Efficient Energy and Data Collection in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Leach Based Clustering Technique

D.Dhanasekar
M.Phil Research Scholar   , PG & Research
Department of Computer Science
Tirupur Kumaran College for Women
Tirupur, Tamilnadu, India

Dr. Arulmozhi. V
Associate Professor
Tirupur Kumaran College for Women
Tirupur, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT:- This paper work examines with path is constructed between source and destination for data collection. From these queries we can answer by technique named as Mobile agent using LEACH Protocol The main target of the energy aware routing is to reduce the requirement (schedule length) of number of time slots to finish the clustering based methodology, through this we can make of power control with interface effects and power display control assists in minimizing the multiple frequency transmission of nodes with very effectively. In our paper, data collection which is efficient in energy is projected using mobile agent methodology and LEACH based WSNs clustering, Sensor data in WSN is highly transferred, we proposed each cluster from the networks and small number of data to base station and data collection is made by two ways that is cluster based mobile agent routing and single cluster routing to base stations, and also the multi hop routing is done due to clustering technique power consumption for transmitting data in networks is easily done.

Keywords:- WSN, LEACH, Routing, Cluster, Mobile Agent.

I. INTRODUCTION

➢ *Wireless Sensor Networks*

The WSNs contains the high resource constraints with bandwidth, limited power, processing capabilities and computational capabilities. In this manner, sensor hubs are for the most part inoperable and vital when disappointment happens because of energy exhaustion. In sensor domain the important issues are the increasing lifetime and network sustainability for the contemporary studies. Normally, the radio transmission rules the consumption of energy [1]. The exhaustion of radio correspondence energy is identified directly with any broadcast in the system. Grouping method decreases the quantity of radio broadcasts and builds sensor arrange lifetime. In this way, grouping method can, productively, increase the different sensor applications lifetime, for example, control the robot, natural control, workplaces, smart home, fabricating situations, body region systems, and submerged sensor systems.

A WSN comprises of ample low control sensor hubs fit for detecting, communicating and preparing. These sensor hubs watch the wonder at various focuses in the field, team up with one another and transfer the deliberate information to the BS. Along these lines, the WSNs are critical in cyber physical system (CPS) for watching and cognizing the entangled real world requiring cost is minimum [1]. In any case, sensor systems contain constrained and non rechargeable energy assets; while structuring the topology of the system the efficiency of the energy is the complex problem, which influences the lifetime of sensor organizes enormously. In this way, how to limit vitality utilization and increase arrange lifetime is the focal concerns when we structure conventions for WSNs. Luckily, these are the fundamental objectives of topology control [2, 3]. Normally, the method of topology control is categorized into two types. First is power control and the remaining is various leveled topology control.

There are four methods are exists for the hierarchical topology control, i.e., grouping techniques (e.g., [3-5]), associated commanding set strategies (e.g., [6-10]), crossing tree strategies (e.g., [11-13]) and spanner approaches (e.g., [14-15]). By the topology control, normally we can get a topology with the rearrangement of a provided WSN during keep safe the network (e.g., [16 and17]) and inclusion (e.g., [18-20]). In addition, the measurement of the acquired topology can't be expanded to any further extent (e.g., [21]). As a kind of powerful topology control strategy, grouping has ended up being a vital method to diminish the consumption of energy as well as to expand the WSNs lifetime. In a grouping plan, nodes in sensors are assembled into groups; in every cluster, one hub is chosen as the pioneer, named the (CH), and alternate hubs are called CMs. Every CM estimates physical constraints recognized with its condition and after that transmits them to their CHs. At the point when the information from all CMs is arrived, CHs total the information and send it to the BS. From single perspective, because CHs are in charge of accepting and accumulating the information from their CMs and broadcasting the collective data to the predefined goal, the utilization of energy is significantly superior to that of CMs. In this manner, to take care of the issue, picking fitting heads in cluster is the major problem when planning a cluster procedure [22]. Then again, if CHs transfers the totaled

information to the BS specifically, long distance broadcast will expend a considerable energy quantity and prompt the unexpected passing of CHs. Subsequently, structuring an appropriate between inter cluster multi hop routing tree to forward data is likewise an imperative question of a clustering procedure [23, 24].

Drain convention alludes to low energy adaptive clustering hierarchy, which is composed as a routing gathering for Wireless Sensor Network. By and large talking, there are three stages in group steering convention: the age of bunch heads, the development of bunches and the correspondence among groups [4]. Thus, LEACH calculation additionally incorporates these three stages, simply consolidating the initial two stages into one that is the foundation of clusters and the correspondence among groups. Concerning the determination of cluster heads, LEACH receives rise to likelihood technique, choosing group heads around and arbitrary way and dispersing the vitality of the entire system equally on every hub [4], [5]. Along these lines, LEACH calculation decreases the vitality utilization, draws out the lifetime of the system. The official procedure of LEACH is periodical, and every period incorporates the foundation of bunches and information transmission, and we call a period as a round. With a specific end goal to save the vitality, the span of stable information transmission state is any longer than the time required for the foundation.

- Energy utilization is a vital issue in the outline of WSNs which normally relies upon energy vitality sources like batteries for control. WSNs are extensive scale systems of little installed gadgets, each with communication capabilities, computation and sensing. They have been broadly examined lately [5].
- The sensor innovation system named MEMS has encouraged the improvement of savvy sensors, these brilliant sensors hubs are little gadgets with constrained power, preparing and calculation assets. Brilliant sensors are control obliged gadgets that have at least one sensor, control supply, memory unit and actuator [5].
- In WSNs, nodes of sensor contain forced based of preparing power, correspondence data transmission, and storage room which need exceptionally productive asset use. In sensor nodes in WSNs are frequently gathered into individual displace sets called a group, utilizing of clustering in WSNs, as it gives efficient utilization of constrained resources, resource sharing and network scalability assets that gives arrange topology dependability and energy sparing characteristics.
- Grouping tactics suggest lessened correspondence overheads, and productive asset distributions along these lines diminishing the common energy utilization and decreasing the impedances among sensor hubs [5], [6]. An expansive number of bunches will stuff the region with little size groups and few groups will debilitate the bunch

head with substantial measure of messages transmitted from bunch individuals.

Drain convention is various leveled directing in light of bunching and locate the ideal number of groups in WSNs with a particular ending objective to spare vitality and upgrade organizes duration. In this work, we have reviewed the condition of craft of bunching calculations in WSNs. Here already conversed about the favorable circumstances and impediments of grouping alongside an overview of LEACH and its relative.

II. RELATED WORK

Several routing protocols for WSN are planned in literature. The particulars of some trendy routing procedures projected for WSN can be found in [1][4][5][6][13]. Several fundamental routing procedures for WSN are reviewed in this section.

- ➢ *Flooding:* In this every node which obtains a transmission of packet it unless the large quantity of hop count of the data is not attained or the node itself is the end of the packet. It doesn't require troublesome topology upkeep or course disclosure calculation; be that as it may, it has the accompanying benefits:

- *Implosion:* This is the condition when replica messages are sent to the similar node.
- *Overlap:* Under this situation, the similar occurrence may be sensed by number of nodes. This happens due to the overlapping areas of coverage.
- *Resource blindness:* Under this situation, many redundant transmissions may happen. Here, the network routing procedure does not think about the available power at the nodes while data packets are being transmitted.
- *Gossiping:* It is a customized edition of flooding somewhere a knob does not send out a data but it transmits the data to a randomly chosen neighbor [4][5]. Thus the protocol keeps away from the difficulty of implosion. It doesn't guarantee that all the nodes present in the network will get the message. The most significant problem of this protocol is that the message obtains a long instance to spread all over the network.
- *Sensor Protocols for Information via Negotiation (SPIN):* SPIN [4][5] addresses the lack of typical flooding by the method of resource adaptation and negotiation. SPIN uses three kinds of messages: DATA, REG and ADV. The node has data to distribute; it first broadcasts an ADV message having meta-data. A neighbor then responds with REQ memo if it is involved in the advertised data. After receiving this message the sender node sends DATA. This cycle continues. Using this technique of negotiation, it guarantees that only nodes that are involved in the data i.e., DATA, will receive it. Moreover, REQ and ADV messages hold only meta-data, therefore, they are cheaper in terms of power requirements to transmit and receive. SPIN is a more sophisticated and energy aware scheme for

data dissemination. This protocol reduces the amount of energy expended. It also solves the issues like resource blindness, overlap and implosion which are issues in flooding [4]. This protocol also ensures that only interested nodes will expend their energy to receive data.

Sequential Assignment Routing (SAR): SAR [4][5] produces a few trees where the foundation of the tree is one bounce neighbor from the sink. Each tree grows outwards from the sink. In this approach, the nodes with low throughput or high delay and low energy level are avoided. Under this protocol, it is possible that at the closing stages of the tree formation process, a node may belong to multiple trees. This enables a node to choose a tree with shortest path to relay its data reverse to the sink.

Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy (LEACH): LEACH [7] is a hierarchical communication protocol that splits the system into clusters. Each cluster contains an elected sensor node to do something as cluster head. The cluster head node is the entity responsible for managing communication among member nodes of the cluster. Cluster head node also does data processing, and then it relays processed sensory packet to the sink directly. It outperforms flat network protocols like straight interaction with the sink, in terms of system life span. But LEACH is not appropriate for great networks as of straight communication involved between cluster head node and the sink. Beam-Star: Beam-Star [11] is an edge-based method for routing in WSN. In this protocol, the sensor nodes are ease of the behaviors that are linked with routing and control. Moreover, under the power of this procedure, the capabilities of the base station are exploited without sacrificing the network performance.

- EBRP: In Energy Balanced Routing Protocol (EBRP) [18], the packets move towards the base station through dense energy area and thus guard the nodes with moderately low residual energy. This protocol uses the idea of potential in physics and constructs a varied virtual potential field in terms of residual energy, depth and energy density. EBRP extends the life span of the system but it does not think the problem of reliable information delivery.

This protocol also does not consider sensor nodes mobility and the base station. All the previously mentioned routing protocols consider static system in which the sensor hubs and in addition the sink are static. These conventions can't deal with hub energy and in this way, the execution of these conventions may corrupt in hub portability event. According on the mobility outline, we can divide mobile information gathering methods into two categories. The first category has uncontrollable mobility, in which the versatile authority either moves arbitrarily or along a settled track, see, for example, [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13]. In [7], Shah et al. proposed to use a particular kind of mobile nodes as forwarding agents to facilitate connectivity among static sensors and transport data with random mobility. Jain et al. [8]

enhanced the work in [7] by presenting a systematic model to know the key presentation metrics of the systems that exploit the mobility in data collection, such as power consumptions, data transfer and latency to the end. Jea et al. [9] restricted the mobile nodes to travel over straight lines to collect data in the vicinity of the lines. In [10], [11], whales and radio-tagged zebras were used as mobile nodes in a wild area. Finally, Batalin et al. [12], [13] set up a system named NIMs, where mobile authorities can just move along settled links between trees to guarantee that they can be energized whenever amid the development. A regular characteristic of these approaches is that they generally have high stability and reliability, and the system maintenance is simple. In any case, they usually do not have the dexterity and can't be versatile to the sensor appropriation and natural elements.

The regular method in WSNs to manage diagnosis of fault is very problem specific: (i) The health maintenance procedures in hand crafts [10-11] relying on expert knowledge and limited application scope, (ii) sending (a subset of) crude wellbeing information to the basic information back-end and utilizing standard system checking apparatuses and observing principles for issue location [12], (iii) using special handheld devices for enabling interactive on-site diagnoses by experts [13], (iv) sending partially diagnosed data to the central analysis host for final diagnosis [14], (v) a probabilistic approach for intermittent fault diagnosis [8]. The common methods to detect faulty nodes are (i) mutual tests between nodes, and (ii) the exchange of heartbeat messages between them. Since tests are complex to acquire in practice, the heartbeat based mechanism is the mainly extensively employed technique for practical applications [16-17]. Past investigations have shown that disappointments because of discontinuous shortcomings are more typical than those because of changeless blame in some common WSNs [2], [6]. As it is difficult to identify these mistakes with off-line testing, the online diagnosis [17-18] strategies are most preferable. The invalidation or comparison models consider more general type of faults. They are more appropriate for diagnosing soft or value faults, where faulty nodes continue to communicate and to function with corrupted behavior.

III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

In LEACH, during the cluster compose in a cycle, a foreordained level of nodes in sensor consume energy by communicating their appointment inside whole sensor arrangement, and the other nodes in sensor utilizes energy through enrolling themselves as group individuals. In our component, then again, some sensor hubs devour energy by communicating their bid inside the range of restrictions, and the other sensor hubs exhaust energy in neighborhood interchanges for gatherings and enrolling themselves as cluster individuals. Consequently, to achieve more energy effective grouping than LEACH, the scope of transmissions for office and meeting ought to be restricted

The principle focus of various leveled steering or group based directing is to well remain up the use of energy in sensor nodes along with them in multi jump association inside a specific cluster. Group development is by and large in brightness of the energy hold of sensors and sensors closeness to the CHs. The clustering assumes a critical job for sparing the energy in WSNs. With WSNs clustering, vitality utilization, system duration and energy is moved forward. Since just group head hub per group is need to do steering undertaking and the further sensor nodes simply forward their information to group head. Grouping has necessary high thickness sensor systems applications, since it is substantially less demanding to deal with an arrangement of bunch agents (group head) from every cluster than to oversee entire sensor hubs

- The sensor nodes stages, which are not head of group to communicate data in relation to themselves. The message is in indistinguishable frame from promotions for application. Every one of the neighboring sensor hubs which get communicate messages figures out which bunch to join in light of data about its own group and the recently promoted bunches. After the selection arrange, each sensor node point registers itself as a gathering part by sending an enlistment message to a head of cluster node. In the wake of getting enrollment messages from the majority of its individuals, a cluster head makes a timetable and advises group individuals from the calendar by means of broadcasting. In the information transmission stage, group individuals send their information to the cluster make a beeline for the predefined plan. The cluster head gets its individuals' information, totals them into one, and sends it to a base station utilizing distinctive CDMA codes among clusters.

Cluster Set-Up Algorithm

```
begin (cluster setup algorithm)
    state Candidate
    Broadcast the Node_Msg
    while (T1 has not expired) do
        Receive the Node_Msg
        Update neighborhood table NT[]
        ti  broadcast waiting time
    end
    while (T2 has not expired) do
        if CurrentTime < ti do
            if receive a Head_Msg from the neighbor
                NT[i] do
                    state  Plain
                    NT[i].state  Head
            else
                Continue
            end
        else if state = Candidate do
            state Head
            Ra competing radius
            Broadcast the Head_Msg
        end
    end
    while (T3 has not expired) do
        if state = Plain&& has not sent the
            Join_Msg do
            Send the Join_Msg to the nearest CH
        else if state = Head do
            Receive Join_Msgs
        end
    end
end
```

Cluster Head Selection Algorithm

Procedure CH_MST(G, w)

```
{
    Integer edgesAccepted = 0;
    DisjSet ds(num Vertices);
    PriorityQueue pq(getEdges0, w);
    Edge e;
    Vertex u, v;
    while(edgesAccepted < numVertices – 1)
    {
        // Edge e = (u, v)
        Pq.deleteMine(e);
        Set uSet = ds.findSet(u);
        Set vSet = ds.findSet(v);
        If(uSet ≠ vSet)
        {
            // Accept this edge
            edgesAccepted++;
            ds.unionSets(uSet, vSet);
        }
    }
}
```

Toward the cluster head candidacy stage end, each node in senor has a place with a group as either a head of cluster or a element. A rate Pex of nodes in sensor in a sensor network chooses to be social and communicates data about its cluster inside a sweep of r.

On accepting an ad, sensor hubs inside radio flag go meet the sensor hub and discover the bunch. The organization for a gathering ad is the equivalent concerning application The manner in which that a picking of sensor node to actuate a gathering is similar to the calculation of probabilistic choice for nomination of group head in the LEACH.

The cluster head is also the sensor node in which its process doesn't source a collection. In the future we depict a situation where sensor hub I got a promotion from sensor hub j. Taking place the off possibility that sensor hub I isn't a bunch head, at that point it alters its group. Initially, sensor hub I chooses whether to acknowledge bunch make a beeline for which sensor hub j has a place by contrasting the separation with head j with edge Template i.

Fig 1:- LEACH Protocol

The information transmission activity is likewise broken into outlines, where non-group hubs transfer their data to their head of cluster at maximum one time per outline amid an apportioned transmission space, in which hubs can transmit their information without crashes in the system.

IV.   CONCLUSION

In the sensor networks the mobile agents are planned and projected for the efficient data collection, the multiple transmission of source to target are calculated by the cluster based architecture, whereas the computing technique is very clever in the mobile agent and also it reduce the communication cost than other methods, also we use an clustering topology to shrink the network, thus the clustering LEACH process over bandwidth links and the data is transfer to Cluster Head methodology by the central head. The LEACH clustering of mobile agent in WSN gives an very efficient Energy utilization and data collection with an better performance, in existing system they used EAPC, it only outperforms network lifetime, energy consumption but our system will provide network lifetime by energy consumption, routing of nodes, low data cost with low bandwidth in high performance. In our Future work we need to enhance the network into multiple network including various sensors at real cost benefit, through implementing in sensor nodes terrestrial and underwater networks.

REFERENCES

[1]. Shah, R.C.; Rabaey, J.M. Energy Aware Routing for Low Energy Ad Hoc Sensor Networks. In Proceedings of the Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), Orlando, FL, USA, 17–21 March 2002; pp. 350–355.

[2]. Schurgers, C.; Srivastava, M.B. Energy Efficient Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks. In Proceedings of Military Communications Conference on Communications for Network-Centric Operations: Creating the Information Force, McLean, VA, USA, 28–31 October 2001; pp. 357–361.

[3]. Ding, P.; Holliday, J.; Celik, A. Distributed Energy Efficient Hierarchical Clustering for Wireless Sensor Networks. In Proceedings of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Distributed Computing in Sensor Systems (DCOSS), Marina Del Rey, CA, USA, 8–10 June 2005; pp. 322–339.

[4]. Ye, M.; Li, C.; Chen, G.; Wu, J. EECS: An Energy Efficient Clustering Scheme in Wireless Sensor Networks. In Proceedings of the 24th IEEE International Performance, Computing, and Communications Conference (IPCCC), Phoenix, AZ, USA, 7–9 April 2005; pp. 535–540

[5]. Murugunathan, S.D.; Ma, D.C.F.; Bhasin, R.I.; Fapajuwo, A.O. A Centralized Energy-Efficient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks. IEEE Radio Commun. 2005, 43, S8–S13.

[6]. Jung, S.; Han, Y.; Chung, T. The Concentric Clustering Scheme for Efficient Energy Consumption in the PEGASIS. In Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology, Gangwon-Do, Korea, 12–14 February 2007; pp. 260–265.

[7]. Ishmanov, F.; Malik, A.S.; Kim, S.W. Energy consumption balancing (ECB) issues and mechanisms in wireless sensor networks (WSNs): A comprehensive overview. Eur. Trans. Telecommun. 2011, 22, 151–167.

[8]. Arshad, M.; Kamel, N.; Saad, N.M.; and Armi, N. (2010). Performance enhancement of wireless sensor nodes for environmental applications. Proceeding of the International Conference on Intelligent and Advanced Systems, 1-5.

[9]. Arshad, M.; Alsalem, M.; Siddqui, F.A.; and Saad, N.M. (2013). Multi-hop routing protocol for mobile wireless sensor networks. Proceedings of the IEEE World Congress on Computer and Information Technologies, 1-6.

[10]. Rahimi, M.; Shah, H.; Sukhatme, G.S.; Heideman, J.; and Estrin, D. (2003). Studying the feasibility of energy harvesting in a mobile sensor network. Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Robotics & Automation, 19-24.

[11]. Zhao, J.; Erdogan, A.T.; and Arslan T. (2005). A novel application specific network protocol for wireless sensor networks. Proceeding of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, 5894- 5897.

[12]. S. Chatterjea and P. Havinga, "A Dynamic Data Aggregation Scheme for Wireless Sensor Networks," Proceeding Program for Research on Integrated Systems and Circuits, Veldhoven, 26-27 November 2003.

[13]. A. Chakraborty, K. Chakraborty, S. K. Mitra and M. K. Naskar, "An Energy Efficient Scheme for Data Gathering in Wireless Sensor Networks Using Particle Swarm Optimization," Journal of Applied Computer Science & Mathematics, Vol. 6, No. 3. 2009, pp. 9-13.

[14]. X. N. Fan and Y. l. Song, "Improvement on LEACH Protocol of Wireless Sensor Network," International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications (SENSORCOMM 2007), Valencia, 14-20 October 2007, pp. 260-264.

[15]. Yadav, A.; Singh, Y.N.; Singh, R.R. Improving Routing Performance in AODV with Link Prediction in Mobile Adhoc Networks. Wirel. Pers. Commun. 2015, 83, 603–618.

[16]. Heinzelman, W.R.; Chandrakasan, A.; Balakrishnan, H. Energy-efficient Communication Protocols for Wireless Microsensor Networks. In Proceedings of the Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences, Maui, HI, USA, 4–7 January 2000; pp. 1–10.

[17]. V. Rodoplu and T. Meng, "Minimum energy mobile wireless networks," in Proceedings of the EEE International Conference on Communications, 1998.

[18]. J. Deng, Y. Han, P. Chen, and P. Varshney, "Optimum transmission range for wireless ad hoc networks," in

Proceedings of the IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC), 2004.

[19]. J. Stankovic and T. He. Energy management in sensor networks. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 370(1958):52–67, 2012.

[20]. D. Lymberopoulos, A. Bamis, T. Eixeira, and A. Savvides, "Behavior Scope: Real-time remote human monitoring using sensor networks," in Proc. Int. Conf. Inf. Process. Sensor Netw., Apr. 2008, pp.533–534.

[21]. Lian, J.; Naik, K.; Agnew, G. Data capacity improvement of wireless sensor networks using non-uniform sensor distribution. Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw. 2006, 2, 121-145.

[22]. Khalil, E.A.; Attea, B.A. Energy-aware evolutionary routing protocol for dynamic clustering of wireless sensor networks. Swarm Evol. Comput. 2011, 10, 195–203.

[23]. Li, S.; Neelisetti, R.K.; Liu, C.; Lim, A. Efficient Multi-Path protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks. Int. J. Wirel. Mobile Netw. 2010, 2, 110–130.

[24]. R. Soua and P. Minet. A survey on energy efficiency techniques in wireless sensor networks. In Wireless and Mobile Networking Conference (WMNC), 20 114th Joint IFIP, pages 1 –9, oct. 2011.