

Design Thinking for Equity: Transforming Education Through Inclusive Innovations

Dr. Biswajit Bala¹; Dr. Binay Maji²; Bidisha Nandy³

¹Assistant Registrar (Acting); Baba Saheb Ambedkar Education University

²Assistant Professor Surendralal Das Teachers' Training College

³Research Scholar; Janardan Rai Nagar Rajasthan Vidyapeeth University

Publication Date: 2026/05/22

Abstract: Design Thinking, a human-centered and solution-oriented methodology, offers transformative potential in creating equitable educational systems. It invites educators, learners, and policymakers to collaboratively reimagine learning spaces where diversity, inclusion, and justice are central to innovation. By combining empathy with creativity, Design Thinking provides a framework to identify and dismantle systemic barriers, ensuring that education becomes not just accessible but genuinely empowering for all learners.

How to Cite: Dr. Biswajit Bala; Dr. Binay Maji; Bidisha Nandy (2026) Design Thinking for Equity: Transforming Education Through Inclusive Innovations. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 11(5), 1003-1004. <https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/26may258>

I. INTRODUCTION

Equity in education refers to fairness, inclusion, and justice-ensuring that every learner, regardless of background, has the opportunity to succeed. Yet, traditional educational systems often perpetuate inequalities through rigid structures, standardized testing, and lack of contextual understanding.

Design Thinking for Equity emerges as a transformative paradigm, positioning empathy and human-centered innovation at the heart of educational reform. It shifts the focus from one-size-fits-all instruction to customized learning experiences that respect cultural, socio-economic, and cognitive diversity.

➤ Understanding Design Thinking

Design Thinking is a problem-solving methodology built around five key stages:

- Empathize - Deeply understanding users' needs and contexts(Brown, T. (2009); Guillen Guerrero, G., Mogrovejo, C., & Klein, C. (2023).
- Define - Clearly articulating the problem from the user's perspective(Buchanan, R. (1992).
- Ideate - Generating a wide range of creative solutions(Mumtaz, N. (2026) ; Tantiyaswasdikul, (2023).
- Prototype - Creating tangible representations of ideas(Deilami, N., Ngo, T. A., Flessig, R., Park, S., & Hemmerich, A. (2024).
- Test - Refining solutions through feedback and iteration(*Gholson, S., et al. (2021).*

When applied to education, this framework encourages educators to see learners as co-creators in the learning process and to design environments that support varied learning needs.

➤ Design Thinking as a Pathway to Equity

Design Thinking for Equity focuses on understanding and addressing systemic inequities-those related to race, gender, disability, language, geography, and socioeconomic status. Through empathy-driven exploration, educators can uncover hidden barriers that hinder equitable access and participation.

• Empathy as a Foundation of Equity

Empathy allows educators to step into the lived experiences of marginalized learners. This process goes beyond academic performance to understand emotional, cultural, and social contexts. Empathy interviews and observation tools help teachers and administrators identify inequities that might otherwise remain invisible.

• Co-creation and Voice

Equity flourishes when learners become co-designers of their learning experiences. Design Thinking invites shared ownership of learning-students, teachers, and communities collaboratively ideate and test solutions. This democratization of learning design fosters empowerment and agency.

• Contextual Innovation

Design Thinking rejects universal solutions in favour of context-responsive innovation. Schools can prototype interventions tailored to local needs-whether it's multilingual

pedagogy, accessible technologies, or gender-sensitive curricula. These micro-innovations collectively build systemic equity.

II. APPLICATIONS OF DESIGN THINKING FOR EQUITY IN EDUCATION

- **Inclusive Curriculum Design:** Teachers can use Design Thinking to adapt content for learners with disabilities, diverse linguistic backgrounds, or different cultural experiences.
- **Bridging Digital Divides:** By empathizing with students lacking access to technology, educators can co-design hybrid solutions like community learning hubs or low-tech learning kits.
- **Equitable Assessment Practices:** Traditional assessments often reinforce inequality. Through prototyping alternative assessment models- portfolio-based evaluation, narrative feedback, or peer assessment-Design Thinking supports more holistic measures of learning.
- **School Culture Transformation:** Educators and students collaboratively redefine school policies, reducing biases and creating inclusive environments where every voice is heard.

III. CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

➤ *While Promising, Implementing Design Thinking for Equity Faces Certain Challenges:*

Superficial empathy risks tokenism if not backed by structural change.

- Resource limitations in underprivileged schools may constrain experimentation.
- Power dynamics between teachers and students can hinder genuine co-creation.

To address these, ethical Design Thinking requires reflexivity, sustained dialogue, and systemic alignment with equity goals. Educators must balance creativity with critical awareness of privilege and power structures.

➤ *Case Example: A School Redesign for Equity*

In one Indian government school, teachers applied Design Thinking to address dropout rates among girls. Through empathy interviews, they discovered that lack of menstrual hygiene facilities and gender biases were primary causes. Prototyping solutions-such as installing sanitary facilities and mentoring programs-led to a 60% increase in girls' attendance.

This illustrates how Design Thinking translates empathy into actionable equity.

IV. CONCLUSION

Design Thinking for Equity represents a paradigm shift-from teaching for learners to designing with them. By

embedding empathy, collaboration, and iterative innovation into educational systems, it challenges inequity at its roots. Equity is not a static goal but a continuous process of redesign-one that ensures every learner has the right to thrive, belong, and contribute meaningfully.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Brown, T. (2009). *Change by design: How design thinking transforms organizations and inspires innovation*. Harper Business.
- [2]. Buchanan, R. (1992). Wicked Problems in design thinking. *Design Issues*, 8(2), 5-21.
- [3]. Guillen Guerrero, G., Mogrovejo, C., & Klein, C. (2023). Design thinking for inclusive education: A Literature review. *Quaestiones Disputatae*, 15(31), 147-169.
- [4]. Pal, A. (2023). Liberatory design thinking for equity-centered instructional design. *Journal of Applied Instructional Design*, 12 (2), 101-113.
- [5]. Deilami, N., Ngo, T. A., Flessig, R., Park, S., & Hemmerich, A. (2024). Inclusive Learning through equity-driven approaches to design in engineering education. *Proceedings of CEEA*.
- [6]. Zhang, B. (2024). Design for digital equity: A bibliometric analysis. *Humanities and social Sciences Communications*.
- [7]. Glushchenko, V. V., et al. (2024). Teaching inclusive technology design through design thinking. *ASEAN Journal of Community and Special Needs Education*.
- [8]. Tantiyaswasdikul, K. (2023) Design thinking for innovation in sustainable environments. *International Journal of sustainable Development and Planning*.
- [9]. Mumtaz, N. (2026). Participatory design as a Practice of Critical design thinking societies.
- [10]. Gholson, S., et al. (2021). Accessible and inclusive makerspaces in education. *Information and Learning sciences*.