

Evaluation of Proximate, Anti-Nutrient and Some Mineral Composition of *Phoenix Dactylifera*

¹Abiodun, Iyabode Khadijat; ²Howard Ibigoni Clinton, ¹Osuchukwu, Chibuzo O. and ³Abiodun, Moses Oluwaseun

¹Department of Microbiology/Biochemistry, Polytechnic Nekede, Owerri, Nigeria.

²Department of Chemistry/Biochemistry, Federal Polytechnic Nekede, Owerri, Nigeria.

³Department of Biological Sciences, Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Uli, Nigeria.

Abstract:- This present study evaluated the proximate, anti-nutrient and some mineral composition of the flesh and seeds of *Phoenix dactylifera* L. Moisture, ash, lipid, protein and total sugar were determined using methods described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2006). Calcium, Zinc and Iron were analyzed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Oxalate was determined using the method described by Hussain et al.(2010), total phytate was determined using the method described by Olaleye *et al.*(2013), tannins were determined using method described by Pearson(1976). The result showed that the fruit flesh has moisture content, protein, ash, fat, crude fiber and carbohydrate of 8.16 ± 2.46 , $4.76 \pm 0.80\%$, $2.83 \pm 1.75\%$, $1.50 \pm 0.50\%$, $0.00 \pm 0.00\%$, $82.7 \pm 1.30\%$ while that of the seeds are $6.00 \pm 1.00\%$, $733 \pm 0.75\%$, $1.83 \pm 0.76\%$, $12.60 \pm 1.25\%$, $1.33 \pm 0.57\%$, $70.70 \pm 2.40\%$, respectively. Phytate and tannins in the fruit flesh are $0.30 \pm 0.10\%$ and $0.083 \pm 0.00\%$ while that of the seeds are 0.18 ± 0.05 and $0.087 \pm 0.00\%$ respectively. Oxalate was not detected in both samples. The Iron, calcium and zinc content of the fruit flesh are $612.8 \pm 1.25\text{mg/Kg}$, $72.1 \pm 0.76\text{mg/Kg}$ and $38.8 \pm 0.76\text{mg/Kg}$ while that of the seeds are $522.6 \pm 0.76\text{mg/Kg}$, $56.6 \pm 0.76\text{mg/Kg}$ and $12.5 \pm 1.00\text{mg/Kg}$ respectively. The findings suggest that both flesh and seeds of *Phoenix dactylifera* are suitable for consumption.

Keywords:- Date Palm, Proximate Analysis, Mineral Composition, Anti-Nutrient Composition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Phoenix dactylifera commonly known as date belong to the family Aracaceae. They are long lived monocotyledonous species and one of the tallest domesticated trees. The fruits of date are nutritious and rich in carbohydrates, dietary fiber, minerals and amino acids (Al-Shahib, Marshall, 2003). Fruits are natural sources of nutrients that are essential in our diet to avert several diseases. They are packed with vitamins and minerals that are needed by humans and animals for their growth (Sadiq *et al.*2013).

The fruits of date are important diet rich in various nutrients consumed throughout the world as dried fruit or other forms (Ahmed 1995, Eid-Noura *et al.*, 2013). Date fruit is an important part in the diet and treatment of obesity and are the most important sources of energy and

food in date fruit producing and nonproducing areas (Foroogh, 2009).

Ossi, Ndukwe (2008), stated that it is very important to consider our locally available fruits and to determine their nutrient composition in order to increase the production of such fruits, determine their anti-nutritional constituent to evaluate their suitability for consumption. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the proximate, anti-nutrient and some mineral composition of the fruit and seeds of date.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

➤ Plant Material

Date palm fruits purchased from Amausa location Owerri, Imo State were identified as *Phoenix dactylifera* (Dabino in Hausa) by a botanist.

➤ Preparation Of Sample

The Matured dried date fruits were collected and the flesh was separated from the seeds by dividing the fruits into parts. The fleshy part of the fruit was labeled sample A and the seeds labeled sample B. Both samples were further dried at room temperature for 48 hours. The samples were ground, i and stored in a container.

➤ Proximate Components Determination

The moisture, ash, lipid, fiber, protein and total sugar were determined using methods described by Association of Official Analytical Chemists(2006).

➤ Determination of Minerals (Ca, Zn And Fe)

Calcium, zinc and iron were analyzed using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer with standard air-acetylene flame.

➤ Calculation

Values on dry weight basis

$$\text{AAS Reading in Mg/L} = \frac{\text{vol. of digest (l)}}{\text{Weight of dried sample in Kg}}$$

➤ Determination of Anti-Nutritional Factor (Phytate, Tannins and Oxalate)

Phytate, tannin and oxalate content of the fruit and seeds were determined using methods of Olaleye *et al.* 2013, Pearson(1976) and Hussain *et al.* 2010 respectively.

III. RESULTS

The results of the proximate, anti-nutrient and some mineral components are represented in the tables below;

Proximate Composition	Mean \pm SD Sample A (%) Date palm fruit flesh	Mean \pm SD Sample B (%) Date palm seeds
Moisture	8.16 \pm 2.46 ^a	6.00 \pm 1.00 ^a
Protein	4.76 \pm 0.80 ^a	7.33 \pm 0.75 ^b
Ash	2.83 \pm 1.75 ^a	1.83 \pm 0.76 ^a
Fat	1.50 \pm 0.50 ^a	12.6 \pm 1.25 ^b
fiber	0.00 \pm 0.00 ^a	1.33 \pm 0.57 ^b
Carbohydrate	82.7 \pm 1.30 ^a	70.7 \pm 2.40 ^b

Table 1
Mean \pm SD of 3 determinations.

Mineral Composition	Mean \pm SD Sample A (%) Fruit Flesh (mg/Kg)	Mean \pm SD Sample B (%) Fruit Seed (mg/Kg)
Iron	612.8 \pm 1.25 ^a	522.6 \pm 0.76 ^b
Calcium	72.1 \pm 0.76 ^a	5.66 \pm 0.76 ^b
Zinc	38.8 \pm 0.76 ^a	12.5 \pm 1.00 ^b

Table 2
Mean \pm SD of 3 determinations

Anti-nutrient Composition	Mean \pm SD Sample A (%) Fruit(mg/Kg)	Mean \pm SD Sample B (%) Seed(mg/Kg)
Phytate	0.30 \pm 1.10 ^a	0.18 \pm 0.05 ^a
Tannin	0.083 \pm 0.00 ^a	0.087 \pm 0.00 ^a
Oxalate	0.00 \pm 0.00 ^a	0.00 \pm 0.00 ^a

Table 3
Mean \pm SD of 3 determinations

IV. DISCUSSION

The fruit flesh and seeds of dates were evaluated for their proximate, anti-nutrient and some mineral composition. Table 1 shows the result of moisture content in the date fruit is 8.16 \pm 2.46% while that of the seed is 6.00 \pm 0.100%. These values are slightly higher than 1.16 \pm 0.16% by Shaba *et al.*(2015). The result of Sadiq *et al.*(2013) also shows slightly lower content of 3.50 \pm 0.05% and 4.03 \pm 0.06% for date fruit and seed respectively. These differences may be due to environment under which the experiments were undertaken. The protein content of the sample A and B were 4.76 \pm 0.80% and 7.33 \pm 0.75% respectively. This result shows that the samples have high protein content when compared to 1.21 \pm 0.07% by Shaba *et al.*2015. However, Sadiq *et al.*(2013) shows protein of 17.15 \pm 0.15% and 122.6 \pm 0.13% respectively. The ash content of sample A and B are 2.83 \pm 1.75% and 1.83 \pm 0.76 respectively. This result is similar with 1.50 \pm 0.17% and

2.00 \pm 0.10% for fruit flesh and seed by Sadiq *et al.* (2013). The fat content of sample A and sample B are 1.50 \pm 0.50% and 12.6 \pm 1.25% respectively. The result of date seed is higher when compared to 4.50 \pm 0.09% by Sadiq *et al.*(2013).

The crude fibre content were 0.00 \pm 0.00% and 1.33 \pm 0.5% for sample A and sample B respectively which is lower than 0.5 and 1.50 \pm 6.00% by Sadiq *et al.*(2013). It is also lower than 2.26 \pm 0.07% by Shaba *et al.*(2015). The carbohydrate content were 82.7 \pm 1.30 % and 70.7 \pm 2.40% for sample A (fruit flesh) is higher in this study when compared to 75.85 by Sadiq *et al.*(2013) while the carbohydrate content is lower than 79.50 \pm 0.19% seed by Sadiq *et al.*(2013).

Table 2 shows Iron, Calcium and Zinc content of *Phoenix dactylifera* fruit are 612.8 \pm 1.25mg/Kg 72.1 \pm 0.76 mg/Kg and 38.3 \pm 0.76mg4/Kg respectively while that of the seed are 522.6 \pm 0.76 mg/Kg 56.5 \pm 0.76 % and 12.5 \pm 100mg/kg respectively. The fruit flesh and seed can serve as good sources of minerals that are essential for normal body function of man and other animals.

The phytate content of the fruit flesh and seed were 0.30 \pm 0.10% and 0.18 \pm 0.05 respectively. The tannin content were 0.083 \pm 0.00 and 0.087 \pm 0.00 respectively. Oxalate was not in both samples.

V. CONCLUSION

Dates fruits are very important food item. The proximate, anti-nutrient and some mineral composition of dates fruit flesh and dates seeds were evaluated in this study. It could be concluded from the study that both dates flesh and seeds are rich in carbohydrate, protein, fats. T Iron, calcium and zinc. However, the level of phytate and tannin are low. This study indicates that both samples are non-toxic and suitable for human use.

REFERENCES

- [1]. I.A. Ahmed, "Chemical composition of date varieties as influenced by the stage of ripening", Food Chemistry vol. 54(3), pp. 305-309, 1995.
- [2]. W. Al-Shahib, R.J. Marshall, "The fruit of the date palm; its possible use as the best food for the future". International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition vol. 54(4), pp. 247-259, 2003. <https://www.isaet.org>
- [3]. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (2006). Official Method of Analysis. 18th ed. (Gaithersburg S. ed.) AOAC Press Washington DC USA. <https://www.academicjournals.org>
- [4]. R. A. Day and A. I. Underwood , "Quantitative Analysis 5th Ed. Prentice-Hall Publication pp: 701. 1986. <https://www.jofamericanscience.org>
- [5]. M.S. Eid Noura, B. Al-Awadi , D. Vauzour , M.J. Oruna-Concha and J.P.E. Spencer (2013). "Effect of cultivar type and ripening on the polyphenol content

- of date palm fruit”, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* vol. 61(10),pp. 2453-2460 , 2013.
- [6]. B. Foroogh, “Assessment of antioxidant potential of date (*Phoenix dactylifera*) fruits from Iran” M.Sc Dissertation, University of Sains, Malaysia : 4-18, 2009. Unpublished. <https://www.ijbpas.com>
- [7]. D. Griffiths and W. Thomas, (1981). “Phytate and total phosphorus content of field beans (*Vicia faba*)”, *J. Sci Food Agric.* 32, pp.187-192, 1981. <https://www.pjbs.org>.
- [8]. I. Hussain, M. Burhanuddin, J. Bhuiyan and M. Kamrouj (2010). “Evaluation of physiochemical properties of wheat and Mungbean from Bangladesh”, *Internet Journal of Food Safety* vol.12, pp.104-108, 2010. <https://www.internetjfs.org>
- [9]. A.A. Olaleye, E.I. Adeyeye, and A.J. Adesina, “Chemical composition of bambara groundnut (*V. subterranean L. Verdc*) seed part”, *Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research* vol.48(3), pp.167-178, 2013.
- [10]. C.D. Ossi and C.M. Ndukwe, “The nutritional evaluation of *Cola lepidota*”, *Book of Proceeding International Conference, Chemical Society of Nigeria* vol. 5 (2), pp. 150-154, 2008. <https://www.isaet.org>
- [11]. D. Pearson, “Chemical analysis of foods” *Churchill Livingston, Edinburgh, U. K.*, pp. 7-14, 1976. <https://www.pjbs.org>
- [12]. I. S. Sadiq, T. Izuagie, M. Shuaibu, A.I. Dogoyaro, A. Garba and S. Abubakar, “The nutritional evaluation and medicinal value of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera*)”. *International Journal of Modern Chemistry*, vol. 4 (3), pp. 147-154, 2013. <https://www.iiste.org>
- [13]. I. K. Saeed , F.A. El-Rauof, and H.D. Dawoud, “Physico-chemical evaluation of some introduced date fruits cultivars grown under Sudanese conditions”, *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology* vol. 3(4), pp. 731-736, 2015.
- [14]. S. Sarkiyayi and T.M. Agar, “Comparative analysis on the Nutritional and anti- nutritional contents of the sweet and bitter cassava varieties, *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology* vol.2 (6), pp. 328-334, 2010. <https://www.maxwellsci.com>
- [15]. Y. Shaba, M.M. Ndamitso, J.T. Mathew, M.B. Etsunyakpa, A.N. Tsado, and S.S. Muhammad, “Nutritional and anti-nutritional composition of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera L*) Fruits sold in major markets of Minna Niger state, Nigeria”. *African Journal of pure and applied Chemistry* vol. 9(8): 167-174, 2015. <https://www.academicjournals.org>