

Design of Pedestrian Steel Foot over Bridge

A Project Report

Submitted by

PRITHIVI KUMAR.	211415103076
RAHUL. A	211415103079
SAMPATH KUMAR. V	211415103087
SELVIN CASTRO. I	211415103094

In partial fulfilment for the award of the degree of

BACHELOR OF ENGINEERING
IN
CIVIL ENGINEERING

PANIMALAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, CHENNAI 6000123

ANNA UNIVERSITY: CHENNAI 600 025

OCTOBER 2018

BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

It is certified that this project report "**DESIGN OF PEDESTRIAN STEEL FOOT OVER BRIDGE**" is the bonafide work of "**PRITHIVI KUMAR. V (211415103076), RAHUL.A(211415103079), SAMPATH KUMAR. V (211415103087), SELVIN CASTRO. I (211415103094)** and the project was carried out under the supervision of the following professors.

SIGNATURE

Dr. M. MAGESHWARI, M.E, PhD

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Professor,

Department of civil engineering,

Panimalar Engineering College,

Poonamalle.

Chennai – 600 123.

SIGNATURE

L. RANJITH KUMAR, M.E,

SUPERVISOR

Assistant Professor,

Department of civil engineering,

Panimalar Engineering College,

Poonamalle.

Chennai – 600 123.

Submitted for the VIVA – VOICE examination held on 22nd OCTOBER 2018 at PANIMALAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE, CHENNAI 6000123.

INTERNAL EXAMINER

EXTERNAL EXAMINER

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

A project of this magnitude and nature requires kind co-operation and support from many of the successful completion. We wish to express our sincere thanks to all those who were involved in the completion of project.

Our sincere thanks to Honorable Founder and Chairman Dr. JEPPIAAR, M.A., Ph.D., for his sincere endeavour in educating us in his premier institution.

We would like to express our deep gratitude to our Beloved secretary and correspondent Dr. CHINNADURAI, M.A., Ph.D., for his kind words and enthusiastic motivation which inspired us a lot in completing the project.

We also express our sincere thanks to our dynamic directors Mrs. C. VIJAYA RAJESHWARI, and C. SAKTHI KUMAR, M.E., for providing us with the necessary facilities for completion of the project.

We also express our appreciation and gratefulness to our Principal Dr. P. MANI, M.E., Ph.D., who help us in the completion of the project. We wish to convey our thanks and gratitude to our head of the department, Dr. M. MAGESHWARI, M.E., Ph.D., Department of Civil Engineering, for her support and by providing us ample time to complete our project.

We also grateful to our project guide Mr. RANJITH KUMAR. L, M.E., Assistant professor, Civil Engineering Department for his valuable guidance an constant encouragement in completing this project.

We also thank our parents and friends for providing their extensive moral support and encouragement during the course of the project.

ABSTRACT

Foot bridge are needed where a separate pathway has to be provided for the people to cross traffic flows or some physical obstructions such as river. The loads they carry are in relation to high ways or railway bridge quite modest are in most circumstances a fairly light structure is required. They are however frequently used to give a long clear span, and stiffness and become an important consideration. The bridges are often very clearly on view to the public and therefore the appearance merits careful attention.

The project Design of pedestrian steel foot over bridge. The design includes the estimation of loads and factor of safety taking care of all kinds of practical situations. The methodology used was limit state method based on IS 456:2000, IS800:2007 and SP-16.

TABLES OF CONTENT

CHAPTER NO	TITLE	PAGE NO
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	94
	ABSTRACT	95
1	INTRODUCTION	98
1.1	General	98
1.2	Scope of project	98
2	LITERATURE OF REVIEW	99
3	BRIDGES	100
3.1	Introduction to bridges	100
3.2	Classification of bridges	100
3.2.1	Based on movement of structural parts	100
3.2.2	Based on resting	100
3.2.3	Based on material of construction	100
3.3	Steel bridge	101
3.3.1	Steel used in bridge	101
3.3.2	Classification of steel bridges	101
3.3.2.1	Classification according to type of structural arrangement	101
3.3.2.2	Classification according to structural action	102
3.3.2.3	Classification according to type of connection	103
3.3.3	Advantages of steel bridge	103
3.4	Foot over bridge	103
3.5	Deck slab	103
4	DESIGN	104
4.1	Design of deck slab	104
4.2	Design of cross girders	106
4.3	Design of arch	107
4.3.1	Members force	107
4.3.2	Design of tension members	113

4.3.3	Design of compression members	114
4.3.4	Design of diagonal members	114
4.4	Design of beam column	115
4.4.1	Design of beam column (uniaxial bending)	115
4.4.2	Design of base plate	118
4.4.3	Design of foundation	118
4.5	Beam column under biaxial bending	120
4.5.1	Design of column	120
4.5.2	Design of base plate	125
4.5.3	Design of square footing	126
4.6	Middle column	126
4.6.1	Design of middle column	126
4.6.2	Design of base plate	127
4.6.3	Design of foundation	128
4.7	Stair case	129
4.7.1	Design	129
4.7.2	Design of beam supporting stair case	130
4.7.3	Staircase column	132
4.7.4	Base plate	132
4.7.5	Design of foundation	133
4.7.6	Design of beam	134
5	CONCLUSION	136
6	REFERENCES	137

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

A. GENERAL

Klinth the promise of extensive beam generation isolated segments of the landscape are being connected by architecturally novel and challenging foot bridge. The challenges in foot bridge design lie him the fulfillment of the architectural demands for long light and slender structure this project details design and analysis of pedestrian steel foot over bridge. The design includes the estimation of loads and factor of safety taking care of all kinds of practical situations the methodology used was limits state method based on IS 456-2000 IS 800-2007 and sp-16.

B. SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

- To form the foot over bridge in the cities to relieve traffic congestion
- To reduce the accidents take place I proposed area
- For the convenience of the people to cross the roads easily and safely in the heavily congested area

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

➤ ***The Bilbao Steel Bridge spanning the Nervion river: Portugalete***

The 165 meter Bilbao transport bridge was constructed in 1893 which makes it the first bridge of this kind to have been built designed by Alberto Palacio one of the Gustave Eiffel's disciples it spans the mouth of the Nervion river linking the towns of Portugalete and Las Arenas in the Biscay province of Spain. The bridge is called locally "Puente colgante" which roughly translated means suspension bridge after the previous bridge which was of the suspension type the existing bridge was reconstructed of the original. The reconstruction was undertaken by J. Janu Aracil between 1939 and 1941 there are two new visitor lifts installed in 50 mtr high pillars of the bridge that allow brave visitors that do not suffer from vertigo to walk over the bridge's platform from where they can see the port and the Abra Bay. On 13th July 2006 it was declared a World heritage site by UNESCO.

➤ ***The Rochefort-Martrou Transporter Bridge spanning the river Charente***

The Rochefort-Martrou transporter bridge was constructed by Ferdinand Arnodin to cross the river Charente it came into service on 8th July 1900 at a cost of 586599 French francs it has a span of 139.916 mtrs and is 59 meters in height. The deck beams and suspension were replaced and modified between 1933 and 1934. The bridge came out of service in 1967 when it was suspended by a nearby vertical lift bridge. On the 30th April 1976 it was classified as a historic monument and refurbished in 1996. It is still in use today and is a tourist attraction.

CHAPTER 3

BRIDGES

A. INTRODUCTION TO BRIDGES

A bridge is a structure built for carrying the road/railway traffic or other moving loads over a depression or gap or obstacle such as river, channel, canyon, valley, road or railway. Depending on the purpose and the obstacle the type of bridge is selected to meet the requirement if a bridge is constructed to carry a highway traffic it is called highway bridge if it used to carry a railway traffic it is called as railway bridge the bridges that are constructed exclusively to carry pedestrians, cycle and animals are known as foot bridges and bridges used to carry canals and pipe lines are known as aqueduct bridge.

B. CLASSIFICATION OF BRIDGES

➤ BASED ON MOVEMENT OF STRUCTURAL PARTS

A bridge may be movable or fixed. A fixed bridge is the one which always remains in one position, a movable bridge is the one which can be opened either horizontally or vertically so as to allow river or channel traffic to pass. Such bridge are constructed over a navigable stream where the normal headway is not sufficient for the vehicles to pass through.

➤ BASED ON RESTING

A bridge may be either of deck type or through type. A deck type is the one in which the roadway/railway floor rests on the top of the supporting structure while a through bridge is the one where the roadway/ railway floor rests on the bottom of the main load supporting structure;

➤ BASED ON MATERIAL OF CONSTRUCTIONS

Bridges are made of different materials such as timber masonry, brick masonry, concrete and steel. Timber bridges are constructed only over very small spans and for temporary purpose, to carry light loads. Masonry bridges are also constructed for shorter span. Concrete bridge, both of reinforced cement concrete as well as of pre-stressed cement concrete are constructed over moderate to high spans to carry all types of loads. Concrete arch bridges have been constructed of span up to 200m similarly steel bridges are constructed both over moderate to high spans as well as for heavy trafficular loads.

C. STEEL BRIDGE

The main advantage of structural steel over other construction material is its strength and durability. It has higher strength to cost ratio in compression when compared concrete. The stiffness to weight ratio of steel is much higher than of concrete. Thus, structural steel is efficient and economical in construction of bridge.

STEELS USED IN BRIDGES

Steel used in bridges may be grouped under the following categories;

Carbon steel: This is the cheapest steel available for structural for structural for structural users where stiffness is more important than the strength

High strength steels: It is derived for their highest strength and other required properties for the addition of alloying elements. These are also called weathering steels in Europe.

Heat-treated carbon steel: These are steels with the highest strength. They derive higher strength from some form of heat treatment after rolling namely normalization or quenching and tempering.

The physical properties of structural steel such as strength, ductility, brittle fracture, weld ability, weather resistance etc., are important factors for its use in bridge construction these properties depend upon alloying elements the amount of cooling carbon rate of the steel and the mechanical deformation of the steel.

CLASSIFICATION OF STEEL BRIDGE

Steel bridge can be classified on the basis of the following criteria:

- Classification according to types of structural arrangement.
- Classification according to structural action.
- Classification according to types of connection.

• CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO TYPES OF STRUCTURAL ARRANGEMENT

Under this criterion the steel bridges may be of the following types;

I-GIRDER BRIDGE: when the span is small, simple I-girders may be as the main load carrying members. For this purpose, wide flange I-section are used. Such type of structural arrangements is used I-section however there are limitations in the maximum size of available I-sections.

PLATE GIRDER BRIDGE: for wide spans built up plate girder sections are used to meet the requirement of the section modulus corresponding to the imposed loads. In railways plate girder bridges are quite popular. They are also used for highway bridges.

TRUSS GIRDER BRIDGE: when the structural requirements of the depth of the girder is more as the main load carrying members. Truss girder bridge are commonly used for spans 20m to 200m.

SUSPENSION BRIDGE: for still longer spans, suspension bridge using high strength steel cables may be provided.

- **CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO STRUCTURAL ACTION**

According to the criteria of structural action steel bridges may be classified as the following types.

- ✓ **SIMPLY SUPPORTED SPAN BRIDGES:** Such types are commonly used when the width of gap to be bridged is large the whole width can be subdivided into a number of individual span each span being simply supported.
- ✓ **CONTINUOUS SPAN BRIDGE:** When the width of the gap is quite large and where there are no chance of uneven settlements bridge may be continuity, moments are developed at pier supports resulting in the reduction of stresses at the inner spans.
- ✓ **CANTILEVER BRIDGE:** In case of three span continuous bridge loaded with uniformly distributed load over all the three spans it is observed that there are two points of contra flexure in the central span. Hence if continuous beam of the middle span is cut at these two points of contra flexure and shear resisting joints are made at these two points the resulting configuration will be a cantilever bridge with a central suspended span between these two formed joints.
- ✓ **ARCH BRIDGE:** For deep gorges arch bridge are generally used since they offer economical and aesthetic solution however they require strong abutments to resist the thrust from the arches the arches may be consist of girder section or trusses and may be (a) fixed arches (b) two hinged arches (c) three hinged arches the two hinged arches being more common.

✓ **RIGID FRAME BRIDGE:** Rigid frame bridges comprising of single span or two to three continuous span are used for dry over or under crossing for gaps between 10 to 20m these consist of steel girders with continuity at the knee such bridge are suitable for rigid foundation.

• **CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO TYPE OF CONNECTION**

Depending on the types of connections of the joints bridges can be of the following types:

✓ **RIVETED BRIDGE:** Great majority trends welding connection are used in the past are riveted bridges

✓ **WELDED BRIDGES:** In the modern trends welded connections are used in steel bridges the behavior of welded connections under impact and vibrations is not yet fully known hence except for the main joints welded connection are now increasingly used for built up sections and bracing elements particularly in highway bridges.

✓ **BOLTED BRIDGES:** Some of the older were pin-connected but their constructed was abandoned because they are less rigid and at the same time they require constant maintenance.

➤ **ADVANTAGES OF STEEL BRIDGE**

- They could carry loads longer span with minimum dead weight leading to smaller foundation.
- Steel has the advantage where speed of construction is vital as many elements can be prefabricated and erected at the site.

D. FOOT OVER BRIDGE

Foot over bridge is used to cross the road which consists of very heavy traffic where the pedestrians find difficult while crossing and mainly to avoid the death rate takes place through accidents in peak areas most of the cities in India have adopted the foot over bridge for safety movements of pedestrians.

E. DECK SLAB

The design of steel pedestrian foot bridges differ depending on where they are to be situated show large they have to be a simple beam bridge is usually required for longer span in this project the span of the beam is more.

CHAPTER 4

DESIGN

A. DESIGN OF DECK SLAB

$$L_v = 2.5\text{m}$$

$$L_y = 3\text{m}$$

$$L_y/L_v = 3/2.5 = 1.2 < 2$$

$$F_{ck} = 25\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$F_y = 415\text{N/mm}^2$$

Since the ratio of long to short span is less than 2 the slab should be designed as two-way slab with provision for torsion at corners.

➤ DEPTH OF SLAB

As the loading class exceeds the value of 3 N/mm² adopt span/depth ratio as 25.

$$\text{Depth} = \text{span/depth ratio} = 3000/25 = 120\text{mm}$$

$$D = 120\text{mm}$$

$$D = 150\text{mm}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Effective span} &= \text{clear span} + \text{effective depth} \\ &= 3 + 0.12 = 3.12\text{m} \end{aligned}$$

➤ LOADS

$$\text{Self-weight of the slab} = 0.15 \times 25 = 3.75\text{KN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Live load} = 5\text{KN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Floor finish} = 1.25\text{KN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Total load} = 10\text{KN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Factored load} = 1.5 \times 10 = 15\text{KN/m}^2$$

Ultimate design moments and shear force

Referring table 26 of IS 456

$$\alpha_y = 0.056 \quad \alpha_x = 0.072$$

$$M_{ux} = \alpha_y W_u L_x^2 = 0.072 \times 15 \times 3.12^2 = 10.51\text{KNm}$$

$$V_{ux} = 0.5 W_u L_x = 0.5 \times 15 \times 3.12 = 23.4\text{kN}$$

- **Check for depth**

$$M_{max} = 0.144F_c k b d^2$$

$$D = \text{root of } 15 \times 10^6 / 0.144 \times 25 \times 1000$$

$$D = 65\text{mm} < 120$$

Hence the effective depth selected is sufficient to resist the design ultimate moment.

- **Reinforcement (short and long span)**

$$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} D (1 - A_{st} f_y / b d F_c k)$$

$$10.51 \times 10^6 = 0.87 \times 415 \times A_{st} \times 125 (1 - A_{st} \times 415 / 100 \times 125 \times 25)$$

$$232.85 = A_{st} - 1.328 \times 10^{-4} A_{st}^2$$

$$A_{st} = 240\text{mm}^2$$

Adopt 8mm dia at 200mm C/C in shorter span direction .

For y direction

$$\text{Effective depth} = 125 - 8 = 117\text{mm}$$

$$8.172 \times 10^6 = 0.87 \times 415 \times A_{st} \times 117 (1 - A_{st} \times 415 / 100 \times 117 \times 25)$$

$$A_{st} = 200\text{mm}^2$$

Provide 8mm dia at 250mm C/C in longer span direction.

➤ **CHECK FOR SHEAR STRESS**

Considering the shorter span and unit width of slab

$$\Omega_v = V_u / b d = 23.4 \times 10^3 / 1000 \times 125 = 0.87\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$P_t = 100 A_{st} / b d = 100 \times 240 / 1000 \times 125 = 0.192\%$$

$$\Omega_c = 0.319\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$K = 1.3$$

$$k \Omega_c 1.3 \times 0.319 = 0.41\text{N/mm}^2 > \Omega_v$$

Considering unit width of slab in the short span.

$$(l / d) = 20$$

$$P_t = 0.2$$

$$K_t = 1.5$$

$$(l/d)_{max} = 20 \times 1.5 = 30$$

$$(l/d)_{actual} = 312 / 12 = 26$$

Deflection is satisfied

- **Check for crack control**

Reinforcement provided

$$=0.012 \times 150 \times 1000 = 180\text{mm}^2$$

Spacing

$$=3 \times 125 = 375\text{mm}$$

Dia of reinforcement $<d/8 < 150.8 < 18.75$

$$8 < 18.75$$

The cracks are within safe permissible limit.

➤ **TORSION REINFORCEMENT AT CORNER**

$$=0.75 \times 240.5 = 180.38\text{mm}^2$$

Length over which torsion steel is provided $=1/5 \times 25 = 0.5$

Reinforcement in edge strip

$$A_{st} = 0.12\%$$

$$=180\text{mm}^2$$

B. DESIGN OF CROSS GIRDERS

$$LL=5\text{KN/m}^2=5 \times 3=15\text{KN/m}$$

$$\text{Floor finish} = 1\text{KN/m}^2=1 \times 3=3\text{KN/m}$$

$$DL=11.25\text{KN/m}$$

$$\text{Total load} = 29.25\text{KN/m}$$

$$\text{Total factored load} = 45\text{KN/m} \quad \text{reaction } R_1 = 65\text{KN}$$

➤ **SELECTION OF SUITABLE SECTION**

$$\text{Depth of section (h)} = 250\text{mm}$$

$$\text{Width of flange (b)} = 125\text{mm}$$

$$\text{Thickness of flange} = 8.2\text{mm}$$

$$\text{Elastic section modulus} = 297.4 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$$

Section classification

$$b/T_f = 7.622$$

$$\text{factored self wgt} = 0.279 \times 1.5 = 0.42 \text{ KN/m}$$

$$\text{total load} = 45.5 \text{ KN/n}$$

$$\text{maximum bending moment} = 51 \text{ KNm}$$

$$\text{plastic section} = 51 \times 10^6 \times 1.1 / 250$$

$$= 224400 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$\text{Design shear force} = wL/2 = 68.25 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{Design of shear strength} = 200 \text{ KN} > 68.25 \text{ KN}$$

Check for design capacity of the section

$$M_d = 81.1 \text{ KNm}$$

Hence the design capacity of the member is more than max bending moment.

$$\delta = 4.25$$

$$\text{Allowable maximum deflection} = 10 \text{ m}$$

The deflection is less than the allowable deflection.

C. DESIGN OF ARCH

➤ MEMBER FORCES

Method of joints

$$R_a + R_g = 325 \text{ KN}$$

$$EM @ A = 0$$

$$R_g = 162.5$$

$$R_a = 162.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$EM_J = 0$$

$$H = 243.75 \text{ KN}$$

Joint A

$$EF_v = 0$$

$$162.5 + F_{ah} \sin 33.74 = 0$$

$$F_{ah} = -295.45 \text{ KN}$$

$$F_{ab} = 245.68 \text{ KN}$$

Joint h

$$EF_h=0$$

$$F_{hi}=-264.17\text{KN}$$

$$F_{bh}=66\text{KN}$$

Joint B

$$EF_h=0$$

$$F_{bc}=245.68\text{KN}$$

$$EF_v=0$$

$$F_{bi}=-1.37\text{KN}$$

$$\text{Joint i } EF_h=0 F_u=-246\text{KN}$$

$$F_{cd}=245.68\text{kN}$$

$$EF_v=0$$

$$F_{bh}-65+F_{b\sin}46.88=0$$

$$F_{bi}=-1.37\text{KN}$$

JOINT I

$$EF_h=0$$

$$F_{ij}\cos 7.5 - F_{icos} 21.8 - F_{icos} 46.88 = 0$$

$$F_{ij} = -246\text{KN}$$

$$EF_v=0$$

$$-F_{ic} + F_{usin} 7.5 - F_{insin} 46.88 - F_{insin} 21.8 = 0$$

$$F_{ic} = 67\text{KN}$$

JOINT C

$$EF_h=0$$

$$-F_{cb} + F_{cd} + F_{c\cos} 50.2 = 0$$

$$F_{cd} = F_{cb} = 245.68\text{KN}$$

$$EF_v=0$$

$$-65 + 67 = -F_{c\sin} 50.2$$

$$F_{cj} = -2.6\text{KN}$$

ARCH MEMBER (COMPRESSION)

$$F_{ah}=F_{gl}=-295.45\text{KN}$$

$$F_{hi}=F_{lk}=-264.17\text{KN}$$

$$F_{ij}=F_{kj}=-245\text{KN}$$

HORIZONTAL MEMBER (TENSION)

$$F_{ab}=f_{GF}=245.68\text{KN}$$

$$F_{bc}=F_{fe}=245.68\text{KN}$$

$$F_{cd}=F_{ed}=245.68\text{KN}$$

STRAIGHT MEMBER (TENSION)

$$F_{bh}=66\text{KN}$$

$$F_{cj}=67\text{KN}$$

$$F_{dj}=65\text{KN}$$

DIAGONAL MEMBER (COMPRESSION)

$$F_{bi}=-1.37\text{KN}$$

$$F_{cj}=-2.6\text{KN}$$

DESIGN OF TENSION MEMBERS**TENSION MEMBERS**

A tension member is the one which is intended to resist axial tension. Tension members are also called ties or hangers. In contrast to compression member the disposition of material in tie has no effect on its structural efficiency so that compact section such as rods may be used without reduction in allowable stress for tensile force to be axial it is necessary that the load is applied through the centroid of the section of the member.

TYPES OF TENSION MEMBER

In general tension member can be divided into four groups

- Wires and cables
- Rods and bars

- Single structural shapes and plates
- Built-up section

DESIGN OF TENSION MEMBER

In the design of a tension member based on the tensile force acting on the member the designer has to arrive at the type and size of the member the type of member is chosen based on the type of the structure and location of the member.

The design is iterative involving a choice of trial section and an analysis of its capacity the various steps are as follows.

The net area required A_n to carry the design load T is obtained by the equation

$$A_n = T_u / (f_u \phi_m)$$

From the required net area the gross area may be computed by increasing the net area about 25% to 40%. The required gross area may be also be checked against that required from the yield strength of the gross section as follows.

$$A_g = T_u / (f_y \phi_m)$$

The number of bolts or welding required for the connection is calculated. They are arranged in a suitable pattern and the net area of the chosen section is calculated the design strength of the trial section is evaluated using eqns in the case of plates and threaded bars and additionally using eqns in the case of angles.

The design strength is either small or too large compared to the design force a new section is chosen and step 3 is repeated until a satisfactory design is obtained as slenderness ratio of the member is checked.

HORIZONTAL MEMBERS

Number of bolt required = $T / \text{strength of one bolt}$

T = factored tensile load in the member

$$T = 245.68 \text{ KN}$$

$$P_{\text{shear}} = 0.462 f_u \times 0.78 \times \pi d^{2/4}$$

Here the grade of bolt is 4.6

D=diameter of the bolt

Assume 20mm size bolt

$$P_{\text{shear}} = 0.462 \times 400 \times 0.78 \times \pi \times 20^{2/4}$$

$$= 45.28 \text{KN}$$

In case of double section $P_{\text{shear}} = 2 \times 45.28$

$$= 90.56 \text{KN}$$

$$P_{\text{bearing}} = 2 \times t \times \text{dia of bolt} \times f_u(\text{plate})$$

$$= 2 \times 12 \times 20 \times 410$$

$$= 196.8 \text{KN}$$

$$\text{Number of bolt required} = 245.68 / 90.56 = 3$$

Gross yield section

$$T_{dg} = f_y A_g / \delta m_0$$

f_y = yield strength of the material in MPa

A_g = gross area of the cross section

δm_0 = partial safety factor

As per IS 800-2007, it is 1.10

$$A_{\text{gross}} = 245.68 \times 10^3 \times 1.1 / 250 = 3582.92 \text{mm}^2$$

$$\text{For double angle section} = 1080.4 \times 2 = 2161.98 \text{mm}^2$$

From steel table, pg:36

Provide 2 nos of ISA 100x100x8mm back to back

$$\text{Gross sectional area} = 3078 \text{mm}^2$$

$$R_{xx} = 30.7 \text{mm}$$

$$R_{yy} = 47.8 \text{mm}$$

$$I_{xx} = I_{yy} + 145.1 \times 10^4 \text{mm}^4$$

$$T_{dg} = f_y / \delta m_0 \times A_g$$

$$= 250 \times 3078 / 1.1$$

$$= 699 \text{KN}$$

NET SECTIONAL RUPTURE

$$T_{dn} = \alpha \times A_{\text{net}} \times f_u / \delta m_1$$

$$\delta m_1 = 1.25$$

$$A_{\text{net}} = 2 \left((100 - 8/2 - 22) \times 8 + (100 - 8/2) \times 8 \right)$$

$$=2720\text{mm}^2$$

$$T_{dh}=803\text{KN}$$

Adopt lesser one

$$T_d=699\text{KN}$$

$$=700\text{KN}(\text{say})$$

Assume $e=30\text{mm}$

$$P=50\text{mm}$$

$$L_{vg}=30+50+50=130\text{mm}$$

Shearing off

$$A_{vg}=L_{vg}t$$

$$=130 \times 8 = 1040\text{mm}^2$$

Tearing off

$$A_{tg}=65 \times 8 = 520\text{mm}^2$$

Net shearing area

$$A_{vb}=[L_{vg}-d_n-d_n-d_n/2]t$$

$$=[130-22-22-11] \times 8$$

$$=600\text{mm}^2$$

Net tearing area

$$A_{tn}=(65-22/2) \times 8 = 432\text{mm}^2$$

$$F_y=250\text{MPa}$$

$$F_u=410\text{MPa}$$

$$\delta_{m0}=1.1$$

$$\delta_{m1}=1.25$$

$$T_{db1}=1040 \times 250 / 1.1 + 0.9 \times 432 \times 410 / 1.25$$

$$=264\text{KN}$$

$$T_{db2}=0.9 A_{vn} f_u / (\delta_{m1}) + A_{tg} f_u / \delta_{m0}$$

$$=0.9 \times 600 \times 410 / 1.25 + 520 \times 250 / 1.1$$

$$=220\text{KN}$$

For double angle $T_{dh1}=264 \times 2=528$

Tensile capacity $=220 \times 2=440$

➤ **DESIGN OF TENSION MEMBER (STRAIGHT MEMBER)**

$$T_u = 65 \text{ KN}$$

Number of bolt = $T_u / \text{strength of one bolt}$

$$P_{shaer} = 0.462 f_u \times 0.78 \times \pi \times 14^{2/4}$$

$$= 22 \text{ KN}$$

$$P_{bearing} = 2 \times t \times d_{bolt} \times f_u(\text{plate})$$

$$= 2 \times 12 \times 14 \times 410$$

$$= 138 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{Bolt value} = 22 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{Number of bolt required} = 65 \times 10^3 / 22 \times 10^3 = 3$$

$$A_{gross} = 286 \text{ mm}^2$$

From steel table pg8;

ISA 50x50x5mm

$$\text{Sectional area} = 479 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$I_{xx} = 11 \times 10 \text{ mm}^4$$

$$T_{dg} = f_y A_g / \phi_m$$

$$= 250 \times 479 / 1.1 = 109 \text{ KN}$$

RUPTURE CRITERIA

$$A_{nc} = (50 - 5/2 - 15) \times 5 = 162.5 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$A_{go} = (50 - 5/2) \times 5 = 237.5 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$F_u = 410 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\phi_{m1} = 1.1$$

B_s = shear lag width

$$= 50 + 25 - 5 = 70 \text{ mm}$$

$$\beta = 1.4 - 0.0769(50/5) \times (250/410)(70/100) \leq 1.44$$

$$= 1.07 \leq 1.44$$

$$T_{dbn} = 0.9 \times 410 \times 162.5 / 1.25 + 1.70 \times 237.5 \times 250 / 1.1$$

$$= 106 \text{ KN}$$

$$A_{vg} = L_{vg} \times t$$

$$= 130 \times 5 = 650 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$A_{tg} = 30 \times 5 = 150 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$A_{vn}=(130-15-15-15/2)\times 5$$

$$=462.5\text{mm}^2$$

$$A_{tn}=112.5\text{mm}^2$$

$$T_{db1}=650\times 250/1.1+0.9\times 112.5\times 410/1.25$$

$$=118.5\text{KN}$$

$$T_{db2}=0.9\times 462.5\times 410/1.25+150\times 250/1.1$$

$$\text{Tensile capacity}=113\text{KN}$$

➤ **DESIGN OF COMPRESSION MEMBER**

$$T=295.45$$

Provide 2 nos of ISA 150x150x10mm back to back

$$A_{\text{gross}}=5806\text{mm}^2$$

$$R_{xx}=46.3\text{mm}$$

Trial section ISA 150x150x10

$$b/tf=150/10=15<15.7$$

$$t_r=(80-120)$$

$$kL/R_{xx}=1\times 3\times 10^3/46.3=65<180$$

hence ok

$$kL/R_{yy}=1\times 3\times 10^3/67.8=44<180$$

hence ok

$$f_{cd}=196\text{MPa}$$

$$\text{strength of compression member}=196\times 5806$$

$$=1138\text{KN}>295.45\text{KN}$$

Hence the chosen section is safe .

➤ **DESIGN OF DIAGONAL MEMBERS**

$$T=2.6\text{KN}$$

$$\text{Area required (gross)}=2.6\times 10^3/60=43.33\text{mm}^2$$

Trial section ISA 25x25x3mm

$$\text{Sectional area}=141\text{mm}^2$$

$$h/tf=25/3=8.33<9.4$$

the section is plastic

ISA 50x50x3mm

Sectional area=295mm²

R_{xx}=15.3mm

$kL/R_{xx}=2.5 \times 10^3 / 15.3 = 163.4 < 180$

hence ok

$kL/R_{xx}=163.4$ and $f_y=250$ MPa

$f_{cd}=61.2$ MPa

strength of compression member = $61.2 \times 295 = 18$ KN > 2.6KN

Hence the chosen section is safe.

D. DESIGN OF BEAM COLUMN

➤ **DESIGN OF BEAM COLUMN (uni-axial bending)**

Factored axial load = 162.5KN

Factored moment = $243.75 \times 4.5 = 1100$ KNm

Step 1 Trial section

Single joist with additional plates on both flange be used as columns.

Steel joist

ISHB 450

W=925 KN

Sectional area = 43789 mm²

Radius of gyration = 102.2 mm

$t_f=13.7$ mm

$h=450$ mm

$b=250$ mm

effective length of column = $0.8L=0.8 \times 4500=3600$ mm

$KL=36000$ mm

$R_{zz}=230.6$ mm

$KL/r_{zz}=15.6$

Equivalent axial load, $P_e = P + 2Mz/d$

$=162.5 + 2 \times 1100 \times 10 \times 10 \times 10 / 450$

$$=5051\text{KN}$$

From table 10 of IS800-2007, buckling class of cross section

Welded I section,

$t_f < 40\text{mm}$, Buckling about axis ZZ,

Buckling class is b,

From table 9b,

Design compressive stress $f_{cd} = 226\text{MPa}$

Capacity of column = $226 \times 43789 / 1000 = 9896 > 5051\text{KN}$

Hence choose ISHB 450 as trial section

Step 2 Cross section classification

$$= 250 / f_y = 1.0$$

$$b / t_f = (250/2) / 13.7 = 9.12 < 9.4$$

Hence the flange is compact.

WEB

$$d = 450 - 2t_f - 2R$$

$$= 450 - 2 \times 13.7 - 2 \times 15$$

$$d = 392.6\text{mm}$$

$$t_w = 11.3\text{mm}$$

$$d / t_w = 34.74 < 42$$

Hence the cross section is compact.

Step 3: Check for resistance of cross section to the combined effect

The interaction equation is

$$N / N_d + M_y / M_{dy} + M_z / M_{dz} \leq 1.0$$

M_y, M_z = factored applied moment about minor and major axis of the cross section respectively.

M_{dy}, M_{dz} = design strength under corresponding moment acting alone,

M_{ndy}, M_{ndz} = design reduced flexural strength under combined axial force and respective uni-axial moment acting alone.

N = Factored applied axial force.

N_d = Design strength in tension or compression due to yielding.

$$N_d = A_g f_y / Y_{m0}$$

A_g = gross area of cross section

Y_{m0} = Partial safety factor in yielding

$$N_d = 43789 \times 250 / 1.1$$

$$N_d = 9952 \text{ KN}$$

$$N = 162.5 \text{ KN}$$

(1) When the factor design shear force does not exceed $0.6V_d$ (V_d = design shear strength of c/s)

$$M_d z = B_p Z_p f_y / Y_{m0}$$

$$V = 162.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$V_d = V_n / Y_{m0}$$

V_d = design shear strength

$$V_n = A_v f_{yw} / \sqrt{3}$$

A_v = shear area

$$\text{Welded connection} = d t_w = 39.26 \times 11.3$$

$$= 4436.4 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$f_{yw} = 250 \text{ MPa}$$

$$V_n = 640 \text{ KN}$$

$$V_d = 582 \text{ KN}$$

$$V < 0.6 V_d$$

$$162.5 < 349.2 \text{ KN}$$

$$M_d z = 1 \times Z_p \times 250 / 1.1 = 227.3 Z_p$$

$$Z_p = 2[400 \times 40(20 + 225) + 250 \times 13.7(6.85 + 211.3) + 11.3 \times 211.3 \times 211.3 / 2]$$

$$Z_p = 9.84 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$$

$$\text{Elastic section modulus } Z_{xx} = 8.787 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$$

$$\text{Shape factor} = Z_p / Z = 9.84 \times 1000 / (8.787 \times 1000) = 1.12$$

$$M_d z = 227.3 \times 9.84 \times 1000 = 2236.6 \text{ KNm}$$

$$N / N_d + M_z / M_d z \leq 1.0$$

$$162.5 / 9952 + 1100 / 2236.6 = 0.51 < 1.0$$

Member buckling resistance in compression

$$KL / r_z = 15.6, f_{cd} = 226 \text{ MPa}$$

$$P_{pz} = 226 \times 43789 / 1000 = 9891 \text{ KN} > 162.5 \text{ KN}$$

Hence the section is safe.

➤ **DESIGN OF BASE PLATE**

$$f = P/A + (M/I) \times y$$

$$= 162.5 \times 1000 / (1400 \times 800) + (1100 \times 10 / (1400 \times 1400 \times 1400 \times 800) / 12) \times 1400 / 2$$

$$= 4.35 < 8 \text{ MPa}$$

$$I = t^3 \times l / 12$$

$$y = t / 2$$

$$M/I = f/y$$

$$M/(t^3/12) = f/0.5t$$

$$F = f_y / F_oS = 250 / 1.1 = 227.27 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\text{Maximum pressure} = 4.35 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\text{The moment at X-X} = (3 \times 435 \times 435 / 2) + (4.35 - 3) \times 435 / 2 \times 2 / 3 \times 435$$

$$= 369.9 \times 1000 \text{ Nmm}$$

$$M/(t^3/12) = f/0.5t$$

$$368.9 \times 1000 / (t^3/12) \times 0.5t = 227.27$$

$$t = 99 \text{ (take 100mm)}$$

Dimension of base plate

$$B = 1400 \text{ mm}$$

$$D = 800 \text{ mm}$$

$$t = 100 \text{ mm}$$

➤ **DESIGN OF FOUNDATION**

Interior column

$$\text{axial load} = 162.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{moment} = 1100 \text{ KNm}$$

$$\text{SBC of soil} = P/A + M/I \times y$$

$$= (162.5 \times 1) / L \times B + 1100 / L \times B / 12 \times L / 2$$

$$\text{SBC of soil is } 250 \text{ KPa}$$

$$250BL = 162.5 \times 0.167L + 1100 / 0.167L$$

$$41.75BL^2 - 27.14L - 1100 = 0$$

$$\text{If } B = 1 \text{ m, } L = 5.5 \text{ m}$$

$$\text{If } B = 2 \text{ m, } L = 3.8 \text{ m}$$

CHECK FOR ONE WAY SHEAR

For M30 for 0.25% of steel,

Design shear strength of concrete = 0.37 N/mm^2

Shear, $V = (P/L2) \times L((L-a)/2)-d$

$$= 817500 - 500d$$

$$= V$$

$$0.37 \times 3800 \times d = 817500 - 500d$$

$$d = 430 \text{ mm}$$

check for depth of two way shear

$$\text{shear strength} = 0.25 \sqrt{f_{ck}}$$

$$= 0.25 \sqrt{30} = 1.4 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Taking section at $d/2$ around column, we get

$$V = 250 (7.6 - (0.53 + 0.43)(0.4 + 0.43))$$

$$V = 1700 \text{ KN}$$

$$Z_p = 1700/2(a+d+b+d)d$$

$$= 1700 \times 1000/2(530+430+400+430)430$$

$$= 1.1 \text{ N/mm}^2 < 1.4 \text{ N/mm}^2 \quad (\text{Hence ok})$$

DEPTH FROM BENDING**Section YY:**

$$M_{\text{long}} = P/LB \times B \times L-a/2 \times L-a/4$$

$$= 162.5[(L-a)^2 / 8L]$$

$$= 57.5 \text{ KNm}$$

SECTION XX:

$$M_{\text{short}} = P((B-b)^2/8B)$$

$$= 26 \text{ KNm}$$

Reinforcement required:

Longitudinal direction

$$M/bd^2 = 57.5 \times 1000 \times 1000 / 2000 \times 430 \times 430$$

$$=0.15 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Percentage of steel = 0.084 %

$$A_{st} = 0.084 \times 2000 \times 430 / 100$$

$$= 723 \text{ mm}^2$$

Provide 10 mm dia at 100 mm C/C spacing

Short direction

$$M/bd^2 = 26 \times 1000 \times 1000 / 3800 \times 430 \times 430$$

$$= 0.037 \text{ N/mm}^2 \quad p = 0.084$$

$$A_{st} = 1372 \text{ mm}^2$$

Hence provide 16 mm dia at 140 mm C/C

E. BEAM – COLUMN UNDER BIAXIAL BENDING

The geometry and loading of most framed structures are three – dimensional, and the biaxial bending of isolated beam columns with test results has been studied by several researchers and they have shown at the elastic biaxial bending of beam-column is similar to its in-plane behaviour, thus, in case the major and minor axis deflections and twist begin immediately after applying the load and increases rapidly as the elastic buckling load is approached, Although the first yield prediction of strength slender beam-columns, based on these methods, are of sufficient accuracy , they are found to be conservative yielding occurs before failure.

➤ *DESIGN OF COLUMN*

$$LL = 5 \text{ KN/m}^2 = 5 \times 5 \times 3 = 45 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{Floor Finish} = 1 \text{ KN/m}^2 = 1 \times 3 \times 3 = 9 \text{ KN}$$

$$DL = \text{unit weight} \times \text{volume} = 25 \times 3 \times 3 \times 0.15 = 33.75 \text{ KN}$$

$$\text{Total load} = 87.75 \text{ KN}$$

Take 90 KN acts over the slab of 3m x3m

Load acting over the slab = 22.5 KN

$$P_1 = 22.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$M_z = 22.5 \times 0.75 = 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_y = 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

Step 1: Trial section

Select ISHB 200 having the following properties

$$A = 4750 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$R_y = 45.1 \text{ mm}$$

$$H = 200 \text{ mm}$$

$$b = 200 \text{ mm}$$

$$bf = 9 \text{ mm}$$

$$KL = 3600 \text{ mm}$$

$$KL/r_z = 3600 / 87.1 = 41.33$$

$$P_{ef} = P + (2M_z/d) + (7.5M_y/b)$$

$$= 22.5 + (2 \times 16.875 \times 1000 / 200) + (7.5 \times 16.875 \times 1000 / 200) = 824 \text{ KN}$$

From table 10 of IS 800-2007, for $h/b > 1.2$,

$$T_f \leq \text{mm}$$

Buckling about YY axis, buckling class is b

$$KL / r_z = 41.33$$

$$F_y = 250 \text{ MPa}$$

$$f_{cd} = 204.4 \text{ MPa}$$

$$\text{capacity} = 204.4 \times 4750 = 970 > 824 \text{ KN}$$

Hence choose ISHB 200 as a trial section.

Sectional properties:

$$H = 200 \text{ mm}$$

$$bf = 200 \text{ mm}$$

$$tf = 9 \text{ mm}$$

$$A = 4750 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$r_z = 87.1 \text{ mm}$$

$$r_y = 45.1 \text{ mm}$$

$$I_z = 3608.4 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4$$

$$I_y = 967.1 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4$$

Cross section classification

$$= \sqrt{250/f_y} = 1$$

Outstanding flanges

$$b/tf = 100/9 = 11.11$$

Class 3, semi – compact $15.7 E > 11.11$

WEB

$$d = H - 2t_f - 2R = 200 - 2 \times 9 - 2 \times 9 = 164 \text{ mm}$$

$$d/t_w = 164/6.1 = 26.88 < 42 E$$

hence the web is class 3 , semi compact

Step 3: Compression resistance of the cross section

The design compression resistance of the cross section

$$N_d = A_g f_y / \gamma_{m0} = 4750 \times 250 / (1.1 \times 1000) = 1079.5 \text{ KN}$$

Step 4: The design major axis bending resistance of cross section

$$M_{dz} = B_b Z_{pfy} / \gamma_{m0} = 0.91 \times 3.94 \times 10000 \times 250 / 1.1$$

$$= 81.5 \text{ KNm} > 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

$$Z_{pz} = 2[200 \times 9 \times (4.5 + 91) + 6.1 \times 91 \times 91 / 2]$$

$$= 3.94 \times 10^5 \text{ mm}^3$$

ELASTIC SECTION MODULUS

$$Z_{xx} = 360.8 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$$

$$\text{Shape factor} = Z_p / Z = 3.94 \times 10^5 / (360 \times 1000) = 1.1$$

$$M_{dy} = B_b Z_{pyf_y} / \gamma_{m0}$$

$$Z_{py} = 2 t_f b f^2 / 4 + (H - 2t_f) t_w^2 / 4$$

$$M_{dy} = 1 \times 1.82 \times 10000 \times 250 / 1.1 = 41.36 \text{ KNm} > 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

Hence the bending resistance is fine along major Z-Z axis and minor **Y-Y axis**

Step 5: Shear resistance of cross section

The design plastic shear resistance of cross section

$$V_p = A_v (f_y / \sqrt{3}) / \gamma_{m0}$$

Load parallel to web.

$$\text{Max shear force } V = [16.875 - (-16.875)] / 4.5 = 7.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$A_v = H t_w = 200 \times 6.1 = 1220 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$V_p = 1220(250\sqrt{3})/(1.1 \times 1000) = 160 \text{ KN} > 7.5 \text{ KN}$$

Hence the shear resistance of cross section is alright.

LOAD PARALLEL TO FLANGES:

$$V = 16.875/4 = 4.22 \text{ KN}$$

$$A_v = 2 b_f t_f = 2 \times 200 \times 9 = 3600 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$V_p = 3600 \times (250\sqrt{3})/(1.1 \times 1000) = 472 \text{ KN} > 4.22 \text{ KN}$$

Hence the shear force is alright

SHEAR BUCKLING:

$$d / t_w = 200/6.1 = 33 < 67E$$

hence no shear buckling check is required.

Step 6: Cross sectional resistance

$$(N/N_d) + (M_y/M_{d_y}) + (M_z/M_{d_z}) \leq 1.0$$

N = factored applied axial force = 22.5 KN

$$N_d = A_g f_y / \gamma_{m0} = 4750 \times 250 / (1.1 \times 1000) = 1079.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$M_y = 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_{d_y} = 41.36 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_z = 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_{d_z} = 81.5 \text{ KNm}$$

$$2.5/1079.5 + 16.875/41.36 + 16.875/81.5 = 0.64 < 1.0$$

Step 7: Member buckling resistance in compression

$$N_d = A_e f_{cd}$$

For buckling about the major Z-Z axis ,

$$KL/r_z = 41.33$$

$$F_{crz} = 1213.35 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$KL/r_y = 79.78$$

$$F_{cr,y} = 325.47 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

Stress reduction factor = 0.38

$$P_{dz} = A_e f_{cd}$$

$$F_{cd} = 86.75 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$P_{dz} = 475 \times 86.75 = 412 \text{ KN} > 22.5 \text{ KN}$$

$$P_{dy} = A_e f_{cd}$$

$$X = 0.67$$

$$F_{cd} = 154.08 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$P_{dy} = 4750 \times 154.08 = 731.8 \text{ KN} > 22.5$$

Member buckling resistance in bending

$$M = 16.875 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M_d = B_b Z_{pfb}$$

Determination of M_{cr}

$$= 188.9 \text{ KNm}$$

Non – dimensional lateral torsional slenderness ratio

$$= 0.21 \text{ for rolled section}$$

Reduction factor for lateral torsional buckling

$$= 0.5 [1 + 0.21(0.688 - 0.2) + 0.688 + 0.688]$$

$$= 0.787$$

$$X_{lt} = 1 / [0.787 + (0.787 \times 0.787 - 0.68 \times 0.688)]^{0.5}$$

$$= 0.855$$

Lateral torsional buckling resistance

$$= 0.855 (250 / 1.1) \times 0.91 \times 3.94 \times 100000$$

$$= 69.67 \text{ KNm}$$

$$M / M_d = 16.875 / 69.67 = 0.24 \leq 1.0 \text{ Hence OK}$$

9) Member buckling resistance in combined bending and axial compression

Determination of moment application factor

$$K_z = 1 + (Y_z - 0.2) P / P_{dz}$$

C_{my}, C_{mz} = equivalent uniform moment factor

$$K_z = 1 + (1.4 - 0.2) 22.5 / 412 = 1 < 1 + 0.8 \times 22.5 / 412$$

$$= 1.0 \leq 1.0$$

$$C_{mz} = 0.6$$

$$K_y = 1.0 \leq 1.0$$

$$N_y = P/P_d y = 0.03$$

$$C_{mlt} = 0.4$$

$$K_{lt} = 0.98 > 0.98$$

Check with interaction formula

$$[P/P_d y] + [(K_y C_{m y} M_y)/M_d y] + [K_{lt} M_z/M_d z]$$

$$[22.5/731.8] + [1 \times 0.6 \times 16.875/41.36] + [0.98 \times 16.875/81.5] = 0.48 < 1.0$$

Hence the section is suitable to resist the combined effects.

➤ DESIGN OF BASE PLATE

$$f = (P/A) + (M/I) \times y$$

$$0.9 < 8 \text{ MPa}$$

Select the size of the base plate is 300 x 300 mm

The moment at XX = 4720.8 Nmm

$$f = 250 / 1.1 = 227.27 \text{ MPa}$$

$M/I = f/y$ where $y = t/2$ and $I = t^3/12$

$t = 11 \text{ mm}$ can be taken as 15mm

Dimension of base plate.

$$L = 300 \text{ mm}$$

$$B = 300 \text{ mm}$$

$$T = 15 \text{ mm}$$

$$P \text{ shear} = 0.462 \times 400 \times 0.78 \times \pi/4 \times 14 \times 14$$

$$= 22.2 \text{ KN}$$

Beam column size ISHB 200 which has the following properties

$$A = 4750 \text{ mm}^2$$

$$Z_{xx} = 360.8 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$$

$$\text{Actual stress} = 22.5 \times 1000 / 4750 = 4.7 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Bending stress} = 16.875 \times 1000 \times 1000 / 360.8 \times 1000$$

Total length available for bending along the periphery of ISHB 200

$$2(200 + 200 - 6.1 + 200 - 9) = 1169.8 \text{ mm}$$

➤ **DESIGN OF SQUARE FOOTING**

Plane area = 0.8m x 0.8m

Axial load = 22.5KN

Moment = 16.87KNm

Reaction = $22.5/0.8 \times 0.8 = 35.156\text{KN}$

Safe bearing capacity of soil = 250 KN/m^2

Depth for one way shear

For M30 , for 0.25% of steel = 0.35N/mm^2

D = 18 mm

Depth for two way shear

IS critical section at d/2 from face as in flat slab.

Perimeter = $4(0.4+0.018) = 1.672\text{m}$

Shear = $35.156(0.8 \times 0.8) - (0.4 \times 0.4) = 1.672\text{m}$

Shear value = 0.25

D = 365mm

Depth for bending M = 0.5625KNm

Reinforcement

$M_u = 0.87f_y A_{st} d [1 - f_y A_{st} / f_{ck} \times b d]$

$A_{st} = 1209\text{mm}^2$

Therefore provide 8 nos of 16mm dia bars of 160mm C/C

F. MIDDLE COLUMN

➤ **DESIGN OF MIDDLE COLUMN**

Axial load = 325KN

Assume the section as ISHB 250

Height of the column = 4.5m

tf = 12.5mm

tw = 6.9mm

$$h = 250\text{mm}$$

$$b = 125\text{mm}$$

$$r_{zz} = 103.5\text{mm}$$

$$r_{yy} = 26.5\text{mm}$$

$$\text{Area} = 4755\text{mm}^2$$

$$h/b = 2 > 1.2$$

$$t_f = 12.5\text{mm} < 40\text{mm}$$

Hence we should use buckling curve a about ZZ axis and b about YY axis

$$KL/r_z = 34.6$$

$$F_{cd} = 212$$

$$P_d = 212 \times 4755$$

$$KL/r_{yy} = 135.8$$

$$F_{cd} = 83 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$P_d = 83 \times 4755$$

$P_d = 394\text{mm} > 325\text{mm}$ hence the column is safe

➤ **DESIGN OF BASE PLATE**

For ISMB 250

$$h = 250\text{mm}$$

$$b = 125\text{mm}$$

$$t_f = 12.5\text{mm}$$

$$t_w = 6.5\text{mm}$$

$$\text{Bearing strength of concrete} = 0.45f_{ck} = 0.45 \times 20 = 9\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Required area of base plate} = 325 \times 1000 / 9 = 36111\text{mm}^2$$

$$\text{Use the base plate of size} = 300 \times 170 = 51000\text{mm}^2$$

$$F = [P/A] + [M/Z] \times y$$

$$= 4.64\text{MPa} < 5\text{MPa}$$

$$\text{Bearing strength of concrete} = 0.45f_{ck} = 0.45 \times 20 = 9\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Required area of base plate} = 325 \times 1000 / 9 = 36111\text{mm}^2$$

Use the base plate of size 450 x 250 mm

$$\text{Projection (a)} = 100\text{mm}$$

$$\text{(b)} = 62.5\text{mm}$$

$$W = 325 \times 1000 / 450 \times 250 = 2.88 \text{MPa}$$

$$t_s = 16.7 \text{ mm can be taken as } 17 \text{mm} > 12.5 \text{mm}$$

Hence provide 450 x 250 x 17mm plate. Also provide four 20 mm diameter and 300mm long anchor bolts to connect the base plate to the foundation concrete.

WELD CONNECTING BASE PLATE TO COLUMN

Use a 6mm fillet weld all around the column section to hold the base plate in place.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Total length available for welding along the periphery of ISHB 250} \\ = 2(125+125-6.9+250-12.5) = 961.2 \text{mm} \end{aligned}$$

➤ DESIGN OF FOUNDATION

$$\text{Axial load} = 325 \text{KN}$$

$$\text{Safe bearing capacity of soil} = 250 \text{KN/m}^2$$

$$\text{Assume } f_y = 415 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$f_{ck} = 20 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Area} = 325/250 = 1.3 \text{m}^2$$

Adopt 1.2m x 1.2m square base of constant depth

$$\text{Reaction} = 325/1.2 \times 1.2 = 225.69 \text{KN/m}^2$$

Depth for one way shear

Assuming the main shear = 0.35 N/mm² for 0.25% for steel.

$$d = [P(L-b)]/[2P+700L^2] \text{ in meters}$$

$$d = 0.21 \text{m} = 210 \text{mm}$$

Depth for two way shear

IS critical section at d/2 from face of column.

$$\text{Perimeter} = 4(b + d) = 4(0.125+0.21) = 1.34 \text{m}$$

$$\text{Shear} = 226(1.2 \times 1.2 - 0.335 \times 0.335) = 300 \text{KN}$$

$$\text{Shear value} = 0.25f_{ck} = 0.25 \times 20 = 1.12 \text{N/mm}^2$$

$$d = 300 \times 1000 / 1.12 \times 1340 = 200 \text{mm}$$

Depth from bending

$$M = P[(L-b)^2/8L] = 325[(12-0.125)^2/8 \times 1.2] = 39.12 \text{ KN}$$

$$K_{fck} = 0.138 \times 20 = 2.76$$

$$d = 108.68\text{mm} < 120 \text{ mm}$$

Reinforcement required

$$M/bd^2 = 0.72$$

From Sp 16 steel percentage = 0.21 [$>$ min 0.15%]

$$A_{st} = 534\text{mm}^2$$

Provide 10mm dia bar 130mm C/C spacing.

Total depth of footing = 300mm.

G. STAIR CASE

➤ DESIGN

DESIGN OF STAIR CASE

Floor height = 4.5m

Width of stairs = 3m

Rise = 0.15m

Tread = 0.3m

Landing = 3m

Length of each flight = $15 \times 0.5 = 4.5\text{m}$

Effective span = $15 \times 0.3 + 0.3 = 4.8\text{m}$

Depth = span / 20 = $4800 / 20 = 240\text{mm}$

Adopt depth, $d = 220\text{mm}$

LOAD

Dead load of slab on slope = $0.24 \times 1 \times 25 = 6\text{KN/m}$

Dead load on horizontal span, $W = 6.7 \text{ KN/m}$

Dead load on one step = $0.5 \times 0.15 \times 0.3 \times 25 = 0.56 \text{ KN/m}$

Load on steps per meter length = 1.86KN/m

Floor finish = 1.44 KN/m

Total load = $6+6.7+1.86+1.44 = 16\text{KN/m}$

Factored load, $W_u = 16 \times 1.5 = 24\text{KN/m}$

Bending moment, $M = wl^2/8 = 69.12\text{KNm}$

CHECK FOR DEPTH

$D = 138.06 < 220 \text{ mm}$

REINFORCEMENT

$M_u = 0.87 f_y A_{st} d [1 - (f_y \times A_{st}) / (f_{ck} \times bd)]$

$A_{st} = 935\text{mm}^2$

Hence provide 12 mm dia bar at 120mm C/C

DISTRIBUTION REINFORCEMENT

0.12% of cross section area

$= 0.0012 \times 1000 \times 240$

$= 288\text{mm}^2$

Hence provide 8mm dia bar at 170 mm C/C

➤ DESIGN OF BEAM SUPPORTING STAIRCASE

1. Calculation of factor loads:

Dead load:

Self weight of slabs = 3.025KN/m^2

Self weight of steps = 2.625KN/m^2

Live load = 5.00KN/m^2

Total load = 10.65KN/m^2

Factored load = $1.5 \times 10.645 = 15.98\text{KN/m}^2$

Uniformly distributed load = $15.98 \times 1.5 = 23.97\text{KN/m}$

2. Calculation of bending moment and shear force

Maximum bending moment = 26.96KNm

Maximum shear force = 9KN

3. Shear modulus required = $424 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$

4. Selection of suitable section:

Choose a trial section of ISLB 175 at 0.327KN/m

The properties of section as follows,

Depth section = 175mm

Wide of section = 90mm

Thickness of flange = 6.9mm

Depth of web = $h - 2(tf + R)$

$$= 142.2 \text{ mm}$$

Thickness of web = 5.1mm

Moment of inertia about major axis, $I_{xx} = 1096.2 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4$

Elastic section modulus = $125.3 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$

Adequacy of the section including the self weight of the beam:

Factored self weight of the beam = $1.5 \times 0.167 = 0.25 \text{ KN/m}$

Total load acting on the beam = 24 KN/m

Maximum bending moment = 27 KNm

Section modulus required = $118.8 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$

Since it is less than $143.3 \times 1000 \text{ mm}^3$ hence the chosen section is adequate.

Shear, $V = WL/2 = 36 \text{ KN}$

5. Design shear strength of the section :

Design shear strength, $V_d = 117 \text{ KN} > 36 \text{ KN}$

Also $0.6V_d = 70.2 \text{ KN}$

Therefore the design shear force $V < 0.6V_d$

6. Check for design capacity of the section

$d/t_w = 27.88 < 67E$

$$= 28.33 \text{ KNm}$$

Where $P_b = 0.87$

$$28.33 \text{ KNm} < 34.17 \text{ KNm}$$

Hence the design capacity of the member is more than maximum bending moment

7. Check for deflection

Deflection = 9.54mm

Allowable maximum deflection = $L/300 = 3000/300 = 10\text{mm}$

The deflection is less than the maximum allowable deflection.

➤ *STAIR CASE DESIGN*

Axial load = 100 KN

Assume the section ISMB 200

Weight per meter = 254KN

Sectional area = 3233mm^2

H = 200mm

b = 100mm

tf = 10.8mm

tw = 5.7mm

ryy = 21.5mm

rxx = 83.2mm

$I_{xx} = 2235.4 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4$

$I_{yy} = 150 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4$

$h/b = 2 > 1.2$

Buckling curve a about ZZ axis and b about YY axis

$KL/r_{xx} = 0.8 \times 2250 / 83.2 = 21.6$

$F_{cd} = 223.56 \text{ MPa}$

$P_d = 223.56 \times 3233 = 723\text{KN}$

$KL/r_{yy} = 0.8 \times 2.25 / 21.5 = 83.7$

$F_{cd} = 143.4 \text{ MPa}$

$P_d = 143.4 \times 3233 = 464\text{KN}$

➤ *BASE PLATE*

Axial load = 100KN

Bearing strength of concrete = $0.45f_{ck} = 0.45 \times 25 = 11.25\text{N/mm}^2$

Size of the base pate = $250 \times 200 = 50000\text{mm}^2$

W = 3.32 MPa

$t_s = 6 \text{ mm}$

hence provide 250 x 200 x 6mm plate . Also provide 14mm dia and 150 mm long anchor bolts to connect the base plate to the foundation concrete

WELD CONNECTING BASE PLATE TO COLUMN

Use a 6mm fillet weld all around the column section to hold the base plate in place.

Total length available for welding along the periphery of ISMB 200,

$$= 2(100+100-5.7+200-10.8)$$

$$= 767\text{mm}$$

Provide 6mm fillet weld.

➤ **DESIGN OF FOUNDATION**

Axial load = 100KN

SBC of soil = 250KPa

$f_y = 415 \text{ MPa}$

$f_{ca} = 25\text{N/mm}^2$

Area = $100/250 = 0.4\text{m}^2$

$$= 0.7 \times 0.7\text{m}$$

Reaction = $100 / 7 \times 7 = 204\text{KN}$

Depth for one way shear

Minimum shear = 0.35 N/mm^2

For 0.25% steel

$d = 110\text{mm}$

Depth for two way shear

Perimeter = $4(b + d) = 4(0.1+0.11) = 0.84\text{m}$

Shear = $204(0.7 \times 0.7 - 0.25 \times 0.25) = 87.21 \text{ KN}$

Shear value = 1.25N/mm^2

$d = 70\text{mm}$

$M = 13.1\text{KN}$

$d = 74\text{mm}$

Reinforcement required

$$M/bd^2 = 1.54$$

Steel percentage = 0.25 > min of 0.15%

$$A_s = 192.5 \text{mm}^2$$

Provide 8mm dia bar at 200 C/C

➤ DESIGN OF BEAMS

Factored load = 20KN/m

Assume width of beam = 250mm

Overall depth of beam = 400mm

Effective cover = 50mm

Effective depth = 350mm

Ultimate moment and shear force = $0.125 w_u l^2$

$$= 22.5 \text{KNm}$$

$$V_u = 0.5 w_u l$$

$$= 30 \text{KN}$$

Reinforcements

$$M_u \text{ limit} = 0.138 F_{ck} b d^2$$

$$= 0.138 \times 25 \times 250 \times 350 \times 350 = 106 \text{KNm}$$

Since $M_u < M_u \text{ limit}$ the section is singly reinforced and under reinforced section

$$A_{st} = 170 \text{mm}^2$$

Provide 4 number of 8 mm dia bars as tension reinforcement and 2 bars of 10mm diameter as hanger bars on compression side,

CHECK FOR SHEAR STRESS

$$V_u = 30 \text{KN}$$

$$\text{Shear stress} = V_u / b d = 0.34 \text{N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Percentage tensile reinforcement} = 100 A_{st} / b d = 0.388$$

From table 19 of IS 456-2000, Design compressive strength of concrete = 0.431N/mm^2

Assume 2 legged 6mm vertical strips

Spacing of strips = 200mm

Check for deflection control

$$P_t = 0.388$$

$$F = 0.58 \times 415 \times [170/340]$$

$$= 177\text{N/mm}^2$$

$$\text{Modification factor} = 1.65$$

$$L/d \text{ max} = [L/d] \text{ basic} \times \text{modification factor}$$

$$= [3000/350] = 9 < 33 \text{ hence safe}$$

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSION

Thus the arch type pedestrian foot over bridge is designed as per IS codes of practice. It is the most economical one as we designed as per the code. It can withstand heavy pedestrian loads greater than the normal traffic loads.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Krishna Raju. N, Design of Reinforced Concrete structures, CBS Publishers.
- [2]. Punmia. B.C (2008) Design of steel structures Lakshmi Publications.
- [3]. Ramamurtham. S, Theory of structures, Dhanpat Publishing Company.
- [4]. IS 456 – 2000, Plain and Reinforced Concrete Code of Practice, fourth revision.
- [5]. IS 800 – 2007, General Construction in Steel – code of Practice.
- [6]. Steel Table in S.I. Units.
- [7]. Sp – 16, Design aids for Reinforced Concrete to IS 456:2000.