those that depend on the geometry, coherence, and curvature of the bioelectric field. Wherever developmental, regenerative, or pattern memory phenomena track field geometry rather than local voltage magnitude, we have indirect access to the dark sheath.

The first design principle is to target voltage curvature, not just absolute potential. Standard bioelectric experiments depolarize or hyperpolarize tissues globally or in small patches. To probe the dark sheath, one must impose patterned, spatially structured voltage fields—using optogenetics, engineered gap junction networks, or microelectrode arrays—that create controlled gradients, folds, and topological features in the bioelectric landscape. The prediction is that certain morphogenetic outcomes, nonlocal effects, or memory changes will correlate with these geometric manipulations even when average membrane potential remains unchanged. Experiments that compare “same mean voltage, different spatial pattern” are therefore crucial: any systematic difference in anatomical outcome points to a field level, and potentially dark sector, contribution.

The second principle is to exploit temporal coherence. If the dark plasma sheath condenses around stable, coherent bioelectric patterns, then rapidly fluctuating or noise like voltage perturbations should disrupt sheath formation more than slow, coherent modulations. One can design experiments in which tissues are exposed to time varying optogenetic stimuli: in one condition, the pattern is coherent and slowly varying; in another, it is rapidly scrambled while maintaining the same average potential. A purely ionic model predicts similar outcomes if the integrated voltage exposure is matched. The dual sector model predicts that coherent patterns will support robust morphogenesis and regeneration, while scrambled patterns will degrade these processes by preventing the dark sheath from forming or stabilizing.

A third principle is to separate local ionic effects from global pattern effects. This can be done by combining fine grained, local ion channel manipulations with large scale, imposed field geometries. For example, one can locally block gap junctions or ion channels in a region while simultaneously imposing a coherent voltage pattern across the entire tissue using external electrodes or optogenetic drives. If the dark sheath is present, the imposed global pattern may still guide development despite local ionic disruptions, because the sheath encodes a higher order template that the tissue can follow. Observing robust, globally coherent anatomical outcomes in the face of local ionic noise would support the existence of a field level structure beyond the ordinary bioelectric network.

A fourth principle is to design memory focused experiments. Planarian and other regenerative models are ideal here. One can first imprint a particular bioelectric

pattern—using optogenetics or pharmacology—that leads to a stable, altered morphology (for example, two headed worms). After this morphology is established, one can attempt to “reset” the ionic field using strong depolarizing treatments, while carefully preserving or disrupting the spatial coherence of the imposed pattern. If the dark sheath stores pattern memory, then resetting ions without destroying field geometry should fail to erase the morphological memory, whereas scrambling the geometry should succeed. The key is to distinguish interventions that change voltage magnitude from those that destroy the spatial template; only the latter should erase memory in the dual sector model.

A fifth principle is to look for nonlocal, topology dependent effects. Experiments can be designed where a patterned bioelectric perturbation is applied to one region of a tissue, while another region is electrically isolated (for example, by cutting gap junction connections or physically separating tissue fragments). If the dark sheath mediates nonlocal coordination, then the isolated region may still show correlated changes in development or regeneration that track the global field geometry rather than local ionic connectivity. By systematically varying the topology of the bioelectric network—connecting and disconnecting regions while maintaining or altering global field patterns—one can test whether anatomical decisions depend on network connectivity (ionic model) or on field geometry (dual sector model).

A sixth principle is to integrate ultra-sensitive physical measurements with bioelectric manipulations, without expecting direct dark matter detection. High sensitivity electric field probes or magnetometers placed near developing tissues can be used to monitor subtle, patterned fluctuations that accompany imposed changes in voltage curvature. If the dark sheath feeds back into the ordinary field via the mediator, then certain geometric manipulations may produce small, reproducible anomalies in the local electromagnetic environment that cannot be fully explained by ionic currents. These anomalies, especially if they correlate with morphogenetic outcomes and field geometry, would provide an additional, physical line of evidence for the coupled system.

A seventh principle is to consider cross-sector “collisional ionization.” When dark matter particles collide with ordinary matter particles on Earth, it can knock out electrons and protons from atoms through weak interactions, producing *ordinary cold plasma* momentarily before it quickly dissipates at Earth's surface temperature (about 288 K). If the dark plasma denser, these collisions and the resulting plasma frequency increases. Because protons are larger, they collide more frequently than electrons, cooling themselves while electrons remain hot. This leads to a plasma where the bulk mass (from cold protons) keeps the temperature low, similar to laboratory-generated cold plasma created without heating. Examples of cold plasma include auroras and the cool plasma in fluorescent lamps. As displaced or high-energy ordinary electrons return to lower energy states or recombine, energy is released in several forms:

- *Light*: The plasma emits photons, sometimes appearing as luminous, glowing, or shadowy forms.
- *Heat*: Even “cold” plasma generates some thermal energy (infrared radiation) and microwaves.
- *Electricity*: Electron displacement creates weak currents and localized electric fields.
- *Radiation*: Low-energy plasma typically emits radio, infrared, and microwave radiation; occasionally, ultraviolet or X-rays may be produced.
- *Electromagnetic fields*: Particle movement induces oscillating electromagnetic fields and waves.

The eight principle is the detection of “kinetic mixing” products. It is theorized that during kinetic mixing, dark matter particles such as dark photons and axions, convert to ordinary photons. These theorized processes can be harnessed to trace dark-ordinary matter interactions over the body that would generate ordinary photons. This may have been previously detected and identified as biophotons.

In all of these designs, the guiding idea is simple: the dark plasma sheath is sculpted by the bioelectric field as a lens and template. Therefore, experiments that systematically vary the geometry, coherence, and topology of that field—while controlling for average voltage and local ionic mechanisms—can reveal whether an additional, hidden layer is participating in morphogenesis, regeneration, and pattern memory. If the observed phenomena track field geometry more faithfully than ionic connectivity or voltage magnitude, then bioelectricity research will have taken its first empirical steps toward detecting the dark plasma skin that envelops the living body.

➤ *Experimental Protocols for Mediator–Control Comparison*

Additional experiments should compare advanced mediators—capable of modulating dark plasma structures—with untrained controls. Protocols include:

- *High-resolution impedance mapping along meridian lines.*
- *SQUID magnetometry at traditional chakra locations.*
- *Infrared/microwave detection of filamentary emissions.*
- *Optical spectroscopy during acupuncture or qigong practice.*
- *State-locked measurements during entry into and exit from deep meditation.*

Mediators should exhibit stronger, more coherent, and more geometry-aligned plasma signatures than controls.

➤ *Predictions Relating to Experimental Outcomes*

• *The Dual-Sector Model Predicts:*

- ✓ *Filamentary pulsing along meridian paths, independent of cardiac or neural rhythms.*
- ✓ *Vortical magnetic signatures at traditional chakra locations, detectable by SQUID magnetometry.*
- ✓ *Plasmoid activation at acupuncture points, visible as localized conductivity spikes or optical emissions.*

- ✓ *Multimodal coherence across electrical, magnetic, and optical measurements during deep meditation.*
- ✓ *State-dependent transitions in meditators, with dark plasma structures condensing during deep absorption and relaxing afterward.*
- ✓ *Nonlocal coordination across tissues that cannot be explained by ionic conduction alone.*
- ✓ *Residual “ghost fields” after rapid depolarization, reflecting the slower relaxation of the dark sheath.*
- ✓ *Ordinary cold plasma traces due to cross-sector collisional ionization and kinetic mixing.*

If a dark plasma sheath condenses upon the ordinary bioelectric field through a Yukawa mediated coupling, then the model makes a series of concrete, experimentally accessible predictions. These predictions do not require direct detection of dark matter; instead, they rely on the fact that the dark plasma sheath must respond to manipulations of the ordinary bioelectric field, and that this response should leave subtle but measurable signatures in developmental dynamics, regeneration, and bioelectric patterning. The key point is that the dark sheath is not an abstract metaphysical layer but a physical structure whose behavior is constrained by the geometry, coherence, and temporal evolution of the bioelectric field. Therefore, perturbations to the ordinary field should produce reproducible, structured deviations from the predictions of a purely ionic model.

The first class of predictions concerns anomalous pattern outcomes. Levin’s experiments already show that altering membrane potentials can produce unexpected anatomical configurations—eyes on tails, ectopic limbs, reversed polarity, and alternative morphologies that are not straightforwardly encoded in the genome. In a purely ionic model, these outcomes are difficult to reconcile with the limited computational capacity of ion channel networks. In the dual sector model, however, such anomalies arise naturally when the ordinary bioelectric field is perturbed in ways that disrupt the focusing geometry of the Yukawa potential. The dark plasma sheath, which stores higher order pattern information, may momentarily decouple from the ionic field, leading to transient mismatches between the dark sector template and the ordinary tissue. The resulting anatomical outcomes would be reproducible but not easily predictable from ionic dynamics alone. Thus, the model predicts that certain classes of “bioelectric anomalies” should cluster around perturbations that specifically alter voltage curvature rather than absolute membrane potential.

A second prediction is the existence of long range, nonlocal developmental effects that cannot be explained by gap junction conduction or chemical diffusion. If the dark plasma sheath supports wave propagation and field level correlations, then perturbing the bioelectric field in one region should produce structured responses in distant tissues that are not electrically connected. Levin’s work already hints at such nonlocality, but the dual sector model predicts that these effects should be more pronounced when the perturbation modifies the spatial coherence of the field rather than its magnitude. Experiments that impose patterned, time varying optogenetic stimuli—especially those that alter the

curvature or topology of the voltage field—should produce nonlocal morphological responses that propagate faster and farther than ionic mechanisms allow.

A third prediction concerns memory. If the dark plasma sheath serves as a long term storage layer for pattern information, then tissues should retain memories of past bioelectric states even after the ionic field has been reset. Levin’s work on planarian regeneration already demonstrates that organisms can “remember” a target morphology even after the bioelectric pattern is erased. The dual sector model predicts that this memory should persist across manipulations that disrupt ion gradients but preserve the overall geometry of the tissue. Conversely, perturbations that alter the spatial coherence of the bioelectric field—such as patterned optogenetic scrambling—should erase or overwrite the memory in ways that simple depolarization cannot. This provides a direct experimental test: memory erasure should correlate with disruptions to field geometry, not merely with changes in membrane potential.

A fourth prediction is the existence of bioelectric “ghost fields”—residual voltage patterns that appear to guide development even when the ionic sources have been removed or neutralized. If the dark sheath mirrors the geometry of the bioelectric field, then it may continue to exert influence for a short time after the ionic field is perturbed, until the sheath relaxes or reconfigures. This could manifest as transient patterning forces that persist beyond the lifetime of the ionic gradients that originally shaped them. Experiments that rapidly depolarize tissues while simultaneously imaging voltage patterns may reveal such ghost fields as slowly decaying spatial structures that cannot be explained by membrane capacitance or ion diffusion.

A fifth prediction concerns regeneration. If the dark plasma sheath encodes a higher order template of the organism’s morphology, then regeneration should fail or become chaotic when the sheath is disrupted. Conversely, regeneration should be enhanced when the sheath is stabilized. This suggests that certain bioelectric interventions—especially those that increase field coherence or reduce noise—should improve regenerative outcomes even in organisms that normally regenerate poorly. The model therefore predicts that artificially imposed coherent voltage patterns, delivered through patterned optogenetics or engineered gap junction networks, should enhance regeneration in species such as mammals, where regenerative capacity is limited. The dark sheath would interpret the imposed coherence as a stabilizing template and guide tissue repair accordingly.

A sixth prediction is that bioelectric manipulations should produce subtle, structured electromagnetic anomalies that cannot be accounted for by ionic currents alone. The dark plasma sheath, while not directly detectable, may subtly influence the ordinary electromagnetic environment through the mediator coupling. These effects would be extremely small, but they should correlate with changes in voltage curvature rather than absolute potential. Sensitive magnetometers or electric field probes placed near

developing tissues may detect faint, patterned fluctuations that track the geometry of the bioelectric field but persist even when ionic currents are suppressed. Such anomalies would not be signatures of dark matter per se, but of the feedback loop between the ordinary field and the dark sheath.

Finally, the model predicts that individuals with unusual sensitivity—whether biological organisms or trained human subjects—may detect or respond to the dark plasma sheath in ways that ordinary instruments cannot. This is not a metaphysical claim but a biological one: if the dark sheath interacts weakly with the ordinary field, then organisms with heightened sensitivity to subtle electromagnetic cues may perceive aspects of the sheath indirectly. This prediction is difficult to test directly, but it suggests that certain anomalous perceptual reports may have a physical basis in the dual sector architecture.

Taken together, these predictions provide a roadmap for experimentally probing the existence of a dark plasma sheath without requiring direct detection of dark matter. The key is that the sheath is not an independent entity, but a structure sculpted by the bioelectric field. By manipulating the geometry, coherence, and temporal evolution of that field, researchers can indirectly probe the presence of the dark sheath through its effects on development, regeneration, memory, and nonlocal coordination. If these predictions are borne out, then bioelectricity research will have uncovered not only a powerful developmental control system but also the ordinary sector interface of a deeper, dual sector biological architecture.

➤ *Avoiding Naïve Expectations of Detection Outcomes*

Modern physics has reached a stage where “detection” no longer means what it did even half a century ago. *In contemporary experimental practice, no known detection channel measures a dark-sector excitation in its native form.* Every proposed mechanism—kinetic mixing, portal interactions, collisional ionization, or mediator-induced perturbations—registers only the ordinary-sector residue produced when a dark-sector excitation perturbs the geometry of the detector. The detector never sees the dark excitation itself; it sees only the ordinary-sector footprint created when the dark excitation forces a brief, geometry-changing interaction.

In collisional ionization, for example, the only observable quantity is the ordinary plasma generated when an incoming dark excitation induces ionization in the detector medium. The plasma is composed entirely of ordinary electrons and ions; the dark excitation that triggered the event never appears as a classical 3D object. Kinetic mixing behaves similarly. The observable signal is always an ordinary photon. The so-called “dark photon” does not manifest as a classical excitation in the 3D sector. The mixing term merely induces a small amplitude for an ordinary photon to be produced when a dark-sector excitation interacts with the detector. What the detector registers is a perfectly ordinary electromagnetic event. The dark excitation remains non-classical in the 3D frame and therefore never appears as a particle.

If an X17-type mediator exists, it functions not as a particle that crosses sectors but as a sectoral induction operator: a geometric alignment that allows a dark-sector excitation to perturb the trajectory of an ordinary-sector particle. The detector then records an anomalous angular distribution, an unexpected recoil, or a resonance bump. But the measured quantity is always an ordinary-sector trajectory. The dark-sector excitation never stabilizes as a 3D soliton and therefore never appears as a particle. Any dark-sector excitation that interacts strongly enough to be detected in our universe does so only by inducing an ordinary-sector response.

Astrophysical observations follow the same logic. Dark matter is never seen directly; it is inferred from gravitational lensing, from the rotation curves of galaxies, and from the large-scale structure of the universe. Even ordinary-matter black holes are not directly observed. They are imaged only through the radiation emitted by the accreting plasma around them. The “image” of a black hole is therefore a map of ordinary matter responding to extreme curvature, not a direct view of the object itself.

The Higgs boson provides a further illustration. It was not observed as a classical particle in a detector. Its existence was confirmed through the statistical excess of its decay products—ordinary photons, leptons, and jets—whose distributions matched the predicted signatures of a Higgs-mediated process. The Higgs itself never appeared as a directly imaged object; only its influence on other particles was measurable.

Dark matter is even more elusive. It does not emit, absorb, or scatter ordinary electromagnetic radiation. Consequently, it cannot be seen directly by the sensory systems of biological organisms composed of ordinary matter, nor by detectors built from ordinary matter. Every detection channel available to us is intrinsically limited to observing the ordinary-sector consequences of dark-sector activity. The dark excitation itself remains structurally inaccessible as a classical 3D entity.

XIII. EVOLUTIONARY IMPLICATIONS OF A DUAL SECTOR ORGANISM

If the human organism is a dual-sector entity—an ordinary biochemical body coupled to a dark plasma sheath through the bioelectric field—then evolution must be reinterpreted as a process that shaped not only genes, proteins, and tissues, but also the geometry and stability of the inter-sector interface. The bioelectric field becomes the evolutionary bridge: a structure that ordinary biology can regulate and that dark plasma can respond to. Over deep time, organisms would have evolved to exploit this coupling, using the dark plasma sheath as an additional layer of computation, coordination, and morphological memory.

The earliest multicellular organisms likely possessed only rudimentary bioelectric patterning, but even simple voltage gradients would have generated weak Yukawa potentials capable of attracting dark electrons. As tissues

became more complex, the spatial coherence of the bioelectric field increased, enabling the formation of more stable dark plasma filaments and vortices. These structures would have conferred evolutionary advantages: faster long-range coordination, enhanced robustness to injury, and the ability to maintain global anatomical templates across developmental perturbations. Organisms capable of stabilizing a dark plasma sheath would regenerate more effectively, maintain body plan fidelity under stress, and adapt more rapidly to environmental challenges.

The emergence of nervous systems further amplified this coupling. Neural activity generates dynamic, high-resolution bioelectric patterns that can sculpt the dark plasma sheath with unprecedented precision. This allowed the dark sheath to become a substrate for field-level cognition, integrating sensory information and coordinating behavior across the organism. Evolution would have selected for organisms whose neural and bioelectric architectures maximized the stability and responsiveness of the dark plasma sheath, giving rise to increasingly sophisticated forms of perception, memory, and intentional action.

Human evolution, in this framework, represents a culmination of this dual-sector integration. The human brain's extraordinary electrical complexity, combined with the fine-grained bioelectric architecture of the body, provides an ideal scaffold for a highly structured dark plasma sheath. Practices such as meditation, breathwork, and ritual movement—found across cultures—may have emerged as behavioral strategies for modulating the dark plasma sheath, enhancing coherence, and accessing expanded perceptual or cognitive states. These practices would have been preserved culturally because they conferred real physiological and psychological benefits mediated through the dual-sector interface.

Thus, evolution did not produce a purely biochemical organism but a hybrid entity whose form, function, and consciousness emerge from the interplay between ordinary matter and dark plasma. The dark sheath is not an evolutionary accident but a co-evolved partner, shaped by millions of years of selection acting on the bioelectric skeleton that anchors it.

➤ *How a Dual-Sector Organism Enhances Evolution*

If the organism truly operates as a coupled ordinary–dark plasma system, then this architecture must be understood not as an anomaly but as a profound evolutionary innovation. Evolution does not preserve unnecessary complexity; it retains structures and processes that confer survival advantages across vast timescales. The dual-sector organism, one whose biochemical body is continuously interfaced with a structured dark plasma sheath, represents a solution to fundamental challenges that life has faced since its earliest emergence: how to maintain form, how to repair damage, how to store memory across discontinuity, and how to explore new morphological possibilities without sacrificing stability.

The first advantage of the dual-sector architecture lies in its ability to stabilize patterning across perturbation. Biological development is inherently fragile. Cells divide stochastically, biochemical gradients fluctuate, and environmental conditions shift unpredictably. A purely biochemical or genetic system would be vulnerable to such noise. The bioelectric field already provides a degree of robustness by integrating signals across tissues, but its limitations in speed, coherence, and memory make it insufficient as the sole guardian of anatomical integrity. The dark plasma sheath, with its long coherence lengths and stable attractor dynamics, supplies a deeper stabilizing layer. It preserves the organism's pattern memory even when ionic signals degrade or tissues undergo radical reorganization.

A second evolutionary advantage is enhanced regenerative capacity. Regeneration requires more than cell proliferation; it demands the ability to reconstruct a coherent anatomical plan. Organisms capable of restoring lost structures gain a decisive survival advantage. The dual-sector system provides the computational depth needed for this task. The bioelectric field selects among stored morphological templates, while the dark plasma sheath preserves the attractor landscape that encodes them. This partnership explains why planarians can regenerate not only their own head shape but also alternative, evolutionarily embedded morphologies when their bioelectric field is perturbed. The dual-sector organism is not merely repairing tissue; it is recomputing form using a multi-layered informational architecture.

A third advantage is evolvability. Evolution proceeds by exploring variations on existing forms. A high-dimensional attractor landscape allows organisms to generate viable morphological variants without catastrophic failure. Small genetic or environmental perturbations can shift the system into neighboring attractors, producing new anatomical configurations that may become the basis for future lineages. The dark plasma sheath stabilizes these attractors, ensuring that new forms can be explored without losing access to ancestral templates. Evolution thus operates not on a blank slate but on a richly structured landscape shaped by millions of years of prior morphologies. The dual-sector organism is inherently more adaptable because it carries within it a memory of evolutionary possibilities.

A fourth advantage is continuity across discontinuity, a property that becomes especially important in organisms that undergo metamorphosis or extreme regeneration. The persistence of larval memories into the adult moth, despite the destruction of larval neural circuits, demonstrates that memory is not stored in synapses alone. It is stored in a field-based substrate that survives the dissolution of tissues. The dark plasma sheath provides an even deeper layer of continuity, preserving pattern memory when the ordinary bioelectric field is disrupted during histolysis. This ensures that learned behaviors, target morphologies, and organism-level constraints persist across radical anatomical transitions. The dual-sector organism is therefore capable of carrying memory through metamorphosis, a feat that would be impossible if memory were confined to cellular structures.

A fifth advantage is computational efficiency. The tasks required for morphogenesis—evaluating alternative forms, selecting stable attractors, coordinating millions of cells—are computationally immense. A purely ionic system lacks the bandwidth and memory to perform these tasks efficiently. The dark plasma sheath provides the necessary computational depth, allowing evolution to offload high-dimensional processing onto a substrate with superior coherence and stability. The dual-sector organism can perform in hours what would require days or weeks of computation on modern supercomputers. This efficiency is not a luxury; it is a decisive evolutionary advantage.

Taken together, these advantages reveal the dual-sector organism as a major evolutionary innovation, one that enhances robustness, regeneration, adaptability, continuity, and computational power. The ordinary bioelectric field and the dark plasma sheath are not independent systems but co-evolved partners, each amplifying the capabilities of the other. Their integration allows life to maintain form in the face of noise, to repair itself when damaged, to remember across discontinuity, and to explore new morphological possibilities without losing access to its evolutionary past.

In this light, the dual-sector organism is not an exotic hypothesis but a natural extension of evolutionary logic. It represents life's solution to the enduring challenge of how to preserve identity while remaining open to transformation—a challenge that has shaped biological evolution from its earliest beginnings.

➤ *Why Evolution Would Preserve a High-Dimensional Attractor Landscape*

The existence of a high-dimensional morphological attractor landscape is not an evolutionary accident; it is a necessity. Organisms must maintain their form across injury, environmental perturbation, and developmental noise. A system that encodes only a single target morphology would be brittle, unable to adapt or recover from disruptions. By contrast, a system that stores multiple stable attractors—each corresponding to a viable anatomical configuration—provides robustness, flexibility, and evolutionary resilience.

Over hundreds of millions of years, planarians and other regenerating organisms have encountered countless perturbations: predation, environmental stress, partial amputation, and developmental anomalies. Each perturbation acts as a selective pressure, favoring organisms whose patterning systems can navigate a rich landscape of possible forms and converge on a stable solution. Evolution therefore preserves not only the current morphology but also ancestral attractors that remain embedded in the organism's informational architecture. These latent forms can be re-expressed when the bioelectric field is experimentally altered, revealing the deep evolutionary memory encoded in the system.

A high-dimensional attractor landscape also facilitates innovation. New morphologies can arise as deformations of existing attractors, allowing evolution to explore novel anatomical configurations without sacrificing stability. The

attractor landscape becomes a map of evolutionary possibilities, a structured space in which both ancestral and emergent forms coexist. This explains why planarians can regenerate head shapes resembling extinct or related species: these forms are not lost but remain encoded as stable attractors within the organism's patterning field.

From an evolutionary perspective, the preservation of such a landscape is advantageous because it provides a buffer against catastrophic failure. If the primary attractor is disrupted, the system can fall back into an alternative stable configuration rather than collapsing into nonviability. This redundancy is a hallmark of robust biological systems.

Within the dual-sector model, the dark plasma sheath plays a central role in maintaining this landscape. Its long coherence lengths, rapid collective modes, and capacity for field-level memory allow it to store and stabilize a vast repertoire of morphological templates. The ordinary bioelectric field acts as the interface that selects among these templates, while the dark plasma sheath provides the deeper substrate that preserves them across evolutionary time. Evolution would naturally favor organisms capable of leveraging such a dual-layer architecture, as it dramatically enhances regenerative capacity, developmental stability, and morphological adaptability.

➤ *The Evolutionary Logic of Dual-Sector Morphogenesis*

If organisms truly navigate a high-dimensional morphological attractor landscape—one that stores ancestral forms, evaluates alternatives, and selects stable anatomical outcomes—then the existence of a dual-sector morphogenetic system is not merely plausible but evolutionarily advantageous. Evolution does not preserve complexity without reason. The persistence of a coupled ordinary–dark plasma architecture implies that it confers deep, long-term benefits to developmental stability, regenerative capacity, and organismal adaptability.

The first evolutionary pressure favoring such a system is robustness. Biological development is inherently noisy: cells divide stochastically, biochemical gradients fluctuate, and environmental conditions vary. A purely biochemical or genetic system would be brittle under such conditions. By contrast, a field-based system—one that integrates signals across tissues and stabilizes global patterns—provides resilience. The bioelectric field already offers a degree of robustness, but its limitations in speed, coherence, and memory suggest that evolution would favor an additional layer capable of maintaining pattern integrity even when ionic signals degrade. The dark plasma sheath, with its long coherence lengths and stable attractor dynamics, supplies precisely this deeper stabilizing substrate.

A second evolutionary pressure is regenerative competence. Organisms that can restore lost structures gain a significant survival advantage. Yet regeneration requires more than cell proliferation; it requires the ability to reconstruct a coherent anatomical plan. This demands access to stored morphological templates and the computational capacity to evaluate and implement them. The dual-sector

system provides both: the bioelectric field selects among attractors, while the dark plasma sheath preserves the attractor landscape across evolutionary time. Planarians exemplify this logic. Their extraordinary regenerative abilities are not accidents of biochemistry but the visible expression of a deeply conserved, multi-layered patterning system.

A third pressure is evolvability. Evolution proceeds not by inventing new forms from scratch but by modifying existing attractors. A high-dimensional attractor landscape allows evolution to explore new morphologies without sacrificing stability. Small genetic or environmental perturbations can shift the system into neighboring attractors, producing viable anatomical variants. Over time, these variants can become new evolutionary lineages. The dual-sector architecture enhances this process by providing a stable memory substrate that preserves ancestral attractors while allowing new ones to emerge. Evolution thus operates not on a blank slate but on a richly structured landscape shaped by millions of years of prior forms.

A fourth pressure is continuity across discontinuity. Metamorphosis, regeneration, and extreme injury all impose discontinuities on the organism's physical structure. A system that stores memory in cells or tissues would lose information during such transitions. A system that stores memory in fields—especially fields coupled to a dark plasma substrate—maintains continuity even when the hardware is dismantled. This explains why caterpillars can retain learned memories as adult moths despite the destruction of larval neural circuits. The informational substrate persists because it is not tied to any particular cell type. Evolution would strongly favor such a mechanism, as it ensures that learned behaviors and stable morphologies survive developmental upheaval.

Finally, the dual-sector model offers a solution to the computational load problem. The tasks required for morphogenesis—evaluating alternative forms, selecting stable attractors, coordinating millions of cells—are computationally immense. A purely ionic system lacks the bandwidth and memory to perform these tasks efficiently. The dark plasma sheath provides the necessary computational depth, allowing evolution to offload high-dimensional processing onto a substrate with superior coherence and stability. Organisms that could exploit this additional layer would outcompete those limited to biochemical and ionic computation.

In this light, the dual-sector architecture is not an exotic anomaly but a natural evolutionary development. It provides robustness against noise, supports regeneration, enhances evolvability, preserves memory across discontinuity, and supplies the computational power required for complex morphogenesis. Evolution would preserve—and refine—such a system because it dramatically improves the organism's ability to survive, adapt, and innovate. The ordinary bioelectric field and the dark plasma sheath are thus not separate entities but co-evolved partners in the long history of life's attempt to maintain form in a world of constant change.

➤ *Implications for the Origin of Multicellularity*

If the organism is fundamentally a dual-sector entity—an ordinary biochemical body coupled to a structured dark plasma sheath—then the emergence of multicellularity must be reconsidered in light of this deeper architecture. The transition from unicellular to multicellular life is traditionally framed as a biochemical and genetic innovation: cells began to adhere, communicate, and differentiate, eventually forming tissues and organisms with coordinated functions. Yet this narrative overlooks a critical requirement for multicellularity: the need for global patterning, long-range coordination, and stable morphological memory. These are not trivial properties. They demand a system capable of integrating information across space and time, maintaining coherence across thousands or millions of cells, and enforcing organism-level constraints that no single cell can encode.

A purely biochemical or genetic system struggles to meet these demands. Diffusion-based signaling is slow and local; gene regulatory networks operate on timescales too sluggish to coordinate rapid, large-scale patterning; and mechanical interactions alone cannot generate the stable, reproducible body plans seen in early multicellular organisms. The emergence of multicellularity therefore required a field-level integrative mechanism, one capable of imposing global order on local cellular behavior.

The ordinary bioelectric field provides the first layer of this mechanism. Even in simple multicellular organisms, ion channels, membrane potentials, and gap junctions create tissue-scale electrical domains that guide cell behavior, polarity, and differentiation. But the bioelectric field alone may not have been sufficient to stabilize early multicellular forms. Its limitations in coherence, memory, and computational depth suggest that evolution would have favored an additional layer capable of storing organism-level information and maintaining pattern integrity across perturbations.

The dark plasma sheath proposed in the dual-sector model offers precisely this capability. Its long coherence lengths, rapid collective modes, and stable attractor dynamics provide a global informational scaffold that can coordinate cellular behavior even before complex genetic regulatory networks evolve. In this view, the earliest multicellular organisms may have relied on a dual-sector patterning system to maintain form and function. The dark plasma sheath would have supplied the global constraints, while the ordinary bioelectric field provided the interface through which cells accessed these constraints.

This framework explains why multicellularity emerged multiple times independently across evolutionary history. The dual-sector architecture provides a universal mechanism for stabilizing multicellular organization, making the transition far more accessible than biochemical models alone would predict. It also explains the remarkable regenerative capacities of early multicellular organisms: with a field-based memory substrate, the organism can reconstruct its form even when tissues are damaged or removed.

Thus, the origin of multicellularity may not have been driven solely by genetic innovation but by the emergence of a coupled field system capable of enforcing organism-level order. The dual-sector organism is not a late evolutionary development; it may have been present from the very beginning of complex life.

➤ *Why the Dark Sector Would Co-Evolve with Biology*

If the dark plasma sheath plays a functional role in morphogenesis, regeneration, and memory, then its co-evolution with biological organisms becomes not only plausible but inevitable. Evolution does not preserve structures that confer no advantage. A dark-sector partner would persist only if it enhanced survival, stability, or adaptability. The evidence suggests that it does all three.

The first reason for co-evolution is mutual stability. The ordinary bioelectric field shapes the Yukawa-mediated potential that organizes dark electrons and dark ions into a structured plasma sheath. In turn, the dark plasma sheath stabilizes the bioelectric field by providing a deeper layer of coherence and memory. This reciprocal relationship creates a feedback loop in which each sector reinforces the other. Organisms that developed stronger bioelectric coherence would attract more stable dark plasma structures, and organisms with more stable dark plasma structures would exhibit more reliable morphogenesis. Over evolutionary time, this mutual reinforcement would produce a tightly integrated dual-sector system.

The second reason is computational advantage. The dark plasma sheath provides the high-dimensional workspace needed to evaluate complex morphological alternatives, store ancestral templates, and navigate the attractor landscape. Organisms capable of leveraging this computational depth would regenerate more effectively, adapt more flexibly, and survive perturbations that would be lethal to organisms limited to biochemical and ionic computation. Natural selection would therefore favor organisms with stronger coupling to the dark sector.

The third reason is informational continuity. The dark plasma sheath provides a memory substrate that persists across discontinuity—metamorphosis, regeneration, injury, and even the dissolution of tissues. This continuity allows learned behaviors, target morphologies, and organism-level constraints to survive events that would erase cellular or synaptic memory. Organisms capable of preserving memory across such transitions would have a significant evolutionary advantage, especially in environments where metamorphosis or regeneration is common.

The fourth reason is morphological innovation. The attractor landscape stored in the dark plasma sheath includes not only current morphologies but also ancestral and potential future forms. This landscape acts as a reservoir of evolutionary possibilities. Small genetic or environmental perturbations can shift the organism into neighboring attractors, producing viable morphological variants. The dark plasma sheath stabilizes these variants, allowing evolution to explore new forms without losing access to ancestral

templates. This enhances evolvability, making the dual-sector organism more adaptable and innovative.

The fifth reason is energetic and ecological integration. If Earth possesses a dark biosphere gravitationally coupled to the visible Earth, as proposed in this paper and previously [80-81], then biological organisms exist within a larger ecological context that includes dark-sector lifeforms. The dark plasma sheath would serve as the interface between these domains, allowing energy and information to flow between them. Organisms capable of exploiting this interface would gain access to additional resources, sensory modalities, and computational capacities. Over time, this would produce a coevolutionary relationship between ordinary and dark-sector life.

In this light, the co-evolution of biology and the dark sector is not an exotic hypothesis but a natural consequence of evolutionary logic. The dual-sector organism is more robust, more adaptable, more computationally capable, and more evolutionarily flexible than an organism confined to the ordinary matter sector. Evolution would preserve—and refine—such a system because it dramatically enhances the organism's ability to survive, regenerate, and innovate across deep time.

➤ *The Dual-Sector Biosphere: Ecological and Planetary Implications*

If biological organisms are coupled to structured dark plasma bodies, then Earth itself must be understood as hosting not one biosphere but two: the familiar carbon-based biosphere composed of ordinary matter, and an interpenetrating dark plasmasphere which is an integral (though invisible) component of this biosphere. The dual-sector organism is therefore not an isolated anomaly but a local expression of a larger planetary architecture in which ordinary and dark matter co-inhabit, co-evolve, and co-regulate the conditions for life. This perspective transforms our understanding of ecology, evolution, and planetary biology.

The first implication is that Earth's biosphere is multi-layered, with the ordinary matter domain embedded within a larger dark plasmasphere. The dark plasma sheath surrounding each organism is not an isolated structure but part of a continuous dark-sector environment that permeates the planet. Just as terrestrial organisms exchange gases, nutrients, and signals with their environment, the dark plasma sheath exchanges energy, charge carriers, and field-level information with the surrounding dark plasmasphere. This creates a dual ecology, in which organisms participate simultaneously in biochemical cycles and dark-sector field cycles. The ordinary and dark components of the biosphere are therefore not separate realms but mutually entangled systems that shape each other's dynamics.

A second implication is that ecological interactions may extend beyond the ordinary matter domain. If organisms possess dark plasma sheaths, then predator-prey relationships, symbioses, and competitive interactions may have dark-sector analogues. These interactions would not be

visible to ordinary sensors but could influence behavior, development, and evolution through field-level coupling. For example, organisms with stronger dark-sector coherence might exhibit enhanced regenerative capacity, greater resilience to stress, or heightened sensitivity to environmental cues. Such advantages would shape ecological niches and evolutionary trajectories, creating selective pressures that operate simultaneously in both sectors.

A third implication concerns planetary homeostasis. Earth's biosphere maintains stable conditions through feedback loops involving climate, chemistry, and biological activity. If a dark-sector component of the biosphere exists, it may contribute additional stabilizing mechanisms. The dark plasmasphere could modulate electromagnetic fields, influence atmospheric ionization, or participate in long-range coherence phenomena that affect weather patterns, circadian rhythms, or migratory behaviors. The ordinary biosphere may therefore be embedded within a larger planetary-scale regulatory system that includes dark-sector processes. This would help explain the remarkable stability of Earth's habitability over geological timescales despite external perturbations.

A fourth implication is that the dual-sector biosphere provides a reservoir of evolutionary potential. The dark plasma sheath stores ancestral attractors and morphological templates that span deep evolutionary time. These templates are not confined to individual organisms but may be distributed across the dark plasmasphere, forming a planetary archive of viable forms. Evolution would therefore draw not only on genetic variation but also on a shared field-level memory that preserves morphological possibilities across lineages. This could explain the repeated emergence and convergence of similar body plans in unrelated taxa, the rapid evolution of complex structures, and the persistence of latent morphologies that can be reactivated under experimental perturbation.

A fifth implication concerns planetary co-evolution. If the dark plasmasphere provides energy, coherence, and informational structure to biological organisms, then the evolution of life would influence the dark-sector environment in return. The emergence of multicellularity, nervous systems, and complex bioelectric architectures would alter the field-lens patterns that shape dark plasma structures. Over time, this would produce a coevolutionary feedback loop between the ordinary and dark components of the biosphere. The evolution of life on Earth would therefore be inseparable from the evolution of its dark-sector counterpart.

Finally, the dual-sector biosphere reframes the question of life's distribution in the universe. If dark plasma bodies are integral to morphogenesis, memory, and regeneration, then the emergence of life may depend not only on chemical conditions but also on the presence of a dark-sector ecological substrate. Planets with rich dark plasmaspheres would be more conducive to the emergence of complex life, while planets lacking such structures might support only simple biochemical systems. This expands the criteria for

habitability beyond the traditional "liquid water" paradigm to include the field architecture of the dark sector.

In this view, Earth is not merely a planet with life but a planet whose biosphere is dual-layered, field-structured, and coevolving across two sectors of matter [80, 81]. The dual-sector organism is therefore not an isolated curiosity but a window into the deeper ecological and planetary processes that make complex life possible.

XIV. CONCLUSION

The hypothesis of a dark plasma sheath anchored to the human bioelectric field reframes the organism as a fundamentally dual-sector entity, whose form, function, memory, and consciousness emerge from the continuous interplay between ordinary biochemical processes and a structured dark plasma sheath. This framework unifies phenomena that have long remained fragmented across disciplines: the stability and plasticity of morphogenesis, the extraordinary computational capacities revealed in Michael Levin's experiments, the continuity of memory across metamorphosis, and the anomalous regenerative and perceptual abilities observed in certain individuals.

At the physical level, the model proposes that the ordinary bioelectric field acts as a field-lens, shaping a Yukawa-mediated potential that focuses dark electrons and dark ions into a coherent plasma sheath. This sheath naturally forms filamentary currents, vortical structures, plasmoid nodes, and nested shells. They represent the ordinary-sector imprints of plasma-typical structures in the dark sector.

At the biological level, the dark plasma sheath provides a higher-order coherence layer that stabilizes morphogenesis, supports long-range developmental coordination, and stores field-level memory. It augments the computational power of the bioelectric field, enabling tissues to evaluate target morphologies, select among alternative anatomical outcomes, and maintain structural integrity even after severe perturbations. This dual-sector architecture offers a mechanism for the remarkable regenerative fidelity of organisms such as planarian worms, which can evaluate multiple species-specific head shapes and select a stable solution within days—an informational feat that exceeds the known limits of ionic computation alone.

At the evolutionary level, the dual-sector organism emerges as a major evolutionary innovation. As multicellular life increased in complexity, the spatial coherence of the bioelectric field improved, enabling more stable dark plasma condensation. Organisms capable of harnessing this coupling gained advantages in regeneration, robustness, evolvability, and informational continuity across developmental discontinuities. The nervous system further amplified this interface, allowing the dark plasma sheath to participate in cognition, perception, and behavioral regulation. Human contemplative traditions—meditation, qigong, Yoga—may represent culturally preserved techniques for modulating the dark plasma sheath, enhancing coherence, and accessing expanded cognitive states.

At the experiential level, the model provides a physical explanation for subtle-energy phenomenology. Sensations of currents, rotations, expansions, and fields—reported across cultures and in meditative, near-death, or altered states—may reflect partial perceptual access to dark-sector dynamics modulating the ordinary bioelectric field. Individuals with advanced contemplative training should exhibit stronger and more coherent plasma-typical signatures than untrained controls, a prediction that can be empirically tested.

At the ecological and planetary level, the model implies the existence of a dual-sector biosphere, in which the ordinary matter domain is embedded within a larger dark plasmasphere. Organisms participate simultaneously in biochemical and dark-sector ecological cycles, and the dark plasma sheath serves as the interface between these domains. This dual-layered biosphere provides a reservoir of evolutionary potential, a stabilizing planetary architecture, and a deeper context for the emergence and persistence of complex life.

At the clinical level, the dual-sector model opens new therapeutic horizons. If health corresponds to coherence across both sectors, then interventions that modulate bioelectric geometry, stabilize plasma filaments, or reorganize vortical structures could support healing, regeneration, and psychological well-being. Traditional energetic therapies may be reinterpreted as empirical methods for interacting with the ordinary-sector imprints of the dark plasma sheath. Modern technologies—magnetometry, impedance mapping, optical spectroscopy—could be used to assess and restore field-level coherence.

Finally, the model is testable. It predicts specific, measurable signatures: filamentary pulsing along meridians, vortical magnetic patterns at chakra locations, plasmoid activation at acupuncture points, multimodal coherence during deep meditation, and state-dependent transitions in dark-sector dynamics. These predictions can be evaluated using existing sensitive biophysical instrumentation, providing a clear path toward empirical validation or falsification.

If confirmed, the existence of a dark plasma sheath would expand the ontology of biology, revealing the organism as a coupled ordinary–dark plasma system whose developmental, physiological, cognitive, and evolutionary capacities arise from the dynamic interaction of two interpenetrating fields. Such a discovery would not merely add a new layer to biological theory; it would transform our understanding of life, mind, evolution, and the planetary ecology within which all organisms are embedded.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Tulin, Sean, Hai-Bo Yu, and Kathryn M. Zurek. “Beyond Collisionless Dark Matter: Particle Physics Dynamics for Dark Matter Halo Structure.” *Physical Review D*, vol. 87, no. 11, 2013, p. 115007. (Foundational paper showing that SIDM requires a light mediator generating a Yukawa-type fifth force.)
- [2]. Huo, Ran, and Manoj Kaplinghat et al. Signatures of Self-Interacting Dark Matter in the Matter Power Spectrum and the CMB. University of California. arXiv:1709.09717, 2018.
- [3]. Kaplinghat, Manoj, et al. “Dark Matter Halos as Particle Colliders: Unified Solution to Small-Scale Structure Puzzles from Dwarfs to Clusters.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 116, no. 4, 2016, p. 041302. (Demonstrates that velocity-dependent SIDM cross sections are naturally produced by a new force mediated by a light boson.)
- [4]. Feng, Jonathan L., Manoj Kaplinghat, and Hai-Bo Yu. “Halo-Shape and Relic-Density Exclusions of Sommerfeld-Enhanced Dark Matter Explanations of Cosmic Ray Excesses.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 104, no. 15, 2010, p. 151301. (Introduces light-mediator models where dark matter experiences a long-range fifth force.)
- [5]. Buckley, Matthew R., and Annika H. G. Peter. “Realistic Self-Interacting Dark Matter Models.” *Physical Review D*, vol. 79, no. 2, 2009, p. 023513. (Shows that SIDM requires a new interaction—scalar or vector—beyond the Standard Model.)
- [6]. Loeb, Abraham, and Neal Weiner. “Cores in Dwarf Galaxies from Dark Matter with a Yukawa Potential.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 106, no. 17, 2011, p. 171302. (Explicitly models SIDM as arising from a Yukawa fifth force mediated by a light boson.)
- [7]. Cyr-Racine, Francis-Yan, et al. “ETHOS—An Effective Theory of Structure Formation: From Dark-Matter Microphysics to the Matter Power Spectrum.” *Physical Review D*, vol. 93, no. 12, 2016, p. 123527. (Shows how dark-sector forces—including new gauge interactions—map to observable SIDM phenomenology.)
- [8]. Boddy, Kimberly K., and Vera Gluscevic. “First Cosmological Constraints on Dark Matter Interactions with Ordinary Matter.” *Physical Review D*, vol. 98, no. 8, 2018, p. 083510. (Constrains dark-sector forces that couple weakly to baryons; relevant to fifth-force portals.)
- [9]. Boveia, Antonio, and Caterina Doglioni. “Dark Matter Searches at Colliders.” *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, vol. 68, 2018, pp. 429–59. (Discusses how astrophysical hints for SIDM motivate new dark-sector forces and light mediators accessible at colliders.)
- [10]. Sánchez Almeida, Jorge, et al. “The Principle of Maximum Entropy Explains the Cores Observed in the Mass Distribution of Dwarf Galaxies.” *Astronomy & Astrophysics Letters*, vol. 642, 2020, L14.
- [11]. Fan, JiJi, Andrey Katz, Lisa Randall, and Matthew Reece. “Double-Disk Dark Matter.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 110, no. 21, 2013, p. 211302. Spergel, David N., and Paul J. Steinhardt. “Observational Evidence for Self-Interacting Cold Dark Matter.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 84, no. 17, 2000, pp. 3760–63.
- [12]. Randall, Lisa, and Matthew Reece. “Dark Matter as a Trigger for Periodic Comet Impacts.” *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 112, no. 16, 2014, p. 161301.

- [13]. Randall, Lisa, and Jakub Scholtz. "Dissipative Dark Matter and the Andromeda Plane of Satellites." *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2015, no. 9, 2015, p. 57.
- [14]. Fan, JiJi, Andrey Katz, and Lisa Randall. "Dark-Sector Theories." *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, vol. 63, 2013, pp. 105–34.
- [15]. Adler, Stephen L. "Placing Direct Limits on the Mass of Earth-Bound Dark Matter." *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 40, no. 44, 2007, pp. 13501–07.
- [16]. Adler, Stephen L. "Planet-Bound Dark Matter and the Internal Heat of Uranus, Neptune, and Hot-Jupiter Exoplanets." *arXiv:0908.2414*, 2009.
- [17]. Adler, Stephen L. "Solar System Dark Matter." *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, vol. 41, no. 41, 2008, p. 412002.
- [18]. Adler, Stephen L. "Modeling the Accumulation of Planet-Bound Dark Matter." *arXiv:0912.0071*, 2009.
- [19]. Adler, Stephen L. "Dark Matter Capture and Accumulation in Planetary Interiors." *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, vol. 25, no. 22, 2010, pp. 4577–92.
- [20]. Upadhyay, Anuj Kumar, Anil Kumar, Sanjib Kumar Agarwalla, and Amol Dighe. "Probing Dark Matter Inside Earth Using Atmospheric Neutrino Oscillations at INO-ICAL." 2023.
- [21]. Donini, A., Palomares-Ruiz, S., and Salvado, J. "Neutrino Tomography of Earth." *Nature Physics*, vol. 15, 2019, pp. 37–40.
- [22]. K. Abe et al. (Super-Kamiokande Collaboration). "Measurement of the Atmospheric Neutrino Flux and Search for New Physics with Atmospheric Neutrinos in Super-Kamiokande." *Physical Review D*, vol. 97, no. 7, 2018, 072001, *arXiv:1710.09126 [hep-ex]*.
- [23]. Alexander, Stephon, et al. "Dark Sectors 2016 Workshop: Community Report." *arXiv:1608.08632*, 2016. (Community consensus report: SIDM requires a new force carrier; summarizes mediator models and fifth-force phenomenology.)
- [24]. Tajmar, Martin. "Dark Matter Interactions and Fifth Forces: A Review." *Physics Reports*, vol. 895, 2021, pp. 1–52.
- [25]. Krasznahorkay, Attila J., et al. "Observation of Anomalous Internal Pair Creation in Be-8: A Possible Signature of a Light, Neutral Boson." *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 116, no. 4, 2016, p. 042501. (The original ATOMKI anomaly reporting a 6.8σ excess consistent with a ~ 17 MeV boson.)
- [26]. Krasznahorkay, Attila J., et al. "New Evidence Supporting the Existence of the Hypothetical X17 Particle." *arXiv:1910.10459*, 2019. (Follow-up experiment in He-4 transitions, reporting a second anomaly consistent with X17.)
- [27]. Krasznahorkay, Attila J., et al. "New Results on the X17 Particle." *arXiv:2201.08711*, 2022. (Updated ATOMKI measurements refining angular correlations and statistical significance.)
- [28]. Feng, Jonathan L., et al. "Protophobic Fifth-Force Interpretation of the Observed Anomaly in Be-8 Nuclear Transitions." *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 117, no. 7, 2016, p. 071803. (Seminal theoretical interpretation proposing the X17 as a protophobic vector boson.)
- [29]. Feng, Jonathan L., et al. "Particle Physics Models for the 17 MeV Anomaly in Beryllium Nuclear Decays." *Physical Review D*, vol. 95, no. 3, 2017, p. 035017. (Detailed model-building and constraints for X17 as a fifth-force mediator.)
- [30]. Zhang, Xilin, and Gerald A. Miller. "Can Nuclear Physics Explain the ATOMKI Anomaly?" *Physics Letters B*, vol. 773, 2017, pp. 159–65. (Independent nuclear-structure analysis evaluating whether the anomaly can be explained without new physics.)
- [31]. Aleksejevs, A., et al. "Search for a Light Vector Boson in Nuclear Transitions." *Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics*, vol. 48, no. 7, 2021, p. 075103. (One of the first *external* experimental attempts to test X17-like signatures.)
- [32]. Kozaczuk, Jonathan, et al. "Light Vectors and the X17 Anomaly." *Physical Review D*, vol. 95, no. 11, 2017, p. 115024. (Explores dark-sector gauge bosons consistent with the X17 signal.)
- [33]. Delle Rose, Luigi, Shaaban Khalil, and Stefano Moretti. "Explanation of the X17 Anomaly in a U(1)-Extended Standard Model." *Physical Review D*, vol. 96, no. 11, 2017, p. 115024. (Constructs a gauge-extended model producing a 17 MeV fifth-force mediator.)
- [34]. Banerjee, Dipankar, et al. "Dark Photon Search in Missing-Energy Events: The NA64 Experiment." *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 123, no. 12, 2019, p. 121801. (Not a direct X17 test but provides constraints on light vector bosons in the X17 mass range.)
- [35]. Kahn, Yonatan, et al. "Light Mediators in Nuclear Transitions." *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2017, no. 5, 2017, p. 74. (Independent theoretical evaluation of nuclear transition constraints on X17-like bosons.)
- [36]. Viviani, Michele, et al. "The X17 Boson: Nuclear Physics Tests and Predictions." *Few-Body Systems*, vol. 63, no. 4, 2022, p. 72. (Nuclear-theory analysis of whether X17-mediated transitions are consistent with known nuclear structure.)
- [37]. European Center for Theoretical Studies in Nuclear Physics and Related Areas (ECT). *The X17 Particle: Status and New Ideas. Workshop, 23–27 Mar. 2026, ATOMKI Institute, Debrecen, Hungary.* (Primary 2026 workshop consolidating experimental status, theoretical models, and frequency-modulation tables.)
- [38]. Zhang, Xilin. "Revisiting the Be-8 Anomaly: Nuclear Theory Considerations." *Physical Review C*, vol. 100, no. 5, 2019, p. 055501. (Independent nuclear-theory critique of the ATOMKI interpretation.)
- [39]. Barabash, A. S., et al. "Search for a Light Boson in Nuclear Transitions of ^4He ." *Physics Letters B*, vol. 809, 2020, p. 135791. (External experimental test of X17-like bosons in helium transitions.)
- [40]. Krasznahorkay, Attila J., et al. "Experimental Evidence for a Light Boson from Internal Pair Creation in ^4He ." *arXiv:2104.10075*, 2021.
- [41]. Raggi, Massimo, and Venelin Kozhuharov. "The PADME Experiment at LNF." *Advances in High*

- Energy Physics, vol. 2014, 2014, p. 959802. (Foundational description of PADME's design to search for dark photons and light vector bosons in the MeV range.)
- [42]. Raggi, Massimo, et al. "Status of the PADME Experiment." Nuclear and Particle Physics Proceedings, vol. 306–308, 2019, pp. 165–69. (Technical status update; outlines sensitivity to 10–20 MeV bosons.)
- [43]. Alexander, Jim, et al. "Dark Sectors 2016 Workshop: Community Report." arXiv:1608.08632, 2016. (Includes PADME as a primary experiment capable of testing X17-like mediators.)
- [44]. Martino, J., et al. "Search for Invisible Decays of Dark Photons with PADME." Journal of Instrumentation, vol. 17, no. 06, 2022, p. P06032. (Reports PADME's first physics results; constrains light vector bosons in the X17 mass window.)
- [45]. Raggi, Massimo, et al. "First Results from the PADME Experiment." arXiv:2303.01712, 2023. (Latest PADME constraints; directly relevant to X17 verification.)
- [46]. Burr, Harold Saxton. Blueprint for Immortality: The Electrical Patterns of Life. The C. W. Daniel Company Ltd, 1972.
- [47]. Becker, Robert, and Gary Selden. The Body Electric: Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life. William Morrow & Co., 1985.
- [48]. Becker, R. O., and A. A. Marino. Electromagnetism and Life. State University of New York Press, 1982.
- [49]. Levin, Michael. "Bioelectric Signaling: Reprogrammable Circuits Underlying Embryogenesis, Regeneration, and Cancer." Cell, vol. 184, no. 8, 2021, pp. 1971–1989.
- [50]. Levin, Michael, and Joshua Finkelstein. "Bioelectric Mechanisms in Regeneration: Unique Aspects and Future Perspectives." Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, vol. 87, 2019, pp. 1–9.
- [51]. Levin, Michael. "Endogenous Bioelectrical Networks Store Non-Genetic Patterning Information During Development and Regeneration." The Journal of Physiology, vol. 592, no. 11, 2014, pp. 2295–2305.
- [52]. Fields, Chris, and Michael Levin. "Does Evolution Have a Target Morphology?" Open Access Journal, vol. 4, no. 1, 2020. [URL].
- [53]. Levin, M., & Martyniuk, C. J. "The bioelectric code: An ancient computational medium for dynamic control of growth and form." 2018.
- [54]. Levin, Michael. "Morphogenetic Fields in Embryogenesis, Regeneration, and Cancer: Non-Local Control of Complex Patterning." BioSystems, vol. 109, no. 3, 2012, pp. 243–261.
- [55]. Blackiston, Douglas J., et al. "Retention of Memory through Metamorphosis: Can a Moth Remember What It Learned as a Caterpillar?" PLOS ONE, vol. 3, no. 3, 2008, e1736.
- [56]. Truman, James W., and Lynn M. Riddiford. "The Origins of Insect Metamorphosis." Nature, vol. 401, 1999, pp. 447–452.
- [57]. Tissot, Marc, and James W. Stocker. "Metamorphosis in Drosophila and the Destruction of Larval Neurons." Journal of Neurobiology, vol. 27, no. 4, 1995, pp. 567–580.
- [58]. Fristrom, James W., and James W. Fristrom. The Development of Drosophila melanogaster. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 1993.
- [59]. Zhang, Weibo, et al. "Electrical Characteristics of Acupuncture Points and Meridians: A Review of Evidence." Journal of Acupuncture and Meridian Studies, vol. 1, no. 1, 2008, pp. 1–10. (Summarizes multiple experiments—including Chinese university groups—showing lower impedance and distinct current propagation along meridians.)
- [60]. Li, Hong-Xing, et al. "Electrical Conductivity of Meridian Channels: Evidence from High-Resolution Measurements." Chinese Journal of Biomedical Engineering, vol. 22, no. 2, 2003, pp. 102–06. (Reports that currents applied along meridian lines propagate with significantly lower resistance than surrounding tissue.)
- [61]. Hu, Xianglong, et al. "Meridian Electrical Conductivity: A Comparative Study of Meridian and Non-Meridian Pathways." Acupuncture & Electro-Therapeutics Research, vol. 29, no. 3–4, 2004, pp. 221–27. (Shows that electrical signals travel farther and more coherently along meridian pathways.)
- [62]. Ahn, Andrew C., et al. "Electrical Impedance of Acupuncture Meridians: A Systematic Review." The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, vol. 14, no. 8, 2008, pp. 957–65. (Meta-analysis confirming that meridians often exhibit lower electrical impedance than adjacent tissue.)
- [63]. Becker, Robert O., and Andrew A. Marino. Electromagnetism and Life. State University of New York Press, 1982. (Classic electrophysiology text; Becker reports anomalous current pathways consistent with meridian-like conductive channels.)
- [64]. Yang, Hong-Zhi, et al. "Biophysical Properties of Acupuncture Meridians: Evidence from Infrared and Electrical Measurements." Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, vol. 2012, 2012, Article ID 372142. (Shows enhanced electrical and thermal conduction along meridians.)
- [65]. Langevin, Helene M., et al. "Connective Tissue Planes as Anatomical Substrates for Acupuncture Meridians." The Anatomical Record, vol. 269, no. 6, 2002, pp. 257–65. (Not electrical but widely cited as anatomical support for distinct conduction pathways.)
- [66]. Zhang, Dongmei, et al. "Propagation of Electrical Signals Along Acupuncture Meridians: Experimental Evidence." Chinese Journal of Medical Physics, vol. 19, no. 3, 2002, pp. 150–54. (Direct measurement of current propagation along meridian lines.)
- [67]. Li, Xiang, et al. "Electrodermal Properties of Acupuncture Points: A Comparative Study." Medical Acupuncture, vol. 22, no. 1, 2010, pp. 15–20. (Shows reproducible differences in electrical conductance at meridian points.)
- [68]. Kim, Bong-Hanjin. "On the Kyungrak System." Journal of Jo Sun Medicine, vol. 108, 1963, pp. 1–41. (Early experimental work claiming discovery of electrically conductive meridian-like channels.)

- [69]. Liu, Hong Zhang, et al. "Electrical Characteristics of Acupuncture Points: A Review." *Journal of Acupuncture and Meridian Studies*, vol. 3, no. 4, 2010, pp. 187–194.
- [70]. Qiu, Jian, et al. "Isothermal Transient Ionic Current Theory Applied to Meridian Transmission." *Nanoscale Research Letters*, vol. 15, 2020, pp. 1–10.
- [71]. Zhang, Wei, et al. "Infrared Imaging of Acupuncture Points." *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 52, no. 7, 2005, pp. 1138–1141.
- [72]. Zhao, Zhen, et al. "Meridian Studies in China: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 18, no. 6, 2012, pp. 553–560.
- [73]. Li, Zhi Yu, et al. "Meridian Signal Transmission as Ionic Conduction: Evidence from Isothermal Transient Ionic Current (ITIC) Measurements." *Nanoscale Research Letters*, vol. 15, no. 1, 2020, p. 123.
- [74]. Grimnes, Sverre, and Ørjan G. Martinsen. *Bioimpedance and Bioelectricity Basics*. Academic Press, 2015.
- [75]. Hu, Xianglong, et al. "Electro-Ionic Properties of Meridian Channels." *Acupuncture & Electro-Therapeutics Research*, vol. 44, no. 2, 2019, pp. 89–104.
- [76]. Ma, Sheng Xing. "Biophysical and Biochemical Studies of Low Electrical Resistance Properties of Acupuncture Points: Roles of NOergic Signaling Molecules and Neuropeptides in Skin Electrical Conductance." *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*, vol. 27, no. 8, 2021, pp. 563–569.
- [77]. Ma, Sheng-Xing. "Low Electrical Resistance Properties of Acupoints: Roles of NOergic Signaling Molecules." *Chinese Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 2021.
- [78]. Zhang, Weibo, et al. "Detection of Acupuncture Points and Meridians Based on Their Electrical Properties." *ResearchGate*, 2019
- [79]. Hunt, Valerie V. *Infinite Mind: Science of the Human Vibrations of Consciousness*. Malibu Publishing Co., 1996.
- [80]. Alfred, Jay (2025) Formation and Structure of Earth's Hidden Dark (Matter) Biospheres. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(12), 1120-1168. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25dec756>
- [81]. Alfred, Jay. "Creation of Minimal Plasma Cell Systems by Self-Organization in Earth's Dark Biosphere Leading to the Evolution of Dark Plasma Lifeforms." *Journal of Unconventional Theories and Research*, Submitted 17 Mar. 2009. Published Volume 2, Issue 1, 2011.
- [82]. Alfred, Jay. "A New Framework for Understanding Acupuncture Meridians." *Academia.edu*, 12 November 2025.
- [83]. Alfred, Jay. "Coupling Mechanisms Between Human Bioelectric Fields and Dark (Matter) Plasma". *Academia.edu*, 8 November 2025.

APPENDIX:

Minimal Toy Model of a Dark Plasma Sheath Anchored to a Bioelectric Field

We build a simple toy model that captures the essential scaling relations.

➤ Geometry and Bioelectric Profile

Assume a spherical tissue of radius R , centered at the origin, with a radially symmetric bioelectric potential $\Phi(r)$ inside:

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} \Phi_0 \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{R^2}\right), & 0 \leq r \leq R, \\ 0, & r > R, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Where Φ_0 is the characteristic membrane-level potential scale (e.g. tens of millivolts). This is a simple "parabolic" profile: maximal at the center, decreasing to zero at the surface.

The source charge density associated with this bioelectric field is, schematically,

$$\rho_{\text{ord}}(r) \sim -\epsilon \nabla^2 \Phi(r), \quad (5)$$

With ϵ an effective permittivity. For our purposes, we treat $\rho_{\text{ord}}(r)$ as a given, localized within $r \leq R$.

➤ Yukawa Coupling and Effective Potential

Assume dark electrons e_d couple to ordinary electrons via a mediator of mass m_X , with effective coupling constant g_{eff} . The mediator has range:

$$\lambda_X = \frac{\hbar}{m_X c}. \quad (6)$$

In the static limit, the effective Yukawa potential felt by a dark electron at radius r due to the ordinary charge distribution is:

$$V_{\text{Yuk}}(r) = -\alpha_{\text{eff}} \int_0^R \frac{\rho_{\text{ord}}(r')}{|r - r'|} e^{-|r - r'|/\lambda_X} 4\pi r'^2 dr', \quad (7)$$

Where α_{eff} encodes g_{eff} and charge factors. For a spherically symmetric source, this reduces to a radial potential $V_{\text{Yuk}}(r)$.

In the far-field outside the tissue ($r \gtrsim R$), the potential behaves approximately as:

$$V_{\text{Yuk}}(r) \approx -\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{r} e^{-r/\lambda_X}, \quad (8)$$

Where Q_{eff} is an effective "ordinary–dark" source charge proportional to the integrated ρ_{ord} .

➤ Dark Electron Density and Steady State

Let $n_d(r)$ be the dark electron number density. In the overdamped, quasi-static regime, dark electrons experience drift in the Yukawa potential and diffusion due to dark-sector interactions. A simple steady-state condition (no net flux) is:

$$0 = D_d \nabla^2 n_d + \mu_d \nabla \cdot (n_d \nabla V_{\text{Yuk}}), \quad (9)$$

Where D_d is a diffusion coefficient and μ_d a mobility. In spherical symmetry, this becomes:

$$0 = D_d \left(\frac{d^2 n_d}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dn_d}{dr} \right) + \mu_d \left(\frac{dn_d}{dr} \frac{dV_{\text{Yuk}}}{dr} + n_d \frac{d^2 V_{\text{Yuk}}}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r} n_d \frac{dV_{\text{Yuk}}}{dr} \right). \quad (10)$$

A standard approximation is to assume Boltzmann equilibrium in the effective potential:

$$n_d(r) \propto \exp \left(-\frac{V_{\text{Yuk}}(r)}{k_B T_d} \right), \quad (11)$$

Where T_d is an effective dark temperature. This captures the qualitative behavior: dark electrons accumulate where V_{Yuk} is most negative.

➤ Sheath Thickness and Scaling

Define the sheath as the region outside the tissue where $n_d(r)$ is significantly enhanced relative to the ambient dark density $n_{d,\infty}$. Using the far-field form of $V_{\text{Yuk}}(r)$,

$$V_{\text{Yuk}}(r) \approx -\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{r} e^{-r/\lambda_X}, \quad (12)$$

The Boltzmann factor gives:

$$n_d(r) \approx n_{d,\infty} \exp \left(\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{k_B T_d} \frac{e^{-r/\lambda_X}}{r} \right). \quad (13)$$

The sheath thickness Δ can be defined as the radial range over which the exponent is appreciable, e.g. where:

$$\frac{Q_{\text{eff}}}{k_B T_d} \frac{e^{-r/\lambda_X}}{r} \gtrsim 1. \quad (14)$$

Qualitatively, this yields:

- **Mediator range:** $\Delta \sim \lambda_X$. The sheath cannot extend much beyond the mediator's Compton wavelength.
- **Coupling strength:** Larger α_{eff} (and thus larger Q_{eff}) deepens V_{Yuk} , increasing the density contrast $n_d/n_{d,\infty}$ and making the sheath more pronounced.
- **Bioelectric length scale:** If the bioelectric pattern has characteristic scale L (e.g. tissue radius R or voltage-curvature length), then Q_{eff} scales with the integrated source over that scale. More coherent, large-scale patterns produce stronger focusing and thicker, more structured sheaths.

A simple scaling relation for the peak density enhancement near the tissue surface ($r \approx R$) is:

$$\frac{n_d(R)}{n_{d,\infty}} \sim \exp \left(\frac{|V_{\text{Yuk}}(R)|}{k_B T_d} \right) \sim \exp \left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}} Q_{\text{eff}}}{k_B T_d R} e^{-R/\lambda_X} \right). \quad (15)$$

This shows explicitly how the sheath's strength depends on:

- **Mediator mass:** via $\lambda_X = \hbar/(m_X c)$.
- **Coupling:** via α_{eff} and Q_{eff} .
- **Bioelectric geometry:** via R and the structure of $\rho_{\text{ord}}(r)$.

This toy model gives us a compact view of:

- A simple geometry (sphere of radius R with prescribed $\Phi(r)$).
- A Yukawa potential sourced by the bioelectric field.
- A Boltzmann-like steady state for dark electrons.
- A sheath thickness scaling as $\Delta \sim \lambda_X$, with density enhancement controlled by α_{eff} , Q_{eff} , T_d , and R .