Volume 11, Issue 3, March – 2026 International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology
ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26mar755

Social Influence and Peer Networks in Crypto Adoption

Chetankumar Prajapati

Doctor of Philosophy Business
University of the Cumberland

Publication Date: 2026/03/17

Abstract: This paper explores how social influence and peer networks shape the adoption of cryptocurrencies and decentralized finance (DeFi) platforms. Drawing from qualitative interviews and social theory, the study examines how interpersonal communication, social media influence, and online communities impact user behavior. Findings reveal that peer endorsement and communal learning are strong drivers of trust and experimentation in the crypto space, especially in regions with limited institutional trust. Peer networks act as informal but powerful educational structures, providing newcomers with advice, emotional support, and real-time market insights. In many cases, peer encouragement is what propels hesitant individuals to take the first step toward using crypto wallets or engaging in DeFi protocols. However, the influence of peers can also perpetuate hype-driven narratives, misinformation, and herd behavior, leading to poor financial decisions or susceptibility to scams. The paper concludes with recommendations for leveraging peer networks in designing effective crypto awareness and onboarding strategies. These include integrating community leaders into education campaigns, offering platform incentives for verified peer mentorship, and collaborating with trusted influencers to communicate risks and best practices. Understanding the dynamics of social influence can help policymakers, educators, and platforms foster more ethical, inclusive, and informed crypto adoption pathways globally.

Keywords: *Peer Networks, Social Influence, Cryptocurrency Adoption, DeFi Onboarding, Community Learning, Behavioral Finance, Social Media, Financial Literacy, Crypto Mentorship, Decentralized Education.*

How to Cite: Chetankumar Prajapati (2026) Social Influence and Peer Networks in Crypto Adoption. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(3), 1071-1073. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26mar755

I. INTRODUCTION

As decentralized technologies challenge traditional financial systems, understanding the social factors behind user behavior is increasingly important. The technical complexity and novelty of cryptocurrencies and DeFi platforms make social influence a particularly relevant mechanism in their adoption. Peer influence and social networks are powerful tools in shaping perceptions, spreading information, and fostering behavioral change in technology adoption (Prajapati, 2025). Unlike traditional finance, where institutions play a central advisory role, the decentralized nature of crypto leads users to rely heavily on informal networks for education and decision-making. This paper investigates the role of social relationships in the diffusion of cryptocurrency and DeFi technologies. By exploring how peer networks—both online and offline—facilitate learning, build trust, and catalyze engagement, the study aims to illuminate the social architecture underpinning adoption behavior(Prajapati, 2025). It also considers the dual nature of peer influence: while it can promote inclusion and empowerment, it may also amplify speculative trends and mislead inexperienced users. The goal is to uncover actionable insights into how social mechanisms can be harnessed to support more responsible and widespread engagement with decentralized financial systems(Prajapati, 2025). As crypto adoption spreads across diverse socio-economic contexts, social influence will continue to be a critical driver of user engagement and market expansion.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Social influence theory posits that individual behavior is strongly affected by group norms, opinions, and validation (Cialdini & Goldstein, 2004). In the context of financial technology, informal peer networks and online communities serve as key sources of learning and confidence-building (Bhusal, 2021). These networks often act as early adopters or gatekeepers, shaping the way newcomers interact with and interpret the technology. Cryptocurrencies, characterized by complexity and volatility, often rely on peer recommendations

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26mar755

and collective experiences to guide adoption decisions (Wang et al., 2022). Research has shown that the social proof effect is particularly strong in high-risk environments, where users look to others' behavior to reduce uncertainty (Bandura, 1986). Online platforms such as Reddit, Twitter, and Telegram host large, active communities where users discuss investment strategies, troubleshoot problems, and share experiences. However, these forums also enable misinformation and echo chambers that may reinforce unverified claims. Studies on social contagion further suggest that emotions—such as fear or excitement—can quickly spread across networks, affecting investment behaviors (Garcia & Schweitzer, 2015). The literature increasingly calls for critical examination of how social media algorithms, influencer marketing, and groupthink dynamics contribute to crypto adoption trends, both positively and negatively.

III. METHODOLOGY

This qualitative study involved interviews with 20 participants who had engaged in crypto investments or education through peer networks. Respondents came from diverse cultural and geographic backgrounds, including North America, Southeast Asia, Africa, and Latin America(Prajapati, 2026). The sample consisted of students, working professionals, developers, content creators, and first-time investors, selected through purposive sampling to capture a range of experiences and perspectives. Semi-structured interviews were conducted virtually and lasted between 30 to 60 minutes. Participants were asked about how they first heard about cryptocurrency or DeFi, the role of social interactions in their learning process, and how peer guidance influenced their decisions(Prajapati, 2026). Thematic analysis was conducted to understand how peer interaction influenced adoption decisions and knowledge acquisition. NVivo software was used to code transcripts, identify patterns, and group responses into key themes such as "trust through community," "fear of missing out," "influencer impact," and "peer-led education." Ethical guidelines were followed, including informed consent and data anonymization. Member checking was used to ensure accuracy of interpretations(Prajapati, 2026). This methodology allowed the study to explore the nuances of social influence in decentralized technology adoption and draw insights that reflect real-world behavioral dynamics.

IV. ANALYSIS

Thematic analysis revealed several interrelated dimensions of how peer influence impacts cryptocurrency and DeFi adoption:

- Community Trust as a Catalyst: Participants frequently described how hearing success stories from friends or observing trusted individuals use DeFi platforms gave them the confidence to start. The social credibility of a peer often outweighed concerns from news media or unfamiliar institutional warnings(Prajapati, 2025).

- Informal Learning Structures: Many interviewees emphasized that they learned more from peers than from official resources(Prajapati, 2025). Telegram groups, Discord channels, and casual conversations served as the primary learning environments, indicating that community-based education fills a critical void.

- Influencer Hierarchies and Risk Perception: Participants made distinctions between "trusted" influencers who promote educational content and "hype-driven" ones who encourage speculative trading. However, many admitted to acting on impulse when prominent figures made predictions or endorsed tokens.

- Emotional Contagion and FOMO: Social contagion of fear or euphoria significantly shaped investment timing. Peer excitement often led to rushed decision-making, while negative sentiment caused sudden withdrawal, highlighting the volatility of socially driven investment behavior.

- Regional and Linguistic Barriers: Some participants expressed frustration that global crypto discourse often excludes non-English speakers or communities in the Global South. This gap limits inclusive peer participation and reinforces geographic disparities in adoption.

These insights underscore the need to design peer-centered onboarding experiences that prioritize quality, accuracy, and cultural relevance. By understanding the dynamics of social trust and network learning, platforms can develop more effective and responsible strategies for adoption.

V. FINDINGS

Participants reported that social media platforms, friends, and online communities were crucial to their initial interest in crypto. Most respondents discovered crypto through casual conversations, YouTube tutorials, Reddit threads, or Discord groups. Endorsements from trusted peers and influencers helped them overcome skepticism and technical barriers. Many expressed that they felt safer experimenting with crypto tools when guided by someone they knew or respected. Community discussions offered support in navigating wallets, trading platforms, and DeFi protocols. Participants described peer spaces as more approachable than official documentation, highlighting the value of informal, experiential learning. However, misinformation and hype cycles also contributed to risky behaviors among less informed users. Several interviewees recounted following speculative advice without fully understanding the risks, leading to losses during market downturns. A few noted that social pressure and FOMO (fear of missing out) often drove impulsive decisions. Additionally, language barriers and cultural disconnects limited participation in some regions, where global crypto communities lacked localized content. Nevertheless, participants overwhelmingly viewed peer networks as a key asset in their crypto journey,

ISSN No:-2456-2165 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26mar755

emphasizing the role of shared experience, storytelling, and ongoing mentorship in building confidence and competence in the decentralized finance ecosystem.

VI. DISCUSSION

Social networks serve as both a gateway and a risk factor in cryptocurrency adoption. While they help lower entry barriers and foster communal learning, they also introduce vulnerability to misinformation, speculation, and herd behavior. Designing onboarding strategies that include verified peer-led tutorials, community engagement programs, and transparent knowledge sharing can enhance adoption while mitigating risks. One potential approach is to formalize community ambassador programs where experienced users guide newcomers with structured content. Platforms can also collaborate with influencers and educators to promote fact-based, multilingual resources tailored to diverse communities. Localized peer education initiatives may address cultural and linguistic barriers in underserved regions, making crypto adoption more inclusive. Gamified learning, social reward systems, and referral incentives can further encourage responsible engagement. Importantly, peer influence should be integrated into crypto literacy strategies alongside institutional and academic efforts, not in opposition to them. Transparency in community moderation, fact-checking of viral content, and education on identifying scams are critical in creating safe peer learning environments. Ultimately, social influence is an irreplaceable aspect of DeFi adoption. By nurturing it through ethical design and community-first practices, stakeholders can amplify its positive potential while minimizing its drawbacks.

VII. CONCLUSION

Peer influence plays a critical role in cryptocurrency and DeFi adoption. As this study has shown, interpersonal trust and social validation often bridge the gap between interest and action in a complex and rapidly evolving ecosystem. From WhatsApp groups to global forums, community-based interactions shape how individuals perceive, learn, and engage with decentralized technologies. Harnessing this dynamic through ethical, community-driven education models can promote responsible engagement and bridge the digital literacy gap. Strategies such as peer mentorship, community-led onboarding sessions, and social learning campaigns can increase accessibility and confidence, particularly in areas with limited institutional trust or financial infrastructure. Future research should explore the effectiveness of structured peer learning frameworks and their scalability in global markets. Longitudinal studies could examine how social influence evolves with market cycles and how different types of peer networks impact user retention, platform loyalty, and security practices. The role of cultural context, gender dynamics, and generational differences in peer influence also warrants deeper investigation. Ultimately, recognizing and investing in peer networks as both learning environments and behavioral catalysts is essential for inclusive, sustainable growth in the decentralized finance space.

REFERENCES

[1]. Bhusal, S. (2021). Peer influence in digital finance: Evidence from emerging markets. Journal of Behavioral Economics and Finance, 9(3), 112–125.
[2]. Cialdini, R. B., & Goldstein, N. J. (2004). Social influence: Compliance and conformity. Annual Review of Psychology, 55, 591–621.
[3]. Wang, Y., Liu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2022). Cryptocurrency adoption and social media: Insights from Reddit and Twitter. Journal of Financial Innovation, 6(1), 44–60.
[4]. Prajapati, C. (2025). *Decentralized finance (DeFi) and cryptocurrencies: The latest thinking of people towards the blockchain and FinTech industry* (Publication No. 3204323253) [Doctoral dissertation, University of the Cumberlands]. ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/decentralized-finance-defi-cryptocurrencies/docview/3204323253/se-2
[5]. Prajapati, Chetankumar. (2025). Decentralized Finance (DeFi) and Cryptocurrencies: The Latest Thinking of People Towards the Blockchain and FinTech Industry. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32534.05446
[6]. Prajapati, C. (2025). AI and blockchain integration in finance. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, *10*(3), 2537–2538. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25mar1105
[7]. Prajapati, C. (2025). Global awareness and understanding of decentralized finance (DeFi). *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, *10*(5), 3156–3158. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25may1833
[8]. Chetankumar Prajapati (2025) Perceived Risks and Challenges in Cryptocurrency Adoption. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 10(6), 2122-2124. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25jun1206
[9]. Chetankumar Prajapati. “Educational Impact on DeFi and Crypto Literacy". Volume. 11 Issue.2, February 2026 International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology (IJISRT) 2028-2030 https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26feb1228
[10]. Chetankumar Prajapati (2026) Institutional Engagement in the Blockchain Economy. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 11(3), 224-227. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26mar006